







Mineralogical and Petrogenetic Study of Gold Ore from the Boddington Gold Deposit, W.A.

Norton Kalleske

A1148036

Supervisor Nigel J. Cook

Co-supervisor Cristiana L. Ciobanu

Centre for Tectonics, Resources and Exploration
Department of Geology and Geophysics
School of Earth and Environmental sciences
University of Adelaide, South Australia
norton.kalleske@alumni.adelaide.edu.au

26 October, 2010

Table of contents

Abstract	4
1. Introduction	5
2. Geological setting	6
2.1 Regional geology	6
2.2 Deposit description	7
2.2.1 Mineralisation and alteration patterns	7
3. Approach, sample suite and experimental/analytical methods	8
3.1 Approach	8
3.2 Sample suite	8
3.3 Analytical methods	9
3.3.1 Assay	9
3.3.2 Optical microscopy	9
3.3.3 Scanning electron microscopy	9
3.3.4 Electron microprobe analysis	9
3.3.5 LA-ICPMS	9
4. Results	10
4.1 Bulk sample geochemistry	10
4.2 Mineralogy and petrography	11
4.3 Mineral chemistry Microprobe analysis	12
4.3.1 Main sulphides	12
4.3.2 Nickel and cobalt bearing phases	12
4.3.3 Gold/electrum	13
4.3.4 Tellurides/selenides.	13
4.4 Study of copper concentrates	15
4.5 LA-ICPMS trace element data	
4.5.1 Pyrite and arsenopyrite	15
4.5.2 Molybdenite	
5. Discussion	
5.1 Tetradymite-group minerals as indicators of physiochemical conditions of formation	
5.2 Bismuth-gold assemblages and the role of bismuth as a gold scavenger	
5.3 Role of shearing and actinolite-dominant lithologies	
5.4 Pyrite and arsenopyrite as gold carriers	
5.5 Ni -Co species + cubanite exsolution	
5.6 Molybdenite and constraints on ore genesis	
5.7 Granite as a metal source	
5.8 Processing implications	
6. Conclusions	
7. Recommendations	
Acknowledgements	
References	28

FIGURES	
Figure 1	31
Figure 2	
Figure 3	
Figure 4	
Figure 5	
Figure 6	
S .	
Figure 7	
Figure 8	
Figure 9	
Figure 10	
Figure 11	41
Figure 12	42
Figure 13	43
Figure 14	44
Figure 15	45
Figure 16	46
Figure 17	47
Figure 18	48
Figure 19	49
Figure 20	
Figure 21	
Figure 22	
Figure 23.	
Figure 24	
TABLES	
Table 1	54
Table 2	
Table 3a.	
Table 3c.	
Table 4	
Table 5	
Table 6	
Table 7	
Table 8	
Table 9	
Table 10	
	······································
APPENDIX	
Appendix 1a	
Appendix 1b	
Appendix 2	
Appendix 4	
Appendix 4	
Appendix 6	71

ABSTRACT

The Boddington gold mine, situated in the Saddleback greenstone belt, Yilgarn Craton, W.A., is a geologically complex and highly varied deposit. A variety of genetic models have been invoked in the past to explain the genesis of the deposit and features observed, including porphyry- and orogenic- models, as well as more recently, an intrusion-related gold system. Mineralisation occurs as veins, veinlets, shears, lenses and disseminations with host rocks of diorite, andesite and dacites. Veins and alteration are pervasive and consist of multiple stages of quartz-sericite, quartz-biotite, quartz-albite and actinolite alteration. Detailed ore mineralogical, petrographic and mineral-chemical study of representative ore samples from five of the eight domains within the deposit have given insights into the distribution of precious metals and also provided evidence for the formation of the Boddington deposit and provide evidence for its genetic evolution.

Mineralisation is characterised by a reduced assemblage, with chalcopyrite and pyrrhotite as the dominant sulphides. Pyrite (often replacing pyrrhotite), sphalerite, cubanite, cobaltite, arsenopyrite and pentlandite are minor sulphides. Molybdenite is also relatively abundant and occurs as a major mineral in localised areas throughout the deposit. The study has shown that the deposit also contains an extremely diverse array of trace minerals which can provide supporting evidence for aspects of ore genesis. Native gold and electrum are the main gold minerals; maldonite (Au₂Bi) is a minor component. LA-ICPMS analysis of pyrite and arsenopyrite revealed that these minerals are not significant Au-carriers at Boddington. In addition to maldonite, the deposit contains a suite of Bi-minerals, including native bismuth and a suite of Bi, Bi-Ag, Ag- and Pb-tellurides and selenides. These minerals are identified both in ore samples and in Cu-concentrates.

There is a strong and systematic Bi-Au signature across the deposit, reflected within individual mineral associations and in geochemical data. Aside from maldonite, melt-like droplets of Bi±Au±Te are recognised, suggesting that Au-scavenging by Bi-melts contributed to the observed gold distribution through (repeated) gold upgrading and remobilisation. Microprobe analysis of Bi-chalcogenides of the tetradymite group (Bi_xX_y, where X=Te,Se,S) shows compositions from across the full range of the series, demonstrating the multiphase character of the Boddington mineralisation and, specifically, (often incomplete) overprinting by more oxidising fluids. This dataset also includes several previously unreported and non-stoichiometric compositions of tetradymite group phases; these may represent unnamed phases, but may also be disordered at the lattice-scale. There is also a wide variety of Ni-bearing minerals present, including parkerite, Ni₃(Bi,Pb)₂S₂, lending weight to that mafic/ultramafic source rocks were involved in primary ore genesis. Nickel-bearing minerals are prominent components of ore remobilisates.

LA-ICPMS of molybdenite from the Boddington deposit reveal that this mineral is highly enriched in Au and Re, as well as a wide array of other elements (Bi, Te etc). High Re contents (up to 2,449 ppm) are indicative of a porphyry precursor. Re contents within molybdenite also display inhomogeneity at both the deposit and grain scales. Elevated Au (Bi,Te) contents in molybdenite are interpreted as sub-microscopic inclusions of discrete minerals within fractures and cleavage planes in the molybdenite.

Boddington is clearly not the product of a single ore-forming event but is rather a multiphase system recording successive overprinting and replacement of minerals, often very localised, and displaying strong lithological control. Boddington lacks many of the features consistent with a reduced intrusion-related gold system (RIRG), however some features observed can be explained by this model. It is believed, however, that fluids of granitic origin were probably an agent for remobilisation of existing mineralisation.