Water Transport in Grape Berry and Pre-Harvest Berry Dehydration

Johannes Daniel Scharwies

School of Agriculture, Food and Wine

The University of Adelaide

A thesis submitted to the University of Adelaide in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree

Master of Agricultural Science

February, 2013

Abstract

Pre-harvest berry dehydration is reported for different grape varieties in many countries and may be exacerbated by global warming. In Australia a net water loss of up to 30% from berries of the most commonly grown red grape variety Vitis vinifera L. cv. Shiraz at the end of ripening is a serious problem causing significant yield losses and higher sugar concentration. The current hypothesis is that this water loss occurs due to a diminishing water inflow into berries at the end of ripening while transpiration prevails. Water backflow from berries to the parent vine via the xylem may contribute to this loss. The approach in this study was to compare hydraulic properties and quantify water uptake and water loss in different varieties to identify the contributing factor(s) in berry water relations that may cause net water loss. Different patterns of hydraulic resistance for water inflow were detected in the rachis, pedicels, and berries in bunches of Chardonnay, Grenache, and Shiraz during berry development. An increase in whole bunch hydraulic conductance was correlated to berry growth in Grenache, but not in Chardonnay and Shiraz. At the end of ripening, berry hydraulic resistance decreased in Shiraz whilst it increased in Chardonnay. This may prevent water backflow from Chardonnay berries to the parent vine, but this difference appeared to occur after weight loss began in Shiraz, and in Grenache, which did not display weight loss, there was a low berry hydraulic resistance. A lower hydraulic resistance in bunches of Grenache corresponds to this variety having more isohydric regulation of plant water potential reported in the literature. Using an in vitro transpiration assay on excised berries where both water loss and water uptake were measured, berries of all varieties showed net water uptake in early stages of development switching to a net water loss after veraison. A twofold higher net water loss from mature berries of Shiraz compared to Chardonnay was caused by lower water uptake in Shiraz rather than a higher transpiration rate. I conclude that the difference may be caused by a lower water potential difference as driving force for water uptake into Shiraz berries, since an equal or higher hydraulic resistivity was measured in Chardonnay berries. Finally, a computer model was developed to simulate transpiration and water uptake in the field under changing evaporative conditions during the 2011-12 season. This model demonstrated that water uptake via the xylem and phloem was able to balance peaks in transpiration due to high VPD events just after veraison, but was not able to compensate these peaks at the end of ripening. Compared to Grenache and Chardonnay there was greater sensitivity of Shiraz berries to high VPD at the end of ripening due to insufficient compensation of transpirational water loss by xylem water uptake which may explain this variety's propensity to display significant weight loss.

Declaration

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Name

Signature

Date

Acknowledgements

I wish to thank my supervisors Prof. Steve Tyerman and Dr. Brent Kaiser for their guidance, encouragement, and support throughout my Master studies. In particular, thank you, Steve, for all the interesting discussions, excellent ideas, the support to attend meetings, workshops, and conferences, and your great trust to give me the freedom to try whatever experiment seemed to be interesting. I'm looking very much forward to have you as a supervisor for my proposed PhD. Moreover, I would also like to thank Dr. Joanne Tilbrook for her external supervision and for sharing her knowledge about her previous experiments on grape berry water relations with me.

Special thanks go to Wendy Sullivan for her great support in the glasshouse and laboratory. Wendy has a fantastic way to keep everything running and helped me a lot in setting up instruments, growing my plants, and obtaining materials for my research.

I also wish to thank all members of the Tyerman, Gilliham, and Kaiser Laboratory for the fabulous two years that I had in this group so far.

For their financial support, I would like to acknowledge the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD) for providing me with a living allowance and for covering part of my tuition fees. Moreover, I would like to thank the Gerlacianum foundation for a top-up scholarship, Yalumba and the Australian Society of Viticulture and Oenology for scholarships to attend symposia in Australia and overseas, and The University of Sydney to support my attendance at a workshop.

My greatest gratitude goes to my family, my girlfriend, and friends. Thank you Mum, Dad, Christoph, Daniel, and Grandma for all your support and the wonderful Skype meetings which make me feel closer to you. You are the best family anyone could have. Thanks you, Lina, for your love, your patience, and support during my writing process. Home Is With You.

Contents

| Chapte | r 1 – Introduction 1 |
|--------------|--|
| 1.1 | Preface |
| 1.2 | Pre-harvest berry dehydration – one of multiple berry shrivel disorders |
| 1.3 | Grape berry development and water relations |
| 1.3. | 1 Xylem and phloem water uptake into grape berries 4 |
| 1.3. | 2 Integrity of the xylem in post-veraison berries |
| 1.3. | 3 Apoplastic phloem unloading in post-veraison berries |
| 1.4 | Net water loss from post-veraison berries7 |
| 1.4. | 1 Imbalance between water uptake and transpiration |
| 1.4. | 2 Xylem backflow and the hydraulic connection of grape bunches and berries |
| 1.4. | 3 Pericarp cell death and xylem pressure in relation to pre-harvest berry dehydration.11 |
| 1.4. | 4 Hypotheses on pre-harvest berry dehydration11 |
| 1.5 | Aquaporins in plant water relations12 |
| 1.5. | 1 Aquaporin expression in grape berries13 |
| 1.5. | 2 Influence of aquaporins on root water transport in grapevine |
| 1.5. | 3 Mechanisms for aquaporin regulation14 |
| 1.6 | Conclusion and aims of research15 |
| 1.6. | 1 Xylem flow was found to remain possible in post-veraison berries |
| 1.6. of v | 2 A decline of berry surface conductance was observed during ripening, but the extent arietal differences is unknown |
| 1.6. | |
| | uired on varietal differences and the sites of changes across the whole bunch and berry |
| • | ictures |
| 1.6. | 4 Research aims |

| Chapter 2 – | Hydraulic properties of grape bunches18 | 8 |
|-------------|---|----|
| 2.1 Intro | duction | 18 |
| 2.2 Mate | erial and methods | 20 |
| 2.2.1 | Plant material | 20 |
| 2.2.2 | Sampling regime | 20 |
| 2.2.3 | Measurement of hydraulic conductance | 20 |
| 2.2.4 | Grape bunch developmental parameters | 21 |
| 2.2.5 | Data analysis and statistics | 22 |
| 2.3 Res | ults | 25 |
| 2.3.1 | Grape bunch development | 25 |
| 2.3.2 | Grape bunch hydraulic properties | 28 |
| 2.4 Disc | ussion | 34 |
| Chapter 3 – | Water uptake and transpiration in grape berries | Э |
| 3.1 Intro | duction | 39 |
| 3.2 Mate | erial and methods | 40 |
| 3.2.1 | Plant material | 40 |
| 3.2.2 | Sampling regime | 40 |
| 3.2.3 | Custom-made transpiration chamber | 41 |
| 3.2.4 | Transpiration assay | 42 |
| 3.2.5 | Data analysis and statistics | 43 |
| 3.3 Res | ults | 44 |
| 3.3.1 | Grape berry development | 44 |
| 3.3.2 | Berry water relations | 45 |
| 3.4 Disc | ussion | 48 |
| Chapter 4 – | Model of field water relations | 2 |
| 4.1 Intro | duction | 52 |

| 4.2 | Material and methods | | |
|---------|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| 4.2. | .1 Plant material | | |
| 4.2 | .2 Sampling regime | | |
| 4.2. | .3 Model constants and var | ables55 | |
| 4.2 | .4 Berry weight and surface | area56 | |
| 4.2 | .5 Berry sugar content | | |
| 4.2 | .6 Berry surface conductant | ce and xylem water uptake conductance56 | |
| 4.2 | .7 Climate | | |
| 4.2 | .8 Model of grape berry wat | er relations58 | |
| 4.3 | Results | | |
| 4.4 | Discussion | | |
| Chapte | r 5 – General Discussion | and Conclusions75 | |
| 5.1 | Introduction | | |
| 5.2 | Discussion | | |
| 5.3 | Conclusions and Future Directions | | |
| Referer | 1ces | | |

List of Figures

| Figure 1. Grape bunch of Vitis vinifera L. cultivar Shiraz affected by pre-harvest berry dehydration 2 |
|--|
| Figure 2. XYL'EM apparatus measurement on a bunch of grapes21 |
| Figure 3. Calculation of hydraulic conductance |
| Figure 4. Developmental parameters of ripening grape berries |
| Figure 5. Development of pedicels and rachis27 |
| Figure 6. Hydraulic conductance – total berry surface area correlation analysis |
| Figure 7. Profile of grape bunch hydraulic resistivities during bunch development |
| Figure 8. Varietal comparison for whole grape bunch and rachis hydraulic resistivities |
| Figure 9. Flow rate at zero pressure into grape bunches |
| Figure 10. Close-up views of the custom-made transpiration chamber |
| Figure 11. Developmental parameters of grape berries45 |
| Figure 12. Water relations of grape berries46 |
| Figure 13. Varietal comparison of water relations in post-veraison grape berries |
| Figure 14. Spline fit of berry weight and surface area61 |
| Figure 15. Spline fit of berry sucrose equivalents |
| Figure 16. One phase exponential decay fit of grape berry surface conductance and xylem water |
| uptake conductance |
| Figure 17. Varietal comparisons of grape berry surface conductances and xylem water uptake |
| conductances |
| Figure 18. Climate records from the vineyard66 |
| Figure 19. Modelled phloem sap sucrose concentrations67 |
| Figure 20. Comparison of the estimated water fluxes in and out of grape berries and grape berry |
| water content change rate for the measured field climate and a modified climate |
| Figure 21. Berry weight loss estimated by the model at different climate conditions |

List of Tables

| Table 1. Aquaporin genes expression in grape berries of the variety Vitis vinifera L. c | v. Cabernet |
|--|-------------|
| Sauvignon | 13 |
| Table 2. Constants and variables for the model of field water relations of grape berries | 55 |
| Table 3. Parameters of the one phase exponential decay functions | 64 |