

held by duly qualified medical men, who would probably be young graduates of the University. 2. That the appointment should be held for not less than six months. 3. That the salary of such appointment should be at the rate of £100 a year with board and lodging. 4. That the officer appointed should be called the clinical assistant. The faculty of medicine in fixing the honorarium at the rate of £100 a year thought it desirable to suggest a rather higher salary than that paid for similar appointments at the hospital, as the asylums do not possess the same attractions for medical men. After some discussion my counsel adopted the report. The Government have agreed to place £100 on the Estimates to meet the requirements of the salary of one officer, and the Chief Secretary has asked the colonial surgeon to report as to whether an assistant will be required for each asylum or whether one would be preferable. It is expected that the new arrangement will come into force on July 1 next.

*Advertiser 18<sup>th</sup> March 1890.*

### UNIVERSITY EXAMINATIONS.

The following are the results of the March examinations:—

#### L.L.B. DEGREE.

Second Year.

Second class—Charles A. O. Bloxam.

Third class—R. T. A. Von Bertouch.

Third Year.

Second class—Edward E. Cleland.

Third class—Samuel J. Mitchell, Arthur J. Price.

#### CERTIFICATES.

Law of Property—H. J. Heggaton.

Law of Wrongs—B. Denny, A. Bonnin, J. A. Hargrave, L. G. Wright, W. E. Heuzenroeder.

Law of Evidence—J. A. Hargrave, W. E. Heuzenroeder.

#### PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION.

The following candidates were successful in passing the preliminary examination held this month. The names are given in alphabetical order:—

James Young Simpson Allmitt, Semaphore Collegiate School; George Ash, England; Mary Alice Barr, Hardwicke College; John Benbow, Prince Alfred College; Napier Kyffin Birks, Whinham College; Jessie Elizabeth Bostock, Miss Martin's school; Arnold Perse Boulger, Habndorf College and Adelaide Collegiate School; Claude Tidswell Cooper, Prince Alfred College; Ernest Edwin Garrett, Prince Alfred College; Alfred Gurr, Prince Alfred College; Arthur Hartley Harry, Prince Alfred College; John Ulrich Hood, Prince Alfred College; Oscar Kerridge Joyner, North Adelaide High School; William Henry Kennedy, Christian Brothers' College; Phillip Mesmer Newland, St Peter's College; Herbert Meredith Newman, Prince Alfred College; Ebenezer Pearson Pilgrim, Whinham College; Robert Henry Pulleine, St. Peter's College; Walter Sid Rowe, Mr. T. O. Hayward's tuition; Edward Gibbon Wakefield, St. Peter's College; Hubert Victor Clarence Whittle, Whinham College; Henry William Zeunert, Habndorf College.

*Advertiser 20<sup>th</sup> March 1890*

### UNIVERSITY EXAMINATIONS.

The following candidates were successful in the March examinations at the University:—

#### SENIOR PUBLIC EXAMINATION.

First class—None.

Second class—None.

Third class—E. L. Borthwick, private tuition, English history and literature, Latin, chemistry and physics. John Hamilton Downer, Prince Alfred College, English history and literature, Latin, pure mathematics and physics. John Henry Downer, private tuition, English history and literature, Latin and physical geography and geology. R. Hornabrook, private tuition, English history and literature, French and pure mathematics.

#### UNIVERSITY SCHOLARSHIPS.

Walter Trudinger, Gilbert Bertram Hone, and Julian A. R. Smith, all of Prince Alfred College.

ANGAS ENGINEERING SCHOLARSHIP,  
Joseph Auburn Haslam.

Advertiser 1<sup>st</sup> April 1890

**"THE CHRISTIAN UNIONISTS."**

TO THE EDITOR.

Sir—The "protest" of your correspondent, "Gaius," *re* the Christian Union recently established among the University students, would not have called for any comment had it not been written with the object of "discouraging the project by misrepresentation." That the meeting was not "sanctioned" by all the undergraduates is true, and that it was only attended by a minority of the undergraduates is also true. Your correspondent, however, seeks to convey the impression that the meeting was not composed of bona-fide students. As a matter of fact one gentleman only was not an undergraduate; he, however, is a member of the University, and of the others one was a professor, three graduates of the University, now studying for other degrees, and the rest genuine undergraduates. The "project" has now become an established fact, and the union is not to be discouraged by misrepresenting protests.—I am, &c,

OEOIL S. MEAD, B.A., Hon. Sec.

Register 11<sup>th</sup> April 1890.

**IVES V. MOORE.**—Plaintiff, Joshua Ives, described as a gentleman, sued defendant, Francis R. Moore, driver, of King-street, Adelaide, for the recovery of the sum of £14 10s., being loss sustained by plaintiff on twenty shares in the Broken Hill Junction Silver-mining Company, Limited, purchased by defendant from plaintiff at £5 15s. per share, and of which defendant failed to accept delivery, and for commission on resale, plaintiff reselling the shares at defendant's risk for £5 1s. per share. Mr. E. W. O'Halloran appeared for plaintiff, and defendant conducted his own case. Plaintiff said he sold shares to defendant at £6 15s. each, agreeing to hold them for a fortnight. At the time of the sale defendant paid him £1 on each share, making the actual price £5 15s. Defendant did not take up the scrip at the time agreed upon, and at his request he (plaintiff) held the shares for two days longer. Even then defendant failed to meet his engagement, and asked plaintiff to extend the time. He (plaintiff) declined to do this, and caused the shares to be resold at defendant's risk, having previously warned defendant of the course of action he would adopt in case he again failed to take up the shares. Defendant admitted buying the shares, claiming that he had already paid plaintiff £20 on account, and alleged that, although plaintiff was requested to sell on the day the shares became due, when the market price was £6, he held them for two days and then sold at £5 1s. Having saved a little money he "dabbled" somewhat in shares and lost everything. There were even greater claims than that of Mr. Ives standing against him, and he thought that as plaintiff had already obtained £20 from him he might have foregone all further claim. His Honor pointed out that that was a question for Mr. Ives, and entered judgment against defendant for the full amount claimed, making an order of 2s. 6d. per week for its payment.