# MANAGEMENT OF BONESEED (CHRYSANTHEMOIDES MONILIFERA SSP. MONILIFERA) (L.) T. NORL. USING FIRE, HERBICIDES AND OTHER TECHNIQUES IN AUSTRALIAN WOODLANDS

# Rachel L. Melland

Thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

School of Agriculture, Food and Wine University of Adelaide

**August 2007** 

# **Table of Contents**

TABLE OF CONTENTS	II
ABSTRACT	VI
DECLARATION	VIII
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Aims of this thesis	3
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 PROCESSES OF NATIVE ECOSYSTEM DEGRADATION	5
2.2 GLOBAL PLANT INVASIONS – ECOSYSTEM DEGRADING PROCESSES	6
2.3 THE ENVIRONMENTAL WEED PROBLEM IN AUSTRALIA	10
2.4 Causes and processes of invasiveness	12
2.4.1 Anthropogenic and natural disturbance events	14
2.4.2 Climatic similarity	14
2.4.3 Competitive ability in a novel environment	15
2.4.4 Fire	17
2.5 A SHORT HISTORY OF FIRE/PLANT INTERACTIONS IN AUSTRALIA	19
2.6 Boneseed as an invasive species in Australia	22
2.7 CHRYSANTHEMOIDES BIOLOGY AND ECOLOGY	26
2.8 DISTRIBUTION IN SOUTH AFRICA AND AUSTRALIA	26
2.9 Management of woody weeds in temperate natural ecosystems	OF THE WORLD
	29
2.9.1 Ecological weed control and restoration	29
2.9.2 Physical and grazing weed control	32
2.9.3 Herbicide control	33
2.9.4 Fire control	35
2.9.5 Plant competition control	<i>3</i> 8
2.9.6 Biological control	39
2.9.7 Impact of biological control on boneseed	40
2.9.8 Other control methods	41
2.10 Conclusion	42

CHAPTER 3: MATERIALS AND METHODS	44
3.1 Materials and methods used throughout thesis	44
3.1.1 Experimental sites	44
3.1.2 Experimental design	49
3.1.3 Experimental timetables and treatments applied at each site	49
3.1.4 Description of boneseed infestations and native vegetation within e	ach site:
density, fuel loads, soil seed banks and native ecosystem degradation	53
3.1.5 Pre-burn vegetation preparation, burn conditions and implementat	ion methods at
the species rich and degraded sites	54
3.1.6 Controlled burn measurements	55
3.1.7 Herbicide application treatment	58
3.1.8 Plant recording techniques	59
3.1.9 Statistical analysis used throughout this thesis	61
3.2 Measured vegetation characterisation at experimental sites	65
3.2.1 Measures of structural differences between 'areas' within boneseed	d populations at
each site	68
3.3 VEGETATION DESCRIPTIONS	77
3.3.1 Management considerations for boneseed populations of a species	rich infestation
type and a degraded infestation type	79
CHAPTER 4: BURNING BONESEED: FUEL LOADS AND DESTRUC	TION OF THE
SOIL SEED BANK	81
4.1 Introduction	81
4.2 Methods	83
4.2.1 Pre-burn vegetation preparation, burn conditions and implementat	ion methods at
the species rich and degraded sites	83
4.2.2 Controlled burn measurements	83
4.2.3 Statistical analysis	83
4.3 Results	84
4.3.1 Controlled burn: temperature variability	84
4.3.2 Controlled burn: temperature duration	91
4.3.3 Impact of fuel loads on burn temperatures	94
4.3.4 Depletion of the boneseed soil seed bank	96
4.4 Discussion	101

4.4.1 Persistence of the boneseed soil seed bank	101
4.4.2 Boneseed fuel types and amounts required for effective bur	ns104
4.5 CONCLUSION	107
CHAPTER 5: EFFECTS OF HERBICIDES, MANUAL REMOV	AL AND SOWN
NATIVE GRASS ON POST FIRE BONESEED POPULATIONS	
5.1 Introduction	109
5.2 Materials and methods	
5.2.1 Experimental design and treatment	110
5.2.5 Statistics used in this chapter	
5.3 Results	
5.3.1 Post-fire boneseed seedling emergence	114
5.3.2 Effects of post-fire boneseed control treatments on reducin	g the number and impact
of boneseed seedlings	119
5.3.2 Effects of post-fire boneseed control treatments on reducin	g the number and impact
of boneseed seedlings	119
5.3.3 Early herbicide treatment effect on boneseed seedling bion	nass and fecundity ratio
at the degraded site	142
5.3.4 New boneseed seed input into the soil across all treatments	after three growth
seasons	145
5.4 Discussion	147
5.4.1 Boneseed seedling emergence after fire	148
5.4.2 Similarities and differences between boneseed control trea	tments at the species
rich and degraded sites	149
5.5 CONCLUSION	152
CHAPTER 6: EFFECTS OF BONESEED CONTROL METHOI	OS ON SECONDARY
WEEDS AND NATIVE SPECIES	
6.1 Introduction	
6.2 METHODS	
6.2.1 Native vegetation and secondary weed parameters recorde	
recording for both sites	v
6.2.2 Statistical analyses	
6.3 Results	
6.3.1 Effects of treatments on secondary weeds	
one in Light the state of the control of the contro	,

6.3.2 Treatment effects on native species at both experimental sites	161
6.4 DISCUSSION	169
6.5 CONCLUSION - CONTROL OF SECONDARY WEEDS	174
CHAPTER 7: GENERAL DISCUSSION	177
7.1 Using broad scale disturbance mechanisms to manage woody weeds	178
7.1.1 Fire	179
7.1.2 Other disturbances for use in weed control	180
7.2 Integrated control: fire and follow up control prior to new seed se	т181
7.3 A PROTOCOL FOR AN ADAPTIVE MOSAIC OF SEVERAL INTEGRATED WEED CONTI	ROL
STRATEGIES	183
7.4 THE ECONOMIC AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS OF THIS RESEARCH	185
7.5 FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS FOR BONESEED RESEARCH IN AUSTRALIA	186
7.5.1 Integrating biological control with 'disturbance' control methods	186
7.5.2 Integration of revegetation measures	187
7.6 FUTURE RESEARCH NEEDS FOR WOODY WEEDS IN AUSTRALIA	187
BIBLIOGRAPHY	189
APPENDICES	213

### **Abstract**

Invasive plants cause ecosystem degradation throughout the world, including the reduction of native plant density and diversity, and changes in ecosystem structure and function. Woody weeds often grow faster than native species and in invaded habitats produce larger and/or more seed and outshade other mid- and under-storey species. Boneseed *Chrysanthemoides monilifera* ssp. *monilifera* (L.) T. Norl. has caused the degradation of many temperate woodlands in Australia and has not yet reached its full potential distribution in this country. The control of this weed is therefore a high priority in Australia. Biological control agents have not controlled boneseed populations to date and no detailed integrated control strategies exist for different densities of mature boneseed plants and soil seed banks in native vegetation of varying levels of degradation. Fire, herbicides and manual plant removal have previously been used to control boneseed; however, substantial landscape scale control has not yet been achieved.

Boneseed population control experiments were undertaken in two temperate woodlands in Victoria, Australia. In highly degraded temperate grassy woodlands at the You Yangs Regional Park west of Melbourne in Victoria and in a highly diverse native closed woodland at Arthurs Seat State Park in south-eastern Victoria. Several combinations of the weed control techniques of fire, herbicide application, hand-pulling of seedlings and distribution of competitive native grasses were found to control both mature boneseed populations and the large reserves of viable boneseed seeds in the soil. The efficacy of controlled burning, and the combination and timing of control techniques were found to vary according to differing densities of boneseed plants, viable soil seed banks and post-fire emergent seedlings.

Where sufficient fine fuel existed, a warm, even, autumn burn consumed above ground biomass, killed the majority of viable boneseed seed in the soil, and caused the remaining boneseed seed to germinate. Spraying with glyphosate herbicide was as effective as metsulfuron-methyl herbicide for killing boneseed seedlings along with the secondary climbing weed *Billardiera heterophylla* (Lindl.) L.W.Cayzer & Crisp after fire. However, the use of glyphosate also killed all native species, resulting in bare ground. After fire in species rich vegetation, boneseed was eliminated where seed of the native C3 grass *Poa sieberiana* Spreng, had been broadcast onto the post fire ash-bed, and seedlings had been sprayed five

months after the burn or where seedlings had been sprayed 12 months after burning. Boneseed control occurred when seedlings were sprayed five months after the burn. In degraded vegetation few boneseed seedlings remained where seedlings were sprayed 17 months after fire. Where insufficient rainfall occurred, hand-pulling flowering boneseed seedlings prevented new seed fall for 6 to 12 months.

Suggestions are made for the integration of these methods with the establishment and proliferation of biological control agents. A new protocol for utilising several integrated control strategies for boneseed and other woody weeds in a mosaic at both the site and landscape scale is described. A mosaic would allow for a variety of native species responses to fire and other control methods and thus lead to heterogeneous ages and structures within the native vegetation following weed control.

# Declaration

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being ma	ade
available in all forms of media, now or hereafter known.	

Signed:	Date:
~ -0	

## Acknowledgements

Thank-you to the extremely helpful staff of Parks Victoria and the Country Fire Authority who undertook the control burning and gave me access to field sites, especially Scott Armstrong, Wayne Hill and Michael Forsyth. Thank-you to my colleagues at the Waite Campus of Adelaide University and in the Dept. of Primary Industries, Victoria. Special thanks go to Julio Bonilla for his herbicide application expertise and to Michelle Lorimer for her statistical expertise. Thank-you to Steven Powles, Robin Adair and the CRC for Weed Management Systems for giving me the opportunity to undertake these studies.

Many thanks to my supervisors Christopher Preston, Nigel Ainsworth and Richard Roush for their support and contributions. Special thanks go to Nigel Ainsworth in Victoria for his time spent in the field and to Chris Preston in South Australia for his positive professionalism and his consistent attention to detail. Additional thanks to John Virtue for supporting my professional development.

Extra special thanks go to Pam Melland for her tireless support of myself and my work, Anne Turner for help with thesis structure, Alice Melland for chapter revisions and to Jonathan Melland for helping with grammatical revision. I would like to thank my friends and family for their support and for providing the majority of my assistance in the field. Special thanks go to Alice Melland, Anne Turner, Richard Mills, Shelly McIllree and Briony Schroor for the former and Pam Melland, Hayden Asmussen, Justin Fisher, Jane Sexton and Juan Juttner for the latter. Thank-you to my partner Juan for understanding the long PhD road, and to my son Oliver for teaching me more than I could learn from writing a great many theses.