The Wave Function of the Nucleon and its Excited States



Dale S. Roberts School of Chemistry and Physics The University of Adelaide

A thesis submitted for the degree of *Doctor of Philosophy* May 2014

To Mark

Acknowledgements

I sit here staring at a blank page, struggling to find the words to condense the incredible amount of support I've received from friends and family over the last 5 years into a reasonable amount of space. To say 'I couldn't have done it without you all' is an understatement, I wouldn't have thought getting this far was even possible.

Thanks go to my parents for encouraging me into science, making education a priority from very early on, and instilling a drive to succeed, and thanks to Louise for being a generally awesome little sister. Derek and Waseem, you've been the best, most patient supervisors I could have asked for, your guidance, as well as everything you've taught me has been invaluable.

I had a wonderful group of friends supporting me throughout the last few years. Cael, thanks for our many hours of discussions about anything and everything, I'm entirely convinced that you and I could solve all the world's problems over a couple of beers. Lewis, I tried very hard to keep up with you when we discussed your work, but most of the time, I'll admit, it was going over my head, but when it wasn't, I learned a lot. Andrew, your off-beat yet razor-sharp sense of humour kept me guessing, and provided some useful tips on new ways to interact with my couch. Ben, I wish more of your programming tips sunk in, they'd come in handy nowadays. And Thom, thanks for many productive Lattice QCD discussions and for not murdering me. Thanks also to everyone else for being pretty great people to work around.

Thanks to everyone at the NCI National Facility, firstly for providing the compute systems that I could not have done this work without, and then hiring me before I was even finished. Thanks to Leaf, Grant, Ben and Andrey for constantly pestering me to get my thesis done and to Margaret and Roger for giving me the incentive and time during business hours to actually finish it off. Special thanks to Muhammad for reminding me whenever possible, with my unofficial title of 'Not-Dr.' Roberts.

And last but by no means least, Samantha, your unshakable faith that I was able to do this, and your unending support made this all possible. Without you I wouldn't have made it this far, I love you more than any words I know can express it.

So, Belgian at 5, anyone?

Abstract

In this thesis, we detail the techniques required to perform general lattice QCD calculations. Specifically, we introduce the method by which the continuum theory of quarks and gluons, Quantum Chromodynamics is discretised in order to be solved numerically. We describe the distinct methods by which the discrete actions for the gauge and fermion fields given by naively applying a finite-difference approximation to the continuum theory can be improved, going some way to remove the systematic errors of discretisation. The background field method for placing electromagnetic fields onto a discrete lattice is also introduced.

Techniques required for the calculation of wave functions are then introduced, beginning with the two-point function, which is fundamental in extracting properties of hadrons from the lattice. The variational method, which allows access to the excited states of particles is then introduced. The wave function is then constructed from the two-point function, which forms the basis of the most significant results of this thesis. We also introduce gauge fixing, made necessary by the gauge dependent nature of wave function operators.

The smeared operators used in the construction of these two-point functions are evaluated, by way of two measures designed to measure the coupling strength of these operators to states with a variety of momenta. Of particular interest is the extent to which strong overlap can be obtained with individual high-momentum states. This is vital to exploring hadronic structure at high momentum transfers on the lattice and addressing interesting phenomena observed experimentally. We consider a novel idea of altering the shape of the smeared operator to match the Lorentz contraction of the probability distribution of the high-momentum state, and show a reduction in the relative error of the two-point function by employing this technique. Our most important finding is that the overlap of the states becomes very sharp in the smearing parameters at high momenta and fine tuning is required to ensure strong overlap with these states.

Making use of the background field methods and the wave functions constructed from the two-point functions, we calculate the probability distributions of quarks in the ground state of the proton, and how they are affected in the presence of a constant background magnetic field. We focus on wave functions in the Landau and Coulomb gauges using the quenched approximation of QCD. We observe the formation of a scalar u - d diquark clustering. The overall distortion of the quark probability distribution under a very large magnetic field, as demanded by the quantisation conditions on the field, is quite small. The effect is to elongate the distributions along the external field axis while localizing the remainder of the distribution. Using optimised smearing parameters calculated from the methods detailed in this thesis, we construct wave functions of high-momentum states, and are able to qualitatively observe high momentum states. We find that, at very high momenta, artefacts are present caused by the poor overlap of these states to the interpolating operators. Careful tuning of the smearing parameters is shown to reduce these artefacts, reinforcing results presented earlier in the thesis.

The culmination of the techniques introduced and the results obtained in this thesis is the application of the eigenvectors from a variational analysis to successfully extract the wave functions of even-parity excited states of the nucleon, including the Roper, in full QCD. We explore the first four states in the spectrum excited by the standard nucleon interpolating field. We find that the states exhibit a structure qualitatively consistent with a constituent quark model, where the ground, first-, second- and third-excited states have 0, 1, 2, and 3 nodes in the radial wave function of the *d*-quark about two *u* quarks at the origin. Moreover the radial amplitude of the probability distribution is similar to that predicted by constituent quark models. We present a detailed examination of the quark-mass dependence of the probability distributions for these states, searching for a nontrivial role for the multi-particle components mixed in the finite-volume QCD eigenstates. Finally we examine the dependence of the d-quark probability distribution on the positions of the two u quarks. The results are fascinating, with the underlying S-wave orbitals governing the distributions even at rather large u-quark separations.

Statement of Originality

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution to Dale Roberts and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968. I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Contents

| Contents | | | | |
|----------|---------------|------------------------------------|----|--|
| Li | st of | Figures | xi | |
| 1 | Intr | roduction | 1 | |
| 2 | Lat | tice QCD | 5 | |
| | 2.1 | Gauge Fields | 10 | |
| | 2.2 | Fermion Fields | 16 | |
| | 2.3 | Smearing Gauge Fields | 23 | |
| | 2.4 | Background Field Method | 26 | |
| 3 | Wa | ve Functions | 29 | |
| | 3.1 | Two Point Functions | 29 | |
| | 3.2 | Variational Method | 33 | |
| | 3.3 | Wave Function Operators | 35 | |
| | 3.4 | Gauge Fixing | 37 | |
| 4 | \mathbf{Sm} | earing Optimisation | 41 | |
| | 4.1 | Techniques | 42 | |
| | 4.2 | Measures | 43 | |
| | 4.3 | Lattice Details | 44 | |
| | 4.4 | Results | 45 | |
| | | 4.4.1 Isotropic Smearing | 45 | |
| | | 4.4.2 Anisotropic Smearing Results | 52 | |
| | 4.5 | Summary | 53 | |

CONTENTS

| 5 | Que | enched QCD Wave Functions | 59 |
|--------------|------|--|-----------|
| | 5.1 | Simulation Details | 60 |
| | 5.2 | Zero-Field Results | 61 |
| | 5.3 | Background Fields on the Lattice | 67 |
| | 5.4 | Background Magnetic Field Results | 68 |
| | 5.5 | Relativistic Wave Functions | 72 |
| | 5.6 | Summary | 80 |
| 6 | Full | QCD Wave Function | 83 |
| | 6.1 | Lattice Techniques | 84 |
| | 6.2 | Simulation Results | 86 |
| | | 6.2.1 Lattice Parameters | 86 |
| | | 6.2.2 Wave Functions and Constituent Quark Model Predictions | 87 |
| | | 6.2.3 $$ Quark Mass Dependence of the Probability Distributions $$. | 91 |
| | 6.3 | Quark Separation | 97 |
| 7 | Con | clusion | 105 |
| \mathbf{A} | Pub | lications by the author | 109 |
| References | | | |

List of Figures

| 2.1 | The gauge link between two lattice sites. | 9 |
|-----|---|----|
| 2.2 | The plaquette defined about its centre \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots | 11 |
| 2.3 | $R_{\mu\nu}$ from Eq. (2.21) (left) and $R_{\nu\mu}$ From Eq. (2.22) (right) used to | |
| | construct the improved actions. \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots | 13 |
| 2.4 | The remaining 6-link objects considered by Luscher and Weisz $\ .$. | 14 |
| 2.5 | The links that compose the clover operator | 21 |
| 2.6 | The links used to construct the smeared gauge fields | 24 |
| 4.1 | The measure, M_1 , from Eq. (4.7) at $p_x = 0$ in Eq. (4.1). Deviation | |
| | from the ideal two-point function increases by a factor of 10 less $$ | |
| | than 30 sweeps from the ideal smearing level, as shown in the inset | |
| | graph | 45 |
| 4.2 | M_1 from Eq. (4.7) at $p_x = 1$ (left) and $p_x = 3$ (right) in Eq. (4.1). | |
| | There is little difference between the measure at $p_x = 0$ and $p_x = 1$, | |
| | due to the fact that the probability distributions between the two | |
| | momentum states are nearly identical. At $p_x = 3$, the rms radius | |
| | of the optimal smearing level is smaller by a factor of 0.85 relative | |
| | to the $p_x = 0$ state, whereas the relativistic γ factor provides a | |
| | Lorentz contraction factor of $\gamma^{-1} = 0.72$ | 46 |
| 4.3 | M_1 from Eq. (4.7) at $p_x = 5$ (left) and $p_x = 7$ (right) in Eq. (4.1). | |
| | The value of the measure at the optimum number of smearing | |
| | sweeps for this momentum state is approximately equal to that of | |
| | the $p_x = 3$ state, indicating that good ground state isolation is | |
| | possible even at higher momenta. At $p_x = 7$, the deviation from | |
| | the ideal two-point function has increased by a factor of 10 only 5 $$ | |
| | sweeps from the optimal smearing level, as shown in the inset graph. | 47 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| 4.4 | Ground state proportion from the three exponential fit, <i>i.e.</i> M_2 from Eq. (4.10) where $k = 3$ and $i = 1$ at $p_x = 0$ in Eq. (4.1). There is insufficient information on the second excited state close to the optimal amount of smearing, thus requiring use of the two exponential fit, $k = 2$, to determine the optimal amount of smearing with M_2 . | 48 |
|-----|--|----|
| 4.5 | Ground state proportion for the two exponential fit, M_2 with $k = 2$ and $i = 1$ in Eq. (4.10) at $p_x = 0$ in Eq. (4.1). Contamination due to excited states increases rapidly away from the optimal smearing level. There is good agreement between the two exponential fit here and the three exponential fit in Fig. 4.4 away from the optimum smearing levels | 50 |
| 4.6 | Ground state proportion according to M_2 at $p_x = 3$ in Eq. (4.1). As momentum increases, the contamination due to excited states increases more rapidly away from the ideal smearing level | 51 |
| 4.7 | Ground state proportion according to M_2 at $p_x = 5$ (left) and $p_x = 7$ (right) in Eq. (4.1) Even at these very high momentum transfers, good overlap with the ground state is achieved for an optimised sink. Far from the optimal number of smearing sweeps at $p_x = 7$, it is clear that the measure is no longer applicable, as there would be little, if any highly Lorentz contracted ground state present. | 51 |
| 4.8 | The first measure, M_1 , from Eq. (4.7) (left) and the Ground State Proportion (right) with anisotropic smearing at $p_x = 1$ from Eq. (4.1). Introducing anisotropy to the smearing does not improve the iso- lation of this state. However, the Lorentz contraction is small so little improvement would be expected. | 54 |
| 4.9 | The first measure, M_1 , from Eq. (4.7) (left) and the Ground State Proportion (right) with anisotropic smearing at $p_x = 3$ from Eq. (4.1). No improvement is seen in the isolation of the ground state, in spite of the relativistic γ factor of 1.39 giving a length contraction factor of 0.72 in the x direction. | 55 |

- 4.10 The first measure, M_1 , from Eq. (4.7) (left) and the Ground State Proportion (right) with anisotropic smearing at $p_x = 5$ from Eq. (4.1). The structure observed in the plots of the $p_x = 3$ state is retained, with more sweeps of smearing required as anisotropy is increased. 55

- 5.1 The Landau gauge probability distribution for the d quark of the proton from Eqs. (3.25) and (5.1), in the plane of the u quarks separated by zero lattice units (left), and by seven lattice units (right). The d quark is seen to prefer to reside near the u quark which is placed in the scalar pair in the χ_1 interpolating field of Eq. (3.24).

LIST OF FIGURES

- 5.2 The probability distribution for the d quark of the proton in the plane of the u quarks separated by 7 lattice units, in the Landau gauge (left), and the Coulomb gauge (right). Two distinct peaks have formed over the location of the u quarks in the Landau gauge probability distribution, whereas a single, broad peak is visible over the centre of mass of the system in the Coulomb gauge. Note: as discussed following Eq. (3.27) the scale is such that the largest value of all of the fixed quark separations will sit at the top of the grid, with all other points of the probability distribution scaled accordingly.
- 5.3 The probability distribution of the d quark in the proton with the u quarks 7 lattice units apart along the x axis at x = 4 and 11. To clearly display the double peak structure, uncertainties are reported relative to the distribution at x = 6.
- 5.4 The probability distribution for the scalar u quark of the proton in the plane of the u and d quarks separated by seven lattice units, in the Landau gauge (left), and the Coulomb gauge (right). In both gauges, the u quark is seen to prefer to be nearer the d quark, which is to the left of the centre of each lattice. However, in the Coulomb gauge, the scalar u quark is closer to the centre of the lattice than in the Landau gauge probability distribution. The scale is as described in Fig. 5.2.
- 5.5 The probability distribution for the vector u quark of the proton in the plane of the u and d quarks separated by seven lattice units, in the Landau gauge (left), and the Coulomb gauge (right). The probability distribution presents similarly to the d quark probability distribution in that strong clustering is seen in the Landau gauge. The Coulomb gauge results here reveal a small amount of preferred clustering with the d quark, which is to the left of the centre of each lattice. Also of note is that these probability distributions show less structure than the others, as can be seen by the height of the smallest values, with the scale as described in Fig. 5.2 64

64

62

69

- 5.6 The probability distribution for an anti-symmetrised *u* quark of the proton in the plane of the remaining quarks which are separated by 7 lattice units, in the Landau gauge (left), and the Coulomb gauge (right). In contrast to the *d* quark probability distribution, a single peak is visible above the location of the *d* quark (left of centre on each lattice) in both the Coulomb and the Landau gauge. Note: as discussed following Eq. (7) the scale is such that the largest value of all of the fixed quark separations will sit at the top of the grid, with all other points of the probability distribution scaled accordingly.
- 5.7 The probability distribution for the d quark cut in the x-y plane of the u quarks, in the presence of a background magnetic field in the Landau gauge, with the first implementation (left), and the second implementation (right) of the vector potential described in Section 5.3. In this image, the field, B, is pointing into the page. The red sphere denotes the location of the remaining quarks. There is a clear asymmetry perpendicular to the field that changes with the vector potential, A_µ, in spite of the background magnetic field not changing.
- 5.8 The probability distribution for the d quark cut in the x z plane of the u quarks, after symmetrising the vector potential, A_{μ} in the presence of the field in the Landau gauge (left) and Coulomb gauge (right). In this image, the field, \vec{B} , is pointing to the top of the page, and the u quarks are both in the centre of the lattice, denoted by the red sphere. In spite of the magnitude of the field, a fairly small deviation from spherical symmetry is seen in both gauges....

73

73

74

- 5.10 The probability distribution of the vector u quark in the presence of the background field, cut in the x - z plane of the remaining quarks in the Landau gauge with the spin aligned (left) and antialigned (right) to the field, and the red sphere denotes the remaining quarks. The direction of the field is down the page. Much like in the Coulomb gauge, the probability distribution appears more spherical and localized when aligned with the field.
- 5.12 The probability distribution of the d quark, in the Landau gauge cut in the x - z plane of the remaining quarks which are separated by 7 lattice units in the transverse direction with zero background field (left) and in the presence of the field (right). The spheres denote the positions of the u quarks. The diquark clustering is barely visible in this view, and disappears completely in the presence of the field. The probability distributions are broader in the Landau gauge.

75

76

| The probability distribution of the scalar u quark in the Coulomb |
|--|
| gauge cut in the $x - z$ plane of the remaining quarks which are |
| separated by seven lattice units in the transverse direction with |
| zero background field (left) and in the presence of the field (right). |
| The direction of the field is up the page and the d quark is on |
| the right, denoted by the red sphere. In contrast to the d quark |
| probability distribution, there is still a distinct preference for the |
| formation of a scalar diquark. When the field is applied, the prob- |
| ability distribution can be seen to move toward the centre of the |
| lattice |
| |

- 5.14 The probability distribution of the scalar u quark, in the Landau gauge which are separated by 7 lattice units in the transverse direction with zero background field (left) and in the presence of the field (right). The direction of the field is up the page and the d quark is on the right, denoted by the red sphere. Preference towards the centre of the lattice is also visible in the Landau gauge, but is more subtle than in the Coulomb gauge.
- 5.15 The probability distribution of a u quark in the Coulomb gauge cut in the x - z plane of the remaining quarks which are separated by seven lattice units in the transverse direction with zero background field (left) and in the presence of the field (right). The direction of the field is up the page and the d quark is on the right, denoted by the red sphere. The symmetrised u quark probability distribution bears close resemblance to the scalar u quark, but less localized due to the vector u quark contribution.

5.17 The probability distribution of the vector u quark in the Coulomb gauge cut in the x - z plane of the remaining quarks which are separated by seven lattice units in the transverse direction with zero background field (left) and in the presence of the field (right). The direction of the field is up the page and the d quark is on the right, denoted by the red sphere. The effect of the field on the vector u quark probability distribution is more pronounced than the d quark and scalar u quark probability distributions.

77

77

79

- 5.18 The probability distribution of the vector u quark, in the Landau gauge cut in the x z plane of the remaining quarks which are separated by 7 lattice units in the transverse direction with zero background field (left) and in the presence of the field (right). The direction of the field is up the page and the d quark is on the right, denoted by the red sphere.
- 5.20 The probability distribution of the *d* quark at four units of lattice momentum applied across the page, with the *u* quarks co-located in the centre of mass of the system in the Landau gauge at 45 (left), 31 (center) and 14 (right) sweeps of source smearing. The number of sweeps chosen was determined to be ideal by performing the analysis detailed in the previous chapter for zero, 2 and 4 units of lattice momentum respectively. All probability distributions have been cut of at the same relative value to the peak. Only the wave function produced at the ideal number of smearing sweeps bares any resemblance to a Lorentz contracted state.

- 6.2 The wave function of the d quark in the proton about the two u quarks fixed at the origin for the lightest quark mass ensemble providing $m_{\pi} = 156$ MeV. From top down, the plots correspond to the ground, first and second excited states observed in our lattice simulation. The wave function changes sign in the excited states and reveals a node structure consistent with 1S, 2S and 3S states.
 - 88

6.3 The probability distributions for the d quark about two u quarks fixed at the origin obtained in our lattice QCD calculations (crosses) are compared with the quark model prediction (solid curve) for the ground (left column), first- (middle column) and second- (right column) excited states. Quark masses range from the heaviest (top row) through to the lightest (bottom row). The ground state probability distribution of the quark model closely resembles the lattice data for all masses considered. The first excited states matches the lattice data well at small distances, but the node is placed further from the centre of mass in the quark model, after which, the lattice data shows a distinct second peak, whereas the quark model rises to the boundary. It is interesting that the most significant difference is observed where long-distance physics associated with pion-cloud effects not included in the quark model are significant. For the third state the amplitudes of the shells between the nodes of the wave function are predicted well.

- 6.4 The dependence of the *d*-quark probability distribution on the masses of the quarks in the proton (two left-hand columns) and its first excited state (two right-hand columns). The *u* quarks are fixed at the origin at the centre of the plot. The quark mass decreases from heaviest (top row) to lightest (bottom row). For each mass and state, the probability density is normalised to unity over the spatial volume of the lattice. The isovolume threshold for rendering the probability distribution in the second and fourth columns is 3.0×10^{-5} .
- 6.5 The eigenvectors u_i^{α} describing the contributions of each of the source smearing levels to the states α for the lightest quark-mass ensemble considered. Indices i = 1 to 4 correspond to 16, 35, 100 and 200 sweeps of gauge-invariant Gaussian smearing. The superposition of positive and negative Gaussian smearing levels is consistent with the nodal structure recovered in the wave functions. 94
- 6.6 The dependence of the *d*-quark probability distribution on the masses of the quarks in the proton for the second (two left-hand columns) and third (two right-hand columns) *S*-wave excited states of the proton observed herein. The *u* quarks are fixed at the origin at the centre of the plot. The quark mass decreases from heaviest (top row) to lightest (bottom row). For each mass and state, the probability density is normalised to unity over the spatial volume of the lattice. The isovolume threshold for rendering the probability distribution in the second and fourth columns is 2.0×10^{-5} and 3.0×10^{-5} respectively. While the former renders the outer shell coherently, the latter better reveals the node structure of the 3S distribution.

- 6.7 The dependence of the *d*-quark probability distribution on the positions of the *u* quarks in the first even-parity excited state of the proton at the second lightest quark mass considered. The *u* quarks are fixed on the *x*-axis running from back right through front left through the centre of the plot. The *u* quarks are fixed a distance of d_1 and d_2 from the origin located at the centre. From left to right, the distance $d = d_1 - d_2$ increases, taking values 0, 1, 2 and 3 times the lattice spacing a = 0.0907 fm.