

# Salinity detection and control of sodium transport in *Arabidopsis thaliana*

Sandra Manuela Schmöckel

B.Sc., M.Sc.

A thesis submitted for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy  
School for Agriculture, Food and Wine  
Faculty of Sciences  
The University of Adelaide



THE UNIVERSITY  
*of* ADELAIDE

December 2013



# Table of contents

<b>Table of contents</b> .....	<b>I</b>
<b>List of Figures</b> .....	<b>VII</b>
<b>List of Tables</b> .....	<b>XI</b>
<b>List of Abbreviations</b> .....	<b>XIII</b>
<b>Abstract of thesis</b> .....	<b>XIX</b>
<b>Declaration</b> .....	<b>XXI</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b> .....	<b>XXIII</b>
<b>Chapter 1 Review of literature and research aims</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1 Soil salinity is negatively impacting crop yields.....	2
1.2 The plants' response to salt stress .....	2
1.3 Natural variation in salinity tolerance .....	3
1.4 High-affinity Potassium Transporters (HKTs) are involved in Na <sup>+</sup> exclusion.....	5
1.4.1 AtHKT1;1 mediates Na <sup>+</sup> exclusion from the shoot.....	5
1.4.2 HKTs that increase salinity tolerance in crops.....	8
1.4.1 Class 2 HKT transporters .....	11
1.5 Salt "perception" and stress signalling in plants.....	12
1.5.1 Salt signalling in Arabidopsis .....	13
1.5.2 Known ion sensors .....	15
1.5.3 Possible candidates for the sodium sensor .....	17
1.6 Arabidopsis accessions Col-0 and C24 as genetic resources to analyse salinity tolerance.....	18
1.7 Research aims .....	19
<b>Chapter 2 General materials and methods</b> .....	<b>21</b>
2.1 Molecular cloning of expression vectors .....	22
2.1.1 Amplification of DNA using polymerase chain reaction (PCR).....	22
2.1.2 Electrophoretic separation of nucleic acid .....	23
2.1.3 DNA extraction from agarose gels.....	23
2.1.4 Cloning PCR products into pCR <sup>TM</sup> 8/GW/TOPO TA Gateway <sup>®</sup> entry vectors.....	23
2.1.5 Making chemically competent cells .....	24
2.1.6 Transformation of plasmid DNA into <i>E.coli</i> .....	24
2.1.7 Isolation of plasmid DNA .....	25
2.1.8 Analysis of plasmid DNA using restriction digest.....	26
2.1.9 Ligation of DNA fragments .....	26

2.1.10	Gateway® cloning into destination vectors (LR reactions).....	27
2.1.11	Sequencing of plasmid DNA.....	27
2.2	Growth of <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> .....	28
2.2.1	Growth facilities.....	28
2.2.2	Growth of <i>Arabidopsis</i> in soil.....	29
2.2.3	Growth of <i>Arabidopsis</i> on solid Murashige and Skoog (MS) media.....	29
2.2.4	Growth of <i>Arabidopsis</i> in hydroponics.....	30
2.3	<i>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</i> mediated transformation of <i>Arabidopsis</i> .....	31
2.3.1	Generating chemically competent <i>A. tumefaciens</i> .....	31
2.3.2	Transformation of <i>A. tumefaciens</i> with plasmid DNA.....	31
2.3.3	Transformation of <i>Arabidopsis</i> by floral dipping.....	32
2.3.4	Selection of transformed <i>Arabidopsis</i> .....	32
2.4	Analysis of plant DNA.....	33
2.4.1	Extraction of genomic DNA from plant material.....	33
2.5	Analysis of plant mRNA.....	34
2.5.1	Extraction and purification of RNA from plant material.....	34
2.5.2	Synthesis of cDNA.....	35
2.5.3	Quantitative Real-Time-PCR (qPCR).....	35
2.5.4	Semi-quantitative PCR (semi-qPCR) and reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR).....	35
<b>Chapter 3 Functional characterisation of AtHKT1;1 from Col-0 and C24 in heterologous expression systems.....</b>		<b>37</b>
3.1	Introduction.....	38
3.1.1	Two electrode voltage clamp (TEVC) using <i>Xenopus laevis</i> oocytes.....	38
3.1.2	Transport functionality assays using <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> .....	39
3.1.3	Testing AtHKT1;1 transport properties using <i>Xenopus</i> oocytes and yeast functionality assays.....	39
3.1.4	Aims of this study.....	40
3.2	Material and methods.....	41
3.2.1	Electrophysiological characterisation using <i>Xenopus laevis</i> oocytes.....	41
3.2.2	Yeast growth assays.....	45
3.4	Results.....	49
3.4.1	Analyses of AtHKT1;1 transport characteristics in <i>Xenopus laevis</i> oocytes.....	49
3.4.2	Analyses of transport characteristics in the yeast <i>S. cerevisiae</i> .....	53
3.5	Discussion.....	61
3.5.1	HKT1;1-Col and AtHKT1;1-C24 display Na <sup>+</sup> transport characteristics.....	61
3.5.2	HKT1;1-Col and AtHKT1;1-C24 also display K <sup>+</sup> transport characteristics.....	63
3.5.3	Conclusion.....	66
<b>Chapter 4 Regulation of AtHKT1;1 expression in Col-0 and C24 by the promoter sequence.....</b>		<b>67</b>

4.1	Differences in the Col-0 and C24 <i>AtHKT1;1</i> expression pattern.....	68
4.2	Col-0 and C24 display substantial differences in the <i>AtHKT1;1</i> promoter region .....	70
4.3	Approaches to test for promoter activity and expression .....	72
4.4	Aims of this study .....	75
4.5	Materials and methods .....	76
4.5.1	Salt stress assay of Col-0 and C24 plants using hydroponics .....	76
4.5.2	Measurement of Na <sup>+</sup> and K <sup>+</sup> content using flame photometry .....	76
4.5.3	Plant material: <i>promoter::GFP</i> and <i>promoter::cDNA</i> transgenic lines.....	77
4.5.4	Analysis of GFP fluorescence by confocal microscopy .....	77
4.5.5	Analysis of <i>GFP</i> and <i>AtHKT1;1</i> transcripts in T <sub>2</sub> <i>AtHKT1;1promoter::GFP</i> and <i>AtHKT1;1promoter::cDNA</i> lines.....	78
4.5.6	Northern Blot to determine <i>GFP</i> expression.....	79
4.6	Results .....	82
4.6.1	Salt stress assay in hydroponically grown Col-0 and C24 .....	82
4.6.3	Analysis of <i>GFP</i> expression in <i>AtHKT1;1promoter::GFP</i> transgenic lines.....	85
4.6.4	Analysis of <i>AtHKT1;1promoter::cDNA</i> transgenic lines .....	91
4.7	Discussion .....	99
4.7.1	The putative 2.7 kb <i>AtHKT1;1</i> promoter from Col-0 and C24 is able to drive <i>GFP</i> expression .....	99
4.7.2	Lack of <i>AtHKT1;1</i> expression in C24 can partially be complemented with <i>AtHKT1;1promoter::AtHKT1;1cDNA</i> lines .....	101
4.7.3	Conclusion.....	103
<b>Chapter 5 The role of the second intron in <i>AtHKT1;1</i> expression.....</b>		<b>105</b>
5.1	Introduction.....	106
5.2	Mechanisms by which introns can regulate gene expression .....	107
5.2.1	Intron mediated enhancement (IME) .....	107
5.2.2	Intron dependent spatial expression (IDSE) .....	107
5.2.3	Rapid degradation of mRNA (small RNA independent pathways).....	108
5.2.4	Introns may affect pre-mRNA structure, potentially causing faulty poly(A)-tailing.....	108
5.2.5	Introns can be target sites of small RNAs.....	109
5.3	Investigating the <i>AtHKT1;1</i> sequence of other ecotypes.....	111
5.4	Testing for the role of the second intron in regulating <i>AtHKT1;1</i> expression <i>in planta</i> .....	112
5.5	Aims of this study .....	114
5.6	Materials and methods .....	115
5.6.1	Bioinformatics analyses.....	115
5.6.2	Analysis of <i>AtHKT1;1</i> transcripts by semi-qPCR .....	116
5.6.3	Generation of constructs for <i>in planta</i> analyses.....	117
5.7	Results .....	124

5.7.1	Identifying regulatory elements in the second intron of <i>AtHKT1;1</i> .....	124
5.7.2	Bioinformatics analysis of the genomic DNA sequence, in particular the second intron	125
5.7.3	Analysis of <i>AtHKT1;1</i> transcripts by semi-qPCR.....	139
5.7.4	Generation of expression vectors for <i>in planta</i> analyses.....	140
5.8	Discussion .....	148
5.8.1	Transposable elements present in <i>AtHKT1;1</i> sequence .....	148
5.8.2	Cloning of the <i>AtHKT1;1</i> sequences, containing transposable elements, prove difficult	150
5.9	Conclusion .....	151
<b>Chapter 6 Comparing NaCl induced alterations in the cytosolic free calcium concentration ([Ca<sup>2+</sup>]<sub>cyt</sub>) in Col-0 and C24.....</b>		<b>153</b>
6.1	Introduction .....	154
6.2	Aequorin bioluminescence to measure cytosolic free calcium concentrations [Ca <sup>2+</sup> ] <sub>cyt</sub>	154
6.3	Luminometer setup .....	155
6.4	Imaging of Aequorin bioluminescence .....	157
6.5	Detecting the calcium signature using a plate reader .....	158
6.6	Calcium signature in response to CaCl <sub>2</sub> stimulus .....	160
<b>Chapter 7 Analysing NaCl-induced responses in Col-0 and C24.....</b>		<b>177</b>
7.1	Introduction .....	178
7.2	QTL mapping .....	178
7.2.1	Phenotype for QTL analysis.....	181
7.2.2	Mapping populations for QTL analysis.....	181
7.2.3	Detection of QTL with computer programs.....	183
7.2.4	Fine mapping of the QTL interval.....	184
7.3	Chapter aims .....	185
7.4	Material and methods .....	186
7.4.1	Salt stress application in soil grown plants.....	186
7.4.2	Salt stress application for plants grown on MS-plates.....	186
7.4.4	Assessing Col-0 and C24 using hydroponics.....	188
7.4.5	Processing of samples with semi-qPCR or qPCR.....	188
7.5	Results .....	190
7.5.1	Transcription analyses of salt responsive genes.....	190
7.5.2	Testing for differences in the germination rate of Col-0 and C24 .....	206
7.5.3	Root growth towards media containing NaCl .....	206
7.6	Discussion .....	209
7.6.1	Are changes in transcript levels of salt responsive genes a useful tool to investigate the salt responsiveness of Col-0 and C24? .....	209
7.6.2	Germination on MS plates containing NaCl is not different between Col-0 and C24 ...	213
7.6.3	Root growth towards salt is not different in Col-0 and C24 .....	214

7.6.4	Is C24 an ecotype that is non-responsive to salinity as opposed to Col-0, which is responsive? .....	214
7.7	Conclusions.....	216
<b>Chapter 8 General discussion .....</b>		<b>217</b>
8.1	Review of thesis aims and hypotheses.....	218
8.2	<i>AtHKT1;1</i> has Na <sup>+</sup> - and potentially K <sup>+</sup> - transport activities and regulation of <i>AtHKT1;1</i> expression is complex .....	218
8.2.1	Na <sup>+</sup> and K <sup>+</sup> transport activities of <i>AtHKT1;1</i> .....	218
8.2.2	Expression patterns of <i>AtHKT1;1</i> are ecotype specific.....	219
8.2.3	C24 as a model for alternative salinity tolerance mechanism? .....	220
8.3	NaCl induced responses in Col-0 and C24.....	221
8.4	Future investigations - understanding the HKT transporter family .....	223
8.5	Future investigations – Understanding the response of plants to salinity stress .....	226
8.6	Future investigations –Improving crop plants .....	228
8.7	Concluding remarks.....	231
<b>Supplementary.....</b>		<b>232</b>
<b>References .....</b>		<b>247</b>





## List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Variation in salinity tolerance of various species (Munns and Tester 2008). ....	4
Figure 1.2: Schematic of the SOS signalling pathway (modified from Mahajan and Tuteja 2005).....	15
Figure 1.3: Comparison of the <i>AtHKT1;1</i> promoter and genomic DNA sequence between <i>Arabidopsis</i> ecotype Col-0 and C24.....	19
Figure 2.1: Image of germination tray and hydroponics setup.....	30
Figure 3.1: Vector map of pGEMXho vector (based on pGEM-HE (Liman <i>et al.</i> 1992)).....	41
Figure 3.2: Image of voltage protocol used for two electrode voltage clamp of <i>Xenopus</i> oocytes. ....	43
Figure 3.3: Vector map of pYes2-DEST52 vector used to express <i>HKT</i> genes in <i>S.cerevisiae</i> . ....	45
Figure 3.4: Vector map of the pGEMXho vector containing either the <i>AtHKT1;1-Col</i> or <i>AtHKT1;1-C24</i> coding sequence.....	49
Figure 3.5: Agarose gel image of synthesised cRNA for injection into <i>Xenopus</i> oocytes.	50
Figure 3.6: I/E-relationships of flux through <i>Xenopus</i> oocytes injected with <i>AtHKT1;1</i> cRNA. ....	51
Figure 3.7: Conductance of Na <sup>+</sup> and K <sup>+</sup> by <i>AtHKT1;1</i> expressing oocytes.....	52
Figure 3.8: Reversal potential (E <sub>rev</sub> ) of Na <sup>+</sup> and K <sup>+</sup> in <i>AtHKT1;1</i> expressing oocytes .....	53
Figure 3.9: Vector map of the pYES-DEST52 vector containing either the <i>AtHKT1;1-Col</i> or <i>AtHKT1;1-C24</i> coding sequence.....	54
Figure 3.10: Representative growth phenotypes of <i>S. cerevisiae</i> strains InvSc2 and B31 expressing <i>AtHKT1;1</i> on media containing NaCl.....	56
Figure 3.11: Representative growth phenotypes of <i>S. cerevisiae</i> strains InvSc2 and B31 expressing <i>AtHKT1;1</i> on media containing NaCl.....	57
Figure 3.12: Growth curves of wild type <i>S. cerevisiae</i> (INVSc2) expressing <i>AtHKT1;1</i> .....	59
Figure 3.13: Growth curves of the salt sensitive <i>S. cerevisiae</i> (strain B31) expressing <i>AtHKT1;1</i> .....	60
Figure 4.1: Diagram of the Col-0 <i>AtHKT1;1</i> promoter sequence. ....	68
Figure 4.2: Comparison of the <i>AtHKT1;1</i> promoter sequence from Col-0 and C24 (modified from Sundstrom 2011).....	71
Figure 4.3: Overview of <i>AtHKT1;1</i> promoter and gene combinations of either <i>GFP</i> or <i>AtHKT1;1</i> cDNA for <i>in planta</i> analysis. ....	73
Figure 4.4: Diagram for setup of Northern Blot.....	80
Figure 4.5: Images of hydroponically grown Col-0 and C24 plants subjected to salt stress. ....	83
Figure 4.6: Shoot and root biomass of hydroponically grown Col-0 and C24 plants subjected to 100 mM salt stress over a 7 d period. ....	83
Figure 4.7: Na <sup>+</sup> and K <sup>+</sup> content in hydroponically grown Col-0 and C24 plants subjected to 100 mM salt stress over a 7 d period. ....	84
Figure 4.8: Root specific GFP fluorescence in hydroponically grown T <sub>2</sub> <i>AtHKT1;1promoter::GFP</i> transgenic lines in a Col-0 background.....	87

Figure 4.9: Analysis of root specific GFP fluorescence in hydroponically grown T <sub>2</sub> <i>AtHKT1;1promoter::GFP</i> transgenic lines in the C24 background. ....	88
Figure 4.10: Analysis of root specific GFP fluorescence in hydroponically grown T <sub>2</sub> <i>AtHKT1;1promoter::GFP</i> transgenic lines in the Col-0 background. ....	89
Figure 4.11: Analysis of root specific GFP fluorescence in hydroponically grown T <sub>2</sub> <i>AtHKT1;1promoter::GFP</i> transgenic lines in the C24 background. ....	90
Figure 4.12: Quantification of <i>GFP</i> transcripts in <i>AtHKT1;1promoter::GFP</i> transgenic lines. ....	91
Figure 4.13: Na <sup>+</sup> content in T <sub>2</sub> transgenic <i>AtHKT1;1promoter::AtHKT1;1cDNA</i> lines (C24 and Col-0 <i>g11 hkt1-4</i> background). ....	95
Figure 4.14: K <sup>+</sup> content in T <sub>2</sub> transgenic <i>AtHKT1;1promoter::AtHKT1;1cDNA</i> lines (C24 and Col-0 <i>g11 hkt1-4</i> background). ....	96
Figure 4.15: Analysis of <i>AtHKT1;1</i> expression in 3 wk old hydroponically grown T <sub>2</sub> <i>HKT1;1promoter::cDNA</i> lines. ....	98
Figure 5.1: Comparison of the <i>AtHKT1;1</i> genomic sequence from Col-0 and C24. ....	106
Figure 5.2: Diagram illustrating workflow for cloning of <i>AtHKT1;1</i> genomic DNA fragments. ....	120
Figure 5.3: Diagram illustrating cloning strategy to ligate <i>AtHKT1;1</i> promoter fragments with gene fragments. ....	121
Figure 5.4: Diagram illustrating the recombination of the <i>AtHKT1;1</i> promoter and gene sequences from the pCR8 vector into the desired destination vector. ....	123
Figure 5.5: <i>ATHKT1;1</i> Col-0 genomic region displayed in gbrowser with additional information. ....	127
Figure 5.6: Methylation and small RNA signatures in Col-0 <i>ATHKT1;1</i> , identified using The SIGnAL Arabidopsis Methylome Mapping Tool. ....	128
Figure 5.7: Results of a BLAST search (blastn) in the <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> nucleotide selection using the 1.6 kb intron sequence that is present in C24 <i>AtHKT1;1</i> , but not in Col-0. ....	129
Figure 5.8: SIMPLEHAT2 region, <i>At5TE89325</i> , on Chromosome 5, displayed in gbrowser with additional information. ....	131
Figure 5.9: Methylation and small RNA signatures in the genomic region of <i>At5TE89325</i> , SIMPLAHAT2 (approximate position marked in figure), containing SIMPLEHAT2, identified using The SIGnAL Arabidopsis Methylome Mapping Tool. ....	132
Figure 5.10: Rebase Update output for the full length 3.6 kb Col-0 <i>AtHKT1;1</i> sequence. ....	133
Figure 5.11: Rebase Update output for the full length 5.4 kb C24 <i>AtHKT1;1</i> sequence. ....	134
Figure 5.12: Rebase Update output for the sequence corresponding to the 1.6 kb insertion in the second intron of the C24 sequence. ....	134
Figure 5.13: Annotation of SIMPLEHAT2 provided by the Rebase Update database. ...	135
Figure 5.14: Alignment of genomic <i>AtHKT1;1</i> sequences from 19 Arabidopsis ecotypes to the C24 <i>AtHKT1;1</i> sequence. ....	138
Figure 5.15: Diagram of gene specific RT-PCR on <i>AtHKT1;1</i> transcripts. ....	139
Figure 5.16: Agarose gel images of RT-PCR on <i>AtHKT1;1</i> specific cDNA. ....	140
Figure 5.17: Amplified DNA fragments of the (A) Col-0 gDNA and (B) C24 gDNA. ....	143
Figure 5.18: Amplified DNA fragments containing the second intron of Col-0 and C24. ....	144

Figure 5.19: Representative agarose gel image of a <i>Bsr</i> GI restriction digest of the pCR8 plasmid containing C24 <i>AtHKT1;1</i> gDNA.....	145
Figure 5.20: Images of agarose gels after restriction digests of fully cloned destination vectors listed in Table 5.9. ....	146
Figure 6.1: Image of purpose-built luminometer setup. ....	156
Figure 6.2: Representative images of aequorin bioluminescence of 10 d old Col-0 and C24 Arabidopsis seedlings in response to (A) base solution, (B) 200 mM NaCl and (C) 400 mM sorbitol.....	158
Figure 6.3: Response of <i>Apoaequorin</i> expressing Col-0 and C24 seedlings to 187.5 mM NaCl in a plate reader system. ....	159
Figure 6.4: Luminometric measurements of whole Arabidopsis seedlings, constitutively expressing <i>Apoaequorin</i> subjected to CaCl <sub>2</sub> stimulus.....	160
Figure 6.5: Luminometric measurements of whole Arabidopsis seedlings, constitutively expressing <i>Apoaequorin</i> . ....	174
Figure 6.6: Amplitude of second [Ca <sup>2+</sup> ] <sub>cyt</sub> peak in response to [NaCl] treatment.....	175
Figure 6.7: Formation of NaCl induced [Ca <sup>2+</sup> ] <sub>cyt</sub> alterations.....	176
Figure 7.1: Diagram of Arabidopsis root growth assay on salt. ....	187
Figure 7.2: Example of agarose-gel image resulting from semi-qPCR of transcripts of cDNA derived from salt treated Col-0 and C24 shoot tissue.....	189
Figure 7.3: Example of semi-qPCR analysis of transcript of cDNA derived from salt treated Col-0 and C24 shoot tissue. ....	189
Figure 7.4: Output of Genevestigator analysis for candidate genes using the built in application “Sample” .....	192
Figure 7.5: <i>AtSOS1</i> transcript abundance using semi-qPCR, in soil grown Col-0 and C24 plants subjected to 24 h of 150mM NaCl.....	194
Figure 7.6: <i>AtAVP1</i> transcript abundance using semi-qPCR, in soil grown Col-0 and C24 plants subjected to 24 h of 150mM NaCl.....	194
Figure 7.7: <i>AtAVP1</i> transcript abundance using qPCR, in soil grown Col-0 and C24 plants subjected to 24 h of 150mM NaCl. ....	195
Figure 7.8: Gel image of semi-qPCR on samples derived from soil-grown Col-0 and C24 plants treated with 150 mM NaCl for 24 h. ....	195
Figure 7.9: QPCR analysis on root and shoot samples of plate grown Col-0 and C24 plants treated with ± 150 mM NaCl for 24 h.....	196
Figure 7.10: <i>LTI/Cor78</i> transcript abundance using semi-qPCR, in plate grown Col-0 and C24 plants subjected to 24 h of 150mM NaCl. ....	197
Figure 7.11: <i>LTI/Cor78</i> transcript abundance using semi-qPCR, in plate grown Col-0, C24 plants and four lines of the Col × C24 RIL population subjected to 24 h of 150mM NaCl.....	199
Figure 7.12: <i>LTI/Cor78</i> transcript abundance determined using qPCR from four lines of the Col × C24 RIL population after treatment with 150 mM NaCl.....	200
Figure 7.13: Transcript levels of <i>LTI/Cor78</i> as determined by qPCR on cDNA derived from 30 lines of the Col × C24 mapping population grown on MS-plates.....	201
Figure 7.14: Transcript analysis of hydroponically grown Col-0 and C24 plants subjected to ± 100 mM NaCl using qPCR.....	204

**Figure 7.15: Boxplot analysis of transcript data that derived from hydroponically grown Col-0 and C24 plants subjected to  $\pm$  100 mM NaCl .....205**

**Figure 7.16: Germination rate (%) after 7 days of Col-0 and C24 seedlings on MS-plates supplemented with the indicated amount of NaCl, as compared to 0 mM NaCl control. ....206**

**Figure 7.17: Root growth of Col-0 and C24 towards MS media containing NaCl. ....208**

## List of Tables

Table 1.1 Summary of characterised class 2 HKTs .....	12
Table 2.1: Overview of polymerase chain reaction components and cycling conditions used for cloning and analysis.....	22
Table 2.2: Sequences of primers used for sequencing reactions .....	27
Table 2.3: Overview of plant growth facilities and growth conditions used in this study.	28
Table 3.1: Yeast strains used in this study with corresponding genotype, required amino acid supplements for growth and source of the yeast strain .....	46
Table 3.2: Media composition of yeast media for growth and functional assays .....	47
Table 3.3: Numeric values for conductance (G) and reversal potential ( $E_{rev}$ ) of <i>AtHKT1;1-Col</i> and <i>AtHKT1;1-C24</i> injected oocytes in the presence of varying $Na^+$ and $K^+$ concentrations and the corresponding activity. Results are mean $\pm$ SEM, n = 3-5 oocytes with 1-3 technical replicates.....	53
Table 3.4: Shift of $E_{rev}$ and conductances of <i>AtHKT1;1</i> alleles in response to increasing $[K^+]$ .....	64
Table 4.1: Summary of results by Baek <i>et al.</i> (2011) analysing GUS activity in Col-0 seedlings transformed with various <i>AtHKT1;1promoter::GUS</i> constructs.....	69
Table 4.2: Primers used to analyse GFP expression using semi-qPCR .....	79
Table 4.3: Genotyping of T <sub>2</sub> <i>AtHKT1;1promoter::cDNA</i> lines using the selectable marker <i>NptII</i> Lane legends: <i>pCol-0::Col-0</i> and <i>pCol-0::C24: HKT1;1promoter</i> from Col-0 driving <i>HKT1;1cDNA</i> from Col-0 or C24, respectively; <i>pC24::Col-0</i> and <i>pC24::C24: HKT1;1promoter</i> from C24 driving <i>HKT1;1cDNA</i> from Col-0 or C24, respectively; background accession is indicated by either C24 or Col hkt (referring to Col-0 <i>gl1 hkt1-4</i> ).....	93
Table 5.1: Components of expression vectors to examine if the difference in <i>AtHKT1;1</i> genomic sequence, specifically the second intron, results in different expression patterns in Col-0 and C24. The expected phenotype is based on the hypothesis that the second intron in the C24 <i>AtHKT1;1</i> reduces root expression of <i>AtHKT1;1</i> .....	113
Table 5.2: Primers used for <i>AtHKT1;1</i> specific cDNA synthesis .....	116
Table 5.3: Primer pairs used for RT-PCR using <i>AtHKT1;1</i> specific cDNA .....	116
Table 5.4: Combination of <i>AtHKT1;1</i> 2.7 kb promoters and <i>AtHKT1;1</i> sequences with corresponding destination vectors for <i>in planta</i> analyses of the second intron and its influence on regulating <i>AtHKT1;1</i> expression .....	117
Table 5.5: AT-content of <i>AtHKT1;1</i> genomic Col-0 and C24 DNA fragments located towards the 3' end of the gene.....	125
Table 5.6: Comparison of the presence of a 500 bp fragment in the first intron (sequencing data by Gan <i>et al.</i> (2011)) to corresponding leaf $Na^+$ data and distance to saline areas from Baxter <i>et al.</i> (2010).....	137
Table 5.7: Primers used to amplify the <i>AtHKT1;1</i> genomic sequence from Col-0 and C24. Underlined nucleotides indicate restriction sites used to ligate fragments using <i>XhoI</i> . NNNN are random nucleotides that were included to facilitate restriction digest. ....	141
Table 5.8: PCR setup and cycling conditions to amplify <i>AtHKT1;1</i> genomic DNA and intron fragments. Part (A) shows the general PCR mix and cycling conditions. Components varying between amplifications are marked in bold and indicated	

with “X”; refer to (B) for the specific conditions used for amplifying each DNA fragment.....	142
Table 5.9: List of constructs in final destination vector with corresponding enzyme for control digests and fragment pattern.....	146
Table 5.10: Overview of vectors constructed, the generation of the required destination vector, and the success of transforming the destination vector into <i>A. tumefaciens</i> and Arabidopsis.....	147
Table 7.1: Comparison of advantages and disadvantages of GWAS and mapping using bi-parental RILs in Arabidopsis .....	179
Table 7.2: List of QTL analyses for salinity tolerance on bi-parental mapping populations in species other than Arabidopsis. <sup>1</sup> .....	180
Table 7.3: List of genes and corresponding primers that were used for semi-qPCR and qPCR to test for salt responsiveness. Source describes whether the primers were already available at the ACPFG or had to be designed using Primer 3.....	191

## List of Abbreviations

3'	three prime, of nucleic acid sequence
5'	five prime, of nucleic acid sequence number
%	percent
~	approximately
x	times
°C	degrees Celsius
aa	amino acid
ACPFG	Australian Centre for Plant Functional Genomics
AGRF	Australian Genome Research Facility
ANOVA	analysis of variance
Arabidopsis	<i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i>
At	<i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i>
<i>AtHKT1;1-C24</i>	<i>AtHKT1;1</i> allele from C24
<i>AtHKT1;1-Col-0</i>	<i>AtHKT1;1</i> allele from Col-0
<i>AtHKT1;1-C24</i>	<i>AtHKT1;1</i> protein form C24
<i>AtHKT1;1-Col-0</i>	<i>AtHKT1;1</i> protein from Col-0
AVP	Arabidopsis vacuolar pyrophosphatase
BAC	bacterial artificial chromosome
BLAST	basic local alignment search tool
bp	base pairs, of nucleic acid
BSA	bovine serum albumin
C24	Arabidopsis ecotype C24
Ca <sup>2+</sup>	calcium ion
[Ca <sup>2+</sup> ] <sub>cyt</sub>	cytosolic free calcium concentration
CaCl <sub>2</sub>	calcium chloride
CaMV	cauliflower mosaic virus
Cat. #	catalogue number
CBL	calcineurin like-B protein
cDNA	complimentary deoxyribonucleic acid
Cl <sup>-</sup>	chloride ion
CIPK	calcineurin like-B interacting protein kinase
cm	centimetre(s)
Col-0	Arabidopsis ecotype Columbia-0

Col-0×C24	mapping population with Col-0 and C24 as parents
cRNA	complimentary ribonucleic acid
d	day(s)
dATP	deoxyadenosine triphosphate
dCTP	deoxycytidine triphosphate
dGTP	deoxyguanosine triphosphate
dH <sub>2</sub> O	deionised water
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
dNTP	deoxynucleotide triphosphate
dS	deciSiemens
DTT	dithiothreitol
dTTP	deoxythymidine triphosphate
ECe	electrical conductivity
EDTA	ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
E <sub>rev</sub>	reversal potential
FAO	Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations
g	gram(s)
G	conductance
<i>g</i>	gravity
gDNA	genomic deoxyribonucleic acid
GFP	green fluorescent protein
GUS	<i>β</i> -glucuronidase protein
H <sup>+</sup>	hydrogen ion
H <sub>2</sub> O	water
HCl	hydrochloric acid
HKT	high affinity potassium transport
hr	hour(s)
I	current
IDSE	intron dependent spatial expression
IME	intron mediated enhancement
K <sup>+</sup>	potassium ion
kb	kilo base pairs, of nucleic acid
KCl	potassium chloride
kg	kilogram(s)
KOH	potassium hydroxide



L	litre(s)
Ler	<i>Arabidopsis</i> ecotype Landsberg <i>erecta</i>
LB	left border, of T-DNA sequence
LB	media luria betani media
M	molar
mg	milligram(s)
Mg <sup>2+</sup>	magnesium ion
MgCl <sub>2</sub>	magnesium chloride
min	minute(s)
mRNA	messenger ribonucleic acid
miRNA	micro ribonucleic acid
mL	millilitre(s)
mm	millimetre(s)
mM	millimolar
mol	mole
mOsm	milliosmole
MS-media	media, Murashige and Skoog media
mV	millivolt
n	sample size
N/A	not applicable
N <sub>2</sub>	nitrogen
mA	milliampere
Na <sup>+</sup>	sodium ion
NaCl	sodium chloride
NaOH	sodium hydroxide
NCBI	National Center for Biotechnology Information
ng	nanogram(s)
NHX	Na <sup>+</sup> /H <sup>+</sup> exchanger
nm	nanometre(s)
nM	nanomolar
nosT	bacterial nopaline synthase terminator sequence
NPK	ratio of nitrogen, phosphate and potassium in fertilizer
o/n	overnight
OD <sub>600</sub>	optical density measured at 600 nm
pC24	2.7 kb promoter region upstream of <i>AtHKT1;1</i> from C24

pCol-0	2.7 kb promoter region upstream of <i>AtHKT1;1</i> from Col-0
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
pCR8	entry vector pCR <sup>TM</sup> 8/GW/TOPO Gateway <sup>®</sup>
PI	propidium iodide
qRT-PCR	quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction
QTL	quantitative trait loci
RB	right border, of T-DNA sequence
RdDM	RNA mediated DNA methylation
RIL	recombinant inbred line
RNA	ribonucleic acid
RO	reverse osmosis
rpm	rounds per minute
RT	room temperature
RT-PCR	reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction
s	second(s)
Salty	Salt water crocodile, mascot
Sc	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>
SDS	sodium dodecyl sulfate
Semi-qPCR	semi-quantitative polymerase chain reaction
siRNA	short interfering ribonucleic acid
SNP	single nucleotide polymorphism(s)
SOS	salt overly sensitive
T1	primary Arabidopsis transformant containing T-DNA
T2	progeny of T1 plant
TAE	tris-acetate-EDTA
T-DNA	transfer deoxyribonucleic acid TE transposable element
TE	transposable element
TF	transcription factor
T <sub>m</sub>	melting temperature, of primers
U	units
UAS	upstream activation sequence
uidA	$\beta$ -glucuronidase gene
UTR	untranslated region
UV	ultraviolet
V	voltage

v/v	volume per volume
wk	week(s)
w/v	weight per volume
Xenopus	<i>Xenopus laevis</i>
µg	microgram(s)
µL	microlitre(s)
µm	micrometre(s)
µM	micromolar
µmol	micromole(s)



## Abstract of thesis

Soil salinity is a major abiotic stress, reducing crop yields and endangering global food security. With salt affected areas increasing, understanding the molecular mechanisms of salinity stress is of great importance. Plant salinity stress can be categorised into two phases, the initial shoot ion independent osmotic stress and the later ionic stress. Osmotic stress occurs as soon as the plant encounters salt in the soil and results in an immediate reduction in the shoot growth rate. Ionic stress is caused by the accumulation of ions such as  $\text{Na}^+$  and  $\text{Cl}^-$  in the cytosol of cells in the shoot and results in the inhibition of cellular processes and induces premature leaf senescence.

The two *Arabidopsis thaliana* ecotypes Col-0 and C24 have previously been identified as interesting candidates to study plant salinity tolerance. The Col-0 ecotype is less salt tolerant than the C24 ecotype, based on its reduction in dry weight under stressed conditions. This is despite C24 accumulating significantly more  $\text{Na}^+$  in the shoot than Col-0. Interestingly, C24 also appeared to be less responsive to salt stress, as transcript levels of several key salt responsive genes are not substantially altered in response to salt stress. The *AtHKT1;1* gene is one key gene found to be not up-regulated in C24 during salt stress. *AtHKT1;1* encodes a protein likely to be involved in the retrieval of  $\text{Na}^+$  from the xylem thereby reducing the amount of  $\text{Na}^+$  translocating to the shoot. In this thesis the C24 and Col-0 *HKTs* are compared at the protein and transcriptional levels. Electrophysiological analysis in *Xenopus* oocytes and a functional assay in yeast confirm  $\text{Na}^+$  transport properties of both *AtHKT1;1* proteins and, interestingly, indicated *AtHKT1;1* from both ecotypes had the ability to transport  $\text{K}^+$ . To determine the difference in expression profile between the two ecotypes, a series of *AtHKT1;1promoter::GFP* and *AtHKT1;1promoter::AtHKT1;1cDNA* constructs were tested in *Arabidopsis*. Results suggest that both the Col-0 and C24 *AtHKT1;1* promoters are able to drive expression of the downstream genes, suggesting that differences in the promoter region are not responsible for the lack of *AtHKT1;1* expression in C24. A transposable element identified in the second intron of the C24 *AtHKT1;1* genomic sequence may be important in causing the lack of *AtHKT1;1* expression in roots.

Furthermore, the reduced responsiveness of C24 to salt stress is investigated in relation to how salt is initially perceived by the plant. An assay using aequorin bioluminescence was used to compare the responses in the salt stress inducible  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -signatures of Col-0 and C24 seedlings. Excitingly, C24 appears to be missing part of the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  signature observed in the salt responsive plant Col-0, suggesting that C24 may not detect the ion component of salt stress. This potentially provides a suitable screening methodology for the identification of as yet unknown components in the early stages of the salt signalling pathway. An attempt is made to develop a screening assay suitable for performing QTL analysis on an available Col-0  $\times$  C24 mapping population, based on measuring changes in transcript levels of salt responsive genes.



# Declaration

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution to Sandra Manuela Schmöckel and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, *via* the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, the Australasian Digital Theses Program (ADTP) and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

.....  
Sandra M. Schmöckel

.....  
Date





## Acknowledgements

Firstly I would like to thank my supervisors Dr. Stuart Roy, Dr. Bettina Berger and Prof. Mark Tester who were a fantastic combination of supervisors. Thank you for all your support, guidance, encouragement, inspiration and care, in particular during challenging times. I would also like to thank my external advisor Dr. Andrew Jacobs and my mentor Dr. Darren Plett for their guidance.

I gratefully acknowledge the financial support I have received during my candidature from The Australian Centre for Plant Functional Genomics (ACPFPG). In particular I would like to thank Prof. Peter Langridge and Dr. Monica Ogierman for all their support and effort to facilitate my scholarship. I am very grateful for all the financial support I was provided to fund attendance at interstate and overseas conferences, laboratory visits and facilitating my research in Dr. Alex Webb's laboratory. For financial travel support I would like to thank the ACPFG, the University of Adelaide, the Waite Research Institute, the Australian Federation of University Women, the Australian Society of Plant Scientists and the Grain Research and Development Corporation.

I would like to thank Dr. Alex Webb from The University of Cambridge for welcoming me in his laboratory and allowing me to undertake part of my research project there. I would also like to thank all members of the Webb and Davies lab for their technical help and entertainment during my time in Cambridge. Cambridge has indeed been a great experience. From the University of Adelaide I would like to thank the Tyerman lab and Gilliham lab for their help with electrophysiology. I also would like to thank Dr. Neil Shirley and Mrs. Yuan Li who performed the qRT-PCR analysis, Ms. Margaret Pallotta and Ms. Alison Hay for their help with Northern Blot analysis. And in particular I would like to thank Dr. Joanna Sundstrom, for letting me take over some of her babies (plants).

A great thanks goes to all present and past members of the ACPFG Salt Focus Group and the whole ACPFG, especially the Admin team, for all their support and for being such an amazing group. In particular I would like to thank Melissa Pickering, Monique Shearer and Gordon Wellman for a daily dose of laughs and for teaching me 'Aussie'. Thank you Rhiannon, Jess, Li, Nawar, Damien, Aris, Yuri and, not to forget, Salty Croc for general support and entertainment, thank you all!

I would also like to thank my 'old' friends in Germany and my 'new' friends here in Australia, in particular Ms. Amanda Rad and Corinne and James Preuss, for their support and caring.

Allergrößter Dank gilt natürlich meiner Familie, vor allem meiner Mutter und meinem Vater, die mich in Allem unterstützt haben, auch wenn das bedeutete mich an das andere Ende der Welt gehen zu lassen. Diese Arbeit ist ihnen und meiner Großmutter (Katzenoma) gewidmet.