

**VARIATION IN CRANIAL BASE FLEXION  
AND CRANIOFACIAL MORPHOLOGY  
IN MODERN HUMANS**

**Ellie Kristina Simpson**

**Department of Anatomical Sciences and Dental School**

**The University of Adelaide**

**Thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy at the University of Adelaide**

**July 2005**



## Table of contents

<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>III</b>
<b>STATEMENT</b>	<b>IV</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS</b>	<b>V</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
General introduction to the cranial base	1
Description of measurement techniques of the cranial base	3
Overview of cranial base growth	10
Growth changes in cranial base flexion	20
Evolution of cranial base flexion	26
Inter-population variation in the cranial base of modern humans	38
Aims of the present study	41
<b>CHAPTER 2: MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>43</b>
Samples	43
Methods	52
<b>CHAPTER 3: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>85</b>
Introduction to the results section	85
Internal consistency and validity of the data	86
Results of cranial base flexion	97
Range of variation:	114

<b>Conclusion of cranial base angles</b>	<b>115</b>
<b>Results of craniofacial angles</b>	<b>117</b>
<b>Conclusion of craniofacial angles</b>	<b>132</b>
<b>Summary of results for the dimensions of the cranial base and facial skeleton</b>	<b>133</b>
<b>Conclusion of dimensions</b>	<b>155</b>
<b>Correlations between the angles of cranial base flexion, craniofacial angles and dimensions</b>	<b>158</b>
<b>Multivariate Statistical Analysis</b>	<b>164</b>
<b>Conclusion of correlations and multivariate analyses</b>	<b>177</b>
<b>Individual variation</b>	<b>179</b>
<b>Modal faces – Z score comparison</b>	<b>192</b>
<b>Comparison of modal face to the average</b>	<b>196</b>
<b>CHAPTER 4: GENERAL DISCUSSION</b>	<b>233</b>
<b>Concluding remarks</b>	<b>261</b>
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>263</b>
<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>263</b>

## **Abstract**

Cranial base flexion has been used extensively as a baseline or standard from which to interpret differences in craniofacial growth and morphology. Lateral cephalometric radiographs of 414 adults representing seven samples from around the world were compared for variation in cranial base and facial morphology. The samples represent Australian Aboriginal, New Zealand Maori (Polynesian), Thai, Chinese, white American, African Sotho/Xhosa/Zulu and African Khoi/San populations. Seven angles of cranial base flexion, five craniofacial angles and nine cranial base and facial dimensions were measured on tracings of lateral cephalometric radiographs.

Numerous significant correlations were found between cranial base flexion angles, craniofacial angles and dimensions of the cranial base and craniofacial skeleton. A positive correlation was found between the orientation of the foramen magnum, clivus and the anterior cranial base, with a negative correlation between these angles and the orientation of the hard palate. There was also a parallel relationship between the orientation of the foramen magnum and the anterior cranial base (measured from pituitary point to nasion). Cranial base flexion, craniofacial angles and dimensions differed significantly between some samples. Despite this, there was no evidence of distinct facial types between samples. Multivariate statistics revealed some discrimination between some samples for dimensions; however, if angles were used alone, less than 50% of individuals could be correctly assigned to their sample of origin. Most of the variation could be attributed to variation between individuals, rather than variation between samples.

The range of variation in cranial base flexion is considerable, and needs to be taken into account when comparing samples. Flexion of the cranial base is generally insufficient to distinguish people from different geographic samples. The functional and evolutionary significance of the relationship between the orientation of the foramen magnum and cranial base flexion is discussed for its potential usefulness as a reference line for interpreting craniofacial morphology.

## **Statement**

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree of diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being available for loan and photocopying.

Signed:

Date:

## Acknowledgments

There are many people who have helped me with this Ph.D. over the years. Among them are some that deserve particular acknowledgement.

Firstly, to the five Universities who generously made radiographs available for tracing, and to the staff who provided me with, assistance, advice and accommodation:

- Dr Nancy Tayles and Dr Kate Domett, The University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand.
- Professor Dan Lieberman and Rob McCarthy, George Washington University, Washington DC, USA
- Professor Roger Siervogel and Dr Nikki Rogers, Wright State University, Dayton, Ohio, USA
- Dr Kevin Kuykendall, Professor Beverley Kramer, Elijah Mofokeng, Llewellyn Sinclair and Peggy Mashiane, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa
- Professor Grant Townsend, The University of Adelaide, South Australia

To the staff of the Department of Anatomical Sciences, The University of Adelaide, for advice, encouragement and providing professional support.

To Roger Byard, David Eitzen and the Director and staff of Forensic Science SA, for their support over the last three years, and for granting me study-leave to complete the thesis.

To Associate Professor Callum Ross, and a second anonymous examiner, for their thorough review and helpful comments about the thesis.

To my family and friends for putting up with me for the past six years, and for acting like you believed me when I kept saying it would be finished soon.

Finally, to my supervisors, Professors Maciej Henneberg and Wayne Sampson: your commitment and support has been incredible. I'm sure it seemed sometimes that I would never get to this stage. I would like to thank you both for the time and enthusiasm during the six years we have been working together – you have never wavered in your support of me. I am grateful to you for making time for the late afternoon meetings, for sharing your knowledge and ideas with me, and for placing your confidence in me to get the Ph.D. finished despite taking up full-time work. I was encouraged by your mutual respect for each other and your willingness to understand the other's professional point of view. Together, you were the perfect combination for supervision.