

**Effect of Crop Residue Qualities on Decomposition Rates, Soil  
Phosphorus Dynamics and Plant Phosphorus Uptake**

**Shahriar Mohammod Iqbal**

**Thesis submitted for the degree of**

**Doctor of Philosophy**

**In the School of Earth and Environmental Sciences**

**Faculty of Sciences**

**The University of Adelaide**

**Discipline of Soil and Land Systems**

**School of Earth and Environmental Sciences**

**The University of Adelaide**

**January, 2009**

*Dedicated to my parent*

## Table of Contents

Table of Contents.....	i
List of Figures.....	iv
List of Tables.....	vi
List of Appendices.....	viii
Abstract.....	ix
Declaration.....	xiii
Acknowledgements.....	xiv
Chapter 1.....	1
General introduction.....	1
Chapter 2.....	4
Literature review.....	4
2.1 Introduction.....	4
2.2 Soil inorganic phosphorus.....	5
2.2.1 Speciation of P in the soil solution.....	6
2.2.2 Physico-chemical reactions influencing the P concentration in solution.....	7
2.2.2.1 Adsorption of P.....	7
2.2.2.2 Desorption of P.....	7
2.2.2.3 Precipitation of P.....	8
2.2.2.4 Dissolution of P minerals.....	8
2.3 Soil organic phosphorus.....	8
2.3.1 Chemical extraction of organic phosphorus.....	9
2.3.2 Chemical nature of soil organic P.....	10
2.4 Dynamics of phosphorus.....	11
2.4.1 Role of crop residues in soil P dynamics.....	14
2.4.2 Microbial biomass and turn over of microbial P.....	16
2.4.2.1 Solubilization of P <sub>i</sub> and mycorrhizal association.....	17
2.4.2.2 Mineralization of P <sub>o</sub> .....	18
2.4.2.3 Immobilization and re-mineralization of P.....	19
2.5 Factors affecting the availability of organic phosphorus.....	20
2.5.1 Microbial activity.....	20
2.5.2 Temperature.....	20
2.5.3 Soil moisture.....	21
2.5.4 Soil pH.....	21
2.5.5 Aeration.....	22
2.5.6 Soil texture.....	22
2.5.7 Carbon-Phosphorus ratio.....	22
2.5.8 Addition of P <sub>i</sub> .....	23
2.5.9 Cultivation.....	23
2.6 Plant P acquisition and the role of the rhizosphere in P availability.....	24
2.7 Soil P tests and phosphorus responsiveness of wheat.....	26
2.8 Aims of the study.....	27
Chapter 3.....	29
General materials and methods.....	29
3.1 Materials.....	29
3.1.1 Soils used in the study.....	29
3.1.2 Pre incubation of soils.....	29

## Table of Contents

---

3.1.3 Crop residues used in the studies .....	30
3.1.4 Plant selection, seed treatment and germination .....	31
3.1.5 Nutrient solutions .....	31
3.2 Methods.....	32
3.2.1 Soil analyses.....	32
3.2.1.1 Determination of available P ( $P_{\text{resin}}$ ) and microbial biomass P ( $P_{\text{mic}}$ ) .....	32
3.2.1.2 Determination of microbial biomass C and N .....	33
3.2.1.3 Measurement of soil respiration .....	33
3.2.2 Crop residue analysis .....	35
3.2.3 Plant harvest and analysis.....	37
3.3 Calculations.....	37
3.4 Statistical Analysis.....	39
Chapter 4.....	40
Decomposition of crop residues after addition to a red-brown Chromosol and temporal changes in available P and microbial P .....	40
4.1 Introduction .....	40
4.2 Materials and methods.....	41
4.3 Results .....	43
4.3.1 Properties of crop residues.....	43
4.3.2 Soil respiration .....	44
4.3.3 Available P ( $P_{\text{resin}}$ ) concentration in soil .....	47
4.3.4 Microbial P ( $P_{\text{mic}}$ ) concentration in soil.....	47
4.4 Discussion .....	50
4.4.1 Phase 1 (day 0 to 42) .....	50
4.4.2 Phase 2 (day 42 to 84) .....	53
4.4.3 Phase 3 (day 84 to 140).....	55
Chapter 5.....	58
Effect of residue quality on decomposition rates, soil P dynamics and plant P uptake. I. Residue addition based on the same amount of P.....	58
5.1 Introduction .....	58
5.2 Materials and methods.....	59
Residues and TSP.....	61
5.3 Results .....	64
5.3.1 Residue properties.....	64
5.3.2 Soil respiration .....	67
5.3.3 Available P ( $P_{\text{resin}}$ ) concentration in soil .....	72
5.3.4 Microbial P ( $P_{\text{mic}}$ ) concentration in soil.....	73
5.3.5 Microbial C ( $C_{\text{mic}}$ ) in soil .....	78
5.3.6 Plant shoot and root dry matter .....	81
5.3.7 Plant shoot P concentration.....	83
5.3.8 Plant shoot P uptake .....	86
5.3.9 Correlations between residue properties and soil and plant variables .....	88
5.4 Discussion .....	92
5.4.1 Phase 1 (day 0-10).....	92
5.4.2 Phase 2 (day 10 to 28) .....	95
5.4.3 Phase 3 (day 28 to 56) .....	98
5.4.4 Phase 4 (day 56 to 84) .....	100
Chapter 6.....	105
Effect of residue quality on decomposition rates, soil P dynamics and plant P uptake. II. Residue addition based on the same amount of C.....	105

## Table of Contents

---

6.1 Introduction .....	105
6.2 Materials and methods .....	106
Residues and TSP .....	108
6.3 Results .....	110
6.3.1 Residue properties .....	110
6.3.2 Soil Respiration .....	114
6.3.3 Available P ( $P_{\text{resin}}$ ) concentration in soil .....	120
6.3.4 Microbial P ( $P_{\text{mic}}$ ) concentration in soil .....	121
6.3.5 Microbial biomass C ( $C_{\text{mic}}$ ) in soil .....	125
6.3.6 Plant shoot and root dry matter .....	128
6.3.7 Plant shoot and root P concentration .....	130
6.3.8 Plant shoot and root P uptake .....	133
6.3.9 Correlations between residue properties and soil and plant variables .....	136
6.4 Discussion .....	140
6.4.1 Phase 1 (day 0-10) .....	140
6.4.2 Phase 2 (day 10-28) .....	143
6.4.3 Phase 3 (day 28-56) .....	146
6.4.4 Phase 4 (day 56-84) .....	149
Chapter 7 .....	155
Phosphorus availability as affected by the addition of organic and inorganic P and their combination .....	155
7.1 Introduction .....	155
7.2 Materials and methods .....	157
7.3 Results .....	159
7.3.1 Residue properties .....	159
7.3.2 Soil respiration .....	159
7.3.3 Available P ( $P_{\text{resin}}$ ) concentration .....	164
7.3.4 Microbial P ( $P_{\text{mic}}$ ) concentration .....	164
7.3.5 Microbial biomass C ( $C_{\text{mic}}$ ) in soil .....	167
7.4 Discussion .....	170
Chapter 8 .....	175
General discussion and future research .....	175
8.1 Young crop residues vs. mature crop residues in absence of fertilizer P .....	175
8.2 Combining residues with fertilizer P .....	181
8.3 Further research .....	182
Chapter 9 .....	185
References .....	185
Chapter 10 .....	194
Appendices .....	194

## List of Figures

Figure 2.1 Phosphorus cycle and processes involved such as mineralization (1), immobilization (2), transformation (3) and solubilization (4). From Stewart and Tiessen (1987). .....	9
Figure 2.2 Phosphorus cycle based on (McLaughlin <i>et al.</i> 1988c; Sanyal and Datta 1991; Tate 1985) .....	13
Figure 3.1 Measurement of respiration .....	35
Figure 4.1 Respiration rates (a) and cumulative C release (b) from soil amended with wheat, pea and canola residues or TSP and non-amended soil over time. Means of 4 replicates, vertical bars indicate least significant differences ( $LSD_{0.05}$ ). .....	46
Figure 4.2 Available P ( $P_{resin}$ ) (a) and microbial P ( $P_{mic}$ ) (b) in soil amended with wheat, pea and canola residues or TSP and non-amended soil over time. Means of 4 replicates, vertical bars indicate least significant differences ( $LSD_{0.05}$ ). .....	49
Figure 5.1 Layout of the experiment .....	63
Figure 5.2 Respiration rates in soils amended with different crop residues; young shoot (a), mature shoot and root (b), TSP and unamended control over time. Means of 4 replicates, vertical bars indicate least significant difference ( $LSD_{0.05}$ ). .....	69
Figure 5.3 Cumulative C released from soils amended with different crop residues; young shoot (a), mature shoot and root (b), TSP and unamended control over time. Means of 4 replicates, vertical bars indicate least significant difference ( $LSD_{0.05}$ ). .....	70
Figure 5.4 Shoot and root dry matter (DM, a) and percentage of control DM (b) of wheat plants grown in soils amended with different crop residues, TSP and unamended control in crop period 1 (gray bars), crop period 2 (white bars) and crop period 3 (diagonally hatched bars). Means of 4 replicates, vertical bars indicate standard error of means (SEM). .....	82
Figure 5.5 Plant shoot P uptake (a) and shoot P uptake percentage of control (b) from soils amended with different crop residues, TSP and unamended control for crop period 1, crop period 2 and crop period 3. Bars with the same letters are not significantly different. Means of 4 replicates, vertical bars indicate standard error of means (SEM). .....	87
Figure 6.1 Respiration rates in soils amended with different crop residues; young shoot (a), mature shoot and root (b), TSP and unamended control over time. Means of 4 replicates, vertical bars indicate least significant difference. .....	117
Figure 6.2 Cumulative C released from soils amended with different crop residues; young shoot (a), mature shoot and root (b), TSP and unamended control over time. Means of 4 replicates, vertical bars indicate least significant difference ( $LSD_{0.05}$ ). .....	118
Figure 6.3 Shoot and root dry matter (a) and percentage of control (b) of wheat plants grown in soils amended with crop residues, TSP and unamended control during crop period 1 (gray bars), crop period 2 (white bars) and crop period 3 (diagonally hatched bars). Means of 4 replicates, vertical bars indicate standard error of means (SEM). .....	129
Figure 6.4 Plant P uptake (a) and P uptake percentage of control (b) from soils amended with different crop residues, TSP and unamended control during crop period 1 (gray bars), crop period 2 (white bars) and crop period 3 (diagonally hatched bars). Means of 4 replicates, vertical bars indicate standard error of means (SEM). .....	135
Figure 7.1 Respiration rates in soils amended with wheat MS and TSP alone (a), wheat MS+TSP-amended soils and the control (b) over time. Means of 4 replicates, vertical bars indicate least significant difference ( $LSD_{0.05}$ ). .....	162
Figure 7.2 Cumulative C released from soils amended with wheat MS and TSP alone (a) and wheat MS+TSP-amended soils and the control over time. Means of 4 replicates, vertical bars indicate least significant difference ( $LSD_{0.05}$ ). .....	163

## List of figures

---

Figure 7.3 Available P ( $P_{\text{resin}}$ ) concentration (a) and microbial P ( $P_{\text{mic}}$ ) concentration (b) in soils amended with wheat, TSP, wheat+TSP and unamended control over time (days). Means of 4 replicates, vertical bars indicate standard error of means (SEM).....	166
Figure 7.4 Microbial C (a) and microbial C as a percentage of the unamended control in soils amended with wheat, TSP, wheat+TSP and unamended control on days 7 and 35. Means of 4 replicates, vertical bars indicate standard error of means (SEM). Lower case letters indicate significant differences between treatments on day 7 and upper case letters indicate significant differences between treatments on day 35.....	168
Figure 10.1 Photos of pots arrangement (a) and comparison of plant growth between canola root and TSP to control (b) in the glasshouse in the experiment with P-based residue addition. ....	195
Figure 10.2 Photos of plant growth comparison (a) and pot arrangement in the glasshouse in the experiment with C-based residue addition experiment. ....	199

## List of Tables

Table 3.1 Crop residues used in experiments described in Chapters 4, 5, 6 and 7 and amount of fertilizers applied.....	30
Table 4.1 Soil properties .....	42
Table 4.2 Properties of mature crop shoot residues used for the experiment in this chapter ..	44
Table 5.1 Soil properties .....	60
Table 5.2 Crop residues, TSP and C added to an addition rate of 10 mg P kg <sup>-1</sup> soil .....	61
Table 5.3 Nutrients added in the form of nutrient solution.....	62
Table 5.4 Chemical and biochemical properties of the crop residues determined by chemical analysis and NMR spectroscopy .....	66
Table 5.5 Percentage of added C decomposed .....	71
Table 5.6 Available P ( $P_{resin}$ ) in soils amended with different crop residues, TSP and an unamended control over time .....	76
Table 5.7 Microbial P ( $P_{mic}$ ) in soils amended with different crop residues, TSP and an unamended control over time .....	77
Table 5.8 Microbial C ( $C_{mic}$ ) and microbial C: P ( $C_{mic}: P_{mic}$ ) in soils amended with different crop residues, TSP and unamended control at day 7 (D7), day 35 (D35) and day 63 (D63). Values are means of 4 replicates. ....	80
Table 5.9 Shoot P concentration in mg P kg <sup>-1</sup> DM and percentage of control of plant grown in soils amended with different crop residues, TSP and unamended control for 3 crop periods, crop period 1 (D0-D28), crop period 2 (D28-D56) and crop period 3 (D56-D84). Values are means of 4 replicates. ....	85
Table 5.10 Correlation coefficient ( $r^2$ ) of residue properties with soil and plant variables during incubation.....	90
Table 6.1 Soil properties .....	107
Table 6.2 Crop residues and P addition to achieve a rate of 2.5 g C kg <sup>-1</sup> soil and TSP addition to cover the range of P availability in the residue treatments. ....	108
Table 6.3 Chemical and biochemical properties of crop residues determined by chemical analysis and NMR spectroscopy .....	113
Table 6.4 Percentage of added C decomposed .....	119
Table 6.5 Available P ( $P_{resin}$ ) in soils amended with different crop residues, TSP and an unamended control over time .....	123
Table 6.6 Microbial P ( $P_{mic}$ ) in soils amended with different crop residues, TSP and an unamended control over time .....	124
Table 6.7 Microbial C ( $C_{mic}$ ) and microbial C: P ( $C_{mic}: P_{mic}$ ) in soils amended with different crop residues, TSP and unamended control at day 7 (D7) and day 63 (D63). Values are means of 4 replicates. ....	127
Table 6.8 Shoot and root P concentration in g kg <sup>-1</sup> DM of plant grown in soils amended with different crop residues, TSP and unamended control for 3 crop periods, crop period 1 (D0-D28), crop period 2 (D28-D56) and crop period 3 (D56-D84). Values are means of 4 replicates.....	132
Table 6.9 The correlation coefficient ( $r^2$ ) of residue quality factors with soil and plant variables during incubation .....	138
Table 6.10 Amount of P and C added with different crop residues in the approaches based on same amount of P and C. ....	152
Table 6.11 Average $P_{resin}$ , $P_{mic}$ , plant P uptake (percentage of added P) and sum of P in the three measured pools ( $P_{resin}$ , $P_{mic}$ , plant P uptake) during 3 crop periods .....	152



List of tables

---

Table 7.1 Addition of wheat residue (0.07% Pt, 43.5% Ct) and TSP (20% P) .....158  
Table 7.2 Microbial C: P ratio ( $C_{mic}$ :  $P_{mic}$ ) in soils amended with wheat, TSP, wheat+TSP and  
unamended control at different days.....169

## List of Appendices

Appendix 1 Chemical shift regions into which the acquired total $^{13}\text{C}$ NMR signal intensity were divided. The name of the major types of carbon associated with each chemical shift region and the calculation used to determine the percentage of total signal intensity. ...	194
Appendix 2 Photos of pot arrangement and plant growth in the experiment with P-based residue addition in the glasshouse. ....	195
Appendix 3 Correlation coefficient ( $r^2$ ) of residue properties with soil and plant variables during incubation in the study of P dynamics in the experiment with P based residue addition. ....	196
Appendix 4 Correlation coefficient ( $r^2$ ) between soil variables and soil $P_{\text{resin}}$ , $P_{\text{mic}}$ and $C_{\text{mic}}$ over time in the study of P dynamics in the experiment with P based residue addition. ....	198
Appendix 5 Photos of plant growth and pot arrangement in the glasshouse at crop period 3 in the experiment with C-based residue addition. ....	199
Appendix 6 the correlation coefficient ( $r^2$ ) of residue properties with soil and plant variables during incubation in the study of P dynamics in the experiment with C-based residue addition. ....	200
Appendix 7 Correlations coefficient ( $r^2$ ) between soil variables and soil $P_{\text{resin}}$ , $P_{\text{mic}}$ and $C_{\text{mic}}$ over time in the study of P dynamics in the experiment with C based residue addition. ....	202
Appendix 8 Average $P_{\text{resin}}$ , $P_{\text{mic}}$ , plant P uptake and Total P ( $P_{\text{resin}}$ , $P_{\text{mic}}$ , plant P uptake) (mg pot <sup>-1</sup> ) during 3 crop periods .....	203

## Abstract

Phosphorus (P) is an essential plant nutrient that may limit plant growth and agricultural productivity if not available for crop plant uptake in sufficient quantities at the time required. Many Australian soils are deficient in available P, despite a long history of P fertilizer application, and this is due to fertilizer P rapidly becoming unavailable largely through biochemical fixation. The resulting low P fertilizer efficiency, coupled with rapidly rising cost of fertilizers, has increased interest in biological cycling of P from sources such as crop residues. However, to date, much of the Australian research has focussed on soils with relatively high organic matter content (> 2%) and relatively heavy texture i.e. medium to high clay content. Furthermore, although there is information on pasture residue decomposition and P release for sandy soils with low organic matter in Australia, a recent shift to continuous cropping systems means that information for a range of crop residues is required but is not currently available. Therefore the aims of the work described in this thesis were to (i) increase the efficiency of P use when crop residue P are applied to crops and (ii) determine the effect of crop residue biochemical quality on decomposition rates, soil P dynamics and plant P uptake in light textured sandy soils with low organic matter which are typical of a large proportion of the southern Australian wheat growing area. A further aim was to investigate the effects of combined additions of plant residue and P fertilizer on P cycling in these soils, a scenario highly relevant to farming systems.

A series of soil incubation and plant growth experiments were undertaken to characterize P dynamics in soil following addition of a wide range of crop residues (total 15) collected from agricultural sites throughout South Australia. The residues, differing in age and biochemical quality, were young shoots of canola, lupin, pea, lucerne and lentil; mature shoot residues of

canola, lupin, pea and wheat and mature root residues of wheat, canola and lupin. The concentration of total and water soluble P, C, and N in the residues was measured using standard wet chemical analyses and the carbon chemistry was determined by NMR spectroscopy. Decomposition of crop residues was continuously monitored over a period of up to 140 days by measuring soil respiration. Available P and microbial biomass P and C were also assessed at different times during the incubations. The total P in residues ranged from 0.16% to 0.32% and 0.05% to 0.08% in young and mature shoots, respectively. Water-soluble P was related to residue total P and ranged from 29% to 81% and 13% to 29% of total P in young and mature shoots, respectively. The C: P ratio ranged from 133: 1 to 253: 1 and 504: 1 to 858: 1 in young and mature shoots, respectively.

Phosphorus availability and microbial P uptake differed between soils amended with crop residues and soluble P fertilizer as triple super phosphate (TSP). Soil respiration rates were significantly higher in soils amended with crop residues than in the soils amended with TSP or the unamended control in the first 58 days of incubation. In an experiment in which residues and TSP were added at a rate of 10 mg P kg<sup>-1</sup>, available P was greater for TSP than residue-amended soil, whereas microbial P showed the opposite trend. Respiration rate and microbial P were positively correlated with C addition rate, which was highest in mature wheat residue because it had the lowest P concentration.

In order to assess when P released from the residues is available for plants, wheat was grown over three consecutive crop periods with each period lasting for 4 weeks. Young residues with high content of water soluble P, C, N and amide and low lignin and phenolic content decomposed faster than mature residues. The C type and amount added with residues controlled the dynamics of P availability. Surprisingly, canola mature root increased available P

and plant growth as much as young shoot residues while root residues of wheat and lupin resulted in P immobilization and low plant growth. Compared to canola young shoot, canola mature root has a higher total P concentration and a lower C: P ratio. Plant P uptake was positively correlated with residue total and water-soluble P content and negatively correlated with residue C: P and C: N ratio and amount of C added with the residues. In another experiment where residue was added at 2.5 g C kg<sup>-1</sup> soil and compared with TSP (4 and 10 mg P kg<sup>-1</sup> soil), available P and plant P uptake decreased in the following order: TSP-10P > canola root ≥ young shoot ≥ TSP-4P > control > mature shoot.

Microbial P was greater with residue addition than with TSP and in the control. Residues with low total P and high C: P ratio resulted in P immobilisation in the microbial biomass. Therefore, residues with high total P and low C: P ratio can be an important source of P for plants. Net P immobilisation of mature wheat residues (0.07% P) was significantly reduced by combining wheat residue (C: P ratio 615: 1) with TSP leading to a C: P ratio of 155: 1 to 310: 1. Furthermore, the combination of wheat residue with TSP increased available P in residue and TSP-amended soils by 3.0 mg P kg<sup>-1</sup> soil, which was shown to be sufficient to support wheat growth in the early stages of development in the other experiments.

Although water-soluble P fertilizers provide plants with immediately available P, a large proportion becomes unavailable over time. Addition of low C: P residues on the other hand, may not result in high amounts of immediately available P, but the P supply is more sustained due to P release from decomposing residues and turnover of microbial biomass P. Phosphorus immobilization after addition of residues which have high C: P ratio (615: 1) may be offset when residue is applied together with inorganic P fertilizer if the resulting C: P ratio is 300: 1 or less.

## Abstract

---

Overall, this study has highlighted the potential role that crop residues, either alone or in combination with inorganic P, can play in increasing P availability in the light textured, low organic matter, P-limited soils typical of many southern Australian farming systems. The results provide important quantitative information on the potential of a wide range of crop residues to supply wheat with P, and how additions of inorganic P interact with residue decomposition and influence available P supply. This quantitative information will be valuable for the construction or validation of mechanistic models of residue decomposition relevant to low organic matter light textured soils in farming systems of southern Australia, and will ultimately assist in the development of economic management strategies for minimizing P fertilizer inputs and maximizing the benefits of biological cycling of P.

## Declaration

I declare that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution. To the best of my knowledge and belief, this work contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference is made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

Shahriar Iqbal

January 2009

## Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my supervisors Dr. Petra Marschner and Dr. Annie McNeill for their supervision, encouragement and invaluable support throughout the entire period of this research. I enjoyed their constructive comments, criticisms and discussions. I am indebted to them for being always ready to help me, to discuss and read the draft versions of the thesis. I would also like to thank Dr. Else Bünemann for her short-time supervision, valuable support and suggestions in designing and conducting experiments and developing the protocol for phosphorus measurements.

I am indebted to those who have provided great help for my research particularly Colin Rivers, Rebecca Stonor, Carol Sigston, Debbie Miller and Steve Szarvas for their outstanding technical support, Dr. Tony Rathjen for providing wheat seeds and Dr. Damien Adcock for helping me in crop residue collection.

I would like to thank Dr. Therese McBeath for providing information on the soil and her time to read draft chapters 6 and 7 and Dr. Zakaria Solaiman for his encouragement and support during my research. I would also like to thank to all members of Soil Biology Group who gave important feedback during group discussion.

I would like to acknowledge the Grain Research Development Corporation (GRDC) for funding this project, which was a part of the “Biological cycling of P in farming systems: an improved capacity for managing P” and also the School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Adelaide for financial support through a divisional scholarship. I am also grateful



## Acknowledgements

---

to the Australian Society of Soil Science Incorporation (ASSSI) for financial support to attend the 18<sup>th</sup> World Congress of Soil Science 2006, Philadelphia, USA.

Finally, I wish to express my deepest gratitude to my wife, Nahid Akter for her encouragement, never-ending patience, assistance in the lab, as well as for understanding and support throughout my study.