

19th November, 1954.

My dear Hanson,

I had not realized before your letter that Braithwaite was committed to the various fallacies and absurdities for which Neyman is responsible. In any case I hope you will remember that I have, apart from what you say, no idea of what Braithwaite's views may be, and shall not, therefore, come prepared to discuss them, though prepared to listen to his paper and make any comments that seem to be relevant. I am, however, particularly concerned that the notices for next term should not perpetuate the same misapprehension as those for this term. You mention visitors from London, and it seems not improbable that more important comments will be made on my paper than those of Professor Braithwaite, if he has really accepted the point of view you think he has. If so, I take it he has done so under the influence of Anscombe, of the Statistical Department, for I do not know that he has studied statistical methods particularly for himself. Are you committed to Anscombe also ^{as reported.} for my address next term?

Sincerely yours,