

**RHIZOCTONIA DISEASE ON POTATOES:  
THE EFFECT OF ANASTOMOSIS GROUPS,  
FUNGICIDES AND ZINC ON DISEASE.**

**Cathryn Ann Todd**

**Thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy,**

**The University of Adelaide**

**September 2009**

**Faculty of Sciences,**

**School of Agriculture, Food and Wine**

## Table of contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Review of Literature</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Classification of <i>Rhizoctonia</i> and sub-specific groups	3
1.2.1	<i>Morphological classification</i>	3
1.2.2	<i>Rhizoctonia solani</i>	4
1.2.3	<i>Anastomosis groups (AGs)</i>	4
1.2.4	<i>Further physiological sub-groupings</i>	6
1.2.5	<i>Molecular methods of identification and classification</i>	6
1.3	Rhizoctonia disease of potato	9
1.3.1	<i>The potato crop cycle</i>	9
1.3.2	<i>Rhizoctonia disease symptoms</i>	10
1.4	Pathogenicity of <i>Rhizoctonia solani</i>	12
1.4.1	<i>Inoculum</i>	12
1.4.2	<i>Pathogenic variability of R. solani AGs</i>	12
1.4.3	<i>AGs pathogenic to potato</i>	13
1.4.4	<i>Use of AG-specific PCRs to detect soil inoculum</i>	15
1.4.5	<i>Limitations of and variation within AGs</i>	16
1.5	Disease management	17
1.5.1	<i>Tuber-borne inoculum and fungicides to control R. solani</i>	18
1.5.2	<i>Cultural methods of minimising soil-borne inoculum of R. solani</i>	21
1.5.3	<i>Biological control of Rhizoctonia disease with micro-organisms</i>	22
1.5.4	<i>Tolerance of potato plants to Rhizoctonia disease</i>	24
1.5.5	<i>Macronutrients</i>	25
1.5.6	<i>Micronutrients</i>	25
1.6	Summary	27
1.7	Aims of the research	28
<b>2</b>	<b>General Methods</b>	<b>29</b>
2.1	Plant tissue sampling	29

2.2	Maintenance and storage of isolate collections	29
2.3	Molecular methods	35
2.3.1	<i>DNA extraction</i>	35
2.3.2	<i>PCR procedure</i>	35
2.3.3	<i>Sequencing</i>	37
2.4	Pathogenicity experiments	38
2.4.1	<i>Inoculum production</i>	38
2.4.2	<i>Soil preparation</i>	38
2.4.3	<i>Planting and watering regime</i>	39
2.4.4	<i>Disease severity assessment</i>	41
2.5	Fungicide experiments	42
<b>3</b>	<b>Field Sampling, Anastomosis Group Determination and Phylogenetic Comparison</b>	43
3.1	Introduction	43
3.2	Methods	45
3.2.1	<i>Field and plant tissue sampling</i>	45
3.2.2	<i>Cultural anastomosis grouping by microscopy</i>	47
3.2.3	<i>AG-specific molecular methods</i>	47
3.2.4	<i>IGS1 region PCR product size from R. solani isolates</i>	48
3.2.5	<i>Sequence alignments and phylogenetic comparison of R. solani AG 2-1 isolates based on IGS1 region</i>	49
3.2.6	<i>Phylogentic comparison of R. solani AG 2-1 isolates based on ITS region</i>	49
3.3	Results	50
3.3.1	<i>AG-specific molecular classification of tester isolates</i>	50
3.3.2	<i>Preliminary experiment: Cultural classification of tester isolates</i>	52
3.3.3	<i>AG-specific molecular classification of field isolates</i>	52
3.3.4	<i>Cultural classification of field and tester isolates</i>	54
3.3.5	<i>The relationship among R. solani AG, growing region and plant tissue</i>	54
3.3.6	<i>IGS1 PCR product size variation</i>	59

3.3.7	<i>Sequence alignments and phylogeny based on IGS1 region</i>	60
3.3.8	<i>Phylogeny based on ITS region</i>	64
3.4	Discussion	67
<b>4</b>	<b>Pathogenicity</b>	<b>72</b>
4.1	Introduction	72
4.2	Methods	72
4.2.1	<i>Shade-house experiment</i>	72
4.2.2	<i>Controlled environment trials</i>	73
4.3	Results	76
4.3.1	<i>Shade-house experiment</i>	76
4.3.2	<i>Controlled environment experiments</i>	80
4.4	Discussion	88
<b>5</b>	<b><i>In Vitro</i> Mycelial Growth Inhibition by Fungicides</b>	<b>94</b>
5.1	Introduction	94
5.2	Materials and methods	96
5.2.2	<i>Effect of fungicide on mycelial growth rate of isolates from various AGs</i>	96
5.2.3	<i>Effectiveness of Amistar in vitro</i>	97
5.2.4	<i>Comparison of IGS1 length and Rovral sensitivity of Australian and British isolates</i>	97
5.3	Results	98
5.3.2	<i>Mycelial growth rate of isolates representing various AGs</i>	98
5.3.3	<i>Effectiveness of Amistar in vitro</i>	113
5.3.4	<i>Sensitivity of Australian and British R. solani AG 2-1 isolates to Rovral</i>	114
5.4	Discussion	116

<b>6</b>	<b><i>In Vivo</i> Fungicide Experiments</b>	<b>120</b>
6.1	Introduction	120
6.2	Methods	120
6.2.1	<i>Effectiveness of commercial fungicides at controlling disease caused by various AGs</i>	121
6.2.2	<i>Influence of seed and soil application on disease control</i>	122
6.3	Results	123
6.3.1	<i>Effectiveness of commercial fungicides at controlling disease caused by various AGs</i>	123
6.3.1	<i>Effectiveness of commercial fungicides at controlling disease caused by various AGs</i>	128
6.3.2	<i>Influence of seed and soil application on disease control</i>	128
6.4	Discussion	137
<b>7</b>	<b>Influence of Micronutrients on Rhizoctonia Disease</b>	<b>140</b>
7.1	Introduction	140
7.1.1	<i>Zinc</i>	140
7.2	Methods	141
7.2.1	<i>First zinc experiment - “closed-pot” (2008)</i>	141
7.2.2	<i>Second zinc experiment – “contained flow-through” (2008-09)</i>	143
7.3	Results	145
7.3.1	<i>First zinc experiment - “closed-pot” (2008)</i>	145
7.3.2	<i>Second zinc experiment - “contained flow-through” (2008-09)</i>	148
7.4	Discussion	161
7.4.1	<i>First zinc experiment: “Closed-pot” (2007)</i>	161
7.4.2	<i>Second zinc experiment: “contained flow-through” (2008-09)</i>	161
<b>8</b>	<b>General discussion</b>	<b>166</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>References</b>	<b>173</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Appendices</b>	<b>192</b>

## List of Figures

Figure 1.1	Australian potato production by state 2005-2006 (A.B.S. 2005-2006)	1
Figure 1.2	The potato plant (reproduced from Stevenson <i>et al.</i> 2001)	11
Figure 2.1	The potato plant showing organs and tissue sections from which <i>Rhizoctonia</i> isolates were collected and disease severity scores were taken (reproduced from Stevenson <i>et al.</i> 2001)	30
Figure 2.2	Australian potato growing regions from which <i>Rhizoctonia</i> -affected plants were collected (arrows) and from which isolates were supplied by other researchers	34
Figure 3.1	Australian potato growing regions from which plants were collected for <i>isolation</i>	46
Figure 3.2	Examples of products amplified from isolates by PCR with AG-specific primer sets	51
Figure 3.3	Number of isolates from each AG of <i>R. solani</i> , associated with a range of plant tissues and regions that supply the Australian processing potato industry.	58
Figure 3.4	PCR products resulting from amplification of the IGS1 region of Australian <i>R. solani</i> AG 2-1 isolates, separated by size in a 2 % agarose gel at 200 V for 20 min and compared to a 100 bp marker	59
Figure 3.5	IGS1 PCR products of Australian and British AG 2-1 isolates of <i>R. solani</i> compared with 100 bp marker by separation on a 2 % agarose gel at 120 V	62
Figure 3.6	Alignment of IGS1 region sequence from Australian and British AG 2-1 isolates of <i>R. solani</i>	63
Figure 3.7	Neighbour-joining tree constructed from IGS1 sequences from Australian (bold) and British <i>R. solani</i> AG 2-1 isolates	65
Figure 3.8	Neighbour-joining tree based on ITS sequence of Australian and British <i>R. solani</i> AG 2-1 isolates for comparison to IGS1 tree	66
Figure 4.1	Assessment of disease severity on potato stems caused by <i>R. solani</i> in tissue culture tubs (modified from Lehtonen <i>et al.</i> 2008a)	74
Figure 5.1	The effect of fungicide and concentration on inhibition of mycelial growth of <i>R. solani</i> AG 2-1 isolates <i>in vitro</i>	100
Figure 5.2	The effect of fungicide and concentration on inhibition of mycelial growth of <i>R. solani</i> AG 2-2 isolates <i>in vitro</i>	102
Figure 5.3	The effect of fungicide and concentration on inhibition of mycelial growth of <i>R. solani</i> AG 3 isolates <i>in vitro</i>	104
Figure 5.4	The effect of fungicide and concentration on inhibition of mycelial growth of <i>R. solani</i> AG 8 isolates <i>in vitro</i>	106
Figure 5.5	Fungicide EC50 values for <i>R. solani</i> isolates representing potato pathogenic AGs (approximate l.s.d. 5% = 0.26)	109

## List of Tables

Table 1.1	Classification of <i>R. solani</i> AGs (modified from Sneh <i>et al.</i> 1991)	5
Table 2.1	The host plant and region of origin of isolates used in this study	31
Table 2.2	Components and volumes of reagents used in PCR to amplify DNA of <i>Rhizoctonia</i> isolates	34
Table 2.3	Nutrient analysis of Mt Compass, before and after washing, and Sloans NT40 sands	40
Table 2.4	Fungicides used during <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in planta</i> experiments	42
Table 3.1	Anastomosis categories based on microscopic observations of hyphal fusion frequency of tester isolates in a preliminary experiment	53
Table 3.2	Number of isolates from each region classified by PCR to AGs	53
Table 3.3	Anastomosis scores based on microscopic observations of hyphal fusion frequency during interaction of field and tester isolates	55
Table 4.1	Disease severity scores from potato plants grown for 3 months in Mt Compass sand inoculated with different AGs of <i>R. solani</i>	78
Table 4.2	AG determination of <i>R. solani</i> associated with symptoms on potato plants, grown in Mt Compass sand in a shade-house experiment for 3 months, following reisolation and AG-specific PCR or DNA extraction from tissue sections and qPCR	79
Table 4.3	Disease severity on potato plant stems and roots grown in sand inoculated with different AGs of Australian <i>Rhizoctonia</i> isolates. The method used was described in Figure 4.1	82
Table 4.4	AG associated with necrosis on stems of potato plants in a controlled environment experiment as determined by reisolation and AG-specific PCR or DNA extraction and quantitative PCR directly from tissue	83
Table 4.5	Assessment of necrosis, using two methods, caused by Australian and British <i>R. solani</i> AG 2-1 isolates on stems of potato plants grown in a controlled environment chamber at 16°C at SCRI.	85
Table 4.6	Assessment of the disease, using two methods, caused by Australian and British <i>R. solani</i> isolates on stems of potato plants grown in controlled environment chamber at 25°C for 21 day	86
Table 5.1	Effect of SHAM concentration on inhibition of <i>R. solani</i> isolates by Amistar with l.s.d 5 % = 0.1 mm/hr	113
Table 5.2	Effect of Rovral on mean growth rate of Australian and British <i>R. solani</i> AG 2-1 isolates	115
Table 6.1	Application rates of fungicides used to treat seed or soil for experiments to assess the control of <i>Rhizoctonia</i> disease symptoms	121
Table 6.2	Effect of fungicide application on yield, stem pruning, root disease and emergence of plants uninoculated and inoculated with <i>R. solani</i>	124

Table 6.3	Effect of fungicide application on severity of disease symptoms in shade-house experiments	126
Table 6.4	Effect of method of fungicide application on emergence, disease severity scores and tuber production of uninoculated plants of potato cv Russet Burbank and those inoculated with selected AGs of <i>R. solani</i>	130
Table 6.5	Effect of method of fungicide application on emergence, disease severity scores and tuber production of uninoculated plants of potato cv Russet Burbank and those inoculated with selected AGs of <i>R. solani</i>	131
Table 6.6	Effect of method of fungicide application on mean values of growth parameters of uninoculated plants of potato cv Russet Burbank and those inoculated with <i>R. solani</i>	133
Table 6.7	Effect of fungicide application method on disease severity of uninoculated potato plants cv Russet Burbank and those grown in <i>R. solani</i> inoculated soil	135
Table 6.8	Amount of <i>R. solani</i> DNA detected from stems of uninoculated potato plants cv Russet Burbank or those inoculated with <i>R. solani</i> and grown without fungicide treatment	136
Table 7.1	Basal nutrient solutions added as equivalent per kg of dry sand	142
Table 7.2	Amount of <i>R. solani</i> DNA in qPCR after DNA was extracted by SARDI from samples of inoculated and uninoculated sand, prior to planting potato seed in the preliminary zinc experiment	145
Table 7.3	Mean nutrient content of stems collected from uninoculated plants grown in sand amended with different concentrations of zinc in the first experiment	147
Table 7.4	Emergence data for potato plants cv Russet Burbank grown for 3 months in different concentrations of zinc and either uninoculated or inoculated with <i>R. solani</i>	149
Table 7.5	Mean nutrient content of the fifth leaf from the growing tip of uninoculated potato plants after 30 days of growth and seed pieces used to propagate potato plants cv Russet Burbank grown in sand amended with various zinc concentrations	150
Table 7.6	The percentage of above-ground stem area with necrosis on potato plants cv Russet Burbank uninoculated and inoculated with <i>R. solani</i> at 30 days after planting	152
Table 7.7	Amount of <i>R. solani</i> DNA present in inoculated and uninoculated sand used in the contained flow-through zinc experiment, assessed prior to planting potato seed	153
Table 7.8	Mean values at harvest of tissue weights and tuber numbers of plants of potato cv Russet Burbank grown for 3 months in the presence of different zinc concentrations and <i>R. solani</i> inoculum	154
Table 7.9	Rhizoctonia disease symptoms on plants of potato cv Russet Burbank at harvest after growth in the presence of different zinc concentrations and <i>R. solani</i> inoculum	156



Table 7.10 Concentrations of elements in whole shoots, roots and tuber tissues from uninoculated, mature potato plants grown in the presence of different zinc concentrations	159
Table 7.11 Concentrations of elements from the tissue sections (mg/kg) tested from plants grown in the contained flow-through experiment	160

## Abstract

*Rhizoctonia solani* causes disease on potato crops world-wide. Previous research showed that various anastomosis groups (AGs) caused disease on potato plants in two regions that supply the fresh washed industry in South Australia. However, the AGs of this pathogen and their impact on other potato growing regions of Australia, particularly those that supply the processing industry, was unknown. *R. solani* was isolated from commercial crops and volunteer potato plants in south-eastern South Australia, Kangaroo Island and Tasmania between 2005 and 2007. Both microscopy and AG-specific polymerase chain reaction assays were used to assign the resulting *R. solani* isolates to AGs.

The main AGs found in potato fields, in all three Australian regions, were AG 2-1, AG 2-2 and AG 3. Isolates from all three AGs were associated with disease on root, stolon, stem and tuber tissues of potato plants. Isolates representative of the main AGs found in Australian fields were used in further experiments.

The pathogenicity of selected isolates to potato was investigated. Disease severity was assessed on mature plants in experiments conducted in a shade-house and on potato sprouts grown in a controlled environment. AG 3 caused more sclerotia on tubers than did the other AGs but all three AGs were associated with stem, stolon and root necrosis. Variation in the severity of symptoms was observed among isolates from the same group. For example, inoculation of potato plants with one AG 2-1 isolate resulted in deep necrotic lesions on stems, however, inoculation with two other isolates from the same group produced no or minor lesions on stems.

Current control of *Rhizoctonia* disease relies on fungicides applied to seed tubers and/or soil at planting. With the aim of optimising fungicide use, the sensitivity of selected Australian isolates to one new and six commercially available fungicides was tested *in vitro* and *in vivo*. At the highest concentration tested most fungicides inhibited mycelial growth of the majority of isolates by over 80%. However, some isolates exhibited fungicide insensitivity. For example, two AG 2-1 isolates were insensitive to an iprodione fungicide *in vitro*. The control of disease symptoms on potato plants by fungicides also differed. The azoxystrobin fungicide significantly reduced stem necrosis caused by AG 2-1 and tuber sclerotia caused by AG 3 *in planta*, however, the other fungicides tested were less effective.

These findings indicate that fungicide application may be tailored to manage specific AGs and disease symptoms.

In other crops, such as medic and wheat, disease severity caused by *R. solani* is increased in micronutrient-deficient conditions. The influence of micronutrients, specifically zinc, on the susceptibility of potato plants to Rhizoctonia disease was investigated. This was explored in a shade-house and a glass-house experiment by growing potato plants in soil in which zinc had been incorporated at four different rates. In both a preliminary shade-house and subsequent glass-house experiment, the concentration of zinc in the stem tissue increased with increasing soil concentration of zinc. Assessment of disease severity was not possible in the preliminary experiment due to early senescence of plants. During a secondary experiment the increased tissue concentrations of zinc were associated with decreased stem necrosis of AG 2-1-inoculated plants after 30 days, however, this was not reflected in AG 3-inoculated plants. At harvest, disease severity could not be linked with zinc concentration due to variation in tissue content of other nutrients.

Effective management of Rhizoctonia disease is essential to produce optimum yield in terms of both quantity and quality of potato tubers. Advances in AG-specific detection of inoculum, prior to planting, provide the opportunity to refine management practices for Rhizoctonia disease, based on observations that AGs may respond differently to some management strategies, such as chemical treatments. The association of AG with Rhizoctonia disease symptoms will allow further investigation of environmental factors that influence symptom severity, including the influence of micronutrients on tolerance of potato plants to Rhizoctonia disease.

## Declaration

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of another degree or diploma in any University and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no other material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference is given. I give consent to this thesis being made available for photocopying and loan from the University Library.

---

Cathryn Ann Todd

\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_

## Acknowledgements

I would like to extend my thanks to the many people who provided advice, assistance and support to me during the course of this project.

My supervisors Eileen Scott, Kathy Ophel-Keller and Trevor Wicks, who committed their time and effort whenever needed, thank you for your guidance and patience.

Past and present members of the Scott lab, SARDI Horticulture Pathology lab and Diagnostic Services.

In particular my thanks to Rob Harding, for answering questions on anything plant and field-based, and Herdina for assistance with and providing molecular tools.

Initially the Processing Potato Research and Development (PPR&D) program, now the Australian Potato Research Program (APRP), for funding to support this project and the opportunity to collaborate with the scientists involved in the research program.

Further support was provided by the Potato Industry Advisory Committee, Adelaide University and Alison Lees' lab at the Scottish Crop Research Institute (SCRI), which allowed me to travel to Scotland and experience a different laboratory environment. Thanks to Bob Peake in his assistance with application for funding.

I appreciate the many people who contributed isolates (listed in Table 2.1) which were used in this project and hope you can make use of some I collected.

Kathy Haskard, Dani Shteinberg and Chris Dyson for assistance with statistical analyses.

I would like to thank my friends, family and Trav, who has suffered and celebrated with me,...I hope I've made you all proud.

## Abbreviations

AG	anastomosis group
ITS	internal transcribed spacer
IGS	intergenic spacer
SB	sodium borate
TAE	Tris acetate EDTA
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
nt	nucleotide
PDA	potato dextrose agar
WA	water agar
ppm	parts per million
cv.	Cultivar
a.i.	active ingredient