# Quantifying Breast Cancer Over-diagnosis in an Organised Mammography Screening Program

### KERRI BECKMANN

BSc (Hons) MPH

September 2014

Discipline of Public Health
School of Population Health
Faculty of Health Sciences
University of Adelaide
Australia

A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

## **Contents**

List of Tables	·	4
List of Figure	S	6
Thesis Abstra	act	7
Thesis Declar	ration	9
Publications/	manuscripts contributing to this thesis	10
Presentation	s arising from this thesis	11
Acknowledge	ements	13
Abbreviation	IS	15
Chapter 1:	Introduction	17
Chapter 2:	The influence of mammographic screening on breast cancer incide	ence
	trends in South Australia	31
Chapter 3:	Impact of hormone replacement therapy use on mammographic	
	screening outcomes	53
Chapter 4:	Do breast cancer risk factors differ among those who do and do no	ot
	undertake mammography screening?	73
Chapter 5:	Measuring over-diagnosis due to mammography screening: A revi	ew of
	study designs and findings	93
Chapter 6:	A novel case-control design to estimate the extent of over-diagno	sis of
	breast cancer due to organised population-based mammography	
	screening	141
Chapter 7:	Estimates of over-diagnosis of breast cancer due to population-ba	sed
	mammography screening in South Australia after adjustment for I	ead
	time effects	167
Chapter 8:	Conclusion	193
References		209
Appendix	South Australian Health Omnibus Survey Questions (2012)	237

# **List of Tables**

Table 2.1	Trend change points and annual percent change (APC) in breast cancer	
	incidence, derived from Joinpoint analysis (South Australian females 1977-	
	2009)	41
Table 3.1	Characteristics and outcomes for first, subsequent and all screening rounds	62
Table 3.2	Risk of breast cancer (IBC + DCIS) detected at screening or diagnosed within	
	24 months of screening among BreastScreen SA participants 1998-2009 <sup>a</sup>	63
Table 3.3	Risk of various screening outcomes at first and subsequent screening	
	episodes for current compared with past or never HRT use, among	
	BreastScreen SA participants 1998-2009	65
Table 3.4	Risk of various assessment outcomes for current compared with past or	
	never HRT use, among BreastScreen SA participants (all screening episodes)	
	1998-2009 <sup>a</sup>	66
Table 4.1	Demographic and risk factor profile of participants in the 2012 South	
	Australian Health Omnibus Survey (females 40-84 years)	81
Table 4.2	BreastScreen participation according to demographic characteristics and	
	breast cancer risk factors (South Australian women aged 40-84 years)	84
Table 4.3	Participation in private screening (outside of BreastScreen) according to	
	demographic characteristics and breast cancer risk factors (SA women 40-	
	84 years)	86
Table 4.4	Comparison of summary measures of breast cancer risk by participation in	
	BreastScreen or other private screening service	88
Table 5.1	Estimates of over-diagnosis based on follow-up of mammography screening	
	trials (IBC+DCIS)	115
Table 5.2	Micro-simulation, multistate and lead time modelling studies	116
Table 5.3	Observational studies using aggregate data in dynamic populations to	
	measure over-diagnosis	128
Table 5.4	Observational studies using geographical comparison methods to measure	
	over-diagnosis	129
Table 5.5	Observational studies using cohort design and/or individual level data to	
	measure over-diagnosis	134
Table 5.6	Summary of previous studies measuring over-diagnosis	139
Table 6.1	Characteristics of eligible cases and controls	154

Table 6.2	Estimates of over-diagnosis due to organised mammography screening, for	
	all breast cancer and invasive breast cancer only, South Australia 2006-	
	2010	156
Table 6.3	Sensitivity analyses for estimates of over-diagnosis due to organised	
	mammography screening	158
Table 7.1	Estimates of lead time and screening sensitivity derived from person years	
	of screening, number of interval cancers and background (projected)	
	incidence rates in the absence of screening South Australia 1990-2009	176
Table 7.2	Proportion of cancers expected to have diagnosis advanced by screening for	
	each subsequent year after mammography screening, based on lead time	
	estimates <u>and</u> sensitivity of screening ( <u>for IBC</u> )	177
Table 7.3	Observed, lead time adjusted and percent excess breast cancer incidence	
	for South Australia (2005-2009)	180
Table 7.4	Sensitivity analyses for estimates of excess cumulative incidence (over-	
	diagnosis), in SA women aged 40-84yrs	181
Table 7.5	Impact of current HRT use on screen-detection and interval cancer rates	
	(1992-2009)	189
Table 7.6	Number of interval cancers (SA 1992-2009), adjusting for HRT effects	189

# **List of Figures**

Figure 2.1	Observed and projected breast cancer incidence rates (invasive plus ductal
	carcinoma in-situ) for specified age groups (SA females 1977-2009)39
Figure 2.2	Graphical presentation of results from Joinpoint analysis of female breast
	cancer incidence trends by age group (SA females, 1977-2009)40
Figure 2.3	Trends in participation in biennial mammography screening at BreastScreen
	SA, by age group43
Figure 2.4	Trends in breast cancer risk factors for South Australian women, by age
	group45
Figure 2.5	Trends in fertility patterns for Australian and South Australian women46
Figure 3.1	Age stratified incidence rate ratios and 95% confidence intervals for
	screening outcomes among current compared with past or never HRT use
	at screening, among BreastScreen SA participants (all screening episodes)
	1998-200967
Figure 5.1	Impact of lead time effects on age-specific breast cancer incidence in a
	hypothetical cohort of 10,000 women undergoing biennial mammography
	screening over the first 3 screening rounds, assuming 40 months lead time,
	100% participation and 100% screening sensitivity102
Figure 6.1	Design of the case-control study to estimate over-diagnosis through
	population-based mammography screening in South Australia
Figure 6.2	Odds ratios <sup>a</sup> for the most recent screening mammogram at BreastScreen SA
	within discrete time periods since the last screening episode among cases
	(with breast cancer) compared with controls (without breast cancer), all
	ages 45-85yrs
Figure 7.1	Trends in observed, lead time adjusted and projected (background) invasive
	breast cancer incidence rates for South Australian women aged 40-84yrs,
	1977-2009

#### **Thesis Abstract**

Mammography screening is effective in reducing breast cancer (BC) mortality; however there are widespread concerns that it may also lead to over-diagnosis, i.e. the detection of BC that would not have emerged clinically in a woman's lifetime had she not participated in screening. The extent of over-diagnosis due to mammography is contested, with published estimates varying from 0% to 54%. The principal aim of this research is to quantify the level of over-diagnosis of BC associated with population-based mammography screening in South Australia (SA).

The following questions are addressed: (1) Have BC incidence rates increased following the introduction of screening in SA and is the increase greater than expected based on projections of pre-screening trends?, (2) Has the prevalence of key breast cancer risk factors also increased?, (3) To what extent does hormone replacement therapy (HRT) use affect breast cancer risk and screening outcomes?, (4) Are there any differences in the underlying risk of BC among screening participants and non-participants?, and the central question (5) What is the level of over-diagnosis due to organised mammography screening in SA? Questions 2-4 relate to the potential for estimates of over-diagnosis to be confounded by risk factor differences/temporal changes. A review of previous studies of over-diagnosis due to mammography screening is included, which highlights methodological complexities relating to measurement of over-diagnosis and offers some explanations for why published estimates vary to such a great extent.

The first two questions were answered through descriptive analyses of BC incidence trends in SA from 1977-2009, as well as trends in the prevalence several key breast cancer risk factors collected via the SA Health Omnibus Surveys during 1991-2009 (alcohol use, body weight, HRT use) and Australian Bureau of Statistics (fertility rates and age at first birth). The effect of HRT on various screening outcomes (e.g. screen-detection rates, interval cancer rates, recall to assessment) was examined though multivariable Poisson regression modelling using individual person level data from BreastScreen SA, which included self-reported HRT use at the time of each screening episode. Differences in underlying risk of BC between screening participants and non-

participants were investigated using 2012 South Australian Health Omnibus Survey data.

Two different methods were used to quantify over-diagnosis. Method 1 used a case-control design to compare screening histories for women with and without BC. Odds ratios (OR) were determined across different time intervals after screening to allow for lead time effects and applied to background reference rates based on pre-screening incidence trends. Over-diagnosis estimates were obtained by comparing cumulative incidence with and without screening. Method 2 used a lead time modelling approach in which estimates of lead time duration and screening sensitivity, and screening participation data were used to adjust the background incidence rates (without screening). This was achieved by iteratively adding the number of cancers expected to be brought forward by screening each year, then subtracting this number from the pool of cancers in future years. Over-diagnosis was calculated by comparing the lead time adjusted cumulative incidence with the observed cumulative incidence.

Studies presented in this thesis demonstrate that: (1) screening led to an increase in breast cancer incidence that was sustained beyond what was expected, based on projection of pre-screening incidence, however age-specific patterns suggest changing prevalence of HRT use have also impacted on incidence trends, (2) the prevalence of key risk factors also increased over this period, potentially contributing to an increase in background incidence rates, (3) HRT use among South Australian women is causally associated with increased risk of breast cancer which complicates estimation of overdiagnosis due to the marked changes in prevalence of HRT use, (4) women who participated in screening had a higher prevalence of breast cancer risk factors (most notably HRT use), indicating the potential for estimates to be confounded by underlying risk differences, (5) mammography screening is likely to result in a modest level of over-diagnosis (8% for IBC and 12-14% for all BC among women eligible to participate in screening). Estimates were lower after adjustment for confounding. These results are comparable with findings from long-term follow-up of screening trials and with several recent cohort studies of European screening programs, but are lower than many other estimates.

**Thesis Declaration** 

This work contains no material that has been accepted for an award of any degree or

diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and to the best of my belief,

contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where

due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent for this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library being

made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright

Act 1968.

The author acknowledges that the copyright of the published works contained within

this thesis (as listed on the next page) resides with the copyright holders of those

works.

I also give permission for a digital version of this thesis to be made available on the

web, via the University's digital research repository, the library catalogue and also

through web engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict

access for a period of time.

Signed .....

Kerri Beckmann (Candidate)

Date .....

9

# Publications/manuscripts contributing to this thesis

**Beckmann KR**, Farshid G, Roder DM, Hiller JE and Lynch JW. Impact of hormone replacement therapy use on mammographic screening outcomes. *Cancer Causes Control*. 2013; 24: 1417-26.

**Beckmann KR**, Roder DM, Hiller JE, Farshid G, Lynch JW. Do breast cancer risk factors differ among those who do and do not undertake mammography screening? *Journal of Medical Screening* 2013; 20:208-19.

**Beckmann KR**, Roder DM, Hiller JE, Farshid G, Lynch JW. The influence of mammographic screening on breast cancer incidence trends in South Australia. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention* 2014; 15:3105-12.

**Beckmann KR**, Lynch JW, Hiller JE, Farshid G, Houssami N, Duffy Sw, Roder DM. A novel case-control design to estimate the extent of over-diagnosis of breast cancer due to organised mammography screening. *International Journal of Cancer* 2014. Aug 5. doi: 10.1002/ijc.29124. [Epub ahead of print]

**Beckmann K**, Duffy SW, Lynch JW, Hiller JE, Farshid G, Roder DM. Estimates of over-diagnosis of breast cancer due to population-based mammography screening in South Australia after adjustment for lead time effects. [Submitted to *Journal of Medical Screening*]

## Presentations arising from this thesis

**Beckmann KR.** Over-diagnosis of breast cancer. Higher Degree Research Student Seminar Series, School of Population Health, Adelaide, Australia, August 2011. (Oral presentation)

**Beckmann KR**, Farshid G, Roder DM, Hiller JE, Lynch JW. Impact of hormone replacement therapy use on mammographic screening outcomes. 2012 Faculty of Health Sciences Postgraduate Conference. University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia, August 2012. (Poster presentation)

**Beckmann KR**, Farshid G, Roder DM, Hiller JE, Lynch JW Impact of hormone replacement therapy use on mammographic screening outcomes. Sydney International Breast Cancer Congress 2012, Sydney, Australia, October 2012. (E-poster)

**Beckmann KR**, Roder DM, Hiller JE, Lynch JW. Over-diagnosis of breast cancer due to organised mammography screening. Centre for Cancer Prevention, Wolfson Institute, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK, October 2013. (Invited oral presentation)

**Beckmann KR**. Over-diagnosis of breast cancer through mammography screening: Fact or Fiction? Higher Degree Research Student Seminar Series, School of Population Health, Adelaide, Australia, October 2013. (Oral presentation)

**Beckmann KR**, Hiller JE, Lynch JW, Houssami N, Farshid G, Roder DM. Over-diagnosis due to mammography screening programs: Estimates from South Australia using two different methods. Breast Screen Australia Conference, Melbourne, October 2014 (Oral presentation)

**Beckmann KR**. Does mammography screening lead to over-diagnosis of breast cancer? Research Seminar Series, School of Population Health, Adelaide, Australia, October 2014. (Oral presentation)

**Beckmann KR**, Hiller JE, Lynch JW, Farshid G, Houssami N, Roder DM. Over-diagnosis due to mammography screening programs: Evidence from South Australia World Cancer Congress, Melbourne, December 2014 (E-poster presentation)

## **Acknowledgements**

I would like to sincerely thank all who have helped me in undertaking this thesis, and supported me throughout this journey.

A very special thank you goes to my supervisors, David Roder, Janet Hiller and John Lynch. John is an inspirational leader and mentor who instils in those around him the passion to continually learn and grow, and I have gained more than I imagined from being part of his team. I am grateful for the support and advice that everyone in his group has generously offered me. Janet continually provided invaluable support and encouragement throughout my candidature. I always felt I could rely on her advice to help when I felt stuck and keep me focussed and on track. I am especially thankful to David for giving me the opportunity to tackle such an important topic and for believing in my capacity to undertake this challenging research successfully. It has been invaluable to have had a supervisor that was so passionate and interested in the research question, with whom I could discuss any and all issues, from detailed study design through to broader policy implications.

Our research team received financial supported from the National Breast Cancer Foundation, through their Novel Concept Award, and we are grateful for their decision to support research into this important question.

It would not have been possible to have undertaken this work had it not been for the confidence and trust placed in me and my supervisory team by the Manager of BreastScreen SA, Lou Williams. It was extremely courageous for BreastScreen to be open to us addressing the question of over-diagnosis and to facilitate the research process, given the potential impact the findings may have on the screening program. I also am extremely grateful to Gelareh Farshid, the Clinical Director of BreastScreen SA, for taking great interest in my thesis and providing such valuable feedback, particularly in relation to the interpretation and clinical implications of the research findings.

A big thank you goes to Gillian Rogers for helping me to understand the ins and outs of the Breast Screen SA's record systems and for ensuring we received the highest quality data from the screening service. Special mention must also go to Minh Nguyen, from the Epidemiology Branch of SA Health, for the scores of hours she put into undertaking the enormous task of record linkage (not once but twice) which was required to compile the datasets needed for studies described in this thesis. I would also like to thank Tommy Tucker from the Epidemiology Branch who spent many hours ensuring he understood the exact nature of our data needs along with the numerous and complex linkage processes required.

I am most appreciative of the generosity of staff at the Centre for Cancer Prevention at the Wolfson Institute, Queen Mary University of London, who hosted me for four weeks during my final year. Learning from some of the most eminent researchers in the field such as Stephen Duffy and Sue Moss was both a privilege and a pleasure.

Many thanks go to my fellow PhD buddies for their support, encouragement and camaraderie along the way. Their willingness to listen, make suggestions and offer kind words of encouragement when things were not going according to plan have helped me push through those occasional periods of self-doubt and frustration. I have developed some lovely friendships during this period which I will cherish for many years to come.

Lastly, I could not have achieved this goal without the love and support of my family and special friends, who all believed in my ability to successfully complete my PhD. I thank them dearly.

#### **ABBREVIATIONS**

ABS: Australian Bureau of Statistics

APC: Annual percent change

ARIA: Accessibility and Remoteness Index for Australia

ASR: Age-standardised rate

BC: Breast cancer
BMI: Body mass index
BSSA: BreastScreen SA
CI: Confidence interval

CISNET: Cancer Intervention and Surveillance Modelling Network

DCIS: Ductal carcinoma in-situ

ER: Electoral roll

ERP: Estimated residential population

FNA: Fine needle aspiration GP: General Practitioner

HRT: Hormone replacement therapy

IARC: International Agency for Research on Cancer

IBC: Invasive breast cancer
IDC: Invasive ductal cancer
IRR: Incidence rate ratio

IRSAD: Index of Socioeconomic Advantage and Disadvantage

MET: Metabolic equivalent time

MI: Multiply imputed
MST: Mean sojourn time
NSW: New South Wales
OD: Over-diagnosis
OR: Odds ratio

PCNB: Percutaneous needle biopsy
PPV: Positive predictive value
RCT: Randomised controlled trial

RR: Relative risk
SA: South Australia

SACR: South Australian Cancer Registry

SEP: Socioeconomic position

UK: United Kingdom

US: United States of America
WHI: Women's Health Initiative