

Revised 22.11.17

AUSTRALIA AND INDIAN GRADUATES.

MELBOURNE, November 21.

Mr. C. F. Andrews, a Fellow of Pembroke College, Cambridge, who has been in India for many years, where he has held important educational positions, is in Melbourne, and has propounded a scheme whereby graduates of Indian universities might visit Australia and pursue their studies at one of the Australian universities. Many students have previously gone to England and other European countries in pursuance of their university studies. The avenues to Europe are now closed on account of the war; thus, Mr. Andrews urges, the possibility of Australian supplying what is required presents itself. He proposes that arrangements should be made to distribute among the different Australian universities about 20 graduates from India each year; that they should remain here for two years, and then return to India. He has already had informal conversations with the university authorities in Melbourne and Sydney, and hopes that good may come of them. Mr. Andrews will leave Melbourne on Friday to place his scheme before the university authorities in Adelaide and Perth.

Advertise, 22.11.17

THE VALUE OF GERMAN.

The marked decrease in the number of candidates sitting for German at the University public examinations was referred to on Wednesday by Professor Darnley Naylor, who remarked:—"It is to be regretted that so many schools seem to have abandoned or discouraged the study of German. Whatever our feelings may be at present, the time is not far distant when a new and chastened Germany will have to be received into the fellowship of civilised nations. So long as the world is stupid enough to refuse Esperanto as an instrument of intercourse in every-day affairs—an instrument of literature it can never be—so long shall we be compelled to learn foreign languages for practical reasons. It will be to our obvious interest to speak German if we are to hold our own in the markets of the world. But on higher grounds the German language is essential. There is scarcely any field of knowledge in which German contributions are not of the highest importance. No first-class work can be done in science, philosophy, or literature without a direct acquaintance with the contributions of German authorship. Finally, the Germany of 100 years back has given to mankind a literature of prose and poetry such as few nations have surpassed. It is not the act of a civilised people to cut off from our children the language of Goethe, Schiller, Heine, Kant, and a host of other masters."

Advertise, 22.11.17

AFFORESTATION.

THE PERTH CONFERENCE.

Perth, November 21.

At the Inter-State Forestry Conference to-day Mr. Norman Jolly, of Queensland, estimated that 25 million acres of forest were necessary for future requirements, and should be reserved by the States in a proportion to be allocated after investigation. The official statements, he said, were inaccurate.

Mr. Lane Poole, of Western Australia, said the "Commonwealth Year-Book" gave wooded areas as forest areas. Western Australia was credited with 20 million acres of forest, but had approximately only four million acres.

It was decided that steps be taken to correct the "Commonwealth Year-Book" figures, that all valuable forest areas in the States should be reserved, and that a statement be prepared on the subject for the next Premiers' Conference.

Register 23.11.17

UNIVERSITY OF ADELAIDE.

NOVEMBER EXAMINATIONS.

—Degree of Doctor of Medicine.—

Passed in Group D.—Ashby, Ivan Edwin, M.B., B.S.

—Degrees of Bachelor of Medicine and Bachelor of Surgery.—

—Pass List (in order of merit).

First Year.—First Class—Florcy, Howard

Walter; West, Edmond Frank, and Hobbs, Alan Frank (equal).

Second Class.—Holmes, Jessie Eileen; Gartrell

Eric Frank; Brunson, Harold Randolph; Jones, Alan Thomas Britton; Webb, Arthur Liddon.

Third Class.—Harris, George David; Souter, Robert John de Neuville; Harrison, Ernest John Keith.

—Second Year.—

First Class.—Walmaley, Robert Leitch Eric; Mitchell, Charles Francis, Hoteel, Kenneth Stuart; Hills, George Ridge.

Second Class.—Gillilan, William; Wurm, Bertram Eric, Hone, Frank Raymond, Hussey, Percival Francis Leitch and Rubbe, Edith Ulrica, B.A. (equal); Hoggeman, Friedrich Wilhelm; Dorsch, Carl Emil and Walker, William Delano (equal); Jones, Brymore Beveridge.

Third Class.—None.

Recommended for the Elder Prize.—R. L. E. Walmaley.

—Third Year.—

First Class.—Streich, Carl Ivo; Lindon, Leonard Charles Edward.

Second Class.—Steele, Donald Macdonald; Glynn, Robert McMahon; Rieger, Clarence Oscar Ferrers; Pryor, William Arthur; Richards, Clarence, and Watson, Arthur Harrison Edward (equal).

Third Class.—Pavy, Harold Keith; Cooper, Donald Counter, and Schafer, Carl Hannaford (equal); Hecker, Stewart Roy and Bennett, Norman Robertson (equal); Steven, Walter Edward.

Recommended for the Dr. Davies Thomas Scholarship.—C. I. Streich.

—Fourth Year.—

First Class.—Olesto, Raphael West.

Second Class.—Grant, Richard Longford Thorold; Bollen, Kenneth Willoughby; Keipert, Leslie James; Pomroy, Harry Roy.

Third Class.—Collins, William Kendall; Gurner, Colin; McGlew, Phyllis Dorothy; Messent, Philip Santo; Wallman, Douglas Robson, Linn, Leslie Wadmore and Wilson, Lawrence Algernon (equal); McNeil, John and Shanny, William Bonvill (equal); Webb, Walter Frederik Swanton.

Recommended for the Dr. Davies Thomas Scholarship.—R. W. Olesto.

MASSAGE ASSOCIATION. SOUTH AUSTRALIAN BRANCH.

—First Year.—

Passed.—Misses Close, Haste, and Wall, and Mrs. Barnard.

—Final Examination.—

Passed.—Misses Treloar and Phillips.

Advertiser 24.11.17

GENERAL NEWS.

THE UNIVERSITY AND ENEMIES.

The following notice of motion has been given by Dr. B. Smeaton for the meeting of the senate of the University of Adelaide, on Wednesday next:—"That in the opinion of this senate, no enemy of the Empire should hold or retain any position or academic degree in this University."

Advertiser 24.11.17

UNIVERSITY EXAMINATION REGULATIONS.

A number of amendments to statutes relating to scholarships and to regulations governing degrees and examinations have been approved by the council of the University of Adelaide, and they will be submitted for ratification to a meeting of the senate on Wednesday next. The general idea is to bring the conditions up to date. It is proposed to add English literature to the list of compulsory subjects for the junior public and senior public examinations. Regulations are proposed to do away with the honors lists in the senior and junior public examinations. In the past all candidates have been included in these lists, irrespective of age, but should the new proposals be adopted, the honors list will contain, in order of merit only, the names of those candidates who are under the age of 17 on December 1 in the year in which the examination is held in the case of the senior, and under 16 for the junior. The names of those who are over the respective ages will not be published in order of merit, but will be given separately and alphabetically.

RUSSIA AND THE REVOLUTION

WHAT THE PARTIES WANT.

In a letter addressed to Mr. C. R. Hodge the Registrar of the University, Sir Douglas Maclean writes as follows:

Daily Herald 27.11.17

AUSTRALIAN PROBLEMS

AFFORESTATION AND INDIA.

The Minister of Home and Territories (Hon. P. McM. Glynn) stated yesterday that he had recently secured the services of two experts on afforestation for a special report. Opinions had been expressed regarding the capabilities of the Federal capital territory for tree planting, &c., but the matter required care, but something might be done if the soil and climate proved suitable. Mr. Campbell (of the Melbourne corporation) and Mr. Johnson (of the Victorian Forestry Department), at his request, had visited the Territory. The idea was to employ returned soldiers in connection with afforestation. The Minister of Repatriation (Senator Millen) desired to see what could be done in this State and the territories in that direction. The reports were most technical, but dealt at length with the suitability of the soil, the class of trees, and the direct methods of securing the best commercial results. In the Federal territory most of the hills were admirably placed for planting trees of commercial value. Some of the slopes also were suitable, and no clearing was required. One report referred particularly to varieties of pines suitable, and to firs, such as the Douglas of British Columbia and the American western pine, which would do at any elevation. Soft and hard woods, which were of commercial value, were recommended for the hills, and so arranged as to have an influence on each other, and at the same time present an effective appearance. The Minister had asked for details of the scheme, such as the number of men employed, the total cost, and the estimated commercial results, in order to have an assurance that public expenditure would be productive.

On Friday he had a long conference with Mr. J. Andrews, who was on a mission to the Governments from India, with a view to promote a better knowledge of the relations of India and other parts of the Empire. There was a desire that certain classes of Indians should be afforded opportunities to visit Australia for educational and commercial purposes. These facilities already existed, as arrangements had been made in 1904 for a temporary exemption of restrictions for 12 months, with the possibility of extension of time, from the student and merchant classes. Several of the students had gone to the United Kingdom and to America, but it was thought that if facilities were offered many would come to Australia and take degrees at the universities and then return to India. It was desired also to open up closer commercial relations with that part of the Empire and Australasia. Australia was much nearer than any other part of the Empire to India. The population of India in the British territory was 215,000,000, and in the native States 70,000,000. External trade totalled £380,000,000, of which 41 per cent. was devoted to the United Kingdom and 11 per cent. to other parts of the Empire. A great advance in social, political, and economical Indian life had taken place in the past few years.

Advertiser 28.11.17

UNIVERSITY OF ADELAIDE.

EXAMINATION RESULTS, NOVEMBER, 1917.

EXAMINATION FOR THE HONOURS DEGREE OF B.Sc.

—Chemistry.—

Second Class.—Hurst, Walter William, B.Sc.

—Electrical Engineering II (86).—

Division II.—Mongan, Horace John.

EXAMINATION FOR THE DIPLOMA IN

COMMERCE.

Pass List (in alphabetical order).

—Business Practice.—

Division I.—Chaplin, Eric Stanley; Dermott, John Edwin; Painter, Edward Wedecale. Division II.—Barlow, Charles Walter William; Francis, Ainslie De Lacey; Higgins, Harry Coote; Rooney, Patrick William; Savage, Hubert Joseph; Sutton, Charles Ronald. Division III.—Bayly, Ernest Edward; Davidson, Cuthbert Hewett; Giles, Kenneth Lvingstone; Jones, Quintin Cuthbert; Kinriah, Florence Maud; Peck, Wallace Victor Thomas.

—Accountancy.—

Division I.—Rooney, Patrick William. Division II.—Painter, David; Taine, Eric Harry; Williams, Eric Spencer. Division III.—Bulk, Roy Mostyn; Cant, Leonard George; James, Charles Kingsley; Miller, Clifford William Littlejohn; Miller, Gavin Robert; Moyle, John Ewart; Sambell, Frederick James; Whitridge, Gladstone Keith; Williams, John Carter.

—Commercial Law.—

Division I.—Chaplin, Eric Stanley; Hughes, George Alfred; Painter, Edward Wedecale. Division II.—Francis, Ainslie De Lacey; Peck, Wallace Victor Thomas; Reed, Percival; Rooney, Patrick William; Savage, Hubert Joseph. Division III.—Barlow, Charles Walter William; Uphick, Keith Lancelot; Higgins, Harry Coote; Lyon, James Cuthbert; Vawter, Leeson William.

—Economics.—

First Year.—Division I.—Rooney, Patrick William. Division II.—Miller, Gavin Robert; Moyle, Cecil Clarence. Division III.—Dixon, John Faulkner; James, Charles Kingsley; Mitchell, Bruce; Vawter, Leeson William.

Advertiser 28.11.14

UNIVERSITY HONORS FOR GERMAN SUBJECTS.

Captain A. S. Blackburn, V.C., writes: "May I be allowed through your columns to draw the attention of members of the senate of the University of Adelaide to a matter which will come before them at their meeting on November 28? The matter to which I refer deals with the cancellation of honors conferred by our University on German subjects. As these honors were conferred on certain learned men as exponents and products of German culture, and as we have now learnt what that culture consists of, may I urge all members of the senate to attend the meeting on Wednesday, and do all in their power to cleanse and purify the records of our University?"

Advertiser 28.11.14

ALLEN ENEMIES AND UNIVERSITY DEGREES

From T. N. STEPHENS:—I do not want to anticipate, and from the notice of motion am not clear regarding Dr. Smeaton's precise object, but I do want to say that I am sure the doctor may rely upon a strong and rapidly growing determination in Australia to refuse any place, particularly a place of special honour, to members of the race that needlessly brought about the war, and among other things, caused such a awful death roll in the ranks of the gifted, the young, the brave representatives of the Empire's universities. These noble dead will obtain in their schools and in our hearts a perpetual memorial, but shall we also allow special recognition, give special honourable place in our highest educational ranks to members of races which are practically the murderers of the scholars? Of course I can hear the old tag, *ars longa, vita brevis*, as Longfellow has it, "Art is long, and time is fleeting," and "the catholicity of art," and so on, but not particularly applicable to the present case. Besides, this is no time for sentiment; we have had too much of it already. What I have most in my mind is the case of two men, Albrecht Penck and Felix von Luschan, a German and an Austrian, who were here with the Science Congress, were publicly welcomed, and had degrees conferred upon them, admitted *ad eundem gradum*, by our University. This seemed a graceful, proper thing to do, and there was nothing unusual about it, although the date, August, 1914, was unfortunate. We know Germans and Austrians better now, and whatever the result of Dr. Smeaton's motion in the Senate, I hope the University Council will see fit to remove the two names from a list at the head of which stands His Majesty the King, who himself, like our Parliament, has done some "removing" of German names, greatly to the satisfaction of British subjects the world over. The members of the senate and council are gentlemen, and one can understand some disinclination to "give a thing, take a thing," as the boys say, considering the German and Austrian record since 1914; but there need be no compunction about it in this case, especially after the Kaiser's statement of our "odious British nation. Nevertheless will we enter into relations with these people."

Register 29.11.14

ENEMY PROFESSORS.

Removal from University Roll Urged.

At the annual meeting of the senate of the Adelaide University on Wednesday afternoon an animated discussion took place in regard to the position of two foreign professors who had been admitted *ad eundem*. They had accompanied the members of the British Science Association who visited Australia. Their names were Professor Albrecht Penck (a German) and Professor Felix von Luschan (an Austrian). Dr. Smeaton moved—"That it is the opinion of this senate that no enemy subject of the Empire should hold or retain any position or academic degree in the University." He said that Professors Penck and von Luschan were probably exercising influential and possibly active enmity towards the British nation.

Dr. A. C. Magarey seconded.

Miss M. Jackson, M.A., said it seemed to her to be a rather childish idea to remove from the roll of the University the names of two men who had been accepted in good faith, and who were now on the other side of the world, and could not answer for themselves.

Dr. Kerr Grant said it appeared injudicious to single out two men who had come to Australia as honoured guests. He hoped that the senate would, in the exercise of its good sense, reject the motion.

Mr. J. Kollosche, M.A., remarked that he would support the motion only if it could be shown that the two men named had done anything to deserve removal.

Mr. Talbot Smith, while supporting the spirit of the proposal, said the senate, if it struck off the two professors named, would stultify itself. On that ground he opposed the suggestion.