

# Laser Diagnostics in MILD Combustion

Paul R. Medwell

# Laser Diagnostics in MILD Combustion

**Paul R. Medwell**

*Ph.D. thesis*

*12<sup>th</sup> October 2007*



Turbulence, Energy and Combustion Group  
School of Mechanical Engineering  
Faculty of Engineering, Mathematical and Computer Science  
The University of Adelaide, Australia

# Preface

This document is the culmination of many years of study, and is the thesis submitted for the award of Doctoral of Philosophy. The topic of research is the Moderate or Intense Low oxygen Dilution (MILD) combustion regime, which is studied using laser diagnostic techniques. MILD combustion is a particular combustion mode which offers the desirable combination of higher thermal efficiency and lower pollutant emissions, as compared to conventional combustion systems. The importance of developing efficient and low pollutant combustion systems is increasingly important with the current issues of global climate change and the ever diminishing supplies of energy resources. The work presented in this thesis uses an experimental burner to study fundamental aspects of MILD combustion. The intention of this work is to help in the development of the world's understanding of this unique combustion regime so that it can be used to benefit combustion systems and everyone who relies on them.

# Declarations

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

The author acknowledges that copyright of published works arising from this thesis (as listed in Appendix C) resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.

*Paul R. Medwell*

# Acknowledgements

It is impossible to recognise the invaluable contributions of the countless people who have helped throughout this thesis and all of the related work which has gone into it. Nevertheless, it would be remiss not to mention the outstanding assistance provided by my supervisors Dr Bassam Dally, Dr Peter Kalt and Dr Zeyad Alwahabi. The reliable guidance provided by these individuals has been extremely helpful, not only in the context of this thesis, but in the growth of knowledge which it represents.

It is also necessary for me to thank the useful and enjoyable time spent together in the lab with Owen Lucas and Karel Meeuwissen: together we learnt to use photons and not just spanners. I also would like to recognise all the other students who invited me to give them help with their projects, not the least of which Dr Kimberley Clayfield and Nader Qamar. While helping them, they inadvertently continued to teach me new aspects of laboratory life.

Outside of the lab, the interesting and useful (and not so useful) discussions and friendship with Owen Lucas, Cristian Birzer, Dr Kimberley Clayfield and Laura Brooks, amongst *many* other people, helped me through the trials and tribulations of the “interesting” lives and times of being a research student. It was reassuring to know that there were others who shared the same frustration; and the importance of having someone willing to listen to the frequent grizzling cannot be understated.

I also acknowledge the efforts of the support staff in both Mechanical and Chemical Engineering departments. In particular, Elaine Minerds for ordering the never-ending onslaught of gas cylinders and Andrew Wright for his efforts in helping keep the lab environment up to standard.

No doubt there are many people that I will regret not personally thanking here, and to those people, I sincerely apologise in advance. Above all, I must thank my family — without their boundless support *none* of this would have been possible, and to them I will remain eternally grateful.

# Abstract

Despite mounting concerns of looming global warming and fuel shortages, combustion will remain the predominant source of fulfilling the world's ever-increasing demand for energy in the foreseeable future. In light of these issues, the combustion regime known as Moderate and Intense Low oxygen Dilution (MILD) combustion has the potential of offering increased efficiency whilst lowering pollutant emissions. Essentially, MILD combustion relies on the reuse of the exhaust gases from the combustion process to simultaneously dilute the oxygen concentration of the oxidant stream, and increase its temperature. The benefit of this technique is that it results in a vast reduction in emissions, especially oxides of nitrogen. In addition, the thermal efficiency of the combustion process is increased, reducing fuel demands, as well as producing a more uniform heating profile and subsequently better product quality for many applications.

The recirculation of exhaust gas and heat has been utilised for applications in the past. MILD combustion aims to extend the advantages of heat recovery and exhaust gas recirculation beyond the boundaries that are otherwise possible using conventional techniques. The relatively new concept of MILD combustion is a major advancement to the previous technology, and many fundamental issues have not yet been resolved. In a furnace environment, the dilution and preheating of the reactants generate a unique "distributed" reaction zone. There is a need to better understand the structure of this combustion regime and the parameters which control it.

To emulate MILD combustion conditions in a controlled experimental environment, a Jet in Hot Coflow (JHC) burner is used in this study. The MILD combustion regime is examined using laser diagnostic techniques. The two key flame intermediates hydroxyl radical (OH) and formaldehyde ( $\text{H}_2\text{CO}$ ), as well as temperature, are imaged simultaneously to reveal details relating to the reaction zone. Simultaneous imaging enables not only the spatial distribution of each scalar to be investigated, but also the combined effect of the interactions of the three measured scalars.

The role of four key variables are investigated as part of this work, namely; the

coflow oxygen ( $O_2$ ) level, the jet Reynolds number, fuel dilution and fuel type. Also considered is the effect of surrounding air entrainment into the hot and diluted coflow, which causes a deviation from MILD combustion conditions.

The local oxygen ( $O_2$ ) concentration is a key parameter in the establishment of MILD combustion conditions. The effect of lowering the  $O_2$  level is to lead to reductions in the OH and temperature in the reaction zone, in effect leading to a less intense reaction. When comparatively high oxygen laden, cold surrounding air mixes with the hot and low  $O_2$  coflow, MILD combustion conditions no longer exist. In this case, the flame front can become locally extinguished and subsequent premixing with the high  $O_2$  concentrations can lead to increased reaction rates and hence higher temperatures. It is therefore essential that fresh air must be excluded from a MILD combustor to maintain the stable reaction which typifies MILD combustion.

It is found that the flame structure is relatively insensitive to both the type of hydrocarbon fuel and the Reynolds number. Each of these parameters can lead to changes in some intermediate species, namely formaldehyde, yet the OH and temperature measurements show comparatively minor variation. Nevertheless, fuel type and Reynolds number, in the form of increased flow convolution, can lead to striking differences in the flame structure. One of the most prominent effects is noted with the dilution of the fuel with various diluents. Some of the flames visually appear lifted, whereas the measurements reveal the occurrence of pre-ignition reactions in the “lifted” region. The unique characteristics of the stabilisation for these particular cases has led to the term transitional flames.

The fundamental aspects discovered by this study shed new light on the reaction zone structure under MILD combustion conditions. By advancing understanding of MILD combustion, future combustion systems will be able to better utilise the efficiency increases and lower pollutant benefits it offers.

# Contents

<b>Preface</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Declarations</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xxi</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Importance of Combustion Systems . . . . .	1
1.2 Introduction to MILD Combustion . . . . .	2
1.3 Approach . . . . .	4
<b>2 Background</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 Fundamentals of Combustion . . . . .	7
2.2 Fluid Mechanics Overview . . . . .	9
2.2.1 Introduction to Turbulence . . . . .	9
2.2.2 Turbulence Length Scales . . . . .	10
2.2.3 Introduction to Jets . . . . .	12
2.2.4 Introduction to Mixing . . . . .	14



2.2.5	Interaction of Combustion and Turbulence . . . . .	15
2.3	Chemistry Overview . . . . .	16
2.3.1	Chemical Kinetics . . . . .	16
2.3.2	Flame Intermediates . . . . .	20
2.3.3	Pollutant Emissions . . . . .	26
2.4	Spectroscopy Overview . . . . .	31
2.4.1	Introduction to Spectroscopy . . . . .	31
2.4.2	Quantum Numbers . . . . .	32
2.4.3	Boltzmann Populations . . . . .	39
2.4.4	Energy Transitions . . . . .	42
2.4.5	Collision Processes . . . . .	43
2.5	Laser Diagnostic Techniques . . . . .	43
2.5.1	Mie Scattering . . . . .	43
2.5.2	Rayleigh Scattering . . . . .	44
2.5.3	Raman Scattering . . . . .	46
2.5.4	Laser Induced Fluorescence (LIF) . . . . .	47
2.5.5	Molecular Energy Transfer . . . . .	48
2.6	Laser Imaging of Key Scalars . . . . .	51
2.6.1	Hydroxyl Radical (OH) . . . . .	51
2.6.2	Formaldehyde (H <sub>2</sub> CO) . . . . .	53
2.6.3	Temperature Measurements . . . . .	56
2.7	MILD Combustion . . . . .	58
2.7.1	Overview . . . . .	58
2.7.2	Terminology / Related Technologies . . . . .	58
2.7.3	Principles of MILD . . . . .	60
2.7.4	Characteristics of MILD . . . . .	62
2.7.5	Application / Implementation of MILD . . . . .	64
2.7.6	MILD Combustion Studies . . . . .	68

<b>3</b>	<b>Experimental Details</b>	<b>72</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	72
3.2	Burner Design . . . . .	72
3.2.1	JHC Burner . . . . .	72
3.2.2	Slot Burner . . . . .	74
3.2.3	Flat-Flame Burner . . . . .	76
3.3	Laser Systems . . . . .	77
3.3.1	Rayleigh Laser System . . . . .	77
3.3.2	OH Laser System . . . . .	77
3.3.3	H <sub>2</sub> CO Laser System . . . . .	77
3.3.4	Wavelength Calibration . . . . .	78
3.4	Experimental Layout . . . . .	78
3.4.1	Optical Layout . . . . .	78
3.4.2	Timing Details . . . . .	79
3.5	OH Spectroscopy . . . . .	84
3.5.1	OH Major Features . . . . .	84
3.5.2	OH Rotational Energy . . . . .	86
3.5.3	OH Excitation Scheme . . . . .	89
3.5.4	OH Boltzmann Distribution . . . . .	89
3.6	Formaldehyde Spectroscopy . . . . .	91
3.6.1	Formaldehyde Notation . . . . .	92
3.6.2	Formaldehyde Vibrational Population . . . . .	93
3.6.3	Formaldehyde Rotational Population . . . . .	95
3.6.4	Formaldehyde Boltzmann Population Distribution . . . . .	96
3.6.5	Formaldehyde Spectral Intensities . . . . .	98
3.6.6	Formaldehyde Excitation Scheme . . . . .	103
3.7	Rayleigh Cross-Sections . . . . .	104
3.8	Data Processing . . . . .	106

3.8.1	Image Matching . . . . .	107
3.8.2	Image Anomalies . . . . .	107
3.8.3	Background and Dark-Charge Correction . . . . .	108
3.8.4	Detector Attenuation . . . . .	108
3.8.5	Laser Power and Profile Corrections . . . . .	109
3.8.6	OH-LIF Quantification . . . . .	109
3.8.7	H <sub>2</sub> CO-LIF Corrections . . . . .	112
3.8.8	Rayleigh / Temperature Quantification . . . . .	113
3.9	Error Analysis . . . . .	115
3.9.1	General Error Sources . . . . .	115
3.9.2	OH-LIF . . . . .	117
3.9.3	H <sub>2</sub> CO-LIF . . . . .	120
3.9.4	Temperature . . . . .	121
3.10	Experimental Conditions . . . . .	123
3.10.1	Gas Supply . . . . .	124
3.10.2	JHC Burner – Coflow Conditions . . . . .	124
3.10.3	JHC Burner – Jet Conditions . . . . .	125
<b>4</b>	<b>Natural Gas / Hydrogen Flames</b>	<b>128</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	128
4.2	Flame Conditions . . . . .	128
4.3	Visual Observations . . . . .	130
4.4	Instantaneous Images – 35mm downstream . . . . .	131
4.5	Radial Profiles – 35mm downstream . . . . .	135
4.6	Results – 125mm downstream . . . . .	138
4.7	Discussion . . . . .	144
4.7.1	Extinction at Furthest Downstream Location . . . . .	144
4.7.2	Effect of Coflow O <sub>2</sub> Level . . . . .	147

4.7.3	Strain Effects . . . . .	148
<b>5</b>	<b>Diluted Ethylene Flames</b>	<b>152</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	152
5.2	Flame Conditions . . . . .	153
5.3	Visual Observations . . . . .	153
5.4	Instantaneous Images – 35mm downstream . . . . .	156
5.5	Radial Profiles – 35mm downstream . . . . .	160
5.6	Results – 125mm downstream . . . . .	163
5.7	Discussion . . . . .	167
5.7.1	General Observations . . . . .	167
5.7.2	Effect of Coflow O <sub>2</sub> Level . . . . .	169
5.7.3	Effects of Fuel Composition . . . . .	172
<b>6</b>	<b>Influence of Fuel Type</b>	<b>175</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	175
6.2	Flame Conditions . . . . .	176
6.3	Visual Observations . . . . .	176
6.4	Results – 35mm downstream . . . . .	178
6.4.1	Typical Features . . . . .	178
6.4.2	Turbulent Fluidic Structure . . . . .	180
6.4.3	Reaction Zone Weakening . . . . .	181
6.4.4	Radial Profiles – 35mm downstream . . . . .	183
6.5	Results – 125mm downstream . . . . .	186
6.5.1	Examples of Downstream Fluidic Structure Effects . . . . .	188
6.5.2	Multiple Reaction Zones . . . . .	189
6.5.3	Surrounding Air Entrainment . . . . .	191
6.5.4	Localised Extinction Events . . . . .	192
6.5.5	Spatial Mismatch of OH & H <sub>2</sub> CO . . . . .	193

6.6	General Discussion . . . . .	194
<b>7</b>	<b>Summary &amp; Discussion</b>	<b>203</b>
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	203
7.2	Effect of O <sub>2</sub> level . . . . .	204
7.3	Turbulence Chemistry Interaction . . . . .	205
7.3.1	Convolution . . . . .	205
7.3.2	Reaction Zone Weakening . . . . .	206
7.3.3	Localised Extinction/Reignition . . . . .	212
7.4	Fuel Composition Effects . . . . .	214
7.4.1	Primary Fuel Type . . . . .	214
7.4.2	Reaction Zone Transition . . . . .	217
7.5	Relationship between OH and H <sub>2</sub> CO . . . . .	220
7.5.1	Effect of O <sub>2</sub> Level . . . . .	221
7.5.2	Reaction Zone Transition . . . . .	222
7.5.3	Spatial Mismatch . . . . .	223
7.6	Future Work . . . . .	225
<b>8</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>227</b>
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>230</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Flame Calculations</b>	<b>243</b>
A.1	Nonpremixed Flames . . . . .	243
A.2	Number Density . . . . .	245
A.3	Mixture Fraction . . . . .	246
A.4	OPPDIF Calculation Parameters . . . . .	247
A.5	Figure 3.25 & 3.26 . . . . .	247
A.6	Figure 3.27 . . . . .	248

*CONTENTS*

xii

A.7 Figure 4.3 . . . . .	248
A.8 Figures 4.10, 4.11 & 7.1 . . . . .	249
A.9 Figures 6.14, 6.15, 6.16 & 7.6 . . . . .	250
A.10 Figures 7.2, 7.3, 7.4 & 7.4 . . . . .	250
A.11 Table 7.1 . . . . .	251
<b>B OH-LIF Linearity</b>	<b>252</b>
<b>C Publications</b>	<b>254</b>

# List of Figures

1.1	World energy production by source . . . . .	2
1.2	Jet in Hot Coflow (JHC) burner schematic . . . . .	5
2.1	Radial profile of normalised scalar mean . . . . .	13
2.2	Hierarchy of simple hydrocarbon fuels . . . . .	18
2.3	Kinetic flow chart of carbon for propane/air flame . . . . .	19
2.4	Example of soot emission . . . . .	30
2.5	Morse curve energy well . . . . .	34
2.6	Vibrational energy levels . . . . .	35
2.7	Combined effect of rotational and vibrational energy levels . . . . .	36
2.8	Combined effect of rotational, vibrational and electronic energy . . . . .	39
2.9	Electronic excitation process . . . . .	42
2.10	LIF saturation example . . . . .	50
2.11	Stability limits of conventional and MILD combustion . . . . .	61
2.12	Industrially implemented MILD combustion furnace . . . . .	67
3.1	JHC burner schematic diagram . . . . .	73
3.2	Schematic diagram showing entrainment of surrounding air on the JHC burner flame . . . . .	74
3.3	Slot burner schematic diagram . . . . .	75
3.4	Photograph of the slot burner and partially-premixed flame . . . . .	75
3.5	Flat-flame burner photographs in two operating modes . . . . .	76

3.6	Pictorial representation of experimental layout . . . . .	79
3.7	Schematic details of experimental layout . . . . .	80
3.8	Timing connexions for the lasers and cameras . . . . .	81
3.9	Timing diagram of Stanford DG-535 pulse delay/generator . . . . .	83
3.10	OH-LIF excitation . . . . .	84
3.11	OH-LIF excitation features . . . . .	85
3.12	Maximum $J$ value for OH . . . . .	86
3.13	Least sensitive $J$ value for OH . . . . .	87
3.14	OH population distribution for various $J$ values . . . . .	88
3.15	Population variation of OH between 1000–2000K for various $J$ . . . . .	88
3.16	OH Boltzmann population distribution ( $X$ -state, $v'' = 0$ , $J'' = 7.5$ , $\Omega = 3/2$ ). . . . .	92
3.17	Vibrational modes of formaldehyde . . . . .	93
3.18	Vibrational ground-state formaldehyde Boltzmann distribution for $v=0$ . . . . .	94
3.19	Formaldehyde rotational Boltzmann distribution for various $K$ . . . . .	97
3.20	Combined formaldehyde branch intensity and Boltzmann fraction distribution for various $K$ for $rR$ and $pP$ branch (i.e. $\Delta J = \Delta K =$ $\pm 1$ ). Vibrationless ground-state. . . . .	99
3.21	Combined formaldehyde branch intensity and Boltzmann fraction distribution for various $K$ for $pQ$ and $rQ$ branch (i.e. $\Delta J =$ $\pm 1, \Delta K = 0$ ). Vibrationless ground-state. . . . .	100
3.22	Combined formaldehyde branch intensity and Boltzmann fraction distribution for various $K$ for $rR$ and $pP$ branch (i.e. $\Delta J = \Delta K =$ $\pm 1$ ). Vibrationless ground-state. . . . .	101
3.23	Combined formaldehyde branch intensity and Boltzmann fraction distribution for various $K$ for $pQ$ and $rQ$ branch (i.e. $\Delta J =$ $\pm 1, \Delta K = 0$ ). Vibrationless ground-state. . . . .	102
3.24	Temperature dependence of selected formaldehyde excitation scheme ( $X$ -state, $v'' = 0$ , $J'' = 21$ , $K'' = 5$ ). . . . .	103
3.25	Rayleigh cross-section variation across width of reaction zone. Fuel: $C_2H_4/H_2$ , 300K. Oxidant: 3% $O_2$ , 1100K. . . . .	105



3.26	Rayleigh cross-section variation as a function of non-dimensional temperature, $\tau$ for a range of velocities. Fuel: $C_2H_4/H_2$ , 300K. Oxidant: 3% $O_2$ , 1100K. . . . .	106
3.27	Example of OH-LIF quenching rates at different inlet velocities. Fuel: $C_2H_4/H_2$ , 300K. Oxidant: 3% $O_2$ , 1100K. . . . .	111
3.28	Processing of Rayleigh image to temperature . . . . .	114
3.29	Image of slot burner reference flame and premixed flame front . . . . .	118
3.30	Example of raw $H_2CO$ -LIF image . . . . .	120
3.31	Example of raw Rayleigh image . . . . .	122
4.1	Photographs of natural gas / hydrogen flames at two coflow $O_2$ levels . . . . .	130
4.2	Selection of instantaneous OH, $H_2CO$ and temperature image triplets showing typical features (irrespective of Reynolds number) of natural gas/hydrogen flames. Each image $8 \times 35$ mm. Jet centreline marked with dashed line. Axial location 35mm above jet exit. . . . .	132
4.3	Calculated peak $H_2CO$ mole fraction plotted versus equivalence ratio for a methane/hydrogen fuel mixture for two oxidant stream $O_2$ levels. . . . .	133
4.4	Selection of instantaneous OH, $H_2CO$ and temperature image triplets showing wide radial distribution of $H_2CO$ of natural gas/hydrogen flames. Each image $8 \times 35$ mm. Jet centreline marked with dashed line. Axial location 35mm above jet exit. . . . .	134
4.5	Mean and RMS radial profiles for OH, $H_2CO$ and temperature of natural gas/hydrogen flames at two coflow $O_2$ levels. Jet Reynolds numbers ranging from 5000 to 15000. Central 3mm strip of images used. Axial location 35mm above jet exit. . . . .	136
4.6	Mean and RMS radial profiles for OH, $H_2CO$ and temperature of natural gas/hydrogen flames at two coflow $O_2$ levels. Jet Reynolds numbers ranging from 5000 to 15000. Central 3mm strip of images used. Axial location 125mm above jet exit. . . . .	139
4.7	Selection of instantaneous OH, $H_2CO$ and temperature image triplets showing effects of surrounding air entrainment on natural gas/hydrogen flames. Each image $8 \times 35$ mm. Jet centreline marked with dashed line. Axial location 125mm above jet exit. . . . .	140

4.8	Selection of instantaneous OH, H <sub>2</sub> CO and temperature image triplets showing localised extinction events of natural gas/hydrogen flames. Each image 8×35mm. Jet centreline marked with dashed line. Axial location 125mm above jet exit. . . . .	142
4.9	Selection of instantaneous OH, H <sub>2</sub> CO and temperature image triplets showing effects of oxygen leakage on natural gas/hydrogen flames. Each image 8×35mm. Jet centreline marked with dashed line. Axial location 125mm above jet exit. . . . .	144
4.10	Calculated peak OH number density plotted versus strain rate found from laminar flame calculations for methane/hydrogen fuel at two oxidant stream O <sub>2</sub> levels. The overlaid horizontal dashed lines are the peak experimental results. . . . .	149
4.11	Calculated peak H <sub>2</sub> CO number density plotted versus strain rate found from laminar flame calculations for methane/hydrogen fuel at two oxidant stream O <sub>2</sub> levels. . . . .	150
5.1	Photographs of diluted ethylene flames at two coflow O <sub>2</sub> levels . . . . .	154
5.2	Apparent lift-off height of 9% O <sub>2</sub> diluted ethylene flames based on visual observations at various Reynolds numbers. . . . .	156
5.3	Selection of instantaneous OH, H <sub>2</sub> CO and temperature image triplets showing typical features of diluted ethylene flames. $Re_{jet}=10,000$ . Each image 8×35mm. Jet centreline marked with dashed line. Axial location 35mm above jet exit. . . . .	157
5.4	Selection of instantaneous OH, H <sub>2</sub> CO and temperature image triplets showing examples of lifted diluted ethylene flames. $Re_{jet}=10,000$ . Each image 8×35mm. Jet centreline marked with dashed line. Axial location 35mm above jet exit. . . . .	159
5.5	Mean and RMS radial profiles of OH, H <sub>2</sub> CO and temperature for diluted ethylene flames. $Re_{jet}=10,000$ . Central 3mm strip of images used. Axial location 35mm above jet exit. . . . .	162
5.6	Mean and RMS radial profiles of OH, H <sub>2</sub> CO and temperature for diluted ethylene flames. $Re_{jet}=10,000$ . Central 3mm strip of images used. Axial location 125mm above jet exit. . . . .	164
5.7	Selection of instantaneous OH, H <sub>2</sub> CO and temperature image triplets showing typical features of diluted ethylene flames. $Re_{jet}=10,000$ . Each image 8×35mm. Jet centreline marked with dashed line. Axial location 125mm above jet exit. . . . .	165

5.8	Axial location 35mm above jet exit – further selection of instantaneous OH, H <sub>2</sub> CO and temperature image triplets for diluted ethylene flames. $Re_{jet}=10,000$ . Each image 8×35mm. Jet centreline marked with dashed line. . . . .	168
6.1	Photographs of natural gas, ethylene & LPG flames (each diluted with hydrogen) at two coflow O <sub>2</sub> levels . . . . .	177
6.2	Selection of instantaneous OH, H <sub>2</sub> CO and temperature image triplets of natural gas/H <sub>2</sub> , C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> and LPG/H <sub>2</sub> flames showing typical features. $Re_{jet}=10,000$ . Each image 8×35mm. Jet centreline marked with dashed line. Axial location 35mm above jet exit. . . . .	179
6.3	Selection of instantaneous OH, H <sub>2</sub> CO and temperature image triplets of natural gas/H <sub>2</sub> , C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> and LPG/H <sub>2</sub> flames showing vortex interaction with the reaction zone (reaction zone convolution). $Re_{jet}=10,000$ . Each image 8×35mm. Jet centreline marked with dashed line. Axial location 35mm above jet exit. . . . .	180
6.4	Selection of instantaneous OH, H <sub>2</sub> CO and temperature image triplets of natural gas/H <sub>2</sub> , C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> and LPG/H <sub>2</sub> flames showing vortex interaction with the reaction zone (reaction zone weakening). $Re_{jet}=10,000$ . Each image 8×35mm. Jet centreline marked with dashed line. Axial location 35mm above jet exit. . . . .	182
6.5	Selection of instantaneous OH, H <sub>2</sub> CO and temperature image triplets of natural gas/H <sub>2</sub> , C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> and LPG/H <sub>2</sub> flames showing isolated pockets of high H <sub>2</sub> CO. $Re_{jet}=10,000$ . Each image 8×35mm. Jet centreline marked with dashed line. Axial location 35mm above jet exit. . . . .	184
6.6	Mean and RMS radial profiles of OH, H <sub>2</sub> CO and temperature for natural gas/H <sub>2</sub> , C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> and LPG/H <sub>2</sub> flames. $Re_{jet}=10,000$ . Central 3mm strip of images used. Axial location 35mm above jet exit. . . . .	185
6.7	Mean and RMS radial profiles of OH, H <sub>2</sub> CO and temperature for natural gas/H <sub>2</sub> , C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> and LPG/H <sub>2</sub> flames. $Re_{jet}=10,000$ . Central 3mm strip of images used. Axial location 125mm above jet exit. . . . .	187

6.8	Selection of instantaneous OH, H <sub>2</sub> CO and temperature image triplets of natural gas/H <sub>2</sub> , C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> and LPG/H <sub>2</sub> flames showing typical features. $Re_{jet}=10,000$ . Each image 8×35mm. Jet centreline marked with dashed line. Axial location 125mm above jet exit. . . . .	188
6.9	Selection of instantaneous OH, H <sub>2</sub> CO and temperature image triplets of natural gas/H <sub>2</sub> , C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> and LPG/H <sub>2</sub> flames showing various effects of convection on the structure of the reaction zone. $Re_{jet}=10,000$ . Each image 8×35mm. Jet centreline marked with dashed line. Axial location 125mm above jet exit. . . . .	189
6.10	Selection of instantaneous OH, H <sub>2</sub> CO and temperature image triplets of natural gas/H <sub>2</sub> , C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> and LPG/H <sub>2</sub> flames showing multiple reaction zones. $Re_{jet}=10,000$ . Each image 8×30mm. Jet centreline marked with dashed line. Axial location 125mm above jet exit. . . . .	190
6.11	Selection of instantaneous OH, H <sub>2</sub> CO and temperature image triplets of natural gas/H <sub>2</sub> , C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> and LPG/H <sub>2</sub> flames showing effect of surrounding air entrainment. $Re_{jet}=10,000$ . Each image 8×35mm. Jet centreline marked with dashed line. Axial location 125mm above jet exit. . . . .	191
6.12	Selection of instantaneous OH, H <sub>2</sub> CO and temperature image triplets of natural gas/H <sub>2</sub> , C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> and LPG/H <sub>2</sub> flames showing localised extinction. $Re_{jet}=10,000$ . Each image 8×35mm. Jet centreline marked with dashed line. Axial location 125mm above jet exit. . . . .	193
6.13	Selection of instantaneous OH, H <sub>2</sub> CO and temperature image triplets of natural gas/H <sub>2</sub> , C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> and LPG/H <sub>2</sub> flames showing spatial mismatch between OH and H <sub>2</sub> CO. $Re_{jet}=10,000$ . Each image 8×35mm. Jet centreline marked with dashed line. Axial location 125mm above jet exit. . . . .	194
6.14	Temperature and species mole fractions from strained laminar flame calculations in (normalised) mixture fraction space for 3% O <sub>2</sub> coflow composition ( $a \approx 100s^{-1}$ ). . . . .	198
6.15	Temperature and species mole fractions from strained laminar flame calculations in (normalised) mixture fraction space for 9% O <sub>2</sub> coflow composition ( $a \approx 100s^{-1}$ ). . . . .	199

6.16	Temperature and species mole fractions from strained laminar flame calculations in (normalised) mixture fraction space for (21% O <sub>2</sub> , 300K) air ( $a \approx 100\text{s}^{-1}$ ). . . . .	200
7.1	Laminar flame calculation of O <sub>2</sub> mole fraction (%) at location of peak H <sub>2</sub> CO concentration as a function of strain for methane/hydrogen flames at two oxidant stream O <sub>2</sub> levels. . . . .	207
7.2	H <sub>2</sub> CO production rate via major reactions, found from laminar flame calculations. CH <sub>4</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> fuel with 3% O <sub>2</sub> , 1100K oxidant stream. Strain rate, $a \approx 30\text{s}^{-1}$ . . . . .	209
7.3	H <sub>2</sub> CO production rate via major reactions, found from laminar flame calculations. CH <sub>4</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> fuel with 3% O <sub>2</sub> , 1100K oxidant stream. Strain rate, $a \approx 200\text{s}^{-1}$ . . . . .	209
7.4	Selected species and production rates found from laminar flame calculations. Production rate of H <sub>2</sub> CO is shown for reaction R10. Production rate of O is shown for reaction R38. CH <sub>4</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> fuel with 3% O <sub>2</sub> , 1100K oxidant stream. Strain rate, $a \approx 30\text{s}^{-1}$ . . . . .	211
7.5	Selected species and production rates found from laminar flame calculations. Production rate of H <sub>2</sub> CO is shown for reaction R10. Production rate of O is shown for reaction R38. CH <sub>4</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> fuel with 3% O <sub>2</sub> , 1100K oxidant stream. Strain rate, $a \approx 200\text{s}^{-1}$ . . . . .	211
7.6	Temperature and species mole fractions from strained laminar flame calculations in (normalised) mixture fraction space for 9% O <sub>2</sub> coflow composition ( $a \approx 100\text{s}^{-1}$ ). . . . .	216
7.7	Selected instantaneous OH, H <sub>2</sub> CO and temperature measurements showing effect of coflow O <sub>2</sub> level. Top image contains OH, H <sub>2</sub> CO and spatial overlap of OH & H <sub>2</sub> CO. Bottom image is the temperature. OH: Black–Red. H <sub>2</sub> CO: Black–Green. [OH]·[H <sub>2</sub> CO]: Black–White. Temperature: Black–Yellow. Each image 8×30mm. Axial location 35mm above jet exit. . . . .	221
7.8	Selected instantaneous OH, H <sub>2</sub> CO and temperature measurements showing reaction zone transition. Top image contains OH, H <sub>2</sub> CO and spatial overlap of OH & H <sub>2</sub> CO. Bottom image is the temperature. OH: Black–Red. H <sub>2</sub> CO: Black–Green. [OH]·[H <sub>2</sub> CO]: Black–White. Temperature: Black–Yellow. Each image 8×30mm. Axial location 35mm above jet exit. . . . .	223

7.9	Selected instantaneous OH, H <sub>2</sub> CO and temperature measurements showing spatial mismatch. Top image contains OH, H <sub>2</sub> CO and spatial overlap of OH & H <sub>2</sub> CO. Bottom image is the temperature. OH: Black-Red. H <sub>2</sub> CO: Black-Green. [OH]·[H <sub>2</sub> CO]: Black-White. Temperature: Black-Yellow. Each image 8×30mm. Axial location 125mm above jet exit. . . . .	224
A.1	Schematic representation of the axisymmetric opposed-flow configuration . . . . .	244
B.1	OH-LIF dependence on laser energy . . . . .	253

# List of Tables

3.1	Inlet flowrates ( $L_n/\text{min}$ ) and equivalence ratio for the two operating modes of the flat-flame burner . . . . .	77
3.2	OH-LIF excitation wavelengths of the $A - X$ electronic band from ground state vibrational level $v''=0$ . . . . .	85
3.3	Comparison of peak and equilibrium OH levels in premixed flame found experimentally and from calculations . . . . .	118
3.4	Natural gas composition . . . . .	124
3.5	Coflow parameters . . . . .	125
3.6	Jet parameters . . . . .	126
4.1	Jet operating conditions of natural gas/hydrogen flames. $Re_{jet}$ ; Jet Reynolds number, $\dot{Q}$ ; volumetric flowrate, $\bar{v}_{exit}$ ; mean exit velocity (for an exit temperature of 300K). . . . .	129
4.2	Coflow composition and flow conditions for natural gas/hydrogen flames . . . . .	129
4.3	Visible flame length of natural gas/hydrogen flames . . . . .	131
4.4	Mean OH width estimates at 35mm downstream location for natural gas/hydrogen flames at two coflow $O_2$ levels and three Reynolds numbers. . . . .	135
4.5	Proportion of images with evidence of extinction at 125mm location for natural gas/hydrogen flames at two coflow $O_2$ levels. . . . .	145
4.6	Mean and standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ) of peak OH number density for natural gas/hydrogen flames. . . . .	147

5.1	Jet operating conditions of diluted ethylene flames. $Re_{jet}$ ; Jet Reynolds number, $\dot{Q}$ ; volumetric flowrate, $\bar{v}_{exit}$ ; mean exit velocity (for an exit temperature of 300K). . . . .	153
5.2	Apparent lift-off height estimates based on visual observations of diluted ethylene flames for $Re_{jet}=10,000$ . . . . .	155
5.3	Mean and standard deviation ( $\sigma$ , in brackets) of peak OH number density ( $\times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ) for diluted ethylene flames. $Re_{jet}=10,000$ . Axial location 35mm above jet exit. . . . .	160
5.4	Mean and standard deviation ( $\sigma$ , in brackets) of peak $\text{H}_2\text{CO}$ concentration (arbitrary units), for diluted ethylene flames. $Re_{jet}=10,000$ . Axial location 35mm above jet exit. . . . .	161
5.5	Mean and standard deviation ( $\sigma$ , in brackets) of peak OH number density ( $\times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ) for diluted ethylene flames. $Re_{jet}=10,000$ . Axial location 125mm above jet exit. . . . .	166
5.6	Mean and standard deviation ( $\sigma$ , in brackets) of peak $\text{H}_2\text{CO}$ concentration (arbitrary units), for diluted ethylene flames. $Re_{jet}=10,000$ . Axial location 125mm above jet exit. . . . .	166
5.7	Fuel jet dilution ratios of ethylene ( $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ ) and stoichiometric mixture fraction (for both coflow compositions). . . . .	170
6.1	Jet operating conditions of natural gas (NG), ethylene ( $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ ) and LPG flames, each diluted with hydrogen in equal volumetric parts. $Re_{jet}$ ; Jet Reynolds number, $\dot{Q}$ ; volumetric flowrate, $\bar{v}_{exit}$ ; mean exit velocity (for an exit temperature of 300K). . . . .	176
6.2	Mean and standard deviation ( $\sigma$ , in brackets) of peak OH number density measurements. . . . .	195
6.3	Peak temperature and OH & $\text{H}_2\text{CO}$ number density from strained laminar flame calculations ( $a \approx 200 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ). . . . .	196
7.1	Calculation of laminar flame speed for diluted ethylene flames at 1100K. Dilution ratio expressed on molar basis. The 3% and 9% $\text{O}_2$ oxidant cases represent the experimental coflow composition. For 15% and 21% $\text{O}_2$ levels the balance is $\text{N}_2$ . $\Phi = 1$ in all cases. . . . .	219
A.1	Oxidant (coflow) stream composition (molar basis). Temperature: 1100K. . . . .	245
A.2	Key solution parameters for OPPDIF calculations . . . . .	247



A.3 Fuel stream composition (molar basis) for Figure 4.3 . . . . .	249
A.4 Reactant composition for PREMIX calculations of laminar flame speed. . . . .	251