

EXPRESSION OF RUNT RELATED TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR  
2 AND VASCULAR ENDOTHELIAL GROWTH FACTOR  
IN THE PULP, PERIODONTAL LIGAMENT  
AND ALVEOLAR BONE:  
AN IMMUNOHISTOCHEMICAL STUDY USING A  
RAT ANKYLOTIC MODEL



A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Clinical Dentistry (Orthodontics)

**Dr Trudy Ann STEWART (BDS Adelaide, BOccThy UQ)**

Orthodontic Unit  
School of Dentistry  
Faculty of Health Science  
The University of Adelaide  
South Australia  
AUSTRALIA

March 2013

<b>1. CONTENTS</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1 Table of Contents	2
1.2 List of Figures	6
1.3 List of Tables	9
1.4 List of Abbreviations	12
<b>2. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>3. THESIS DECLARATION</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>4. ABSTRACT</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>5. LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>21</b>
5.1 The Periodontium	21
5.1.1 Introduction	21
5.1.2 Cementum	22
5.1.3 Periodontal Ligament	23
5.1.4 Alveolar Bone	27
5.1.5 Mesenchymal Stem Cells and the Periodontium	32
5.1.5.1 MSC and Osteoblast Proliferation, Differentiation and Function	34
5.1.5.2 Synergistic action of MSC and Haematopoietic Stem Cells	37
5.1.6 Features of the Periodontium Unique to Rodents	37
5.2 Tooth Eruption and Ankylosis	39
5.2.1 Introduction	39
5.2.2 Mechanisms underlying Eruption	39
5.2.3 Systemic and Local Factors	40
5.2.4 Dentoalveolar Ankylosis	42
5.2.4.1 Aetiology	42
5.2.4.2 Diagnosis	44

5.2.4.3 Histological Appearance	45
5.2.5 Development of Ankylosis in the Laboratory	46
5.3 Runx2	47
5.3.1 Introduction	47
5.3.2 Structure and expression	47
5.3.3 Biological Functions	49
5.3.3.1 Skeletal	49
5.3.3.1 Non-Skeletal	49
5.3.3.3 Dental	50
5.3.4 Signalling Pathways	54
5.3.5 Runx2 and Tooth Eruption	58
5.3.6 Runx2 and Orthodontic Tooth Movement	58
5.3.7 Runx2 and Dentoalveolar Ankylosis	59
5.3.8 Runx2 and Disease	59
5.4 VEGF	60
5.4.1 Introduction	60
5.4.2 Structure and expression	61
5.4.3 Biological functions	61
5.4.4 Signalling pathways	63
5.4.5 VEGF and Tooth Eruption	64
5.4.6 VEGF and Orthodontic Tooth Movement	65
5.4.7 VEGF and Dentoalveolar Ankylosis	67
5.4.8 VEGF and Disease	67
5.5 References	68
<b>6. STATEMENT OF PURPOSE, AIMS, NULL HYPOTHESIS</b>	<b>87</b>

6.1 Statement of Purpose	87
6.2 Aims	87
6.3 Null Hypothesis	88
<b>7. ARTICLES</b>	
7.1 Article 1: Runx2 expression in the rat pulp, periodontal ligament and alveolar bone following hypothermal insult	89
7.1.1 Abstract	90
7.1.2 Introduction	92
7.1.3 Methods and Materials	94
7.1.4 Results	101
7.1.5 Discussion	146
7.1.6 Conclusion	150
7.1.7 References	151
7.2 Article 2: VEGF expression in the rat pulp, periodontal ligament and alveolar bone following hypothermal insult	153
7.2.1 Abstract	154
7.2.2 Introduction	156
7.2.3 Methods and Materials	160
7.2.4 Results	168
7.2.5 Discussion	210
7.2.6 Conclusion	212
7.2.7 References	213

<b>8. CONCLUDING REMARKS</b>	<b>216</b>
8.1 Conclusions	216
8.2 Strengths and Weaknesses	217
8.3 Suggestions for Future Work	217
<b>9. APPENDICES</b>	<b>222</b>
9.1 Materials and Methods	222
9.1.1 Materials Utilised	222
9.1.2 Paraffin removal protocol	227
9.1.3 Antigen retrieval protocol	227
9.1.4 Immunohistochemical staining protocol	227
9.1.4.1 RUNX2	227
9.1.4.2 VEGF	229
9.1.4.3 Dual Staining to co-localise VEGF and RUNX2	231
9.1.5 Immunofluorescence protocol to co-localise VEGF and Runx2	233

## 1.2 List of Figures:

### 1.2.1 Literature Review:

Figure 1:	Schematic of the mouse tooth (Foster, 2012).	21
Figure 2:	PDL principal fibre network (Hassell, 1993).	26
Figure 3:	The osteoblast life cycle (Martin et al., 2011).	28
Figure 4:	The bone-remodelling unit (Jähn and Bonewald, 2012).	29
Figure 5:	Schematic of the alveolar process (Hassell, 1993).	31
Figure 6:	The proposed bone marrow stromal system (Shi et al., 2005)	32
Figure 7:	Bone remodelling after fracture showing integration of signalling pathways (Deschaseaux et al., 2009)	36
Figure 8:	Infraocclusion of tooth 75 (Author's own picture)	42
Figure 9:	Schematic structure of the three Runx 2 isoforms (Chen et al., 2002).	48
Figure 10:	Patterns of Runx2 expression during dental development (Camilleri and McDonald, 2006)	52
Figure 11:	Runx2 mRNA expression in the secretory stage of dental development (Camilleri and McDonald, 2006)	53
Figure 12:	Effects of WNT signalling on osteoblastic differentiation (Galli et al., 2010).	56
Figure 13:	Role of Runx2 in osteoblast differentiation and chondrocyte maturation (Komori, 2011)	57
Figure 14:	Cytokine expression following the application of mechanical forces during OTM (Di Domencio et al., 2012)	66

### 1.2.2 Article 1:

Figure 1:	Positive and negative controls	97
Figure 2:	Division of section into regions for counting	99
Figure 3:	Initial versus re-count of Runx2 positive cells	101
Figure 4:	Difference between the initial and re-count of Runx2 positive cells	102
Figure 5:	Initial versus re-count of Runx2 negative cells	103
Figure 6:	Difference between the initial and re-count of Runx2 negative cells	104
Figure 7:	H and E Sections Day 0, 4, 7, 14 and 28	106
Figure 8:	Runx2 positive cells in the dentoalveolar region	109
Figure 9:	Changes in Runx2 expression in the pulp with time	113
Figure 10:	Changes in Runx2 expression in the PDL with time	123
Figure 11:	Changes in Runx2 expression in alveolar bone with time	133
Figure 12:	Empty lacunae in the interradicular region beneath the ankylotic areas	143
Figure 13:	Reparative dentine 14 days post hypothermal insult	147
Figure 14:	Diagrammatic representation of the experimental procedure for orthodontic force application Pavlidis et al., 2009	149

### 1.2.3 Article 2:

Figure 1:	Positive and negative controls	162
Figure 2:	Division of the section in regions for counting	165
Figure 3:	Initial versus re-count of VEGF positive cells	168
Figure 4:	Difference between the initial and re-count of VEGF positive cells	169
Figure 5:	Initial versus re-count of VEGF negative cells	170
Figure 6:	Difference between the initial and re-count of VEGF negative cells	171
Figure 7:	H and E Sections Day 0, 4, 7, 14 and 28	173
Figure 8:	Cells staining positive for VEGF	176
Figure 9:	Changes in the VEGF expression in the pulp with time	180
Figure 10:	Changes in the expression of VEGF in the PDL with time	191
Figure 11:	Changes in the expression of VEGF in the alveolar bone with time	201

### 1.2.4 Concluding Remarks:

Figure 1:	Co-localisation of Runx2 and VEGF using immunofluorescence	218
Figure 2:	Co-localisation of Runx2 and VEGF using double immunohistochemical staining	220



### 1.3 List of Tables:

#### 1.3.1 Article 1:

Table 1:	Animals within the experimental group displaying ankylosis on the experimental side	105
Table 2:	Comparison of the mean percentage of Runx2 positive cells in the pulp at various time points in the experimental group and between the experimental and control sides	119
Table 3:	The mean of the percentage of Runx2 positive cells in the pulp at each time point and the overall mean percentage of Runx2 positive cells between the experimental and control sides	120
Table 4:	The mean of the percentage of Runx2 positive cells in the pulp at each time point in the internal control group and in the external control group.	121
Table 5:	A comparison of mean percentage of Runx2 positive cells in the pulp between the internal and external control groups	121
Table 6:	The mean percentage of Runx2 positive cells in the PDL at different time points and between the experimental and internal control sides.	129
Table 7:	The mean percentage of Runx2 positive cells in the PDL of control animals	130
Table 8:	The difference of mean percentage of Runx2 positive cells in PDL of the internal and external control groups	130
Table 9:	Comparison of the colour intensity of the PDL on the experimental side closest to the dentine versus closest to the alveolar bone	131

Table 10:	The mean percentage of Runx2 positive cell counts in the alveolar bone of the experimental group at different time points and between the experimental and internal control groups	139
Table 11:	The comparison of the difference of the mean percentage of Runx2 positive cells in the alveolar bone at different time points in the experimental group and overall between the experimental and internal control	140
Table 12:	The mean percentage of Runx2 positive cell counts in the alveolar bone of the internal control group at different time points and between internal and external control groups	141
Table 13:	The difference of mean percentage of Runx2 positive cell counts in the internal and external control groups	141
Table 14:	Percentage of empty lacunae for each time point in the experimental group	142

### 1.3.2 Article 2:

Table 1:	Animals displaying ankylosis on the experimental side	172
Table 2:	The mean percentage of VEGF positive cells in the pulp	186
Table 3:	A comparison of the percentage of VEGF positive cell counts in the pulp	187
Table 4:	The mean percentage of VEGF positive cells in the pulp in the control groups	188
Table 5:	A comparison of the difference of the mean percentage of VEGF positive cells in the pulp of the internal and external control groups	189
Table 6:	The mean percentage of VEGF positive cells in the PDL between the experimental and internal control groups	197
Table 7:	A comparison of the difference of the mean percentage of VEGF positive cells in the PDL between the experimental and internal control animals	198
Table 8:	The percentage of VEGF positive cells in the PDL of control groups	199
Table 9:	The differences of the mean percentage of VEGF positive cells in the PDL of control animals	199
Table 10:	The mean of percentage of VEGF positive cells in the alveolar bone of experimental and internal control animals	207
Table 11:	A comparison of the difference of mean percentage of VEGF positive cells in the alveolar bone of experimental and internal control animals	208
Table 12:	The percentage of VEGF positive cells in the alveolar bone of control animals	209
Table 13:	A comparison of the differences of the mean percentage of VEGF positive cells in the alveolar bone of control animals	209

#### 1.4 List of Abbreviations:

ABC	Avidin-biotin complex
AEC	3-Amino-9-EthylCarbazole
ALP	Alkaline phosphatase
ARF	Activation – resorption – formation cycle
bFGF	basic fibroblast growth factor
BGN	Biglycan
BMP	Bone morphogenetic proteins
Cbfa	Core binding factor subunit alpha
Cbfb	Core binding factor subunit beta
CNC	Cranial neural crest cells
COL1A2	Collagen, Type 1, Alpha 2
CSF-1	Colony stimulating factor 1
CY3	Cyanine 3
DAPI	4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole
DFC	Dental follicle cells
DFSC	Dental follicle stem cells
DMP1	Dentine matrix acidic phosphoprotein
DPSC	Dental pulp stem cells
DSC	Dental stem cells
DSPP	Dentine sialophosphoprotein
EDTA	Ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid
ECM	Extracellular matrix
EGF	Epithelial growth factor
FGF	Fibroblast growth factor

FGFR	Fibroblast growth factor receptor
FZD	Frizzled receptor
GEE	Generalised estimating equations
GH	Growth Hormone
HSC	Haematopoietic stem cell
HERS	Hertwig's epithelial root sheath
HSC	Haematopoietic stem cells
IGF	Insulin-like growth factor
Ihh	Indian hedgehog
IL	Interleukin
M-CSF	Macrophage colony stimulating factor
MAPK	Mitogen-activated protein kinase cascade
MSC	Mesenchymal stem cells
MSX1/2	Muscle segment homeobox
OB	Osteoblast
Oc	Osteocyte
OC	Osteoclast
OCN	Osteocalcin
OPG	Osteoprotegerin
OSX	Osterix (SP7)
OTM	Orthodontic tooth movement
Pax	Paired box gene
PBS	Phosphate buffered saline
PDGF	Platelet derived growth factor
PDL	Periodontal ligament

PDLSC	Periodontal ligament stem cells
PFE	Primary failure of eruption
PIGF	Placental growth factor
PTH	Parathyroid hormone
PTH1R	Parathyroid hormone receptor gene 1
PTHrP	Parathyroid hormone related peptide
RANK	Receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa- $\beta$
RANKL	RANK ligand
Runx2	Runt related transcription factor 2
SCAP	Stem cells from apical papilla
SHED	Stem cells from human exfoliated deciduous teeth
Shh	Sonic hedgehog
SP7	C2H2 type zinc finger transcription factor (osterix)
TGF- $\beta$	Transforming growth factor-beta
TNF- $\alpha$	Tumour necrosis factor-alpha
VEGF	Vascular endothelial growth factor
Wnt	Wingless signalling pathway

### Measure of Length

mm            millimetre

$\mu\text{m}$             micrometre

### Measure of Volume

ml            millilitre

$\mu\text{l}$             microlitre

### Measure of Weight

$\mu\text{g}$             microgram

mg            milligram

g            gram

kg            kilogram

kDa            kiloDalton

mw            molecular weight

N            newton

## **2. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I wish to express my sincere appreciation to the following people for their support in the completion of this thesis:

Professor W. J. Sampson, P.R. Begg Chair in Orthodontics, The University of Adelaide, for his generosity with his time as well as his expert advice and guidance.

Associate Professor C. W. Dreyer, Senior Lecturer in Orthodontics, The University of Adelaide, for his readily available support as well as the use of research books and materials.

Dr Kencana Dharmapatni, School of Medical Sciences, The University of Adelaide, for her expert advice regarding immunohistochemistry, allowing the use of her laboratory for this project, assistance with developing the staining protocols and generous donation of various tissue blocks. Her dedication and enthusiasm for research is truly an inspiration.

Mr Yen Liu, Division of Population Oral Health, The University of Adelaide, for his expert statistical help.

Mr Jim Manavis, Laboratory Manager, Hanson Institute Centre for Neurological Diseases for teaching me how to use the Nanozoomer Digital Microscope

Last but not least, I must thank my wonderful husband David. Your tolerance, support and proofreading is simply amazing and it has made all the hard work worthwhile.



### **3. THESIS DECLARATION**

This thesis contains no material that has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any other university or tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission for any degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without prior approval of the University of Adelaide.

I give consent for this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University of Adelaide Library, to be made available for loan and photocopying subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available via the University of Adelaide's digital research repository, the University of Adelaide Library catalogue and also through internet search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University of Adelaide to restrict access for a period of time

---

Dr Trudy Stewart

13<sup>th</sup> March 2013.

#### **4. ABSTRACT**

The current study investigated the expression Runx2 and VEGF in the pulp, periodontal ligament and alveolar bone following hypothermal insult.

##### **Methods and Materials:**

Materials from a previous study performed by Tan (2011) were used for this research. The upper right first molars of fifteen eight-week-old male Sprague-Dawley rats were subjected to a single ten minute application of dry ice. The contralateral molar acted as an internal control. The animals were randomly divided into five groups of three and killed 0, 4, 7, 14 and 28 days post hypothermal insult. A further three Sprague-Dawley rats acted as an external control and were humanely killed on day 0 with no hypothermal insult. The maxilla was dissected out, fixed and embedded in paraffin. Coronal sections were cut to include the control and experimental teeth at 5-micron intervals through the furcation region. Sections were then stained with haematoxylin and eosin (H and E) and Runx2 and VEGF immunostains.

Sections were scanned via a Nanozoomer Slide Scanner 2.0 series and viewed on a personal computer (MacBook Pro with 13 inch screen) using the Nanozoomer Digital Pathology (NDP) software. Semiquantitative counting was performed at a magnification of x20 via the ImageJ software. Data was analysed using SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). The level of significance was set at  $p < 0.05$ .

**Results:**

H and E stained sections indicated that ankylosis had developed on the experimental side at days 7 and 14 and one of the three rats at day 28 post hypothermal insult. No ankylosis was present on any control teeth or experimental teeth at days 0 and 4. Disturbance to the pulpal tissues was also noted.

A number of cells stained positively to the Runx2 and VEGF immunostains. Vascular endothelial cells, bone cells (osteoblasts / osteocytes / bone lining cells), osteoclast-like cells, periodontal ligament cells (fibroblasts, epithelial cell rests of Malassez (ERM)) and bone marrow cells in both experimental and control animals were positive for VEGF. Increased staining intensity for VEGF was noted particularly associated with blood vessels and adjacent to regions of ankylosis. Fibroblast-like cells in the pulp and PDL, osteoclasts in resorption lacunae along the PDL, bone lining cells, osteoblasts/osteocyte like cells, cemental cells, odontoblasts, epithelial cell rests of Malassez (ERM), megakaryocytes and vascular endothelial cells were all found to be positive for Runx2 in both the treated and untreated groups.

Statistically significant differences in the percentage of Runx2 positive cells between treated and untreated molar teeth were found in the pulp and alveolar bone but no statistically significant difference was found in the PDL. Although not statistically significant, trends of changing Runx2 expression with time were noted in the pulp and alveolar bone. Runx2 expression increased at days 4, 7 and decreased at days 14 and 28 in the pulp whilst in the alveolar bone expression increased at day 4, decreased at days 7 and 14 and slightly increased at day 28.

In the pulp, a statistically significant difference was found between VEGF positive cells on the experimental side compared to the internal control side, with more VEGF positive cells on the experimental side at day 7 than at days 14 and 28. In the alveolar bone and PDL, although a statistically significant difference was found between the experimental and control sides, there was no significant interaction with time. However, VEGF positive cells appeared to be fewer in the PDL at days 4, 7 and 14 and greater at day 28. In the alveolar bone, more VEGF positive cells were seen at day 4 than at days 7, 14 and 28.

### **Conclusions:**

- 1) Runx2 and VEGF was expressed by a number of cells within the rat dentoalveolus.
- 2) When compared to the control groups, changes in Runx2 expression were found in the experimental pulp and alveolar bone, but not in the PDL. Changes in VEGF expression were found in the experimental pulp, PDL and alveolar bone.
- 3) Changes in Runx2 and VEGF expression also occurred between the internal and external control groups, suggesting that a localised insult may lead to a systemic impact.
- 4) Post-hypothermal insult, Runx2 and VEGF may play an important role in the development of bony ankylosis.

The null hypothesis, that the expression of Runx2 and VEGF in the rat dentoalveolus does not differ post-hypothermal insult, is rejected.