1	COMSR STEVENS
2 3	HINDMARSH ISLAND BRIDGE ROYAL COMMISSION
4	THE COMMISSION
4 5	TUESDAY, 17 OCTOBER 1995
6	
7	RESUMING 10.38 A.M.
8	MR SMITH: The evidence today is the
9	cross-examination of Philip Clarke continuing. I'm
10	assured by counsel - and no other witness has been
11	arranged for today, on the basis that I'm told by
12	counsel that is going to take today. I hope that it
13	will finish today so that we can get on with other
14	evidence.
15	A couple of preliminary matters. First of all,
16	Exhibit 197 is the State Department of Aboriginal
17	Affairs documents.
18	COMSR: That's right.
19	MR SMITH: I seek leave to substitute, for the two
20	volumes you have, these two volumes, which are a more
21	complete, itemised list of the documents. That's just a
22	substitution. If I could recover those two volumes and
23	give to the tribunal these.
24	MR KENNY: If I can just seek some clarification of
25	my friend while I think of it; we have been provided
26	with copies. I merely enquire whether I have the
27	original or new version.
28	MR SMITH: All counsel will have an updated version
29	of Exhibit 197. So the 197 exhibit which I've just
30	replaced is now the complete DOSAA documents indexed
31	COMSR: They is, of course, restricted
32	circulation to counsel, subject to the conditions as to
33	confidentiality and return of the documents.
34	MR SMITH: Indeed.
35	MR KENNY: Did I hear you correctly? You require
36	the return of those documents of that exhibit from us?
37	Because I simply point out that we have been writing all
38	over them.

1	COMSR:	That doesn't matter.
2	MR KENNY:	I don't want to hand them back in.
3	MR SMITH:	At the end of the commission.
4	COMSR:	I don't say now, at the conclusion of
5	the commissio	n for the purposes of simply ensuring the
6	confidentiality	of the information. I think you signed
7	an undertaking	g, did you not?
8	MR KENNY:	I've signed an undertaking, certainly.
9	I perhaps didn	't appreciate, or I don't recall that the
10	undertaking sa	aid we had to hand them back in. I'm not
11	concerned abo	out that as a condition, I merely say that
12	we have been	writing all over these exhibits and writing
13	questions, and	I I would then be concerned about handing
14	them back.	_
15	MR SMITH:	I can resolve that with Mr Kenny.
16	Can I come	to the suppression orders, then deal with
17	Mr Anderson	's client. The suppression order for the
18	Exhibit 52 mi	nute, you suppressed on the last occasion
19		hat was given by Dorothy Wilson in
20	relation to -	
21	COMSR:	I don't know that I did it on the last
22	occasion.	
23	MR SMITH:	It was two occasions ago, indeed.
24	COMSR:	Evidence relating to that minute are you
25	saying?	
26	MR SMÏTH:	Yes.
27	COMSR:	Evidence relating to the meeting, or the
28	minutes of me	eeting. Have we got a page reference?
29	MR SMITH:	Yes, that's p.1047 you made the
30	suppression of	rder, and you will remember it was on the
31		e evidence was given by Dorothy Wilson as
32		s of that minute and, at that stage, the
33	minute was ur	nconfirmed. Her recent evidence has
34	confirmed the	minute.
35	COMSR:	Application to lift the suppression.
36	MR SMITH:	If you were minded to. You made the
37	suppression of	rder on a temporary basis pending the
38		of the minute, and to allow Mr Kenny to get

38

```
1
      some instructions about whether the minute was, in fact,
2
       confirmed.
3
    COMSR:
                      I think evidence was subsequently called
       from -
5
    MR SMITH:
                        Mrs Wilson.
6
                      Yes, Mrs Wilson as to confirmation of
    COMSR:
7
      the minute. Does anyone want to be heard on that
8
      application?
    MR KENNY:
9
                         Yes. I've made various comments about
10
       this before, and I repeat some of those to remind you
11
       that it is not my position to say whether they were or
12
       were not confirmed, that's a matter of me giving
13
       evidence, and I'm not.
                       We have had evidence and you're not
14
    COMSR:
       proposing to call any contrary evidence.
15
16
    MR KENNY:
                         Not at this stage. There may be later,
17
       but I'm not proposing to at this stage. Now I merely
18
       say that what occurred in this case was an exhibit of
19
       minutes of a meeting that this person, this witness, was
20
       not present at, it was simply put to her, and it was
21
       essentially reading the selected parts of that exhibit
22
       to the witness, who had no idea whether that had been
23
       said or not. Then the exhibit was tendered. Now
24
       essentially what has occurred is that an exhibit, which
25
       normally would not be distributed is, in highlight,
26
       being distributed via the media, so it's a selected
27
       reading of it, if you like, and a reading selected by
28
       one party, so it's their part that they wish to put.
29
       Now as you say, the normal rules of evidence don't
30
       apply, and you've allowed them to tender that document,
31
       but what I say is by releasing that part of transcript
32
       you are, in a sense, releasing on behalf of one party
33
       their preferred part and their preferred reading of it.
34
                      Fortunately, several of your clients
    COMSR:
35
       were present and are in a position to explain it more
36
       fully.
37
    MR KENNY:
                         That can be a question for them when
```

they appear in the witness box. Perhaps the matter can

1	be re-explored at that time, but those are my
2	submissions.
2 3	COMSR: Does anyone else - ? I propose to lift
4	the suppression order which applies to pages -
5	MR SMITH: The actual evidence starts at p.1045,
6	extends through to p.1046, with your suppression order -
7	I suppose the evidence topic begins at p.1043, and then
8	you make the suppression order at p.1047.
9	COMSR: It's the evidence relating to the
10	minutes of the meeting of the - what is the correct name
11	- Lower Murray Nunga's Club for a meeting held on 30
12	July 1994.
13	MR ÅBBOTT: It's the Ngarrindjeri Action Group. The
14	fax is from the Lower Murray Nunga's Club.
15	COMSR: That's right. It's the Ngarrindjeri
16	Action Group. Are there any more preliminary matters?
17	MR SMITH: Yes. The other matter that you can deal
18	with is the suppression order you made in relation to
19	the 7.30 Report raw footage on 23 August of this year.
20	Your order was made at p.1231 of the transcript. You
21	will recall that you suppressed the footage of the 7.30
22	Report raw tape touching on the conversation with the
23	women at the end of the tape, Maggie Jacobs, Veronica
24	Brodie and Betty Fisher, and you suppressed that at that
25	stage. I don't think you suppressed anything else from
26	the raw footage tape.
27	COMSR: That's right.
28	MR SMITH: If you want to deal with that, you will
29	need to hear from Mr Anderson on that.
30	MR ANDERSON: It won't take long. We did ask for the
31	suppression, and the reason, you will remember, was
32	because it wasn't for our own interests, but because the
33	ladies were recorded off-camera, and we thought it
34	wasn't fair for them to be in the public arena when they
35	thought they were off-camera. They have been in the
36	public arena and have been since I made that submission,
37	and we can't see any reason for that remaining.
38	COMSR: I order that that suppression order of

1 the raw footage of the 7.30 Report be lifted, so that 2 the whole of the raw footage tape is not subject to any suppression order. MR ANDERSON: Quite, thank you, and that's why I asked 5 for your indulgence yesterday, so that I could check the 6 circumstances of that overnight, and I'm obliged to you 7 for allowing me to do that. The second suppression order you made yesterday in 8 9 relation to the allegations made by Mr Abbott; can I 10 just tell you that we have been out to the ABC this 11 morning, and I don't know whether Mr Abbott has got any 12 instructions because he wasn't present, but we have 13 viewed the Betacam tape, that is the original ABC tape 14 from which the exhibit was copied on to VHS, and I can 15 tell you that Exhibit 22B, which is the raw footage 16 transcript which was taken from the alleged 'doctored' 17 tape, is verbatim with the original which we saw and 18 heard this morning. When I say 'verbatim', that's with 19 the exception of perhaps a couple of ums and ers which 20 weren't strictly recorded. My client made the 21 application for suppression yesterday because of the 22 rather outstanding allegation that was made by my 23 learned friend. We're assuming, of course, that he made 24 that allegation with instructions from his client. We 25 therefore ask him to obtain fresh instructions from his 26 client, and withdraw that allegation. No doubt he will 27 need to take instructions on that, and I'd ask that that 28 be relisted at 2.15, if that's possible, for that to be 29 dealt with. In other words -30 MR ABBOTT: This is just, dare I say it, grandstanding by Mr Anderson. It's not a matter on 31 32 which I propose to take my client's instructions at all. 33 The fact of the matter is that it was obvious to most, 34 if not all of us here, that the raw footage videotape 35 that was eventually supplied in VHS form had been 36 doctored. It had been doctored in the sense, as I said 37 to Ms Alison Caldwell, that someone had laid down on one 38 of the two sound tracks a noise which distorts or ruins

38

1 the sound for part of the raw footage. Ms Caldwell 2 agreed with me that that was the effect of what had happened. COMSR: I suppose doctoring has something of an 5 implication of perhaps a deliberate action rather than 6 that it's the result of the normal consequences of 7 trying to transfer one from system to another. 8 MR ABBOTT: Exactly. 9 MR ANDERSON: My friend went as far as saying, I 10 remind him, that it was deliberately done to create a 11 distortion so that the actual words couldn't be heard. 12 That was his allegation. No, it's at p.3802. I said `Someone's 13 MR ABBOTT: 14 laid down, on one of the two sound tracks, a noise to 15 distort or minimise what we can hear on the raw 16 footage'. Ms Caldwell said she didn't know. `I think 17 that appears to be what happened'. She said 'I was in the Royal Commission, I don't know what happened'. 18 19 `That's the effect of what happened, isn't it'. `Yes' 20 now she has agreed with me that it's been doctored. The 21 only issue is in what sense is the word 'doctoring' 22 appropriate. Like the word `fabricating', it can mean a 23 deliberate - my learned friend says this was accidental, 24 he says that from the bar table. The suggestion that I 25 should sort of go off and get instructions from my 26 clients on a matter of what I would regard as fairly 27 elementary scientific knowledge; that these camera tapes 28 have two sounds tracks, one for the camera and one for 29 the on-air, and that on one of the sound tracks there 30 has been superimposed a noise or distortion to minimise 31 what we can hear on the raw footage, is not a matter on 32 which I need to seek instructions at all. Indeed it 33 appears that that is the effect of what has been done. 34 My learned friend comes along and says `it wasn't 35 deliberately done by the ABC, it just happened to have 36 occurred as we were trying to create the raw footage 37 tape'. If that's so, so be it. I'm not going to

withdraw what I said to the witness. The witness has

1 answered the question, that's how it appeared to us at 2 the time, and so be it. 3 COMSR: But Mr Anderson has given me an explanation, you're not querying that explanation? 5 The fact of the matter is I don't know MR ABBOTT: 6 whether, on the Beta track, on the two tracks in the 7 Beta recording, on one of those two sound tracks someone 8 has recorded, at the time when the raw footage was being 9 created on those Beta tracks, the jackhammers and 10 whistles and bleeps and the other noise that we can 11 hear. I don't know whether Mr Anderson is asserting 12 that that was recorded at the time that the other 13 soundtrack recorded the voices or not, or whether it's a 14 distortion which has been somehow otherwise collected, 15 but this is not a matter that I think should occupy our 16 time. The fact of the matter is that we have now been 17 given access to apparently what are `clean' in the sense 18 of non-distorted raw footage, and it's something that 19 should have happened weeks ago, instead of them 20 producing a raw footage tape which they must have known 21 had distortion and noise on it. They should have done 22 the right thing weeks ago when they first produced it. 23 MR ANDERSON: I think my friend has missed the point. 24 Distortions, as he alleges, were not such as to prevent 25 those listening in authority within this commission from 26 recording an accurate transcript verbatim, and if his 27 allegation was that these noises, extraneous or whatever, were included to prevent a complete hearing of 28 29 the transcript, then he must withdraw that allegation. 30 That's not my allegation. The fact of MR ABBOTT: 31 the matter is that he didn't know what was underneath at 32 the time when these noises were on. All we can hear 33 were these wretched jackhammers. 34 The allegation is not that they were COMSR: 35 done to cause it but, as a consequent of it, it might 36 have. 37 MR ANDERSON: That's not doctoring, with respect. 38 MR ABBOTT: How did the jackhammer noises get on

1	there?
2	MR ANDERSON: It's not doctoring, it's an unfortunate
3	choce of words by my learned friend, and I ask him to
4	withdraw it. It can easily be clarified if he makes a
5	statement indicating what he is prepared to agree to.
6	Until such time that he withdraws the allegation of
7	doctoring, my client claims there has been an injustice
8	by my learned friend in treating that matter in that way
9	yesterday. The only impression from any member of the
10	public hearing Mr Abbott yesterday could be that the ABC
11	deliberately, with a view to preventing all the words
12	being properly transcribed, introduced extraneous noises
13	for the purpose of preventing the true words being
14	known. That could be the only impression that came from
15	that allegation yesterday, and I ask him to clarify it
16	and make sure that there is no misunderstanding, that
17	that's what he did not mean.
18	MR ABBOTT: I don't feel that I have to respond to
19	Mr Anderson. This is not trial by challenge.
20	COMSR: I think the situation is this; I can't
21	force Mr Abbott to say anything on this matter, Mr
22	Anderson. You've given an explanation. I don't know,
23	but I take it that there was someone in attendance from
24	the commission this morning?
25	MR SMITH: Yes, Ms Basheer went for the commission
26	and it's true and it's correct that the tape that Ms
27	Basheer viewed was clearly audible, no background noise
28	distorting any of the material on the raw footage tape.
29	COMSR: I think all I have to say is that I
30	don't propose - you've given the explanation.
31	MR ANDERSON: Thank you.
32	COMSR: I don't propose to take the matter any
33	further.
34	CONTINUED

- 1 MR SMITH: Because Mr Anderson is here, this may be
- a good time to resolve one small outstanding matter,
- from the Commission's point of view, in Alison
- 4 Caldwell's evidence. And Mr Anderson doesn't object to
- 5 Ms Caldwell taking the stand again. I want to ventilate
- 6 one matter with Ms Caldwell.
- 7 MR ANDERSON: That is so. That was raised this
- 8 morning. She is present.
- 9 COMSR: Yes, Ms Caldwell is present.
- 10 MR ANDERSON: There is no problem with that.
- 11 COMSR: Ms Caldwell, would you mind resuming? I
- think, in any case, you were released on the
- understanding that you might be required.
- 14 WITNESS A. CALDWELL ENTERS TO WITNESS BOX
- 15 FURTHER RE-EXAMINATION BY MR SMITH
- 16 Q. Yesterday in evidence we canvassed with you really the existence of two documents.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. The notebook of Betty Fisher, with cursive handwritten
- writing in it. And we canvassed also the typewritten
- 21 transcript of that notebook, or part of it.
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. But I was reminded last night, by watching the 7.30
- Report, that there really is a third category of
- paperwork, isn't there.
- 26 A. Yes.
- 27 Q. Touching on this very topic. The category is, is it
- 28 not, the photocopies of handwritten cursive writing.
- 29 A. Yes.
- 30 Q. With a fax header from Aboriginal Legal Rights Movement
- 31 on it.
- 32 A. I'm not sure if there was a fax header on it.
- Q. And that was material which was the subject of camera footage, was it not.
- 35 A. Yes.
- 36 Q. That was camera footage taken, according to the shot
- list, and I can show you the shot list, do you want to
- look at that.

- 1 A. No, I know what you are talking about.
- 2 Q. On 4 August 1995.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Is it the case, you told us yesterday that it was on 4
- 5 August 1995, was it not, that you collected from Doreen
- 6 Kartinyeri, at the bus station, the typed transcript of
- 7 what you understood was in the notebook.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. In fact, you were able to confirm, by seeing the
- notebook later, that it was, in fact, a typed transcript of the notebook.
- 12 A. To the extent that I could see the notebook, yes.
- 13 Q. Where then does this photocopy of the handwriting arise.
- How did the ABC come to have that.
- 15 A. At the same time as I was handed the typed transcript by
- Doreen Kartinyeri, she also handed me photocopies,
- alleged photocopies of the notebook. I think they were
- only two pages, they may have been three.
- 19 Q. You told us that you returned the typed transcript to
- Doreen, on 8 August.
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. But did you return the photocopy of the cursive handwriting, as well.
- 24 A. Yes, at the same time and in the same package that I
- sent to Doreen Kartinyeri with the typed transcript was
- the photocopied pages of the notebook.
- Q. How many photocopied pages of the notebook were you given by Doreen Kartinyeri.
- 29 A. As I said, I think only two, but there may have been
- three, possibly even four, I don't remember, but they
- were double pages. So, on a page you would see two
- 32 sides
- 33 Q. For every page of typed transcript, were you given the
- corresponding page of photocopied cursive writing.
- 35 A. I think so.
- 36 Q. That had on it, or I asked you before, according to the
- 37 7.30 Report, that had a fax header `Aboriginal Legal
- 38 Rights Movement' on it.

- 1 A. The photocopy?
- Q. The photocopy.
- 3 A. Did it?
- 4 Q. Do you remember that.
- 5 A. No, I don't, no. And I think, in the story that went to
- air that night, you see shots of the photocopy, but you only see the centre of the photocopy. You don't see the
- 8 edges. I'm not sure.
- 9 MR MEYER: I think it would be useful if we saw it,
- because my recollection is that has got a header on it.
- 11 MR ABBOTT: Yes `Aboriginal Legal Rights Movement'
- it has got on it.
- 13 MR MEYER: Rather than have the witness guess.
- 14 MR SMITH: We can get to that pretty smartly. And
- it can be the 7.30 Report itself, rather than the raw
- 16 footage.
- 17 COMSR: Is this going to occupy much time, Mr
- 18 Smith? Is it the to-air tape you want? For what
- 19 purpose? Are you asking the witness to confirm it?
- 20 MR SMITH: Yes, I just wanted the witness to
- 21 confirm that.
- 22 MR ABBOTT: Can we have the raw footage tape on?
- 23 MR MEYER: We will find it. I presume that Ms
- 24 Caldwell will often be here. We will find it and
- clarify it.
- 26 MR ANDERSON: She might be going to be here, but that
- is not fair if she is coming here every day to do her
- work and thinks she may be in the witness box.
- 29 COMSR: That is so.
- 30 XN
- 31 Q. You would accept, in any event, it seems to be an
- unanimous view, that the fax header was on the photocopy
- of the cursive writing that you collected from Doreen
- 34 Kartinyeri.
- 35 A. I can't say that it was. I really can't. It may have
- been, but I can't say for sure, but it may have been.
- 37 Q. We will show you that.
- 38 A. Sure.

- 1 Q. When you were telling us what happened yesterday,
- certainly I didn't direct your attention to that. Hadyou omitted to tell me that.
- 4 A. No, it was not a deliberate thing whatsoever. The first
- 5 when your officer Jayne raised it with me this
- 6 morning, that was the first time since I had spoken to
- 7 my counsel about that issue.
- Q. The photocopy of what was in the notebook that you were given by Doreen Kartinyeri, did you compare that with
- the notebook when you were shown turning the pages of that.
- 12 A. No, not specifically. I didn't have a photocopy with me
- to compare, but it did look very much like the notebook.
- I had been told that it was a direct photocopy of the
- the notebook of certain pages in the notebook which
- 16 corresponded to the typed transcript that I was given.
- 17 It did look very much like the original, but I was more
- I was far more interested in seeing the original
- notebook than I was on relying on the photocopy of the notebook.
- 21 Q. You told us that Doreen Kartinyeri had marked with stars
- the typed transcript being that part of the transcript
- that you could film.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Is that right.
- 26 A. Yes.
- Q. I have got it right. Did she do the same for the photocopy of the handwriting.
- 29 A. I don't think she did.
- 30 Q. Although your cameramen did photograph the handwritten sections.
- 32 A. The sections that I had indicated I wanted photographed.
- 33 Q. One other matter: last night we had, on the 7.30 Report,
- some more shots of your hand turning the notebook. That
- is the same footage, is it, that was on the -
- 36 A. That is the same footage that appeared -
- 37 Q. On 7 August.
- 38 A. In the original story, on 7 August.

A. CALDWELL REXN (MR SMITH) XXN (MR KENNY)

- Q. Is that a different camera angle, is it different in
- A. No, that is exactly the same. That film last night I understand was taken from the original story broadcast 5 on 7 August.
- 6 Q. So, to the extent that it gives the appearance of the camera dwelling on the page for a few seconds, that is 8 the same as on the 7.30 Report of 7 August.
- 9 A. Yes, it may have been slowed down. It may have been 10 frozen, freeze-frame on that shot, but it was the same 11 shot taken from the story that went to air on 7 August.
- 12 I have no further matters. MR SMITH:
- I haven't cross-examined this witness. 13 MR KENNY:
- 14 I understand that we will be reserving any
- 15 cross-examination of her attendance at Mr Wardle's
- 16 office until a later time, is that correct?
- 17 MR SMITH: Only on that limited topic.
- 18 COMSR: Yes, only on that limited topic.
- 19 MR SMITH: If it is still a problem.
- 20 COMSR: And to the extent, of course, that that 21
- matter is pursued.
- 22 MR KENNY: Exactly. At this stage, I don't propose
- 23 to ask any questions. And, if it becomes apparent later 24 that I need to ask some, I will, if I need to clarify
- 25 something.
- 26 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR KENNY
- 27 Q. Looking at Exhibit 22B, now before you.
- 28 You are cross-examining on those matters
- 29 that relate to your clients' interest, I take it, Mr
- 30 Kenny?
- 31 MR KENNY: The question I am going to ask is about
- 32 Betty Fisher, really, but you may well appreciate that
- 33 Betty Fisher is an important witness, as far as my
- 34 clients are concerned, because they are accused of
- 35 fabrication. And that is a point that no-one else has
- 36 raised, except Mr Abbott, who has made quite some use of
- 37
- 38 COMSR: All right, then, go ahead and ask that.

- XXN
- Q. Looking at p.21 of the last paragraph, marked `VB'.
- A. Yes.
- Q. `VB' is Veronica Brodie. A. That's right.
- 5
- 6 Q. She there, I take it, was reading direct from the typed
- notes that you had been given by Doreen Kartinyeri, is 8 that correct.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. So that, when she read the sentence `By these ideas, 11 these parts, these are parts of my mother, my granny's
- 12 mother. She came from the Coorong.'
- 13 A. 'My granny's stuff.'
- 14 Q. `My granny's stuff.' And that was a reference to
- Koomi's mother and granny, is that correct. 15
- A. I would be assuming to say yes, I would be assuming so. 16
- 17 Assuming that this is the section where - it looks like
- 18 the section where she is reading from transcript. And I
- 19 understand that that transcript was the transcript of
- 20 the conversation with Koomi, or Rebecca Wilson. So, 21 yes.
- 22 Q. You were here yesterday.
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. When Betty Fisher gave evidence and you may recall Mr
- 25 Abbott cross-examined her about the question of where
- 26 Veronica Brodie's grandmother and great grandmother came 27 from.
- 28 A. I wasn't here when that happened, no.
- Q. But, in any event, Veronica Brodie clearly read, in your
- 30 presence, the fact that Koomi's mother and granny and
- 31 the suggestion that those people came from the Coorong
- 32 or, indeed, perhaps her granny came from the Coorong.
- A. Yes. 33
- 34 Q. If you look over the page at p.22, in the middle of the
- 35 page, the large paragraph marked 'VB', you will see
- 36 there again that Veronica Brodie is reading the material
- 37 that refers to Koomi's granny's and Koomi's granny
- 38 coming from the Coorong.

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Did, at any time, Veronica Brodie say to you that that was incorrect.
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. When I say `that', I mean that Koomi's granny came from the Coorong.
- 7 A. No.
- Q. She read it twice to you, but at no time did she saythat it was incorrect. Did anyone else question that.
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Perhaps looking at the top of p.23, while you are there,
- if you look at the bottom of p.22, you will see it is
- again Veronica Brodie speaking.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. In the middle of that top paragraph on p.23 she said `My
- sister, Leila, knew before me and I didn't query it, I
- heard, but she didn't tell me of the business, the
- stories that mum had told her and, I mean, she also
- may ask what they're doing with it and that's very important.'
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Could you also have a look at p.25 in the middle, or
- 23 slightly below the middle, Veronica Brodie again says
- No, no, I wasn't told things. It was my elder sister.
- Leila, who was told of the women's business at
- Hindmarsh Island. It wasn't for me to know.'
- 27 A. Yes, that's there, yes.
- 28 Q. Outside of what is written here, did you have any other
- 29 conversation or discussion with her about the fact that
- 30 her sister was told, but she wasn't.
- 31 A. No.
- 32 Q. Looking at Exhibit 212, which are your notes, turning to
- the very back page of that exhibit, it is headed
- 34 `Rebecca Wilson'.
- 35 A. Yes.
- 36 Q. They are your handwritten notes.
- 37 A. Yes
- 38 Q. Underneath you have got `Maggie Jacobs, 75 years, heard

3904

KC 47B

- stories from grandmother at school.'
 A. Yes.
 CONTINUED

- 1 Q. When did you record that note; do you recall.
- 2 A. When?
- Q. Yes. Was it at the same time they were filming the raw footage on 7 August sorry, I don't think it was the
- 5 7th, it was the Saturday, I think.
- 6 A. The 5th.
- 7 Q. Yes, that's correct. At that time at Debbie Thomas's
- 8 place. Was that before then.
- 9 A. That was on the same day.
- 10 Q. Was that as a result of the discussion with her at Debbie Thomas's house.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. You wrote that after that discussion.
- 14 A. Yes
- 15 Q. In fact, I think in Exhibit 22B there is a mention of
- it. In any event I can't find it for the moment. Your
- 17 recollection of what Maggie Jacobs said is that she
- heard stories from her grandmother, she was referring to
- the fact that she had heard stories about the sacredness
- of Hindmarsh Island to women; is that what she was
- 21 referring to.
- 22 A. I understood she was talking about women's business.
- 23 Q. In reference to Hindmarsh Island.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Did she say exactly what she heard.
- 26 A. No.
- 27 Q. Do you recall exactly what she said.
- 28 A. No, I don't.
- 29 Q. You have made a mention there of `at school'. Is it
- 30 possible that Maggie Jacobs was saying she was told when
- 31 she was still at school.
- 32 A. That's possible.
- Q. You, in fact, don't remember what the `school' referred to.
- 35 A. No. I think I assumed at the time she was talking about
- 36 the school at Point McLeav.
- 37 Q. She might have been told whilst she was at school at
- Point McLeay; is that your general impression.

A. CALDWELL XXN (MR KENNY)

COMSR: This witness wouldn't know that. 2 MR KENNY: It depends on what Maggie Jacobs said to her. I'm trying to explore that. NO FURTHER QUESTIONS 5 If the witness could pause for five MR SMITH: 6 seconds, I think we have located that part of the tape 7 which shows the fax header and showing the cursive 8 writing and which is, indeed, in the wild footage. That 9 is just being located and it will be - I will bring that 10 in and that could - Miss Caldwell can complete this 11 topic. 12 MR ANDERSON: Subject to that matter, do I understand that Miss Caldwell will be released save only for the 13 14 possibility of coming back in the event that something arises out of Mr Wardle's consultation with whoever it 15 16 is he is consulting? 17 COMSR: Yes, I think that is the situation. 18 MR SMITH: Yes, that is it and there is a prospect 19 that it won't remain a huge issue. 20 COMSR: The investigative reporter takes a risk 21 of investigating a matter as they may be required to 22 give evidence concerning the results of the 23 investigation. But I think that's the situation that we 24 will not know for certain what might eventuate from Mr 25 Wardle's situation. 26 MR SMITH: If the tape's not here in about a 27 minute, I will abandon it. It's not that important to 28 hold up the proceedings. 29 **COMSR** 30 Q. There is no dispute that there was a photostat copy of 31 the pages from the notebook. 32 A. After I had seen the notebook, no. It looked very 33 similar, but I still wasn't happy to rely on the 34 photocopy. 35 So, the issue now to be clarified is COMSR: 36 whether or not the fax numbers are there? 37 MR SMITH: That's the only issue. The video really 38 speaks for itself. I'm not going to hold things up too

A. CALDWELL XXN (MR KENNY) REXN (MR SMITH)

- 1 much longer.
- 2 COMSR: I take it that the witness won't be able to say any more than she sees on the video?
- 4 MR SMITH: I'm reminded that the media need to assume that the discussion about the topic of doctoring
- 6 this morning cannot be the subject of reporting either.
- 7 The suppression order would be useless if that would be the case.
- 9 COMSR: Are you applying for that suppression 10 order to continue?
- 11 MR ANDERSON: I would have to get some instructions
- now in view of what transpired this morning. It may be
- that we can be sensible and agree on something so that
- the media are not prevented from reporting parts that
- are not in issue. I wonder if I might have a couple of
- minutes to do that?
- 17 VIDEO PLAYED
- 18 FURTHER RE-EXAMINATION BY MR SMITH
- Q. We are now watching, are we not, the photocopy of the you see there, did you see there the fax header.
- 21 A. I saw the p.10, but I can't from this distance see what
- the words say. There is a number there, yes. Can I go up and have a look?
- 24 Q. Yes, you go up and have a look and pause it if you like.
- 25 A. There is the number there. Yes, that's here. There, I
- saw the word `Aboriginal'. Yes, that's there, yes.
- 27 VIDEO STOPPED
- Q. So you were looking at the raw footage of the 7.30 Report there.
- 30 A. Yes.
- 31 Q. We were looking at filmed photocopies of the cursive
- writing.
- 33 A. Yes.
- 34 Q. And you have identified there, by closely looking at it,
- in addition to the cursive writing what.
- 36 A. Along the side of the page, there is typed `Aboriginal
- Legal Rights' and a number, a fax number.
- 38 Q. So, a fax header.

- 1 A. It looks like that, yes.
- 2 Q. We see there, amongst other things, `p.10'.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Indicating, or well indicating that ten pages perhaps
- 5 had been faxed off somewhere.
- 6 A. Possibly. I couldn't say that.
- 7 Q. Were you present when that was being filmed.
- 8 A. I was in an out of the room.
- 9 Q. Were any instructions given to you by Doreen Kartinyeri
- about the filming of that cursive writing on the
- photocopied sheets.A. No, none that I can remember, no.
- 13 Q. So, the instructions were confined to the typed
- 14 transcript.
- 15 A. I think so, yes. Those are the instructions I clearly
- remember, but they didn't relate to the photocopies.
- 17 Q. When at the bus station Doreen got something out of her
- bag, she got out of the bag the typed transcript.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And photocopies of what we have seen there.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Photocopies of the cursive handwriting presumably from
- 23 the notebook.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Did she represent them as coming from the notebook to
- you.
- 27 A. Yes
- 28 NO FURTHER QUESTIONS
- 29 WITNESS RELEASED.

1	MR ANDERSON: I have spoken with Mr Smith and Mr
2	Abbott. We think, provided it doesn't offend you, that
3	the easiest way to handle it would be to remove the
4	suppression order made yesterday in so far as it relates
5	to my application. Mr Wardle still has got one in
6	relation to that aspect of it and I'm not concerned with
7	that. To remove the suppression order, but to allow a
8	suppression order to remain in so far as it relates to
9	any of the allegations of doctoring and my responses to
10	those allegations of doctoring this morning; in other
11	words, the doctor's never arrived. It seems to me a
12	practical commonsense way in view of the explanation I
13	have given, in view of what Mr Abbott said this morning
14	and in view of my client's instructions that it doesn't
15	seek to hound Mr Abbott for an apology and it doesn't
16	seek to maintain its position that they were
17	unsubstantiated allegations. It's merely concerned with
18	the connotation of the word `doctoring'.
19	MR ABBOTT: Could I suggest an easier way out to.
20	Cover everything. The only question and answer where I
21	used this word is at p.3802. I would suggest that we
22	specifically continue the suppression in relation to one
23	question and one answer on p.3802 beginning lines 19 to
24	22 where I said `Look, the raw footage tape we have got
25	which is the basis of the on-air tape has obviously been
26	doctored', and the answer is 'I wouldn't necessarily say
27	"doctored".' That is when I made the allegation and
28	that is the answer I got.
29	COMSR: It's the use of the word `doctored' and
30	all counsel are agreed that that should -
31	MR ABBOTT: My learned friend is unduly sensitive
32	about the word in view of his -
33	MR ANDERSON: No, I know what it means.
34	MR ABBOTT: I would suggest the way out of this is
35	to suppress p.3802 lines 19 to 22 and thereafter the
36	discussion between counsel that occurs on p.3804, line
37	18.
38	COMSR: There is discussion this morning also,

```
1
      so you are saying any use - I think what you are trying
2
      to achieve is any use of the expression 'doctoring' in
      respect of the distortion that appears on the tape.
                         Yes. They can report that there was a
    MR ABBOTT:
5
      noise. Obviously if the media want to report that
6
      there was a noise, they have now produced a tape without
7
      the noise that has been inspected this morning and I
8
      imagine from what I'm told that with the number of
9
      cameras that are there filming, that those that went
10
       through the tape, the 7.30 Report tape, want the
11
       suppression lifted as much as my learned friend wants it
12
       lifted, otherwise they don't have a story for tonight.
13
       I'm concern and I'm grateful for my friend having made
14
       reference to last night's report of accusing me of
       having made unsubstantiated allegations, that I'm not
15
16
       mentioned in the 7.30 Report tonight on the basis that I
17
       have, as it were, agreed that the allegations are
18
       unsubstantiated. If the matter is merely suppressed so
19
       far as the allegation of doctoring, I'm happy with that.
                            I am not quite happy with that and I
20
    MR ANDERSON:
21
       will tell you why. If you look at p.3802, I agree with
22
       everything that my friend said as to the sense of it. I
23
       think if you just go as far as he went, if you read the
24
       next line 23 `Someone's laid down on one of the two
25
       sound tracks a noise to distort or minimise'. Now, I
26
       think that should be excluded as well, as that suggests
27
       that it has been deliberately done.
28
                       I don't know how I'm going to be able to
29
       suppress it so the press know exactly what is sought?
30
    MR ANDERSON:
                            Any allegation of deliberate
31
       interference with the sound to obliterate the words
32
       being spoken. That is what we are concerned about,
33
       putting it in a nutshell. Any suggestion of any
34
       deliberate interference with the sound track so as to
35
       either mask or distort the words spoken is refuted.
36
                          I'm happy to agree with that, providing
     MR ABBOTT:
37
       that any allegation of unsubstantiated allegation on my
38
       part is similarly suppressed.
```

That's the thrust of what my learned friend was saying.
He can't have it both ways. He can't get the 7.30
Report to report what they obviously intend to do, that
there are unsubstantiated allegations, without telling
the public what they were.
COMSR: As I understand it, trying to marry both
counsel's suggestions -
MR ABBOTT: It's important.
COMSR: Yes, what is being sought to be
suppressed is any suggestion of doctoring or deliberate
interference in any way with the sound tracks.
MR ABBOTT: And their response that that is an
unsubstantiated allegation because at present, you see,
their response was suppressed, and so last night, the
7.30 Report went to air saying that I'd made
unsubstantiated allegations. Now the tenor of the
allegation was suppressed, they didn't publish those,
they published the accusation that I'd made an
unsubstantiated allegation, so they had their cake and
ate it as well. I wouldn't want that to happen again
nor, I'm sure, would my learned friend.
COMSR: This is taking up an inordinate amount
of time.
MR ANDERSON: All for Mr Abbott's pride and honour
Can I make a respectful suggestion? In so far as the
7.30 Report referred to those allegations made by Mr
Abbott as unsubstantiated, it is accepted that if he
meant by `doctoring' the unintentional interference with
the sound tracks, then there is no problem, and I
understood from what he said this morning that that's
what he did mean. He didn't mean doctoring in a
surgical sense.
COMSR: If the press are not confused by now, I
would be somewhat surprised.
MR ABBOTT: All I want is an undertaking my learned
friend, on behalf of his client, that the ABC are not
going to go to air and say anything to the tenor of
unsubstantiated allegations having been made, when he

1	stands up and wants the allegation that I made
2	suppressed. I'm happy to have the allegations that I
2	made suppressed, but I do not want the 7.30 Report, or
4	any other part of the ABC, to be allowed, then, to
5	allege that they were unsubstantiated.
6	MR ANDERSON: The alternative of that is very simply
7	to leave it as it is and remove the whole of the
8	suppression order and let Mr Abbott's unsubstantiated
9	allegations be dealt with, and let the explanation that
10	he gave this morning be dealt with. I don't mind. I
11	was trying to restore some balance to it. I think it is
12	-
13	COMSR: Why not leave the order as I had it
14	previously?
15	MR ANDERSON: I'm happy with that.
16	COMSR: Is that a problem as far as you're
17	concerned?
18	MR ABBOTT: No, it's not a problem as far as I'm
19	concerned, but I would regard that a reporter who says
20	that I made unsubstantiated allegations is, in fact,
21	breaching that order because they are, in essence,
22	indirectly affecting the tenor of something that is
23	suppressed. I'm not complaining about last night, but
24	if they go on further, in view of the suppression order
25	that you've continued today, I may bring the matter
26	again to your attention.
27	COMSR: I think the simplest way is to leave the
28	suppression order as I had it, unless you're unhappy
29	with that.
30	MR ANDERSON: I don't mind.
31	COMSR: After all that, perhaps I should say
32	that I don't propose to vary the suppression order.
33	MR MEYER: I think, as a matter of technicality,
34	you should continue the suppression order.
35	MR SMITH: Can I ask you to make that - there are
36	some difficulties about that with the media. I mean I
37	think the media would want to be heard about how you
38	have handled this, so could you make it clear that this

3913

TN 47D

1	is on a tempora	ary basis, because that means that the
2	whole topic, th	e whole amorphous topic of this dispute,
3	is now going to	be clouded in doubt. It needs to be
4	sorted out.	
5	COMSR:	We attempted to do that, and I don't
6	think we had a	great deal of success.
7	MR SMITH:	It needs to be sorted out with the
8	media, where t	hey are going, whether they can be heard
9	on it, what the	y can and can't report. I will speak to
10	Mr Anderson	and Mr Abbott about it, and hopefully I can
11	resolve it with	them and then with you.
12	COMSR:	For the present, the existing order
13	continues.	-
14	MR SMITH:	And we'll discuss it.
15	COMSR:	There are other matters in the case of
16	existing suppr	ession orders that have to be dealt with
17	in any event.	
18	MR SMITH:	Dr Clark now is in the witness box.

37

38

MR SMITH:

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MR KENNY)

WITNESS P.A. CLARKE, CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR KENNY **CONTINUING** Q. Do you have the transcript in front of you of your earlier evidence. 5 A. No, I don't. Q. P.151, line 23. MR ABBOTT: That's suppressed. 8 MR KENNY: Before I ask questions, I wish to alert 9 people to the area that I'm going to ask questions on. I don't think this was initially suppressed at all. In fact no, it wasn't. There is no note on my -10 11 12 COMSR: I don't know what the question is or 13 what it refers to yet, Mr Kenny. 14 MR SMITH: Yes, the answer there is suppressed, 15 lines 26 to 32. 16 MR KENNY: It wasn't suppressed at the time it was 17 originally asked. 18 MR SMITH: It was only two days ago it was 19 suppressed. 20 MR KENNY: Certainly, but I wish to ask some 21 questions about it. I don't know whether you wish to 22 continue the suppression order at this stage. 23 Is it a matter for a closed hearing? Is COMSR: 24 it one of those matters to which the present authority -25 MR KENNY: I see no reason. 26 COMSR. 27 Q. Have you had a look at the lines of evidence. 28 A. Yes, I have, and there are problems with those lines. Q. Is it because of the fact that I now have a new 29 30 authority which is not so broadly placed as the other, 31 or it's a sensitive area. 32 A. I believe both are true. 33 MR KENNY: I see that this witness has made a claim 34 on behalf of himself. He is not there in that section 35 referring to any Aboriginal tradition or belief. I 36 don't see how it in any way relates to s.35. Perhaps if

you care to have a look at the transcript yourself.

Well, does my learned friend contest

1	1 4 4 14 9
1	what is asserted there?
2	MR KENNY: Yes, I do.
3	MR SMITH: On instructions?
4	MR KENNY: Yes. Perhaps I don't say that it's not
5	true, but what I should say is that we're unaware that
6	it is true, and we're seeking some further information
7	about it.
8	MR ABBOTT: How can you challenge it?
9	COMSR: I am in a bit of a difficulty because my
0	authority does not relate to other areas now. It's more
11	restricted.
2	MR KENNY: I'm not going to ask him anything about
13	traditions, what I want to ask him is who, when and
4	where, and questions along that line.
5	COMSR: I think that may well be area now that I
6	cannot deal with, and certainly not, I would have
17	thought, in open hearing.
8	MR ABBOTT: Having listened to what Dr Clarke said
9	before, I am of the view that if he is to be allowed to
20	ask these questions, they should be in closed hearing.
21 22	Dr Clarke, I understood from his evidence, having sat in
22	and listened to it, told you of some information which
23	came to his attention as a result of the publication of
24	this comment at p.151 previously, and how it had
25	subsequently affected his work. My learned friend has
26	no instructions that what was said there is incorrect.
27	He merely seeks to embark upon what can only be a
28	fishing expedition and, having heard what he just said,
29	it is obvious - I regret to have to say this - that he
30	has no instructions of any relevant type, because he now
31 32	wants to ask who, when and where. It's as not as though
33	he knows that what is said here is wrong, he wants to
34	say `Who gave you this, and where was it, and what was
24	it and how was it'. MR KENNY: Perhaps I can satisfy Mr Abbott by
35	1 3
36 37	saying that my clients instruct me that they believe it
38 38	is wrong. They can't prove that it's prong.
0	MR ABBOTT: They can't prove that.

38 MR KENNY:

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MR KENNY)

COMSR: My authority is now confined to matters 2 of the Ngarrindjeri customs and traditions. In my view, 3 the authority I presently have would not permit me to embark upon any consideration of that area, Mr Kenny. 5 I want to ask the questions that I have MR KENNY: 6 indicated. 7 COMSR: I appreciate that. 8 MR KENNY: And I don't think that there is any 9 difficulty with Aboriginal tradition or belief 10 associated with it. I mean he has made a claim for 11 himself that we do not believe. 12 MR ABBOTT: But who, when and where must involve a s.35 matter belonging to someone else. 13 14 MR KENNY: It's framed in a very wide manner, if you look at the question on line 23. 'In Australia', it 15 16 says. 17 MR SMITH: Can I ask my learned friend if he could 18 come back to this and I'll speak to him, and I will relay the difficulties with this topic to him. 19 20 MR MEYER: Why can't your Honour just rule one way 21 or the other? 22 COMSR: I have indicated that I don't think that 23 my s.35 authority would permit an examination of matters 24 outside the area to which it relates. That was the 25 problem of the previous authority. 26 MR KENNY: I'm not saying that. I know what you're saying. 27 COMSR: 28 MR KENNY: If you're ruling -29 COMSR: Yes, I am ruling. 30 MR KENNY: I am happy to accept the ruling, but I 31 want it understood that I wish to question about that. 32 COMSR: I appreciate that you do, but I don't 33 think we can. 34 XXN 35 Q. Perhaps if you care to turn to p.206 of the evidence. 36 (NOT ANSWERED) MR SMITH: 37 It's the same problem again.

It says, if I can read it -

1	MS SIMPSON: That matter was suppressed.
	MR KENNY: Look at the words in the middle of line
2	five on p.206. Now it appears that those matters have
4	previously been published, according to -
5	COMSR: Some of them have been documented.
6	MR KENNY: They are the ones that I want to ask him
7	about. I don't want to ask him about the unpublished
8	ones.
9	COMSR: But you're going into another area.
10	You're going into an area not Ngarrindjeri.
11	MR KENNY: As I understood your ruling in relation
12	to s.35, it was that if it had been previously
13	published, there is no fresh disclosure and,
14	consequently, the matter can be heard, and that's simply
15	the point that I wish to ask about. I don't want to ask
16	about matters that may offend against s.35.
17	COMSR: You're going to have to elicit from the
18	witness, first of all, whether there is something
19	offensive. Now as I understand it, my authority limits
20	me to dealing with matters that are to do with the
21	Ngarrindjeri community. You now want to explore matter
22	that are dealing with areas completely outside that.
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	MR KENNY: This witness' evidence is essentially
24	that the Ngarrindjeri people have imported into their
25	belief something from the western desert, something that
26	did not exist before. Now this is central to his
27	evidence, and if I'm not permitted to explore this
28	further, it essentially means that we're seriously
29	limited in challenging this man's arguments.
30	MR SMITH: Could I suggest this course? It really
31	needs to be other Aboriginal tradition, the exploration
32	of which would be contrary to that tradition, for a s.35
33	authorisation to be necessary, but this material falls
34	under a different heading, and is best dealt with in
35	closed session. Could I ask for a couple of minutes to
36	talk to the witness about it, and then suggest a way
37	around these problems?
38	COMSR· Yes

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MR KENNY)

MR SMITH: I can do that now in private?

MR KENNY: Provided I'm present while Mr Smith is

speaking to the witness.

MR SMITH: That's not necessary.

5 MR KENNY: I'm cross-examining him.

6 MR SMITH: I'm trying to find a way around your 7

difficulty, so that you can do it. There is no sinister

8 motive involved in this.

9 MR KENNY: If there was some suggestion it was a

10 sinister motive, I didn't mean that at all. I apologise

11 if that was the inference.

12 COMSR: Clearly, if we're going to go into this

in any detail at all, we're going to have to do it in 13

private session, but I don't want to spend three 14

15 quarters of an hour discussing what we're going to do so

16 we don't get on with doing it.

17 When I pressed you for a ruling, it was MR MEYER:

so that we could go straight into private session. 18

19 Can I have five minutes to speak to the MR SMITH:

20 witness? That's all it will take.

If it's going to facilitate matters. 21 COMSR:

22 MR SMITH: Yes.

23 ADJOURNED 11.57 P.M.

- 1 RESUMING 12.15 P.M.
- 2 MR KENNY: I take on board your comments on those matters and I won't ask any questions in relation to
- those areas. So perhaps I will move to a new topic.
- 5 XXN
- Q. In relation to your thesis, did you prepare a field workplan when you commenced your thesis.
- 8 A. No, I didn't, because my field work I was well and truly into the field work through my museum work and
- 9 truly into the field work through my museum work and 10 other connections. The field work that I did, once I
- started the thesis, was on a needs basis in the sense of
- perhaps following up some gaps, but I didn't consciously
- have a field work programme, because I was already well
- 14 entrenched in a field work programme through the museum.
- Q. You essentially are saying you did your field workbefore you enrolled to undertake your thesis.
- 17 A. It started long before I enrolled and continued right
- through the thesis and I am still doing field work today.
- 20 Q. Does the Department of Anthropology have any guides for field work.
- 22 A. I believe a PhD particularly for studies which are out
- of the country or remote locations where there is not
- 24 the ability to check information, that they do have a
- 25 minimum period for a masters, a PhD. What that is, I
- would have to guess, but it is a fairly lengthy period,
- I would agree, for a PhD. It would be a matter of quite a few months.
- 29 Q. Of field work, you mean.
- 30 A. Of field work, as a component of the overall thesis study.
- 32 Q. But that didn't apply to you. There was no plan
- prepared by you or submitted by you in relation to your field work.
- 35 A. No, for the reasons I have already outlined I can
- demonstrate that wasn't applicable.
- Q. When did you start actively gathering information for your thesis.

- 1 A. If I take an indication of that being my journals, as an
- 2 anthropologist, keeping a journal, writing down
- 3 ethnographic information, it would have started on the
- 4 very one of the very first field trips when I came to
- 5 the museum in 1982. I remember Philip Jones, Steve
- 6 Hemming and I did have a lengthy field trip sometime I
- 7 think in 1983. So that would be a beginning of a fairly
- 8 intensive series of field trips in the Lower
- 9 Murrary/South East region.
- 10 Q. I think that's really when you started your journals,
- but did you actually start your journals with the
- specific intention of using those for a thesis at a
- later date.
- 14 A. I wanted to use the data for publications. The thesis,
- it was in the back of my mind, but it hadn't really
- jelled exactly what I would be doing, but I had always
- assumed that there would be a greater body of work, in
- terms of the university, somewhere down the track.
- 19 Q. So, it was something that you essentially planned to do in the future.
- 21 A. In the future, the plans were very fuzzy in 1982/1983.
- 22 Q. When did they start getting clearer.
- 23 A. I think it was it would be in my statement. I went
- back to university to study more anthropology in 1983.
- 25 That's when I had made a decision that I was going to
- eventually do some form of higher degree work and I
- 27 needed to flesh out my anthropological studies in order
- to do that, because I was essentially going off at a
- 29 tangent from my Bachelor of Science studies, which were
- primarily in biology, even though I had done a little
- 31 bit of anthropology.
- 32 Q. It is as far back as 1983 you started collecting
- information with clearly in mind that it would be used
- in your thesis or later.
- 35 A. A thesis, publications, all of that, yes.
- 36 MR KENNY: I assure you it is relevant,
- 37 Commissioner. I notice your concern.
- 38 COMSR: It is just we seem to have amply covered

- 1 the area of Dr Clarke's qualifications.
- 2 MR KENNY: I am not talking about his
- gualifications. I am not, I assure you.
- 4 COMSR: All right, proceed then, Mr Kenny.
- 5 XXN
- 6 Q. I think you have told us, or perhaps you can tell us
- about, in 1983, the long field trip you did with Steve Hemming.
- 9 A. And Philip Jones.
- 10 Q. And Philip Jones. Where was that field trip to.
- 11 A. It has been many years, or probably at least a year
- since I have looked at that particular set of notes,
- but, from memory, it was heading off from Adelaide,
- going down to Point McLeay, which Aboriginal people
- called Raukkan. We talked to a few of the Ngarrindjeri
- people there. We put up a dissplay, which was a
- precursor to the Ngurunderi display, which was being a
- museum display. So, we spent some time around there and
- then we headed further down the Coorong, spent the night
- there. Went down towards the South East.
- 21 Q. It was essentially with the Ngarrindjeri people, is that correct.
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 Q. I understand, over the next few years, a lot of your
- activities were associated with the Ngurunderi display.
- 26 A. Yes.
- 27 Q. And gathering information in relation to that.
- 28 A. That was the main focus, yes.
- 29 Q. Was there any other focus, during that period.
- 30 A. At some stage, Steve Hemming and I started the Lower
- 31 Murray Aboriginal Sites Project. I can't remember
- whether that was started after the Ngurunderi display
- opened, or towards the latter stages of it, but that was
- another large research project.
- 35 Q. Can you perhaps just remind us when that display
- actually opened.
- 37 A. I am guessing, I think it was about 1989, but I could be
- wrong. The publication that came out with it would have

- the year. That would be -
- Q. It was around about 1989. And then you went on to the sites project.
- 4 A. Yes, the sites project.
- 5 Q. How long did that continue for.
- 6 A. The intense field work component was roughly two years.
- 7 The write-up has been extended and I am not precisely
- 8 sure when the grant money ran out for that. The project
- 9 essentially ended when the final reports and the site
- forms had been lodged.
- 11 Q. When did your field work end for that.
- 12 A. The last field trip that I can remember, which had had a
- major focus being the Lower Murray Sites Project, would
- have been of the order of two or three years ago.
- 15 COMSR: Dr Clarke did go into rather a great
- deal of detail concerning these matters.
- 17 MR KENNY: Yes.
- 18 XXN
- 19 Q. Does the University Anthropology Department have any
- 20 guidelines for the collecting of information from
- 21 Aboriginal people.
- 22 A. Not that I am aware of or have through my supervisors
- ever been made aware of. My understanding is that the
- supervisors are responsible for keeping their students
- within ethical bounds. The supervisors, including my
- anthropology supervisor, were frequently briefed about
- how and what I was doing and what I had been doing,
- previous to undertaking the PhD.
- 29 Q. What do you say are the `ethical bounds', as it were, of
- 30 collecting information from Aboriginal people.
- 31 A. Whose ethical bounds? The university's, or the museums,
- or of what is ethical?
- 33 Q. Yours.
- 34 A. My personal views?
- 35 Q. Yes.
- 36 A. There is a lot that can come under that. To be as brief
- as possible, making it fairly obvious to indigenous
- people, I will broaden it to that level, that a course

- 1 of study is taking place. Aboriginal people, indigenous
- 2 people, would naturally want to know how that research
- 3 is being used. What are the potential benefits for
- them. The potential benefits for the researcher. So,
- 5 all of that would have to be relayed to people with whom
- 6 the researcher was going to work very closely. Apart
- 7 from that, researchers I believe are bound, and again
- 8 this is my personal view, to record what has happened
- 9 accurately. That may cause problems if what the
- 10 researcher records is at odds with perhaps what the
- 11 community would want on a certain issue, but I think
- 12 that needs to be discussed. And generally there are
- 13 probably many - there are many other issues that can
- 14 come in, but just continually telling people in the
- 15 community that research is taking place and not trying 16
- to disguise it. And when the researchers -
- Q. So, the people you worked closely with, I take it, are 17 18 the ones you listed in your acknowledgements in your 19 thesis, on p.3, is that -
- 20 A. They are people who, in most cases, I worked very 21
- closely with. In other cases, they were people who, 22 through my talks with them, had an influence on me, in
- 23 one way or another. I wouldn't want to too closely
- 24 define what it is those people have done for reasons I
- 25 have already discussed in terms of not wanting to set up
- 26 any Aboriginal people with particular information that 27 may be embarrassing to them in the thesis. So, I have -
- Q. Perhaps we can talk about one of my clients, Robert Day, 28 29 for example, he gets a mention.
- 30 A. Yes.
- 31 Q. And you understand that that Robert Day is the one that 32 assisted Dr Draper and is a client of mine.
- 33 A. I have seen his photograph in newspaper articles
- 34 concerning Hindmarsh Island, but I have never talked to
- 35 Mr Day about Hindmarsh Island work, so I couldn't
- 36 comment in detail.
- 37 Q. But you met Robert Day senior. I understand there is a
- 38 Robert Day junior, as well, is there.

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. Did you tell him you were writing a thesis.
- A. It would have come up over the couple of years in which we were working quite closely. I was working with him
- 5 as a researcher. He knew I was doing research. Whether
- 6 he remembered that it was going towards a thesis or not,
- 7 I couldn't say, but I certainly would not have hidden
- the fact that I was intending, at some stage, to work on producing a thesis.
- 10 Q. But what were you working closely with him on.
- 11 A. From memory, he accompanied Steve Hemming and I on
- numerous field trips, some down to the lower end of the
- Coorong. We actually camped on the Coorong itself for a number of days.
- 15 Q. Was this in relation to the sites.
- 16 A. It was -
- 17 Q. Your sites registry, that's what you were doing with him, is that correct.
- 19 A. It was primarily sites, but no field trip is ever just
- one project. Getting into the field meant that we would
- 21 knock off several projects or undertake several studies,
- 22 if you like, while we were out in the field. And often
- 23 we would end up answering a family history enquiry, for
- example, out in the field, if we had the resources with us.
- Q. But your primary purpose, when you were working with Robert Day, was in relation to the sites, is that not correct.
- A. That's correct. And he was paid to work with us on that project.
- 31 Q. He wasn't paid to work with you on your thesis, though,
- was he.
- 33 A. No, he was not paid to work with me on my thesis.
- Q. Did you use any of the information that he provided to
- you in your thesis.
- 36 OBJECTION Mr Meyer objects.
- 37 MR MEYER: I have waited for a long time, to see if
- we get anywhere near the point.

KC 47E

1	COMSR: Yes, I have too. I have been trying to
2	follow where this line of -
3	MR KENNY: It is a slow link-up with the witness.
4	COMSR: However slow, or however fast, where
5	will it lead us, Mr Kenny? Your clients are not
6	anthropologists.
7	MR KENNY: No, they are the subject of an
8	anthropology thesis, that they knew nothing about.
9	MR MEYER: Is that relevant here?
10	COMSR: How am I going to determine that issue,
11	Mr Kenny? I have heard what the witness's
12	qualifications are. They have been explored, at some
13	length.
14	MR KENNY: I am not asking about his
15	qualifications. I am asking about his dealings with the
16	Aboriginal people, who happen to be my clients.
17	COMSR: Yes, but you are talking about a time
18	far remote from the issues I have to deal with, Mr
19	Kenny. I won't be able to explore the merits, or
20	otherwise, of any incidents.
21	MR KENNY: No, I say it goes to this witness's
22	credit and his expertise and the manner in which he uses
23	information.
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	COMSR: There is a limit to how far we can go on
25	those matters. I can't really be exploring other issues
26	and determining the merits of matters that are some
27	years back from any matter that I am concerned with
28	here. You are dealing with four men who belong to or,
29	at the time, belonged to a particular committee.
30	Are there some particular matters that you want to
31	put to the witness concerned with the issues before me?
32	MR KENNY: This witness's expertise and
33	qualifications are based on his thesis. His thesis is
34	based upon the information he has gathered from his
35	chief Aboriginal sources which he lists. Of course, two
36	of my clients he lists twice. He says:
37	`George and Tom Trevorrow at Camp Coorong near Meningie
38	have also greatly helped in a number of ways.'

3926 KC 47E

1	COMSR: Yes, Mr Kenny, I can't look behind the
2	granting of the witness's qualifications.
3	MR KENNY: I am not asking you to look behind it
4	I am asking to you examine this witness's position in
5	relation to his dealing with Aboriginal people.
6	COMSR: And you will be calling evidence, will
7	you, to confirm this matter?
8	MR KENNY: Yes, there will be evidence. I have
9	instructions on this point, despite Mr Abbott's constant
10	suggestion that I don't have instructions on various
11	matters. I have very clear instructions on this point.
12	CONTINUED

1	MR ABBOTT: What's the point? That is what I say,
2	what is the point? This witnesses should not in his
2 3	evidence be treated with caution because he hasn't
4	somehow dealt with your clients as your clients would
5	wish he would have dealt with them. What is the point?
6	COMSR: The problem I have with this -
7	MR ABBOTT: It can only be to credit.
8	COMSR: With this line of questioning, the
9	witness has obtained his qualifications. It's not up to
0	me to look behind the university's granting of those
1	qualifications.
2	MR KENNY: He has the qualification he has been
3	granted by the university. I do not challenge that.
4	But his qualification is based on his gathering of
15	information from my clients. It's not as if my clients
6	played a small role in it. In fact, they get a major
17	role. Indeed, I'm trying to avoid any additional family
8	connections here as well.
9	COMSR: There is a limit to how far I can cast
20	afield and still keep within the terms of it.
21	MR ABBOTT: If there is an allegation, he ought at
22 23 24 25 26	this time to put it. If this is an allegation that the
23	field work was suspect because of some instructions from
24	Mr Day or the Trevorrows that he didn't do this, that or
25	the other, let's get him to put it and let's get on with
26	that.
27	COMSR: I'm not too sure how far that is going
28	to help me. Let's see where you are going then.
29	MR SMITH: There is a premise there in all the
30	questioning that needs correcting. Dr Clarke's
31	qualifications go back to the primary degree and they
32	are not going to - to say that it is wholely dependent
33	on his thesis, that is not quite right.
34	COMSR: Are you seeking in some way to -
35	MR KENNY: What I'm saying is that this witness has
36	never heard of secret women's business and he has
37	examined everything in relation to the Ngarrindjeri
88	people. He read everything about them and has spent a

- lot of time talking to all of them. What I say is that
- what he was doing was actually down there on other projects and without telling -
- 4 COMSR: Put it to the witness if that is what 5 you want to put.
- 6 MR KENNY: That is what I was attempting to build
- 7 up to and get to. I noted your earlier concern about
- the relevance of this and I attempted to reassure you that -
- 10 COMSR: There are certain matters I can't look 11 behind. Let's get on with this questioning.
- 12 XXN
- 13 Q. You have heard what we have had to say. I put it
- essentially that you at no time told Robert Day that you were taking or seeking information for your thesis.
- 16 A. The information we received, of course, overlapped with a number of concerns.
- 18 Q. The question is: Did you say to Robert Day `Robert, I am
- 19 preparing a thesis, it's going to be on you and the
- other people down here, can I talk to you about it?', or anything of that sort.
- A. Well, for starters, the thesis was not on Robert Day or the other people around there. I was describing a
- cultural group, so you are quite wrong to frame the
- question that way. In terms of was he aware of the
- thesis, he was certainly aware that I was preparing a
- thesis. I was doing research. When you do research projects, a thesis is often one of the products, one of
- projects, a thesis is often one of the products, one of the publications being done. He and other men were
- 30 aware that I was preparing the thesis.
- 31 Q. Did you tell him directly that you were preparing a thesis.
- 33 A. Although I can't remember the exact words, I'm certain
- that I made him aware that I was, had other functions
- including or other aims.
- 36 Q. The answer to the question is: No, you did not tell him
- that you were preparing a thesis.
- 38 A. No. I'm saying the answer is yes. I'm qualifying it by

- saying that I would not have told him in such a direct manner as you are putting to me.
- 3 Q. What did you tell him.
- 4 A. I've just said that I can't remember the exact words. I spoke very freely with Mr Day. We got along very well.
- 6 I haven't spoken to him since the Hindmarsh Island
- business, but I was always very open on all topics with
- 8 Mr Day. And whether he realised what I was studying for
- 9 on the PhD or producing a thesis, or what I produced, I
- would suggest to you that it's through this Hindmarsh
- Island debate and the unfortunate circumstances that my
- thesis is taking a major role in that. That he now is
- looking back and doesn't, you know, doesn't like the
- idea that I produced a thesis. But, I mean, that's the
- benefit of hindsight for him. I certainly had never
- hidden the fact I was doing a thesis on numerous
- occasions with a wide range of Ngarrindjeri people and
- made it quite clear to them I was doing it; and they are
- all people who talk with each other. I do remember an occasion -
- 21 Q. What, did you remember an occasion of talking to Mr Day about the thesis.
- A. I can remember many occasions, I have spent many hours talking to him in the car, in the field. We were -
- 25 Q. About your thesis.
- A. I've already said that I can't remember an exact conversation, but I am certain that not just one but
- several conversations would have taken place which would have referred to my study towards a PhD and the thesis.
- 30 Q. Did you ever tell him that the information that he was
- 31 providing to you would appear in your thesis.
- 32 A. The information he was providing to me is, in the way
- you put it, primarily for the Lower Murray sites and was
- just raw data. The overall perception of the
- Ngarrindjeri use of the landscape that I gained is
- through interaction with literally hundreds of people.
- What I've done is acknowledged by the people that I was
- quite close to in a professional sense through the

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MR KENNY)

- 1 period of my Museum field work and in many cases through
- the actual period of my PhD. I'm acknowledging the fact
- 3 that they were, you know, close to me. Had there not
- 4 been a Hindmarsh Island debate going on, I would suggest
- 5 to you that all of those people listed would have been
- 6 very happy and felt honoured that I was acknowledging
- 7 them in an official way on p.3 of my thesis. It's
- 8 unfortunate that they now hold views that are opposite

9 on this issue to mine and, therefore, they -

- 10 Q. I'm not asking you to provide us with some explanation of what you think they may be thinking. What about
- George and Tom Trevorrow. You spent a lot of time with them.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. They spent to lot of time talking to you about their traditional culture.
- 17 A. About a whole wide range of issues, yes.
- 18 Q. Indeed, apart from Henry and Jean Rankine I think they are the only two that get a mention twice.
- 20 A. Yes. And in view of the long history that I and the Museum had with them, I still think that is appropriate.
- Q. Were they a couple of your main informants, would you say.
- 24 A. No, not `main informants'. They were people who we were
- working with in the Museum on a variety of projects,
- including the Lower Murray sites project, but we were also assisting in every way possible with the setting up
- of the Camp Coorong displays and they were well aware
- that, you know, helping them to set up displays meant research. All of the people -
- Q. But I put to you that what you told them, that you were doing research into the displays, the Ngurunderi exhibition and the sites, was not your thesis.
- 34 A. I never specifically said well, I did specifically say
- on each occasion that I met them what I would be
- researching, but I maintain that they did know that I
- was doing other studies in relation to the university
- and my PhD. And I never made a big deal out of it, but

- 1 there were conversations that they were having with
- 2 Steve Hemming, for example, who did talk to them a lot
- more about his PhD. But I imagine my PhD, you know,
- came up with discussion, as a topic of discussion as 5 well.
- 6 Q. I put to you that you at no time told Tom or George
- 7 Trevorrow that you were using the information provided 8 by them in your thesis.
- 9 A. That implies that they have given me precise information
- 10 which I have then holus-bolus precisely put in my
- 11 thesis. I said in relation to Mr Day that it is through
- 12 interacting with those people in a variety of situations
- 13 that one gets an overview, and that overview is what
- 14 eventually gets into the thesis. So, I can't point to
- 15 exact parts of the thesis and say, you know, 'This is 16 what Mr Day gave me, this is what Mr Trevorrow gave me'.
- 17 But they were aware that I was doing research and the
- 18 PhD was involved.
- 19 Q. They might have been aware that you were doing research, 20 but they didn't expect that research would be put into a
- 21
- 22 A. I believe they did. As I said, I didn't make a point of 23
- Q. You didn't make a point of telling them that. 24
- 25 A. I made a point of telling them that I was doing research
- 26 that was leading to a PhD. I didn't make a lot of it in 27 terms of what they -
- 28 COMSR: How many more times are you going to ask
- 29 this question? The witness has been asked and he has 30 answered it two or three times.
- 31 MR KENNY: It's an evasive answer that he has
- given. He is not saying 'Yes, I told him -32
- 33 COMSR: What sort of an answer are you trying to
- 34 elicit? Because he hasn't given the answer that you
- 35 want, doesn't mean you have to repeat the question time
- 36 and time again. We don't have the time to do that.
- 37 MR KENNY: That doesn't mean I should give up
- 38 because I don't like the answer. I am trying to explore

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MR KENNY)

1 it a little further.

2 COMSR: Once the witness has been questioned and has answered, that is sufficient.

1 XXN

- Q. You have told us that your primary ethical ground is tomake it obvious that a course of study is taking place.
- 7 A. That's correct.
- Q. Did you make it obvious in this case in relation toRobert Day, George Trevorrow and Tom Trevorrow.
- A. Given that each occasion I went down there was to do research - they knew that I as an outsider - went down there to do research. You're trying to -
- Q. What you are saying is that you made it obvious you were down there doing research. Did you make it obvious that you were doing a course of study; namely, your thesis.
- A. I believe that I made it obvious by letting them know,
 you know, what was going on in my life and the fact that
 I was enrolled in a PhD. I can't, you know, think of a
- specific conversation among the probably thousands of conversations I had with those people. I never
- disguised the fact and I believe I made it obvious that
- I was doing research. I made it obvious that the PhD
- was just one part of that overall research programme.
- 24 But the research programme, the outcomes of the research
- programmes, such as the Lower Murray sites projects, one
- of the outcomes that would have been stated when we were negotiating or consulting with the community would be,
- 27 negotiating or consulting with the community would be, would have been a series of publications and a gleaning
- of a thesis as a preliminary to those publications.
- 30 COMSR: Are we going to pursue this any longer,
- 31 Mr Kenny? The witness has been asked and has answered
- the question on a number of occasions now. Perhaps we
- could move on to another topic.
- 34 XXN
- 35 Q. Of the other people listed there, did you make it
- obvious and when I say `there', I mean p.3 of your
- 37 thesis did you tell any of them directly that you were
- 38 gathering information from them for your thesis in an

- obvious manner. 2 COMSR: Mr Kenny, isn't this the same question you are putting? MR KENNY: It's in relation to the other people that he has listed there. I haven't got instructions in 5 6 relation to the other people. I have only named three 7 so far. 8 MR ABBOTT: He could hardly speak for my client, 9 Dulcie Wilson, who is named there. 10 There are other of your clients there? COMSR: 11 MR KENNY: No, there are not. There is one - I'm 12 not asking about the Rankines. 13
- Q. Was there anyone you went to and said `I am writing a thesis and I would like to talk to you about specific information so that I can use it in my thesis'. That is what I call obvious. That is really obvious.
- A. When turning up to talk to people, it was often not with the intention of eliciting information that would go in that form into a thesis. So, as I have said or several points earlier in the Commission, a lot of my information is gathered just through participating; it could mean helping someone.
- Q. This long question, are you saying to me to the best of
 your recollection, no, you did not ever tell any of them
 that the specific -
- 27 A. You asked me a question that appeared to relate to 28 greeting someone and then coming out with I'm about to 29 record something in my thesis. I'm saying that over a 30 course of a number of years, I made it plainly obvious 31 to people that I was going down there as a Museum 32 person, I was down there as a family member, as a 33 university student. I made it plainly clear that I was 34 wearing a number of hats and that was always accepted.
- I certainly wouldn't, if I was turning up to someone's house probably for personal reasons, say `Well, look,
- I'm about to record something for my thesis'.
- 38 Q. You never did that, did you.

- 1 A. We are now talking about -
- Q. Turning up at someone's house and saying `I'm now recording something for my thesis'.
- 4 A. I have never heard anyone do field work in that manner.
- 5 The correct way would be making it quite clear to the
- 6 community why you are there and then you set about the
- 7 business and business may well be having to work in a
- 8 number of ways with the community which may never even
- 9 end up producing results that end up in your thesis.
- 10 Q. Your primary purpose of being amongst the community, was it not, was initially for the Narrunga exhibition.
- 12 A. Initially, that would be correct.
- 13 Q. And later for the sites project.
- 14 A. While the sites project was going on. I'm trying to work out all the years. I suspect that the site project
- actually started earlier.
- Q. But Robert Day, for example, was actually paid to work
 with you on the sites project, wasn't he, not to work
 with you on your thesis.
- 20 A. Yes. And I'm saying that I'm not aware of anything that he said as a paid person that directly, without
- contributing to an overview, has ended up in my thesis.
- Q. I suggest to you that you never went down to the Lower Murray Aboriginal communities at any time with the main
- Murray Aboriginal communities at any time with the mai purpose of gathering information for your thesis. In
- fact, your main purpose was to gather information in
- 27 relation to a project from the Museum.
- 28 A. I had the Museum's full support in doing the PhD, and
- that was built into part of me actually doing field work in the region, including to the Lower Murray site
- 31 project. You are trying to separate threads of
- professional life that can't being cleanly separated.
- People knew why I was down there. As I said,
- information comes in unexpected forms and it's through
- participating in a wide number of project and functions
- within the community that I have an overview. That
- overview is the thesis. It's not a matter of spending
- an hour taping someone and then I go and submit that

3935

CJ 47F

- tape or the transcript to my thesis. That's not how scholarly work is done.
 CONTINUED

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MR KENNY)

- COMSR: I think that we have explored this issue 2 repetitively, Mr Kenny.
- MR KENNY: I agree I may not be the greatest questioner in the world, but I have two more questions 5 that I want to put.
- 6 Are they the same two questions or are COMSR: they two different questions.
- 8 MR KENNY: It's a matter that there are a couple of 9 things that I need to put to him so that the issue is 10 put on behalf of my client.

11

- 12 Q. I put to you that you never asked Tom Trevorrow, George Trevorrow or Robert Day if you could use information you gathered from them for your thesis.
- 13 14 A. With the words that you're using, that is correct, and 15 16 I'm saying that information that was gained from the
- 17 Lower Murray Sites Project by and large hasn't ended up 18 in my thesis at all, whereas the overview through me
- 19 participating that project certainly has, but the bulk
- 20 of that data is still with Steve Hemming and, presumably
- 21 with the course of PhD work that he is intending, he is
- 22 negotiating with those people involved in that project
- 23 to use it, because it's more important for his thesis.
- 24 I've tried to get an overview, but although I've said I
- 25 have not asked `Can this bit of information you've given
- 26 me come into the thesis', I still maintain that they had 27 full knowledge of what I was doing and, had they had any
- 28 complaints, worries, sensitivities, that they would have
- 29 been raised with me, and because all of my thesis was 30 submitted in March 1994, it was never intended to be
- 31 part of the Hindmarsh Island debate, that would have
- 32 been fine. The fact that this thesis has become
- 33 sensitive itself is the fact that I'm giving
- 34 evidence here in this Commission.
- 35 Q. I also put to you that you never told Tom Trevorrow
- 36 George Trevorrow or Robert Day that you were actually
- 37 writing your thesis.
- 38 A. That's the same question.

37

38 COMSR:

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MR KENNY)

Q. Well, I accept the same answer. (NOT ANSWERED) MR ABBOTT: I'd like to ask some questions. 3 COMSR: I note that it's 1 o'clock, do you want to start now? 5 MR ABBOTT: I take it that there is no-one else 6 other than Mr Meyer? 7 COMSR: There is someone else, that would be -8 MR SMITH: Ms Nelson. 9 Ms Nelson is returning tomorrow, MR ABBOTT: 10 apparently. 11 MR SMITH: Perhaps counsel might be prepared to sit 12 on to 5 o'clock tonight if arrangements can be made. 13 COMSR: We'll see how we go. 14 ADJOURNED 12.57 P.M. RESUMING 2.18 P.M. 15 16 MR ABBOTT MAKES APPLICATION FOR A CLOSED COURT HEARING CONCERNING THE FERGIE REPORT 17 18 COMSR: I think it would be convenient, if you 19 wish to deal with that matter first. 20 Yes, I do, there is basically really the MR ABBOTT: 21 Fergie report and the Saunders report, and I could start 22 with the Saunders report and, in so far as the Fergie 23 report is referred to in the Saunders report, it would 24 be easier to go through the Fergie report and go through 25 that, which leaves a little only of the Saunders report 26 which needs to be covered. 27 COMSR: We'll move from public session into private session while you deal with the matter of the 28 29 Fergie report. I think under the terms of my 30 authorisation, that will restrict it to counsel 31 assisting, Commission staff, counsesl for the parties 32 and the parties. It can't be - if you're asking the 33 questions, it's not a matter where only women can hear. 34 MR ABBOTT: I don't think so. 35 I've been in possession of the Fergie MR MEYER: 36 report at all times. There's never been that

I can indicate now that we're now moving

restriction - at all relevant times, I should say.

38

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MR KENNY)

1 from public session into private session and, other than 2 the persons who are permitted under the terms of my 3 authorisation to be present at the hearing, all other 4 people will have to vacate the hearing while this matter 5 is being dealt with, that is `Any person other than 6 parties, witnesses, for divulgence of information to a 7 witness or a potential witness'. Is there somebody not 8 in those categories of legal representative, witness or 9 potential witness, or his or her legal representative? 10 No, everybody - the only potential MR SMITH: 11 exception to that is Mr Miller, who you have permitted 12 to stay in on the basis that he is Mr McLachlan's agent. 13 That's in lieu of Mr Martin, rather than Mr Martin be 14 here at times. 15 COMSR: Does he come under the category of `his 16 or her legal representative'? Under the authorisation that I had previously, I could permit persons to remain 17 in the hearing. Now I don't have that latitude under 18 19 the new authorisation. It's spelled out those persons 20 who can remain in, which is a more restrictive 21 authorisation. 22 Is there no room for you to allow Mr MR SMITH: 23 Miller as you've done before? 24 MR MEYER: I thought he stayed here last week. 25 COMSR: `For divulgence of information to a 26 witness or potential witness, and his or her legal 27 representative, and any person assisting the Royal 28 Commission', so 'his or her legal representative' is now 29 the area to which I must address my opinion. 30 He would come under 2(iv), Mr Miller. MR SMITH: 31 It's through him that some investigations have been -32 it's not proposed to call him because of the secondhand 33 nature of his involvement. 34 It's not clear to me that he is within COMSR: 35 the expression `potential witness', and I know that Mr 36 and Mrs Chapman are here, and I don't know that they 37 will necessarily be disadvantaged by having to exclude

their representative during this part of the hearing,

- but it's not clear to me that I can permit someone who is not a legal representative or a potential witness.
- 3 It must surely carry the implication that there is some
- 4 likelihood -
- 5 MR SMITH: He has no connection with the Chapmans, 6 other than to know them.
- 7 COMSR: This is to represent -
- 8 MR SMITH: Mr McLachlan and Mr Martin. The basis
- 9 upon which no lawyer was left in the Commission to
- represent Mr McLachlan's interests was that Mr Miller
- would be here on that basis.
- 12 MR MEYER: Vicariously, he is the client.
- 13 MR SMITH: He is the agent of Mr McLachlan.
- 14 MR MEYER: He is Mr McLachlan's adviser, or
- whatever you call them.
- 16 COMSR: I know that previously I didn't find any
- trouble under the terms of my authorisation. It's not
- so clear to me now what the situation is. Is it going
- to be any disadvantage, as far as Mr McLachlan is
- 20 concerned, this evidence, if it's taken without the
- attendance of his agent? He is not directly affected,
- is he, by this?
- 23 MR SMITH: Well, no, but that maybe right. Above
- it all, I would suggest there is nothing in the Fergie
- 25 report that requires this measure anyway.
- 26 MR ABBOTT: I'm only doing it out of an abundance of
- 27 caution.
- 28 COMSR: If we get to the situation where the
- s.35 authorisation comes into play, then I will have to
- 30 consider it.
- 31 HEARING CONTINUES IN CLOSED SESSION

Closed Hearing

17.10.95

Pages 3940 - 3999

4000 CJ 48A

COMSR STEVENS 3 HINDMARSH ISLAND BRIDGE ROYAL COMMISSION 4 5 WEDNESDAY, 18 OCTOBER 1995 6 RESUMING 10.09 A.M. 7 8 9 MR SMITH: There are a couple of preliminary 10 matters before the cross-examination of Dr Clarke continues. There is the question of the suppression 11 12 order on the allegation of doctoring and there is the 13 question - and I think you proposed delivering your 14 ruling on the ALRM subpoena this morning. I should 15 mention this in relation to this because I think it 16 would be helpful. I spoke to Mr Anderson about the suppression order 17 relating to doctoring this morning. He told me that he 18 19 had instructions to consent to the lifting of the 20 suppression order in toto. No halfway house. Either it 21 gets lifted in toto or it doesn't get lifted at all. Does he intend to be present? 22 COMSR: 23 No, he doesn't. I then got a telephone MR SMITH: 24 call from Mr Gretsas, the solicitor acting for the ABC 25 who then told me he was getting instructions. 26 Perhaps if we leave that matter until COMSR: 27 later in the day. There is no degree of urgency about 28 it. 29 MR SMITH: I took the view that the left hand 30 didn't seem, to me, to know what the right hand is doing. Out of an abundance of caution, I will wait. 31 32 Perhaps I should make it clear at COMSR: 33 present that this hearing is in open session because 34 last night it was in closed session. 35 MR SMITH: I will contact Mr Gretsas. I will just deliver my ruling re the 36 COMSR: subpoena duces tecum delivered to the Aboriginal Legal 37 38 Rights Movement Incorporated.

On 5 October 1995, a subpoena to produce documents was issued to Miss Sandra Saunders, Director of the Aboriginal Legal Rights Movement Incorporated, seeking production of the file kept by Mr Tim Wooley, solicitor, in respect of work done by him on behalf of the Lower Murray Aboriginal Heritage Committee in connection with the opposing of the construction of the Hindmarsh Island Bridge.

Mr Wooley is and was an employee of the Aboriginal Legal Rights Movement Incorporated (referred to hereinafter as ALRM), and he is a witness in this inquiry. Production of the file was sought to enable Mr Wooley to refer to it while givinig his evidence.

The summons was returnable for 11 October 1995 at which time counsel for the ALRM attended and refused to produce the file, contending that the file ought not to be produced because of the following:

- 1. Legal professional privilege;
- 2. Inconsistency s.109 of the constitution; and,
- 3 Breach of s.35 Aboriginal Heritage Act (SA)

The ALRM submitted that the summons was invalid. The ALRM, by its counsel, contended that Mr Wooley was acting for the Lower Murray Aboriginal Heritage Committee and five Aboriginal women, namely: Connie Roberts, Maggie Jacobs Edith Rigney, Sarah Milera and Doreen Kartinyeri. That the file related to those persons

The basis upon which the ALRM has the appropriate standing to oppose the production of the file is not entirely clear. Mr Tilmouth QC said that he was acting for persons who comprised the Lower Murray Aboriginal Heritage Committee and contended that his clients did not waive legal professional privilege. It seems clear these person do not constitute the represent committee. No satisfactory indication was given to me as to the present membership of the committee and, further, that his instructions properly came from that organisation.

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

1 In any event, Mr Tilmouth, supported by Miss Layton QC 2 in her arguments on behalf of the ALRM, and in 3 particular claimed legal professional privilege on behalf of his clients. While he does not claim that his 5 clients constitute the present committee, he submits 6 that they are the persons to whom the privilege 7 attaches. 8 Mr Gray QC appeared for the Law Society of South 9 Australia Incorporated, which body wished to make 10 submissions that the Commission is bound by legal 11 professional privilege. Mr Gray submitted that I was 12 not empowered by the provisions of the Royal Commission 13 Act to abrogate legal professional privilege. Mr D.F. Stratford, counsel for Mr Wooley, supported 14 15 the submission of the ALRM in it's opposition to the 16 production of the file. As this Commission has sought 17 production of the file to assist Mr Wooley to give 18 evidence at this inquiry at what I understood was Mr 19 Wooley's request after he had been advised that the 20 Commission did not propose to issue such a subpoena, I 21 expressed by surprise. 22 In any event, I did not insist on production of the 23 file as a prelude to hearing argument, but rather heard 24 arguement and then adjourned the question of the 25 subpoena. (See Waind v Hill & National Employers'

Mutual General Association Limited (1978), 1 NSWLR 372; and Discovery and Interrogatories by Simpson Bailey and Evans, 1st Edition, 1984 at p.249).

Turning to the matters argued in support of the refusal to produce the file.

Legal professional privilege. Legal professional privilege does not excuse production of the file. Moreover, the file could not, in its totality, be the subject of a claim for such privilege. The boundaries of such privilege are well known. (See Attorney-General Northern Territory v Maurice (1986) 161 CLR 475). Moreover, the claim for privilege is properly made not

by the ALRM but by the client in whom such privilege

resides. Certainly in this case Mr Tilmouth's clients purport to claim that the privilege resides in them. That issue remains unresolved, but, in view of the circumstance that I propose to accept that legal professional privilege should apply in this instance, that may be academic. I do not propose to rule in this instance that legal

I do not propose to rule in this instance that legal professional privilege does not apply in this Commission. I indicate that I will uphold any appropriate claim of legal professional privilege where it arises in respect of the conduct by Mr Wooley of the legal business of the committee and the five named women whom he asserts to be his clients. The issue, therefore, will be the extent to which the file is protected by that privilege.

Inconsistencies in s.109 of the constitution. This issue is one that requires to be argued in another forum.

The file of Mr Wooley, as I understand correspondence already before me from the agencies of the South Australian Government, concerns not only the applications made pursuant to ss.9 & 10 of the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Heritage Act 1984, (Commonwealth), but also relates inter alia to applications to the State Minister for Aboriginal Affairs pursuant to s.23 of the Aboriginal Heritage Act 1988 (South Australia). There is also correspondence with the construction company Built Environs and with the District Council of Port Elliot and Goolwa. So, the file cannot be said to be wholely devoted to the application to the Federal Minister.

Let me make it clear that I have no intention of allowing this inquiry to canvas the exercise of ministerial discretion by the Federal Minister. I have consistently adopted the approach that the Terms of Reference of this Commission indicate that I should avoid this matter.

However, this inquiry can legitimately and may well traverse some of the same subject matter as the applications to the Federal Minister under the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Heritage Act.

I indicated when embarking on the evidence of Mr Wooley, the inquiry's attention will be focused upon the part played by him in the events surrounding the claim of women's business in respect of Hindmarsh Island; in other words, this inquiry will not permit Mr Wooley's evidence to stray outside the parameters set by the Terms of Reference.

Under those circumstances, it appears to me that while the potential for a conflict to arise may be present, it is one that can be avoided. If such a problem arises in the course of Mr Wooley giving evidence, then it can be addressed at that time, but, in the absence of an actual conflict, it would seem that s.109 does not constitute a bar to Mr Wooley refreshing his memory from the file.

Breach of s.35 of the Aboriginal Heritage Act 1988 (South Australia). If there is information in the files about Aboriginal tradition which is not the Aboriginal tradition of the Ngarrindjeri people or the peoples of the Lower River Murray, and any divulgence of such information would be in contravention of that other Aboriginal tradition, then, having regard to the terms of the s.35 authorisations, such could not be the subject of evidence and ought not to be divulged. Similarly, any evidence of sites not on or about Hindmarsh island and its environs could not neither be divulged nor the subject of evidence, nor would this Commission be seeking to adduce such evidence.

The fact that the file was neither specifically mentioned in the authorisation itself nor canvassed in the consultation with the Aboriginal communities does not support an objection to its production. It is, of course, necessary for the evidence of Mr Wooley and any document tendered through him or otherwise adduced in

COMSR:

public session into private session.

38

1 evidence from his file, if it is a divulgence in 2 contravention of Aboriginal tradition, is to be within 3 the terms of the authority, otherwise such evidence cannot be led. 5 I am unable to decide in advance whether there is 6 any such material in the file. I can only say that I 7 will be alert to the requirements of guarding against 8 breaches of s.35. 9 Accordingly, I rule that the production of this file 10 for the purpose of facilitating the evidence of Mr Wooley does constitute a breach of the provisions of 11 12 s.35 of the Aboriginal Heritage Act. 13 I confirm that I will recognise a properly based claim for legal professional privilege whenever it 14 arises if the course of Mr Wooley's evidence. But the 15 16 fact that such privilege is conceded in connection with the work done by Mr Wooley for his clients is no basis 17 for the ALRM's refusal to produce the file to facilitate 18 19 Mr Wooley giving his evidence. And, in my opinion, the 20 mere production of the file does not involve breaches of 21 s.109 of the constitution, nor s.35 of the Aboriginal 22 Heritage Act and provides no basis for refusing to 23 produce the file. 24 Mr Wooley is to give evidence on Friday, 20 October 25 1955. I will adjourn the subpoena until then. Counsel 26 will be provided later in the day with copies of the 27 ruling. 28 MR ABBOTT: Your Honour said concerning the 29 subpoena, I take it that you ordered the production? Yes, I will order the production of the 30 COMSR: 31 subpoena on that date. 32 MR ABBOTT: That is the next return date for the 33 subpoena, in other words? 34 Yes, that's right. COMSR: MR ABBOTT: 35 I have questions to ask of the Fergie 36 report. 37 That means we will have to move from

1	MR ABBOTT: I hope to finish by 11 o'clock with the
2	Fergie report and then have to move to the Saunders'
2 3	report. That can be in public.
4 5	COMSR: How long are you going to take in the
5	private hearing?
6	MR MEYER: I wasn't going to address you on that,
7	but on the question of closing the court. Yesterday,
8	listening to almost the entirety, except for about ten
9	minutes of the cross-examination when I was out of the
10	room, I admit there turned out to be nothing that was
11	the subject of a s.35 matter in terms.
12	COMSR: The report itself is protected.
13	MR ABBOTT: In any event, I think I would feel more
14	comfortable - and I am sure that the witness does - in
15	being able to, I can freely ask questions and he can
16	freely answer them in closed session without having to
17	take up the time on the s.35 issue by the proper
18	objections of Miss Pyke and those can be done at least
19	in closed session and we can get on it and not worry
20	about it.
21	MS PYKE: I support Mr Abbott - it might be the
22	one and only time - but it seems to me that the report
23	is subject to s.35 and there would be endless arguments
24	about it.
25	COMSR: It would seem to me the appropriate
26	thing to do is to take the evidence in its entirety and
27	then consider to what extent -
28	MR ABBOTT: Then it can be released.
29	MR MEYER: I won't take it any further. If we
30	address that step quickly, that is not a problem.
31	COMSR: In that case, we will back into private
32	
33	report is stated.
34	HEARING CONTINUES IN CLOSED SESSION

Closed Hearing

18/10/95

Pages 4007-4014

4015

TN 48B

- 1 HEARING CONTINUES IN OPEN SESSION
- 2 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MISS NELSON CONTINUING
- 3 Q. In your examination-in-chief, you gave a chronology of
- 4 what happened essentially relating to Dr Kartinyeri and
- 5 Mr Hemming, and discussions you had prior to your
- 6 becoming aware of any mention of secret sacred women's
- business. Do you recall that. It's a long time ago.
- 8 A. I recall the chronology, yes.
- 9 Q. A written statement of yours was tendered to the
- 10 commission, I think. First of all, you say that Dr
- 11 Kartinyeri contacted you by telephone from her home, and
- asked you for some information on Hindmarsh Island.
- 13 A. That's what I believed happened.
- 14 Q. I think I'm correct in saying that you made no note of
- that conversation at the time.
- 16 A. Not at the time.
- 17 Q. Certainly at that time you were quite prepared to do
- what you could to assist Dr Kartinyeri in relation to
- 19 the information she required.
- 20 A. It was not a matter of whether I was prepared to assist,
- she had asked for public domain-type information, and I
- gave it, regardless of whether I was assisting her or
- believed in the cause.
- 24 Q. If you have some difficulty with the word `assist', you
- were certainly quite comfortable about providing her
- with what information you had.
- 27 A. Yes. It's a standard thing, yes.
- 28 Q. As I understand your evidence, that didn't in any way
- 29 contravene the policy, as you understood it, of the
- 30 museum.
- 31 A. That's correct.
- 32 Q. You say in your statement that Dr Kartinyeri told you
- that the Ngarrindjeri people had very little to stop the
- bridge, and were looking for anything that may help
- 35 them.
- 36 A. Words to that effect, yes.
- 37 CONTINUED

- Q. Can you recall what she actually said, because what you 2 have given the Commission is your interpretation of what she said.
- A. I can't remember the very exact words. It would have 5 been fairly close to those words, but said in her own
- 6 style. She was certainly pushing me for information.
- 7 And part of that push was to demonstrate to me that it
- 8 was something that she really did need. It wasn't just
- 9 a minor matter. And the situation was the Ngarrindjeri
- 10 people were desperate. I heard a similar tone of
- 11 desperation when she was talking to other people about 12 other aspects later on.
- 13 Q. Did you get the impression that it was certainly 14 important to her.
- A. It was certainly important to her, yes, very important. 15
- 16 Q. She had a view that it was important to other members of 17 her people.
- 18 A. Yes, she had a view that it was important to 19 Ngarrindjeri people.
- 20 Q. In response to that, you gave her some references to 21 various things.
- 22 A. Yes, they were all what I considered public domain, and 23 public domain being information that is freely
- 24 available, if one had the time to do the research. The 25 library archival-type research.
- 26 Q. At that stage you say, that is, on this first phone call 27 in April, there was no mention by Dr Kartinyeri of 28 women's or men's business.
- 29 A. That's correct.
- 30 Q. But the fact that she didn't on that occasion mention women's business standing by itself doesn't mean that 31
- 32 such business didn't exist, does it.
- 33 A. Yes, the fact that she didn't mention it can't be taken 34 any further than the fact that she didn't mention it.
- 35 Q. I think you later spoke to her, because you made some
- 36 note to yourself, didn't you, on 12 April, that you must
- 37 give her some reference.
- 38 A. Yes, she contacted me again, the essence being that I

- was dragging my feet, you know, coming up with the information. I appreciated the reminder, because I was pretty busy and scribbled it in the notebook, which is sorry, the diary, which is in evidence.
- Q. In addition to some reference, you also reminded
 yourself that you must give her a print out from your
 data base which had originally been prepared for your
 thesis.
- 9 A. Yes, that was part of the reminder. From memory, what is in the diary is the word `Carter', which referred to the newspaper article I had, which, after having discussed it with her, she considered it important and she wanted it. So there was a bag of material basically.
- 15 Q. Then I think you faxed some material to her.
- A. I faxed some material to her. At this stage I imagine the parcel of information all went in one hit. That is my memory of it. I am just not sure how well some parts of that would have faxed.
- Q. But you saw your involvement, at that stage, as simply the provider of publicly available material and certainly not as someone who was interpreting that data for her.
- 24 A. No, I had no intention of offering any interpretation.
- 25 Q. She didn't ask you to either, did she.
- 26 A. Her general request for information could have been 27 considered a request for an interpretation. I didn't -28 wasn't interested in going down that line. She didn't 29 ask me to interpret the information for her, but the 30 opening would have been there in the general way that 31 she wanted help and she was desperate, but I didn't 32 pursue that. Whereas giving her public domain 33 information was certainly part of my or the museum's 34 professional understanding.
- Q. From time to time, are you called upon to interpret data, as well as supply data.
- 37 A. Yes, it does happen, yes.
- 38 Q. In this particular area, that is, the Aboriginal

- 1 community, in the past you have been asked to interpret data for people.
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. There was nothing sinister in this situation, as you sawit. It was simply a request for material.
- 6 A. That's right. There was nothing sinister. At the same time, anything that is related to a dispute over
- 8 development or something that is potentially going to
- 9 draw the museum in we back off, in a sense. So there is
- 10 nothing sinister in that I was thinking that anyone was
- behaving, at that stage, unprofessionally, but it
- certainly was a, sort of, a hands-off situation for me.
- We make it a policy, in other parts of our division,
- that, when there is, for example, community or family
- dispute within the community, that we try endeavour to
- give the same information to all parties without
- 17 entering into any interpretation.
- 18 Q. Who do you mean when you say `we'.
- 19 A. We, the Anthropology Division.
- 20 Q. Does that include Philip Jones.
- A. That includes Philip Jones. Chris Anderson, who was our head up until shortly before 1994.
- Q. I understand Philip Jones gave an interview to the media, a television interview. Are you aware of that.
- A. Yes, he discussed it with me and Chris Anderson prior to giving that interview.
- 27 Q. And that interview expressed certain opinions, didn't it.
- 29 A. That interview expressed opinions which the museum,
- because of its wanting to maintain a nonposition on the issue, that those opinions are the opinions of Philip
- Jones, yes.
- 33 Q. The fact of that interview doesn't seem to sit
- comfortably with what you have just said about museum policy, does it.
- 36 A. I would agree with that. The situation became very
- 37 complicated. Things, from our point of view, seemed to
- be getting worse in the sense of the involvement of or

- 1 the potential involvement of staff in a number of
- different areas. On the basis of that, Chris Anderson
- 3 allowed Philip Jones to make his own call, but our
- Director, that is, Chris Anderson, was fully aware that
- the interview was about to take place.Q. It seems to me, having been involved in this Com
- Q. It seems to me, having been involved in this Commissionand read, as a result, a lot of anthropological work,
- 8 that even in a noncontentious situation, in the public
- 9 sense of the word, you find disagreement amongst
- anthropologists about events and how they should be
- interpreted. As a basic statement, do you disagree with that.
- 13 A. I think it is overgeneralising. Disagreement over detail can often be easily resolved.
- 15 Anthroplogists/historians, if we include them in the
- same category, often disagree over detail. But, on most
- issues hopefully when all the facts are known and the
- various influences, they all agree on the major points
- and the strategies to, in the case of the museum, to
- avoid unnecessarily becoming involved.
- 21 Q. In the context of this particular situation relating to
- Hindmarsh Island and what, if any, significance it is to
- the Aboriginal community, you have a very strong view, do you not, that secret sacred women's business did not
- 25 exist until recently.
- 26 A. That's my view, yes.
- Q. And you are aware that other anthropologists have a different view.
- 29 A. There are other there are different views. Not all of
- them are perhaps as extreme. Some are. `Extreme' in the sense of being opposite to mine.
- Q. Some are opposite to yours and some in between, where there are other views, is that what you are saying.
- 34 A. That's what I am saying. However, I believe that I am
- one of the very few who have enough facts in order to
- come up with a view that I think is easy to support. I
- 37 think if other anthropologists had the command of the
- ethnographic literature, the field work that I had, I

- suggest that they would have come to the same conclusion.
- Q. Who, if anyone, do you suggest in South Australia, at
 this particular time, has a comparable fund of knowledge
 to yours.
- 6 A. I would suggest there is no-one very close, but one of the reasons that I had so many discussions with
- 8 Steve Hemming was the fact that I was trying to reach
- 9 him in the sense of providing a logic and seeing
- whether our positions could either come together or be
- modified in some way or another. Philip Jones is
- someone who is, although not anywhere as intimate as
- 13 Steve Hemming and myself in the Lower Murray, has still
- been in the museum long enough to have a good overview
- of what was going on through his involvement in the
- 16 Ngurunderi display, in particular. And also other
- people that I was talking to, or trying to talk to.
- Dr John Stanton was someone -
- 19 Q. You only telephoned Dr Stanton once, didn't you.
- 20 A. I have had several conversations with him over the.
- 21 Past few months. I phoned him once sometime around
- about the time when the Commission was either starting
- up or about to. And I have had several conversations,some over the phone, with him since.
- Q. Since I was last cross-examining you, you have had several conversations, is that the position.
- 27 A. That's correct, yes.
- 28 Q. Prior to that, you had had one, I suggest.
- A. We were contacting each other, or attempting to, quite a few times. There was only one long conversation I
- 31 can remember.
- 32 Q. I will come back to that. In any event, it is the
- position, is it not, that you were anxious to talk to
- Mr Hemming, to see if your view was reconcilable with
- what you understood his view to be.
- 36 A. That's part of the reason why I was wanting to talk to
- 37 Mr Hemming. I also wanted more information. He,
- through working with a different set, or a slightly

- 1 different set of Aboriginal people more closely than
- 2 the set of people I was working closely with, had some
- chance of having additional information. So I was talking with him for a number of reasons.
- 5 Q. You, I think, went on leave from 15 April to 11 May 6
- 7 A. That's correct. It was extended by a few days with 8 other forms of leave, extended past the 11th.
- 9 Q. Just so that I am clear about that, was your last day 10 at work 14 April.
- 11 A. As far as I know. I have got a habit of, when I go on
- 12 leave, never being able to make a clean break. So I'm
- not sure how many times I popped into the museum for a 13
- few minutes or an hour, just to finish reports and 14
- things. So, I can't say that I was necessarily absent 15
- 16 during that earlier period. I certainly would have
- 17 briefly popped in the museum a few times during that 18 leave.
- 19 Q. You returned on 11 or 12 May.
- A. No, from around about 11 May through to the 13th, 14th, 20
- 21 I spent most of the time in the hospital awaiting the
- 22 birth of my son. So I can't quite remember, because I
- 23 was using a variety of other forms of leave, I can't
- 24 remember exactly the day I would have returned. It
- 25 would have been a couple of days after the - two or 26
- three days after the 11th.
- 27 Q. In any event, can we assume that, from your point of 28 view, no-one mentioned the allegedly secret sacred
- 29 status of Hindmarsh Island to you, in that period, when
- 30 you were on leave, irrespective of whether you were in
- 31 or out of the museum.
- 32 A. I have no memory of it being mentioned, at that stage, 33 in those words, or with all the meaning that we put
- 34 behind those words.
- 35 Q. You spoke of a visit to the Tindale collection involving
- 36 Dr Kartinyeri, Mrs Hilda Day and Mrs Sarah Milera, in
- 37 April 1994.
- A. That's correct. 38

- 1 Q. Do you recall when that took place.
- 2 A. No, I have been able to locate it roughly using the
- dates of when I started leave from one end and when
- 4 the Tindale collection was being unpacked at the other.
- So, those two main dates are the dates that I have been able to -
- 7 Q. What are those two main dates. We know when you went on leave.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. When was the Tindale collection unpacked.
- 11 A. Very early April. It arrived some weeks beforehand and
- was in crates in our holding area and then, in early
- 13 April, it was being unpacked in the room in which the
- two visits which are spoken of in my statement eventually took place.
- Q. How long after it arrived did you have this visit from Dr Kartinyeri and others.
- 18 A. As I have said, it arrived some weeks earlier. How
- long after the Tindale collection had been unpacked,
- 20 I can't precisely remember. It was just a matter of
- some days. It is hard to pin down more than that. It
- was one of the first things that I had to do when we
- were on or when the Tindale collection had been
- 24 unpacked, to actually start going through all the
- 25 journals looking for references to Hindmarsh Island.
- And it was at that date that I was essentially
- becoming familiar with the Tindale collection through
- the process of doing that. So I spent many hours going through each volume.
- 30 Q. Apart from the women I have named, was there anyone else there, on that occasion.
- 32 A. From my memory, at some stage during the visit Kate
- 33 Alport popped into the room for a second. I don't
- believe she was there for more than just a couple of
- 35 minutes. She was possibly putting some other volume
- back and, from my memory, wasn't paying wasn't part of
- 37 the visit. She certainly wasn't there to begin with.
- 38 She just came in and she went out very quickly.

- 1 Q. Do you suggest that Mr Hemming was there.
- 2 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. You sure of that, or may you be mistaken.
- A. I'm certain that he was there for that visit.
- 5 Q. You see, you knew in April 1994 that he was based at the Fullarton Road annex of the Museum.
- 7 A. That's right. And I can remember ringing him up to tell him of the visit and to come over and help.
- 9 Q. Would you just say that to me again. I just don't quite understand what you mean by the `visit' and he was to come in and help.
- 12 A. Okay. I'll step back a bit further. I got a message
- from our head of division that some women, Ngarrindjeri
- women, were coming in to look and inspect the Tindale
- 15 collection. Would I handle it. I said yes. And, out
- of courtesy, I rang up Steve Hemming and said `Come on over'.
- Q. What do you mean `out of courtesy'. Why did you think it was necessary for you to invite him to attend.
- 20 A. I was still trying to include Steve on all of the
- 21 projects that related to the Lower Murray area. I would
- have expected that he would have been likewise for me.
- And there was some people coming over, he knew those
- people, I knew those people. It was out of professional courtesy.
- Q. So, he was there at your invitation; is that your position.
- A. Yes. I'm not suggesting that I had a stronger role in the actual visit than he did, but I informed him that
- 30 they were there. He could have said `No, I'm too busy,
- 31 you handle it', but he came along.
- Q. You didn't mention in your statement that he was thereat your invitation, did you.
- 34 A. I haven't got the statement in front of me. When I say invitation' -
- 36 Q. Would you like to see the statement.
- 37 A. Yes, thank you.
- 38 Q. Looking at your statement produced and in particular at

- p.2 of the second section. It's in two sections. P.2
- 2 of the section headed `My knowledge of the events
- forming the Hindmarsh Island issue'. Looking at the
- 4 second paragraph, the first sentence reads `The next
- visit to the Tindale collection a few days later was by
 Miss Doreen Kartinyeri, Miss Hilda Day, Miss Sarah
- 7 Milera and Mr Steve Hemming, a curator of anthropology.
- 8 See that.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Was that worded in that way to give the impression that
- Mr Hemming was accompanying these women who were visiting the Tindale collection.
- 13 A. No. I did not intend to word it in that way to give
- that impression. Steve was with me before these three
- women arrived and I fully acknowledged that at that
- stage he didn't have much more or less of an idea of what was going on than I did.
- 18 Q. I suppose he was with you when they left.
- 19 A. Yes, he was with me when they left.
- 20 Q. Without going into all of the detail, there was a
- 21 conversation between you and the women; is that right.
- A. We're all talking about what was in the Tindale collection.
- 24 Q. `They' asked that presumably means some of the women
- or one of the women asked something of you and Mr Hemming.
- 27 A. That's correct. They asked us as a collective.
- 28 Q. The request was that you and Mr Hemming look through the
- 29 Tindale collection and find references to Hindmarsh
- 30 Island and the extended Coorong region.
- 31 A. That's correct.
- 32 Q. Subsequently, you did that, I think.
- 33 A. I had already been looking through the collection, but
- it's a massive collection. I kept on looking. There
- were still parts of the Tindale archive that I'd only
- 36 glanced through. The researching that I was doing, and
- 37 presumably Mr Hemming as well could have started before
- and continued past that visit.

- Q. Did you and Mr Hemming together discuss what, if anything, you might do about that request after the women left.
- 4 A. I have no precise memory. We were we kept on looking.
- 5 The fact that if we had found something significant,
- 6 then we would have to have had it in conversation. But
- 7 nothing significant in relation to Hindmarsh Island was found in the Tindale archive.
- Q. Whilst certainly I accept that you looked through, was
 it practicable for Mr Hemming to look through, was it.
 He wasn't working in that part of the Museum.
- 12 A. He came into our North Terrace offices a couple of times
- a week and I remember him saying just he couldn't keep away from the Tindale collection. I acknowledged that
- he didn't have anywhere near as much time as I had
- because of where my office was, but he was looking
- through parts of that collection at that stage.
- Q. But you would understand that naturally he would be interested in the collection as a collection.
- A. Sorry, I'm not quite sure he is interested in the whole of the Tindale collection, in particular, the
- 22 Lower Murray, South East component of it.
- Q. The Lower Murray area, as you understood it, was his area, his area of interest.
- A. Well, that's a major area of interest of Steve Hemming and I would understand that he would, you know,
- concentrate on those. I would guess and this is a
- guess that he would concentrate on those parts of the archive first.
- 30 Q. You didn't understand him to go searching the Tindale collection on behalf of Dr Kartinyeri, did you.
- 32 A. At that stage?
- 33 Q. At that stage.
- 34 A. No. Well, we had been asked as a collective to search
- in case, continue to search through the archive.
- 36 Q. What I'm trying to establish is that given that the
- 37 Tindale collection had arrived, you would have expected
- 38 Mr Hemming to come and go through it anyway, even if Dr

- 1 Kartinyeri had never said anything, wouldn't you.
- 2 A. Yes, I would agree with that.
- 3 Q. The fact that he did look at the Tindale collection
- doesn't and shouldn't mean that he was searching there on behalf of Dr Kartinyeri, does it.
- 6 A. Well, I would put it that at that stage, his approach
- 7 would have been pretty as much the same as mine. I
- 8 wanted to go through the Tindale collection, and having
- 9 that request was a good excuse, in terms of our rather
- hectic work schedules, to spend a bit more time going through the collection.
- 12 Q. That is an assumption that you made.
- 13 A. I've made an assumption, yes.
- Q. Were you aware that Mr Hemming took a number of fieldtrips in April and May for that matter.
- 16 A. I was usually generally aware that he was spending, you
- know, the odd day here and there, as I do, going to
- places such as Point McLeay. Being based out at
- 19 Fullarton Road, I wouldn't have had any precise, you
- 20 know, knowledge of which particular days.
- 21 Q. Certainly during your leave you say Mr Hemming rang you
- to ask you for all your Hindmarsh Island data; do you recall saying that.
- 24 A. Yes, I do.
- 25 Q. He rang you at home, I suppose.
- 26 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Have you made any note of that conversation.
- 28 A. No. What I have here is my recollection of that phone
- conversation and what he asked me to do and what I did do.
- 31 Q. Can you tell me what he said and what you said in the course of that conversation.
- 33 A. Well, he was ringing up after the data base that he was
- aware that I had constructed in relation to the, you
- know, preliminary work that I had done on my thesis. I
- had a data base which was keyed into place. So there
- were a number of records, for example, that I had taken
- out of historical sources under the name of Goolwa and

- 1 Hindmarsh Island, that type of thing. So he was
- 2 interested in getting that. He also knew, because it
- 3 happened several times previously, that I had a copy of
- 4 a book written by Bellchambers in 1931 which had some
- 5 reference to Hindmarsh Island. He had access to a copy
- of that book. But there is a lot in the book and it's
- 7 very its reference is a very different way, so he
- 8 quickly wanted to get to those page numbers. So he rang
- 9 me so I could asking me to turn my computer on and get
- the reference page numbers for him and give them to him back over the phone.
- 12 Q. What did you say.
- 13 A. Well, I told him, well, I've already given the
- information to Doreen Dr Kartinyeri, so I was
- questioning, you know, why he wanted it. Surely he
- 16 could get it from Dr Kartinyeri. For whatever reason,
- he said `She doesn't have them or access to them'. He
- wanted it from me. It was easier. So, again, I was
- 19 questioning why, you know, why he needed them, you know,
- 20 if she is got the information, to leave it. And he
- said, well, she needed words to the effect of -
- special assistance in terms are interpreting them. So,
- I accepted that. He would have noted by my tone that I wasn't happy -
- 25 Q. You can't tell us what he would have known. You don't
- know what is going on in his mind.A. That is my tone. Okay. I expected that he would have,
- you know, seen that I was unhappy with it, but it's
- 29 public domain information and I behave in a professional
- manner and I certainly wasn't going to withhold
- 31 information from a curator, a fellow colleague asking
- for the information, and so I gave it to him. He knew
- my information, or I had given him my information. He
- had the data. He had to make he would then have to
- 35 make a professional decision.
- 36 Q. The decision being whether or not he would interpret it
- 37 for Dr Kartinyeri.
- 38 A. Well, whether or not, or how much, yes.

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MISS NELSON)

- Q. Can you explain to me because, as I understand your 2 evidence, you use the word `interpret' in a particular 3 sense in the anthropological context -what is involved in the interpretation of data in your field of 5 expertise.
- 6 A. It could mean quite a few different things, depending on what the nature of the data is. Often, it's the 8 ability, through having an overview in Aboriginal 9 studies or Aboriginal anthropology in general, to pick 10 up on little bits of historical information that may 11 relate to one particular area, and to make sense of it 12 in the sense of constructing a model which explained 13 those fragments of historical records. So,
- 14 `interpreting' I would interpret as providing a model which made sense of the raw data which I have described 15

16 as the public domain data.

- 17 Q. In relation to this particular set of data, were there 18 aspects of it that would require interpretation for them 19 to make sense.
- 20 A. Well, there were a number of issues in relation to the 21 information concerning burials on Hindmarsh Island: How 22 to make sense of that; why were they on Hindmarsh 23 Island; which particulars; you know, was it, you know, 24 the practice of people who lived on the islands or was 25 it - I'm just putting out a hypothetical - whether it 26 was an area where people from much broader areas buried 27 their dead. There was the explanation of or the 28 possible derivation of the Taplin word for `Hindmarsh 29 Island' that was - I'm not suggesting Steve did that, 30 but I'm saying that is another area which could be put 31 into an overall model. There's archaeological, there
- 32 are some records of archaeological material being
- 33 somewhere on the island, for example, which could be 34 interpreted, and also reference to, if my memory serves
- 35 me, a spear fight very early on in just after the turn
- 36 of the century on Hindmarsh Island. So, 'interpret' in

37 this situation could be just coming up with an

38 historical overview. It could also, in terms of some of

- 1 the place names, brought in possible links with
- dreamings and other things. So, someone who's
- 3 interpreting in this situation could go to many
- different levels and different directions.
- 5 Q. Did Mr Hemming, in that conversation, discuss with you
- 6 the possibility that human remains from a burial
- 7 platform which were held in the Museum may have come 8 from the area.
- 9 COMSR: Well, this is -
- 10 MS NELSON: Am I intruding? It seems to me it is
- something in the public domain.
- 12 COMSR
- 13 Q. Is this a matter in the public domain.
- 14 A. I think so. My answer is fairly short, and I can't
- remember that specific question being asked.
- 16 XXN
- 17 Q. But it may have been.
- 18 A. It is a possibility that it had been asked during that
- phone conversation, somewhere presumably in late April of 1994.
- 21 Q. At that particular time of your statement, you say that
- 22 Mr Hemming approached Miss Franchesca Cubillo-Alberts
- and asked her if she would become involved as a female
- anthropologist, as he thought he could no longer act as
- an advisor to Dr Kartinyeri due to the cultural
- restrictions of women's business. Do you see that.
- 27 A. Yes, I do.
- 28 Q. How do you know that. You weren't there, I take it.
- 29 Did someone tell you.
- 30 A. Yes. When I came back from leave and caught up with
- 31 Miss Cubillo-Alberts, she told me what had gone on. So
- that paragraph is based on a conversation I had with
- her. She's been in the Commission and reported on the conversation.
- 35 Q. So I understand, is that what she told you.
- 36 A. That was at the time of writing this statement. That
- was my memory of what she told me. She's since said
- that it was both Mr Hemming and Doreen Kartinyeri that

CJ 48D

- approached her. So, I acknowledge that that paragraph should read 'Mr Hemming and Dr Kartinyeri' -2
- **COMSR**
- Q. Were you present.
- A. No, I wasn't, but I'm just saying in terms of in 5 relation to that phone conversation. 6
- 7 XXN
- 8 Q. What I'm asking you is what Miss Cubillo-Alberts told 9 you. And from what you said, she's told you two
- 10 different things.
- A. No, she's only told me what she's only told me or my memory of what she told me is in this report. I'm 11
- 12
- saying that I would not with the benefit of having heard 13
- her evidence. 14
- 15 CONTINUED

- 1 Q. You were in court when she gave her evidence, were you.
- 2 A. Yes, I was.
- 3 Q. Let's just deal with your memory. When you supplied
- this statement, your clear memory was that she, Ms
 Cubillo-Alberts, told you that Mr Hemming had asked her
- 6 to be involved for the reasons expressed in that
- 7 paragraph, is that right. Since then, Ms
- 8 Cubillo-Alberts has said to you that it was Mr Hemming,
- 9 with Dr Kartinyeri, who made that request.
- 10 A. She hasn't said it to me, she said it in the commission.
- 11 Q. So you haven't had a second conversation, but that's what you heard her say in evidence.
- 13 A. That's correct.
- Q. Dealing with the conversation that you had with MsCubillo-Alberts, when did that conversation take place.
- 16 A. It's difficult to pin down on a particular day. I'd
- assume that it was sometime shortly after I came back
- from leave but, as I've already stated, it was a bit
- messy when I came back because of the circumstances in
- which I was away, so I really can't say when it was she
- caught up with me after I came back from leave but, to
- the best of my knowledge, I've put that date somewhere in mid May.
- Q. Can I suggest that it was after you became aware that a letter had been sent to Mr Tickner.
- 26 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 27 Q. Is it your recollection the conversation took place
- before you became aware that a letter had been sent to Mr Tickner.
- 30 A. Which letter was sent to Mr Tickner?
- 31 Q. The letter you refer to in your statement, `Dr
- 32 Kartinyeri's letter to Minister Tickner' is how you
- describe it on the same page.
- 34 A. I have difficulty knowing whether it was before or
- 35 after.
- 36 Q. Was this something which Ms Cubillo-Alberts volunteered
- 37 to you.
- 38 A. From my memory, yes. It would have come to me as a way

- of her asking me what was going on.
- 2 Q. Did it come to you in that connection. What was said.
- A. Basically what's written in the statement. I can't come up with the exact words.
- Q. No, but conversations don't take place in the sense of a
 statement in vacuo, what else was said. Did you say
 something, did she say something more.
- A. From my memory, I advised her not to have anything to do with it if it came up again, so I imagine she was giving me this information as a way of me being in a position
- of advising her what was going on. That was quite a
- standard approach. She was not a very experienced
- 13 standard approach. She was not a very experienced curator at that stage, and I had an unofficial role of
- 14 checking her work and helping her in whatever way I could as a more senior curator.
- Q. What do you mean by `an unofficial role'. Was that something you took upon yourself, or was it part of your job description.
- 19 A. Neither is the case, really. It was a practice that we sort of fell into, but it was on a number of occasions.
- 21 Earlier on it was suggested to her by our head of
- division to check with me had Steve Hemming's office
- been closer, then he could have had that role, or shared
- that role, but she came into the museum without any
- 25 experience as a curator, and she needed to be brought up
- to speed as quickly as possible on things, and certainly
- back then she preferred to check things with either
- myself or the head of division or possibly, on some occasions, Mr Hemming, I don't know.
- 30 COMSR: I've had a fair bit of evidence
- 31 concerning this.
- 32 MISS NELSON: I've read that. I haven't had an
- opportunity yet to cross-examine the witness.
- 34 COMSR: I appreciate that. I wonder if you're
- putting something to the witness other than what
- 36 appears.
- 37 MISS NELSON: Well, I do propose to cross-examine that
- witness.

TN 48E

- XXN
- 2 Q. In any event, you told her not to have anything to do with this request to help Dr Kartinyeri or advise her.
- A. That particular matter had already solved itself. My 5 advice was more general, just saying basically `This is
- 6 getting serious, best not to have anything to do with 7 it'. She didn't have any expertise in this particular
- 8 area. I couldn't see why she should have anything to do 9 with it.
- 10 Q. How did you and Dr Kartinyeri get along.
- 11 A. Well, until I gave evidence to the Commission, very 12 well.
- 13 Q. You say in your statement `Mr Hemming helped Ms
- 14 Kartinyeri compose and send her letter to Minister
- 15 Tickner'. Now stopping there, you weren't present when
- 16 any letter was composed or when any letter was sent, 17 were you.
- A. That's correct. 18
- 19 Q. What is your basis for making that statement.
- 20 A. Conversation that I've had with Mr Hemming.
- 21 Q. When did the conversation take place.
- 22 A. Sometime after the events.
- 23 Q. How long after the events.
- 24 A. Well, given that I wasn't seeing him on a daily basis,
- 25 it may have been a week or two, it's hard for me to say,
- 26 but of that order.
- 27 Q. What did he say.
- 28 A. He basically said that he had helped her type out and 29 send the letter.
- 30 Q. He didn't at any time say he had helped her compose the 31 letter, did he.
- A. He wouldn't have used that word. 32
- 33 Q. He would not have used the word.
- 34 A. He wouldn't have used the word `composed', no.
- 35 Q. He made no secret of the fact that he helped her by
- 36 typing the letter, and helped her to send it.
- 37 That's correct, and I've also helped Dr Kartinyeri with
- 38 her letters in the past, and I know that that always

TN 48E

- 1 entails changing grammar and overall structure.
- Q. That may have done for you, but you can't say that that is what Mr Hemming did, can you.
- 4 A. I agree that I can't say with a hundred per cent certainty that that took place.
- Q. You can't say at all, because you weren't there and you don't know.
- 8 A. What, that he had helped her type out the letter?
- 9 Q. No.
- 10 A. He certainly told me that.
- 11 Q. No, I don't dispute that, but you cannot say that he
- assisted her by changing the grammar or anything like that.
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. When you say that you yourself in the past had assisted
- Dr Kartinyeri with letters, do you mean in the
- 17 composition of letters, or more in the sense of settling
- or revising what she had composed, and put it in into
- 19 perhaps more appropriate language.
- 20 A. Both are true. On one occasion I basically wrote the
- 21 whole letter. It was a letter from the museum to an
- 22 Aboriginal council, a standard letter requiring access
- to materials. She asked me to do it because she was
- having difficulty. I basically typed out the whole
- letter, checked it with her, what each sentence meant,
- and she was happy that that conveyed what she wanted,
- and signed it and off it went. On other occasions it
- 28 may have been just a simple couple of changes depending,
- of course, on where the letter was going.
- 30 Q. Did you type any letters yourself for her from time to time.
- 32 A. Yes. The examples that I've given would have been
- having typed them for her. She didn't from my
- knowledge she can't type, she certainly can't use a
- 35 computer.
- 36 Q. In your statement, you say -
- 37 COMSR: I'm just wondering how much further
- we're going to take that particular matter.

- 1 MISS NELSON: I wasn't going to take that any further.
- 2 XXN
- Q. In your statement you say `In a series of arguments between Mr Hemming and myself over his active role in
- 5 the developing Hindmarsh Island issue', do you see that.
- 6 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Now what do you mean by the statement `his active role in the developing Hindmarsh Island issue'.
- 9 A. Well, as you've already been discussing, I consider his role of interpreting for Dr Kartinyeri in relation to
- that phone conversation while I was on leave classifies
- it as active. Also, in the various discussions that I
- was having with him, he was rather vigorous in defending
- it, but that's of secondary importance, the primary
- importance being at this stage his role, in whatever way
- he was defining it, of giving special assistance to Dr Kartinyeri.
- 18 Q. But it wasn't 'special' in the sense that it wasn't
- unusual, as I understand your evidence, for museum curators to interpret data for people.
- A. I think it would be certainly unusual and not prudent in this case.
- Q. Well, that's your view that it wasn't prudent, but you have already told me that it was not uncommon for you and other curators to interpret raw data.
- 26 A. Not uncommon in the wider universe of enquiries.
- 27 Anything to do with land disputes, it was very clearly
- put on a number of occasions from the directors and heads of division that we were not to be involved.
- 30 Q. But it didn't stop Mr Jones leaping to a television interview, did it -
- 32 A. No, and as I've already said -
- 33 Q. with the blessing of his head of department.
- 34 A. And the director. No rules or policies are applicable
- in all situations. As I've said the events, by the time
- that Mr Jones spoke out, were very complicated, and he
- 37 made a decision. It could have been vetoed by the
- director. It wasn't. He behaved in an appropriate

TN 48E

- 1 manner. Just like if I'd wanted to do likewise, I would
- 2 have to have got the director's permission as well to
- have any involvement other than just giving out information.
- 5 Q. Is this not the position; that you understood from Mr
- 6 Hemming that he believed there was some basis for the
- suggestion that there was secret sacred women's business
- 8 connected with Hindmarsh Island. Just stopping there,
- 9 is that correct.
- 10 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 11 Q. You had the opposite view, there was no basis.
- 12 A. No basis on the terms of the historical and preEuropean period, that's correct.
- 14 Q. You and he debated that issue, did you not.
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. On more than one occasion, I imagine.
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. As I understand your evidence, you say that there was at
- least an area where you were in agreement, which you say
- was that, at the very least, there had been a
- 21 reinvention of tradition.
- 22 A. Yes, he agreed.
- 23 Q. Sorry, a recent invention of tradition what is the
- 24 correct expression.
- 25 A. I would have been using both terms.
- 26 COMSR
- Q. Do they mean the same.
- 28 A. In this connection, invention of tradition is correct.
- Yes, I put that phrase to him. He, of course, realised
- that it was related to the book that's already been
- 31 mentioned in evidence, Osborne and Ranger, and yes, he
- agreed that there were at least some elements that had
- 33 to be recent.
- 34 XXN
- 35 Q. Just so that I'm clear about this, bear with me it's
- 36 some weeks since I've been here as I understand your
- evidence, that isn't to be taken to mean that someone's
- gone out and made something up, but in the

- anthropological connection of explaining events in a simplistic way, is that correct.
- A. Often it does mean a more active role in one or two people massaging, to use that term, the historical
- 5 records and coming up with another model, and then that
- 6 gains validity and, in a sense, gets set in concrete by
- a growth of followers of that new or extended or altered
- 8 tradition, whichever we we would look at it, so
- 9 invention of tradition certainly doesn't rule out
- fabrication at one extreme. In fact, in one of the
- papers it's pretty clear that in that volume that
- fabrication did take place, and then it was followed up
- with a growth of believers that eventually, through the
- passage of time, covered up the change or the deviation
- from what the historical records reflect.
- 16 Q. Providing the historical record was correct in the first place, I suppose.
- 18 A. Or in terms of the examples given in this volume,
- there's been ample historical data to show the shift.

 O. You've already said in your evidence that, in your view,
- 20 Q. You've already said in your evidence that, in your view, 21 Dr Kartinyeri and the other women who share her point of 22 view genuinely believe in the women's business.
- A. The extremely basic account, if it was possible to
- distil what that basic account is, but yes, I believe
- 25 that, or certainly the followers of the secret sacred
- women's business on Hindmarsh Island fully believe in
- it, and I believe that Dr Kartinyeri herself believes in
- some form of a basic account, even though there's been a
- 29 number of shifts in terms of the data she is giving on
- it, so she is possibly even now still trying to work it
- out, in her words.
- Q. Can you just help me by telling me on what basis do you form a view that it's a genuine belief.
- 34 A. Well, I don't think it's a genuine belief in the sense
- of the historical preEuropean background.
- 36 Q. No, the genuine belief on their part.
- 37 A. A genuinely held belief.
- 38 Q. A genuinely held belief.

4038

TN 48E

- A. Just my knowledge of the women involved, some of their actions back then and now, my personal knowledge of Dr Kartinyeri, I think that deep down she is basically an honest woman, all of those things. I mean it's my
- 2 3
- perception. CONTINUED 5

KC 48F

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS NELSON)

1 COMSR

- Q. Is it a genuinely held belief, but the belief itself isnot genuine, is that what you are saying.
- 4 A. That is the unfortunate situation. The latter part of that statement being on the basis of my knowledge of the
- 6 literature and field work situation prior to sometime in 7 March/April 1994. Of course, I am not suggesting that
- 8 Dr Kartinyeri is entirely the fabricator in this
- 9 situation.
- 10 XXN
- 11 Q. In these various discussions that you had with Mr
- Hemming, is it the case that he said to you that he supported Doreen Kartinyeri's right to have a say.
- 14 A. I can't remember those exact words, or a statement along those lines, no.
- 16 Q. You don't suggest, do you, that Mr Hemming said he was justified in backing the effort to stop the bridge.
- A. He said words to that effect on the basis that two older women that he knew quite well were supporting him. So his support was on the basis of the two elderly ladies
- 21 who supported it.
- Q. But you didn't understand him to have any sort of personal view about this bridge or any personal investment in whether it went ahead or whether it
- didn't.
- A. No, I think he probably like me thought that it would probably be better if there wasn't a bridge, but neither he nor I had formed any strong opinion on the bridge, if we were able to remove that totally from the Aboriginal
- sites. So there were no elements of our conversation along those lines.
- Q. On another occasion at least there was a discussion inwhich Mr Hemming, you say, told you that he had heard Dr
- Catherine Berndt and Professor Ronald Berndt state that
- 35 the Lower Murray landscape was perceived in a certain
- way. I am conscious of the difficulty that may arise if
- you elaborate on an answer, but is that what you say.
- 38 A. Yes, and our conversation didn't elaborate, so I don't

- think there is any problem with acknowledging that.
- 2 Q. That conversation is a matter to which I will have to return in closed court, I think.
- MR SMITH: Ms Nelson may not be aware that that has 5 gone a fair distance.
- 6 MS NELSON: I am, but I am just not too sure how far it has gone and I would like to consider it.
- 8 COMSR: We will be going into a closed session.
- 9 MS NELSON: I think, rather than transgress, I think
- 10 I would err on the side of being cautious.
- 11 One never knows the response, in any 12 case, Ms Nelson and how far that may cause difficulties.
- I think that is the problem. 13 MS NELSON:
- 14 XXN
- 15 Q. Of course, this particular difference of opinion between
- 16 you and Mr Hemming on an anthropological issue isn't the
- 17 first time the two of you have had a difference of
- 18 opinion in the anthropological area, is it.
- 19 A. Over 13 odd years I have known him we have disagreed at 20 one time or another in many areas. That is certainly 21
- 22 Q. But, of course, from your point of view, there was a 23 particular significance in statements being made about
- 24 secret sacred women's business, and that was that you
- 25 had lodged your thesis to be marked and there is no 26 mention of it in your thesis.
- 27 A. That's correct. But had I missed it, and let's assume that it was true, then it wouldn't bother me. I gave an 28
- 29 accurate view of Aboriginal relationships to the
- 30 landscape through all the historical and anthropological
- 31 literature that was available on the basis of my own
- 32 field work. I am sure that with the passage of time the
- 33 thesis as any body of work will show one or two
- 34 hopefully minor errors in it. With a body of work that
- 35 large, it would be very idealistic to expect that it
- 36 would survive the passage of time without some source of
- error creeping into it. It is just such a massive work. 37
- 38 Q. This wouldn't have been a minor error. It would have

KC 48F

- been quite a significant thing, would it not, if there
- 2 had been a whole area of sacred secret business that you
- 3 hadn't even mentioned.
- 4 COMSR: But isn't the thing, Ms Nelson, that is
- 5 being put that the very existence of this women's
- 6 business is what makes it secret?
- 7 MS NELSON: But that is what I was putting.
- 8 XXN
- 9 Q. You have published a thesis, which -
- 10 A. I haven't published.
- 11 Q. Which, in your view, is definitive.
- 12 A. I haven't published the thesis.
- 13 Q. You have handed one up to be marked and if it doesn't
- come up to scratch you are not going to get your
- doctorate, that is it, isn't it.
- 16 A. Come up to scratch in the theory involved or the ethnographic data?
- 18 Q. In what way the examiner considers it, I suppose.
- 19 A. In how relevant my thesis was to those conversations.
- 20 My memory is that the situation that you are putting
- forward never occurred to me. I mean, I was so certain
- that the secret sacred women's business on Hindmarsh
- 23 Island didn't exist or couldn't exist that I just wasn't
- worried about my thesis. And the people marking that
- 25 thesis would have been if they were aware of the
- 26 Hindmarsh Island issue would have been in a position
- of acknowledging that it started after I submitted my
- thesis. The so-called truth of my thesis was never an
- issue. There is some possibility down the track that
- there will be other areas in my thesis and I will take
- 31 them on the chin when that happens, but I have got
- 32 confidence that they will be minor errors when they
- eventually appear. But I know of no thesis that is
- regarded as the first and last word on any topic. A
- 35 thesis is like a rights of passage document. It is only
- when you in later years work on that thesis to publish
- it as a book that one would be extra sensitive about
- what is or isn't contained within it. If, for example,

KC 48F

- 1 we assume that secret sacred women's business is proven
- 2 by the Commission or suggested by the Commission, in
- 3 whatever way, to exist and it is not in my thesis
- doesn't bother me in the slightest. I think the way it
- 5 has been argued from the proponent women's side if I had
- 6 to rely on their description would be explanation in
- 7 itself. So my thesis was never an issue in relation to
- 8 arguments with or discussions, whatever, with Mr
- 9 Hemming.
- 10 Q. It may not have been an issue in discussions between you 11 and Mr Hemming, but the fact is, is it not, that this
- 12 issue arose after you had lodged your thesis and before
- 13 you got the results.
- 14 A. It arose in April, May sort of June being the main months, that's correct. That is the way it happened. 15
 - There is a mention I might add of the Hindmarsh Island
- 16
- debate in my thesis, but, at that stage, it was an 17
- 18 archaeological debate. I was aware of, through my
- 19 contact down at Point McLeay, that people were worried
- 20 about Hindmarsh Island in the sense of the archaeology
- 21 on the island and what might happen. So that is
- 22 mentioned in the thesis. And there has to be a cut off
- 23 point with a thesis. I have already mentioned in giving
- 24 evidence in cross-examination that the Berndt book
- 25 became available in the last stages of writing up my
- 26 thesis. My supervisors gave me the option of either not
- 27 referring to it at all, or just making partial reference
- 28 to it. So everyone who writes a thesis is always going
- 29 to get caught out by things appearing just before or
- 30 just after submitting it. It is a fact of life and my
- 31 supervisors did their job in not allowing me to be
- 32 overanxious about last minute books or material that
- 33 became available or, indeed, things that became publicly
- 34 available or apparent after I submitted it. The markers
- 35 of -
- 36 Q. I really think you have answered, or I think you have
- answered the question and it will be a lot quicker if 37
- 38 you confine yourself to answering the question.

- 1 A. You have asked the question in several different ways and I think I should be allowed to answer fully.
- 3 COMSR
- 4 Q. I think you have covered it, but just for the sake of completeness, are you saying that, at the time you
- 6 submitted your thesis there had been no suggestion of
- the existence of women's business, secret women's
- 8 business, or secret sacred women's business.
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 XXN
- 11 Q. And there was no mention of any of those three things in your thesis.
- 13 A. That's correct.
- Q. Do you think, after reflecting upon it, that that fact has reinforced the stand which you have taken over
- whether the women's business exists or not.
- 17 A. No, I don't believe the thesis has set me in cement. I
- may well over the next few years publish papers which deviate from my thesis as I get more information and
- become more mature. That thesis is not the statement of
- 21 the rest of my life in terms of publishing it.
- 22 MS NELSON: There may be other questions. There is
- certainly one area I need to deal with that I think as
- 24 presently advised should be done in a closed session.
- 25 And I have really exhausted this topic.
- 26 COMSR: Would you prefer that we go into closed
- session now, so that you can?
- 28 MS NELSON: Mr Abbott isn't back.
- 29 COMSR: No, he isn't back and that hour might well be more of an approximation than anything else.
- 31 And I think that perhaps if we go ahead and conclude
- 32 your cross-examination in its entirety it would be more
- 33 satisfactory and convenient from your point of view.
- How long do you anticipate that we might have to go
- into private session?
- 36 MS NELSON: Not long.
- 37 MR SMITH: Could I raise something?
- 38 Before that happens, could the suppression order

4044 KC 48F

1	matter be disposed of now? Do you mind doing that now?					
2 3	The media has got an interest in you doing that.					
3	COMSR: I am lifting the suppression order?					
4	MR SMITH: Yes, I have instructions from the ABC					
5	now, but it is contingent on me saying two things.					
6	First of all, that the Commission was satisfied with					
7	the viewing of the raw footage camera tapes at the ABC					
8	premises at Collinswood, on 17 October. And that the					
9	transcript made by the Commission prior to that viewing					
10	was confirmed in substance as accurate by the viewing.					
11	COMSR: And that is the case?					
12	MR SMITH: Yes, and both those comments are the					
13	case. And, on that basis, you can lift the suppression					
14						
15	COMSR: Concerning the use -					
16	MR SMITH: As to the evidence as to the question of					
17						
18	COMSR: Yes, all right.					
19	I will lift the suppression order as to the evidence					
20						
21						
22						
23	Smith.					
24	Does that leave anyone in any doubt as to the effect					
25	of that?					
26	MR SMITH: The suppression order remains in place					
27	in respect of the allegations made in respect of the					
28	conversation between Mr Wardle and Alison Caldwell.					
29	COMSR: Yes, I haven't purported to lift the					
30	whole of it. I hope I have made explicit the part of it					
31						
32						
33	HEARING CONTINUES IN CLOSED SESSION					

Closed Hearing

18/10/95

Pages 4045-4049

4050

CJ 48G

- 1 HEARING CONTINUES IN OPEN SESSION
- 2 XXN
- 3 Q. Dr Kartinyeri is an articulate person.
- 4 A. I would consider her articulate, yes.
- Q. She is able to read ordinary material without any difficulty.
- 7 A. Well, I would say it's unlikely she would be able to
- 8 read anthropological texts, but if you are talking about
- 9 ordinary material, yes, she would be able to quite
- 10 easily read ordinary material.
- 11 Q. For example, she could read the newspaper without any trouble at all.
- 13 A. I believe so.
- Q. Do you know Dr Kartinyeri to read the newspaper; haveyou observed her to do so.
- 16 A. I've observed her collecting the obituaries of the
- 17 newspaper for her work in the Aboriginal Family History
- Project, so, yes, I have observed her reading parts of the newspaper.
- 20 Q. Is Dr Kartinyeri still working at the Museum.
- 21 A. She's still officially working with the Museum. She's
- based at her mid-north home. She works from home.
- Q. Has that always been the system that she works from home.
- A. Some time prior to the beginning of 1994, she moved up there. It was a work situation that the head of the
- division, the director, and the department had worked
- 28 out.
- 29 Q. Prior to that, do I understand you to say that
- principally she carried out her work activities by attending at the Museum.
- of attending at the Museum.
- 32 A. Yes. She had an office and a desk and a phone.
- Q. Was her position one that involved her attending at the Museum basically in ordinary working hours.
- 35 A. Yes, nine to five type work.
- 36 Q. Had you the opportunity to get to know her quite well,
- working in the same environment.
- 38 A. Yes.

- Q. Do you know her as an argumentative person, or is she not an argumentative type of person.
- A. She can be very argumentative. She is a very firey person. I've seen many arguments and I've had arguments with her.
- Q. The construction of the Hindmarsh Island Bridge or
 issues relating to the construction of a bridge at
- Hindmarsh Island have been around for quite a few years now, haven't they.
- 10 A. Yes, many. Well, I have been aware of them through the media for quite a few years.
- 12 Q. In fact, from a chronological point of view, the
- discussion started about building a bridge in the late 1980s in the public arena.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Through that period of time in the late 1980s to late 1993, you were continuing to do field work and had a
- close association with Aboriginal persons associated with the Lower Murray.
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. During that time, was there, to your knowledge, any
- discussion about the construction, or the proposed
- construction, of the Hindmarsh Island Bridge and by people that you mixed with; I mean by that, Aboriginal
- 25 people that you mixed with.
- 26 A. I wasn't aware of any discussion until November 1993.
- From my knowledge, it based from informal contact with the Aboriginal people in that area. That it wasn't a
- 29 talking point until late 1993.
- 30 Q. Wasn't an issue.
- 31 A. That's my opinion.
- 32 Q. At p.257 of your evidence, you were giving
- evidence-in-chief to Mr Smith. Have you a copy of the evidence there.
- 35 A. Yes, I have.
- 36 Q. Reading from line one and looking at line one, you refer
- to being consulted by Rod Lucas.
- 38 A. That's correct.

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MR MEYER)

- Q. Was that in relation to the report that he was doing for Hindmarsh Island.
- 3 A. That's correct.
- Q. Just to get the terminology correct, he has been called
 Mr and doctor. Is Rod Lucas Dr Lucas or Mr Lucas.
- 6 A. Mr Lucas.
- Q. If someone is writing a report such as Mr Lucas was
 doing for Hindmarsh Island, is it common for contact to
 be made with the Museum to obtain information.
- 10 A. It was a practice that he had already set up as being a consultant, so the answer is yes.
- Q. Besides providing raw data as such, would the Museum, in
 consult with an anthropologist, provide any

interpretations of that data.

15 A. Under those circumstances, since it's a consultant with another branch of the State Public Service, we would go

and look at it further in terms of interpreting.

- 18 Interpreting it would still be the consultant's
- responsibility to either reject our advice or take it,
- but certainly we would offer a bit more of an
- interpretation or a guestimate and, you know, the
- consultant would deal with that.
- Q. What that means is that you would have a professional discussion.
- 25 A. That's correct, a consultant being another profession.
- 26 Q. Rather than being a purveyor of facts.
- 27 A. That's correct.
- 28 Q. Nothing that Mr Lucas came up with or discussed
- suggested any nature of women's business with HindmarshIsland.
- 31 A. No. There's nothing in that report that suggests
- women's business.
- 33 Q. In fact, you have seen a copy of Mr Lucas's report.
- 34 A. Yes. He presented me with a copy of it, which I still
- 35 have behind me.
- 36 Q. When did he present you with a copy, back at the time it
- 37 was current.
- 38 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. Or when it was currently done back in 1990, or whatever.
- 2 A. That's correct.
- Q. Are you able to recollect any specific matters that Mr
 Lucas discussed with you when he consulted with you in
 1990.
- 6 A. He was interested in the ethnography, historical records, and whom to speak to.
- 8 Q. Did you give him names of persons to speak to.
- 9 A. Yes. On a previous visit, on another consultancy, I took him down to Point McLeay to introduce him to people, so he had a fair idea of the organisations from the consultancy report he had done just prior to this one.
- Q. Are you saying that he had had previous appointments or projects involving the Lower Murray Aboriginal group.
- A. Yes. The report on Sellicks Beach, although being on the Adelaide side, there was a perceived Lower Murray interest and I facilitated an introduction, put it that way, between him and the people living at Point McLeay.
- 20 Q. Did you effect any introductions to any persons other than persons at Point McLeay.
- A. It's possible that we went via Point McLeay on that
 earlier occasion, the Sellicks Beach consultancy, but
 I'm not sure my main memory is Point McLeay and I
 think, from my memory, that Mr Lucas was more interested
 in that particular community with its perceived major
- role in the heritage matters.
 Q. As I understand some of the comments that have been made
 by Doreen Kartinyeri to various TV stations or in the
 newspaper, she suggested that she has been a person
 specially chosen to be told about secret sacred women's
- business, or secret women's knowledge, or matters of that nature. Have you heard that sort of suggestion.
- 34 A. I have heard that type of suggestion from her, yes.
- 35 Q. Virtually in relation to the Lower Murray Aboriginal
- culture, is there a system that you know of which a singular person as being the chosen person to receiv
- singular person as being the chosen person to receiveknowledge.

- 1 A. Not as the chosen person. It's certainly true, as
- 2 reflected in the Berndt book, that certain people would
- 3 have an aptitude towards concern functions within that
- society, and that is a level of choice both ways, I
- 5 would suggest. And I don't think what the Berndts
- 6 described there as amounting to a degree of
- specialisation within the culture, would amount to being the chosen one.
- Q. Is it likely that in that cultural setting, it would end
 up with only one person being the repository of special
 knowledge.
- 12 A. No. Special knowledge would have to be known by a
- number of persons, if only just to protect that
- 14 knowledge. So there would there may well be a precise
- kinship rule about the transference of knowledge. But
- from what we know about other parts of Australia,
- knowledge is usually stored laterally as well in case a
- particular lineage of people die out through natural or other reasons.
- Q. In relation to this notion of there being a singular person who receives knowledge, it occurs to me that a risk, for example, is that somebody could die
- 23 prematurely before one expects them to die.
- 24 A. That's correct, particularly of younger people. The
- population of all hunting and gathering societies is such that there is a very high death rate for virtually
- 27 all age groups. So, for that reason, information would
- need to be stored laterally. Young people in particular
- are involved, more heavily involved in hunting,
- gathering, strenuous hunting and gathering activities
- and are more likely to come to an untimely death.
- 32 Q. To extend that from the boundaries of the Lower Murray
- 33 Aboriginal people to similar cultural systems
- world-wide, is there any fundamental difference, or is
- 35 there again, because of this possibility of premature
- death, a spreading of any important knowledge wider than
- one single person.
- 38 A. That's correct. Knowledge would be disbursed throughout

- the community. And the way that people receive 2 knowledge is an unveiling process which may not end until someone was well into their 40s or 50s.
 - Q. So, it's a gradual learning process.
- 5 A. That's right. And in some cases, the people who, for whatever reason, are not considered worthy or 6 7 trustworthy and responsible for that knowledge, their 8 education, if we term it that, would stop rather 9 abruptly and they may never get that full knowledge.
- 10 They would have partial knowledge.
- Q. In Exhibit 171A that's the Ray Martin interview on 22 11
- 12 May 1995 - at p.2 Ray Martin asks the questions `At the
- 13 age of 17, you knew there was women's business on that
- island', and the reply by Dr Kartinyeri was `At the age 14
- 15 of 17, I was told, because I lost my mother when I was
- 16
- ten "Is now time my girl".' What she says is `"It is now, my girl, to tell you what I think your mother would 17
- have told you".' 18
- 19 CONTINUED

- 1 'It was told to me by elder members of my family'.
- 2 Firstly, do you have a comment to make about the age of
- 17 as being an age when persons would receive that knowledge.
- 5 A. For the reasons I've just outlined, I think it's
- 6 unlikely that full knowledge of the degree of importance
- that it is given would have been relayed to someone of 17.
- 9 Q. Secondly, in the last sentence of that quotation where
- it says 'It was told to me by elder members of my
- family', does that in fact contradict the suggestion of
- there being a notion of a chosen one.
- 13 A. That's my opinion. It suggests a much broader base of
- the knowledge, and yet all the other evidence suggests
- that there's just one line of passing down that
- 16 knowledge.
- 17 Q. If, in fact, such knowledge existed, you would expect to
- find a common knowledge of it in a broad section of the
- 19 elder section of the female community.
- 20 A. That's correct. Even if the details of that knowledge
- 21 were not known, then I'd still expect there would be
- broad knowledge that there was a category of secret
- sacred women's business.
- Q. In particular, a broad knowledge of post menopausal
- women.
- 26 A. In particular, they would be regarded as the most
- 27 responsible component of the community on the female side.
- 29 Q. You've got a copy of the Fergie report, haven't you.
- 30 A. Yes.
- 31 COMSR: Are you going to go into any detail?
- 32 MR MEYER: No. Has your Honour got a copy of the
- 33 report.
- 34 COMSR: Yes, I have.
- 35 MR MEYER: P.5, second paragraph, the question I'm
- 36 going to ask is directed towards speaking to a number of
- persons as outlined in the first sentence of the second
- paragraph, which I don't think offends, so the question

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MR MEYER)

- 1 I'm going it put is in the connection of being a chosen 2 one, and then in the connection of there being a number 3 of people referred to in the first four lines of that
- paragraph, so I don't think that creates a s.35 problem.

5 XXN

6 Q. Do you think that creates a problem. My question is

7 this; in the report, Dr Fergie refers to Doreen

- 8 Kartinyeri giving an account of the women's secret
- 9 knowledge to inform the younger women who did not
- 10 themselves have this knowledge. Now my question is if 11 there is a system of having a chosen one, doesn't the
- 12 very step of disclosing that to a group of younger women
- again contradict the notion of there being some singular 13

14 system of dissemination.

- A. Yes, it does. It suggests a rather radical broadening 15 16 out of the knowledge base.
- That's the end of the topic. If Mr 17 MR MEYER: 18 Abbott wishes to resume.
- 19 COMSR: How much longer do you think you will 20 be?
- 21 MR MEYER: I'm happy to go through to lunchtime.
- 22 We do have a problem with a witness this COMSR: 23 afternoon, a witness has come here from interstate.
- 24 MR MEYER: Maybe it's better to keep going because 25 otherwise we need to close the court.

26

- 27 Q. In the Point McLeay community, in your understanding of 28 how it worked, was there, if you can call it, a
- 29 commonality of knowledge amongst various age groups 30 within the community.
- A. I would say in general that's true, the Point McLeay 31
- 32 community defined as Ngarrindjeri community all the
- 33 people who trace decent or a high degree of affinity 34 with Point McLeay. I would say that's true, yes.
- 35 Q. Thinking back to the system that would have been in
- 36 place at the time when Doreen Kartinyeri was a girl, and
- when a number of the Aboriginal ladies who have given 37
- 38 evidence in these proceedings were children at Point

- 1 McLeay, so we're now back before the war, aren't we.
- A. About then, yes.
- Q. At that time there were a lot more people living on Point McLeay.
- A. The population was very high then. From my knowledge,it would have been a bit higher.
- 7 Q. The thrust of my question is at that time, did the Point
- 8 McLeay community work, as far as your researches tell
- you, whereby there would have been common knowledge of matters of Aboriginal relevance between various age
- groups in the community.
- 12 A. In general that's true. People who had been born prior
- to the 1880s, 1890s, had a lot of preEuropean knowledge.
- People born, say, after the Aborigines Act of 1911,
- which radically changed the nature of their life, making
- it a missionised population, they received in general
- much less information from the older generations.
- 18 Q. Would you look at Exhibit 172, that's the Lower Murray
- Aboriginal Heritage Committee agenda. That document has a handwritten date of 11 September 1990.
- 21 A. That's correct.
- Q. That was at a time when you were actively involved with the Lower Murray Aboriginal Ngarrindjeri people.
- 24 A. Yes, I was actively involved then.
- 25 Q. There is a reference in para.1 about a proposal for
- building or reconstructing the main road in the vicinity
- of Tailem Bend and Wellington. Were you aware of
- 28 discussions about that matter.
- A. The museum, that is Steve Hemming and I, were involved at various stages with those discussions.
- 31 Q. Para.2 refers to `Developer proposes to build on
- 32 Aboriginal sites at Granite Island, Cape Jervis,
- Hindmarsh Island and Kangaroo Island'. Were those
- matters that were at least of common knowledge amongst
- people that you were mixing with.
- 36 A. Certainly the people we were involved with.
- 37 COMSR: What is the status of this, is this an
- 38 exhibit?

- MR MEYER: Yes.
- COMSR: Not suppressed?
- MR MEYER: That's not suppressed, is it?
- MR SMITH: I don't think so.
- 5 I wonder in what degree of particularity COMSR:
- 6 you intend going into this.
- 7 MR MEYER: No further than the fact of its
- 8 existence.
- 9 COMSR: Because it concerns areas out of the
- 10 area.
- 11 MR MEYER: The fact of it, not the matters involved
- 12 in the fact of it.
- 13 A. Yes, I was aware of these development issues being
- 14 discussed in the community, particularly with the people
- 15 that the museum were talking to in relation to the Lower
- 16 Murray Sites Project.
- 17
- 18 Q. You've got the Sellicks Beach report prepared by Mr
- 19 Lucas.
- 20 A. I have a copy in my bag.
- 21 Q. As I understand it, in relation to that report, Mr Lucas
- 22 consulted with both you and Steve Hemming.
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. With Henry Rankine.A. That's correct. 24
- 25
- 26 Q. With Doreen Kartinyeri.
- A. That's correct. 27
- 28 Q. Val Power.
- 29 A. I believe so, I'd have to have a look.
- Q. Would you check. 30
- A. Yes, Val Power. 31
- 32 Q. That report was prepared, as I understand it, under the
- 33 auspices of the Aboriginal Heritage Branch of the
- 34 Department of Planning.
- 35 A. That's correct.
- 36 Q. Did Mr Lucas provide you with a copy of that report.
- A. This copy that I have, yes. 37
- 38 Q. You would have had a professional interest in that as an

- anthropologist interested in Ngarrindjeri matters.
- 2 A. That's correct.
- Q. I assume that it's common for the museum to receive material of that nature.
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. When was the Sellicks Beach report prepared.
- 7 A. It's dated 1989.
- Q. So it was prepared before Mr Lucas prepared hisHindmarsh Island report.
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. So prior to 1989, Doreen Kartinyeri and Val Power, at
- least as two Ngarrindjeri women, are persons who Mr
- Lucas knows of to consult in relation to Ngarrindjeri
- 14 matters.
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. Had you provided those names to Mr Lucas, or do you not know where he got the names from.
- 18 A. He came to speak to both Steve Hemming and myself when
- 19 he was embarking upon this. We gave him names and he
- would have, through his own work, come up with other names as well.
- Q. I think that Mr Abbott asked you some questons about theNgarrindjeri verse, didn't he.
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. I don't want to retraverse that background but,
- following on from those questions, is there a general
- structure of verse or matters like that in Ngarrindjeri culture.
- A. Are you talking about songs or rhymes, that type of thing?
- 31 Q. Well, I have a mind more along the lines of poetry and
- 32 verse, rather than songs as such. There were clearly
- songs, weren't there.
- 34 A. The songs that people sing today are English, in many
- cases, 19th century songs. I'm not aware of any
- 36 Ngarrindjeri songs that are still sung today.
- 37 Q. The ones that are sung today, do they emanate from a
- source like hymns and things like that.

- 1 A. Yes, it's of church hymns.
- Q. In your report, you refer to talking to Dr Draper on 9
- August 1994, is that right.
- 4 A. I haven't got my statement, but -
- 5 Q. I may be misquoting you. Have you got your statement.
- 6 A. Yes, I have.
- 7 Q. It's in the last section, p.3.
- 8 A. That's correct hang on.
- 9 Q. Dr Draper approached Mr Jones. Did he talk to you on that occasion.
- 11 A. No. he didn't.
- 12 Q. Was there some occasion in 1994 when you talked to Dr Draper.
- 14 A. Yes, on 31 August 1994.
- 15 Q. On the next page of your report you say `The remarks I
- made concerning my doubts clearly had little impact on
- 17 him'. Can you recall what you said to Dr Draper.
- 18 A. I gave him a very brief overview of why I didn't think
- there was any substance to it. He didn't comment, he
- just kept on talking about other things.
- 21 Q. Have you talked with him since.
- 22 A. No, I haven't.
- 23 Q. From the time when this question of women's business on
- 24 Hindmarsh Island first came to your notice, at any time
- 25 since then has your position in relation to the matter
- changed.
- 27 A. My position in relation to my doubts?
- 28 Q. Yes.
- 29 A. No, it hasn't.
- 30 Q. Have you approached the matter with an open mind, i.e.
- 31 if you stumbled over some valid material, would you
- 32 consider that.
- 33 A. Yes, I would, and I'd be capable of changing my mind. I
- would be very rigorous with testing new material that
- suggested otherwise, but that would be expected in any
- 36 case.
- 37 Q. In fact you view yourself, listening to your evidence as
- you give it, as a disciplined scholar.

4062

TN 48H

- A. That's correct.
- Q. As a disciplined scholar, you must be open to changing your mind.

 A. That's right. I never totally rule out the possibility
- 5 of modifying, to whatever extent, what it is I've
- previously thought or said or written. 6
- Q. In fact, that's what discipline involves, isn't it.
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. Otherwise you're an advocate.
- 10 A. Yes. 11 ADJOURNED 12.52 P.M.

KC 48J

- 1 RESUMING 2.03 P.M.
- Q. I show to you MFI 9 in these proceedings. I understand
- that is volume 17 of your field notebooks.
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. In your field notebook you have got a newspaper cutting.
- 6 A. That's correct. On p.173 of my notebook.
- 7 Q. Is your writing on the top of that newspaper cutting.
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. Saying `Advertiser 16 September 1994.'
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 MR SMITH: The notes were generally marked for
- identification only.
- 13 MR MEYER: I understand the procedure was so that
- relevant pages could be copied and otherwise the exhibit
- could be returned.
- 16 COMSR: That's right.
- 17 XXN
- 18 Q. In that newspaper report there is the following extract
- 19 Mr Meyer said one of the key informants for Professor
- 20 Saunders's report, Mrs Doreen Kartinyeri, wrote to Mr
- Tickner on May 12 and she said she only "recently"
- discovered the significance of the Hindmarsh Island
- region to Aboriginal women. "I have always known about
- the stories associated with Ramindjeri and Ngarrindjeri
- women's business, but, until recently, I did not know
- the exact place that they referred to", says Mrs
- 27 Kartinyeri's letter.' In your view, is it possible to
- 28 know of the alleged Ngarrindjeri and Ramindjeri women's
- business, without knowing the place or the exact place
- referred to, given the publicity that has taken place of
- 31 the matters relating to Hindmarsh Island.
- 32 OBJECTION Miss Nelson objects.
- 33 MISS NELSON: I don't think the witness could possibly
- answer it. Is it possible to know X without knowing Y?
- 35 I really think it is an unfair question. Frankly the
- answer is not going to be helpful anyway.
- 37 COMSR: No, I think it is probably more a matter
- to put by way of submission. If you were putting to the

KC 48J

- witness `Is it possible to know the legend without it being related to a particular place?', that is, from an anthropologist's point of view, perhaps that. In other words, `Is there any inconsistency between claiming one without the other?'
- 6 MR MEYER: I adopt your Honour's question, because that is what I was trying to put. Perhaps clumsily.
- A. In anthropological terms it is difficult to see how the women's business could exist without the level of landscape knowledge that is such an integral part of that secret sacred women's business. One couldn't know the overall message or belief without having intimate knowledge of parts of that landscape. I draw that
- 14 conclusion on the basis of various formulations that we
- have through the media and my knowledge of the Fergie report. I can't see how a key owner or a proponent of
- that women's business could not have known about the landscape as is suggested in that statement.
- 19 XXN
- Q. From the information that you have been able to gather,
 do you understand the secret sacred women's business or
 knowledge to refer to the whole or only part of
 Hindmarsh Island.
- A. It is fairly clear from the knowledge available without knowing what is in the restricted appendices of the Fergie report, but from what we do know it talks about the whole of Hindmarsh Island. And, from my knowledge of land use and perception of land, that is inconsistent.
- 30 Q. Prior to European settlement are you able to say whether Hindmarsh Island was populated by Aboriginal persons.
- 32 A. The Lower Murray region, which includes the region along the coast, the Murray Mouth and the islands and lake
- shore behind the Murray Mouth was one of the most
- densely populated parts of Australia. It is very
- difficult and I would argue impossible to envisage a
- whole island or equivalent large piece of land or land
- mass having some form of secret sacred restrictions

- 1 placed upon it. It is just not consistent with the
- 2 large number of descent groups spread throughout the
- 3 whole region and the full range of activity that would
- have taken place in all of those descent groupterritories.
- 6 Q. As I understand the literature, in fact, there were I
- think three distinct family plans or groups on HindmarshIsland.
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. There may have been some difference in language between those three groups.
- 12 A. The Tindale and Berndt data agree to the extent of
- saying that there were, that those three descent groups
- happen to be each from a different dialect group which
- is a broader group. Each group containing a number of
- descent groups that spoke a similar dialect.
- 17 Q. Would it have been likely that there would have been
- areas on Hindmarsh Island that permanently resident
- 19 groups of Aborigines would have avoided.
- 20 A. It is quite likely that there were parts of the island
- 21 which have some form of restriction, either through
- being associated with spirits regarded as being
- dangerous and possibly other activities, but these would
- 24 Be very small parts. Perhaps we are talking about the
- side of a hill, a cluster of trees, places of that size.
- 26 Q. If they were, in fact, restricted, would it follow that
- it was necessary for those communities to know, generally speaking, that there were such restrictions.
- 29 A. Yes, the knowledge would have to be widespread in order
- to stop people inadvertently wandering into an area that would be considered, in cultural terms, dangerous to
- 32 them.
- 33 MR MEYER: I have no other questions for Dr Clarke.
- 34 MR SMITH: Could I interpose, at this stage,
- 35 Vanessa Edmonds?
- 36 COMSR: I will just ascertain: will you be
- wanting to cross-examine any further, Miss Nelson?
- 38 MISS NELSON: No.

KC 48J

1	MR ABBOTT: And I am happy to wait until after Ms					
2	Edmonds.					
3	COMSR: What is proposed, Dr Clarke, is that a					
4	witness be interposed because she is here from					
4 5	interstate and has to return. I appreciate that it					
6	means extending your time in the witness box.					
7	I think it is not anticipated the witness will take					
8	all afternoon, is it?					
9	MR SMITH: No.					
10	MR ABBOTT: Dr Clarke can wait in the body of the					
11	Commission.					
12	WITNESS P.A. CLARKE LEAVES WITNESS BOX AND RETURNS TO BODY					
13	OF HEARING ROOM					
14	MR SMITH: Yes, and Vanessa Edmonds you will recall					
15						
16						
17	sites.					
18	COMSR: It will mean a private hearing then.					
19	MR SMITH: In the sense that the locating of sites					
20						
21	authority.					
22	COMSR: The authorisation, yes, which would					
23	mean, of course, it would have to be restricted then to					
24						
25	extend no further then.					
26	I think that we will have to be prudent and cautious					
27						
28						
29						
30						
31	HEARING CONTINUES IN CLOSED SESSION					