Moral Competence and the Psychopath

Matthew Tieu

Submitted for the degree of Master of Arts

School of Humanities/Discipline of Philosophy

The University of Adelaide

31st August 2012

Table of Contents

Abstract Statement of Originality Acknowledgements		3 5
Introduction and Aims		7
a) b) c)	Background Aims Chapter Structure	7 11 13
Chapter 1 – Dimensions of Moral Competence		16
1.2	Moral Rationalism and Practical Reason	16 21 26 30 32
Chapter 2 – Distinguishing Moral Competence from Moral Agency		37
	Introduction Practical Reason and the Requirement of Diachronic Agency What is "Genuine" Moral Judgment and "Deep" Moral Knowledge? Distinguishing Between Moral Competence and Moral Performance Conclusion	37 39 44 50 54
Chapter 3 – Analysis and Assessment of Psychopathy		57
	Perspectives on Psychopathy Wantonness and Practical Irrationality Conversability and Diachronic Agency Instrumental Aggression and Criminal Versatility Psychopathy Incorporated	57 60 65 69 72 76 82
Chapter 4 – Psychopathy and Criminal Responsibility		85
4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6 4.7	Introduction The M'Naghten Rule The Model Penal Code Psychopathy and the Insanity Defence? Do Psychopaths Possess Relevant Moral/Legal Knowledge? Moral Competence and Criminal Responsibility Summary and Conclusion	85 87 92 96 99 104 108
Bibliography		112

Abstract

Various theories of moral judgment have been proposed in light of recent empirical research in cognitive neuroscience and moral psychology. Some of the evidence is thought to indicate that emotional and intuitive processes are primarily responsible for moral judgement. There is also evidence to suggest that conscious deliberative reasoning can influence those emotional and intuitive processes and thus determine the content of moral judgment. Whilst empirical research helps to identify important capacities that underpin moral judgment, it is uncertain which of those capacities are necessary and sufficient for moral *competence* as distinguished from moral *performance* (a distinction that is central to the cognitive sciences).

The analysis of moral competence must also take into consideration the way in which we conceptualize moral judgement. Morality is traditionally understood as a normative enterprise based on moral reasoning and moral justification. Given that moral judgments are concerned with prescribing action, it is therefore conceived of as a form of practical reasoning. Central to the theory of moral judgment as practical reason is the implication that only rational agents are able to make morally relevant judgments. This implies that those who lack rational agency are incapable of making moral judgments.

In this thesis I argue that the practical reason requirement incorrectly excludes people (such as children, and those with particular neuropsychological deficits), whom we would normally grant as having a minimal capacity to form moral judgments. I also argue that this incorrectly excludes moral judgments and relevant moral knowledge that can be expressed independently of rational agency. Therefore I propose a theory of moral competence which accommodates all morally relevant

capacities and situates them within either categories of moral competence or moral performance. I then apply this theory to the analysis of the moral deficits associated with psychopathy and to the assessment of moral/legal responsibility of psychopaths.

Statement of Originality

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Acknowledgements

I would like to specifically acknowledge and thank my primary supervisor, Associate Professor Philip Gerrans, who has worked with me, supported me and offered me appropriate guidance and encouragement over the years. I would also like to acknowledge and thank my co-supervisor Dr. Denise Gamble who has also offered me advice, guidance and encouragement over the years.

I would also like to express my gratitude to all of the academic/teaching staff and fellow graduate students in the Discipline of Philosophy at the University of Adelaide for their advice and helpful discussions over the years.

I feel that I have been most fortunate to have been taught by so many great teachers during my time as an undergraduate student and as a graduate student in the Discipline of Philosophy. They all possess great knowledge, expertise and a passion for philosophy which inspired me to continue studying beyond my undergraduate years. I am most grateful to have been afforded the opportunity to undertake further study at graduate level.