



# **Plant Harvesting from a Constructed Wetland: Nutrient Removal and Plant Attributes**

**Michelle Leanne Bald**

**B.Sc. (Hons)**

Department of Environmental Biology

The University of Adelaide

March 2001

---

 TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION.....	II
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	III
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	VIII
ABSTRACT.....	IX
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION:.....	1
1.1. Wetlands:.....	1
1.1.1. Definition:.....	1
1.1.2. Wetlands as sinks, sources and transformers:.....	1
1.2. Wastewater:.....	2
1.2.1. Sources and characteristics of wastewater:.....	2
1.2.2. Traditional methods of wastewater treatment:.....	3
1.2.3. Alternative approach to wastewater treatment:.....	4
1.3. Wetlands for Wastewater Treatment:.....	4
1.3.1. Natural wetlands:.....	5
1.3.2. Constructed wetlands:.....	6
1.3.2.1. <i>Surface Flow Designs</i> .....	7
1.3.2.2. <i>Subsurface Flow Designs</i> .....	7
1.3.2.3. <i>Substrate considerations</i> .....	8
1.4. Components of Wetland Systems:.....	8
1.5. Storage Components:.....	10
1.5.1. Abiotic storage:.....	10
1.5.2. Biotic storage:.....	11
1.5.2.1. <i>Nutrient Storage in Plants</i> .....	12
1.5.2.1. <i>Factors Determining Storage in Plants</i> .....	13
1.5.2.3. <i>Nutrient Retention and Plant Decomposition</i> .....	15
1.6. Natural Processes of Nutrient Removal from the wetland system:.....	17
1.6.1. Abiotic removal:.....	17
1.6.2. Biotic Removal:.....	17
1.7. Human induced mechanisms of nutrient removal:.....	19
1.8. Harvesting as a tool for removing nutrients from constructed wetland systems:.....	21

1.8.1.	Response to harvesting: .....	21
1.8.2.	Timing of harvests: .....	22
1.8.3.	Translocation of nutrients in rooted macrophytes: .....	24
1.9.	Plant Selection and Propagation: .....	24
1.10.	Constructed Wetland Research within Australia:.....	26
1.11.	Objective of This Study: .....	28
CHAPTER 2: THE WILLUNGA WETLAND SYSTEM: PHYSICAL PARAMETERS AND THE FLORA:		31
2.1.	Introduction:.....	31
2.1.1.	Aims:.....	32
2.2.	Descriptions:.....	33
2.2.1.	History of the Willunga Wastewater Treatment System: .....	33
2.2.2.	Oxidation Ponds: .....	34
2.2.3.	Description of the Willunga Wetland System: .....	36
2.2.4.	Input/Output: .....	36
2.2.5.	Description of Wetland Flora:.....	39
2.2.5.1.	<i>Bolboschoenus medianus</i> (V. Cook) Sojak (Cyperaceae).....	40
2.2.5.2.	<i>Phragmites australis</i> (Cav.) Trin. Ex Steud (Gramineae).....	40
2.2.5.3.	<i>Triglochin procerum</i> R.Br (Juncaginaceae) .....	41
2.2.5.4.	<i>Typha domingensis</i> Pers (Typhaceae).....	41
2.2.5.5.	<i>Species Comparison and Hypotheses</i> .....	42
2.3.	Floristic Measurements:.....	43
2.3.1.	Methods:.....	43
2.3.1.1.	<i>Standing Above Ground Biomass</i> .....	43
2.3.1.2.	<i>Distribution and Subsequent Colonisation</i> .....	44
2.3.1.3.	<i>Implications of changes in distribution on plant growth and nutrient storage</i> .....	45
2.3.2.	Results: .....	47
2.3.2.1.	<i>Standing Above Ground Biomass</i> .....	47
2.3.2.2.	<i>Distribution and Subsequent Colonisation</i> .....	55
2.3.2.3.	<i>Implications of changes in distribution on plant growth and nutrient storage</i> .....	58

2.3.3.	Discussion:.....	62
2.3.3.1.	<i>Standing Above Ground Biomass</i> .....	62
2.3.3.2.	<i>Distribution and Subsequent Colonisation</i> .....	64
2.3.3.3.	<i>Implications of changes in distribution on plant growth and nutrient storage</i> .....	67
2.4.	Conclusion:.....	71
CHAPTER 3: SEASONAL NUTRIENT STORAGE IN ABOVE GROUND TISSUE AND THE ROLE OF HARVESTING IN NUTRIENT REMOVAL: .....		72
3.1.	Introduction:.....	72
3.1.1.	Aims and Hypotheses:.....	74
3.1.1.1.	<i>Section 1</i> .....	74
3.1.1.2.	<i>Section 2</i> .....	75
3.2.	Section 1:.....	76
3.2.1.	Methods:.....	76
3.2.2.	Results: .....	78
3.2.2.1.	<i>Nutrient concentration</i> .....	78
3.2.2.2.	<i>Nutrient storage per unit area</i> .....	84
3.2.3.	Discussion: .....	87
3.2.3.1.	<i>Nutrient concentration</i> .....	87
3.2.3.2.	<i>CNP Storage</i> .....	96
3.3.	Section 2:.....	98
3.3.1.	Methods:.....	98
3.3.1.1.	<i>12 month harvest experiment</i> .....	98
3.3.1.2.	<i>Three species harvest experiment</i> .....	99
3.3.2.	Results: .....	100
3.3.2.1.	<i>12 month harvest experiment</i> .....	100
3.3.2.2.	<i>Three species harvest experiment</i> .....	106
3.3.3.	Discussion:.....	109
3.3.3.1.	<i>12 month harvest experiment</i> .....	109
3.3.3.2.	<i>Three species harvest experiment</i> .....	111
3.4.	Conclusion:.....	113

CHAPTER 4: GROWTH AND PERFORMANCE OF <i>TRIGLOCHIN</i> IN RESPONSE TO NUTRIENT DELIVERY IN WATER VERSUS SUBSTRATE: .....	115
4.1. Introduction:.....	115
4.2. Methods: .....	117
4.3. Results:.....	122
4.3.1. Leaf Production and Number: .....	122
4.3.2. Total Biomass: .....	123
4.3.3. Above Ground Biomass:.....	124
4.3.4. Below Ground Biomass:.....	125
4.3.5. RGR:.....	125
4.3.6. Nutrient concentration and content:.....	126
4.4. Discussion:.....	128
4.4.1. General Plant Responses:.....	128
4.4.2. Resource Allocation:.....	129
4.4.3. Nutrient Storage Potential:.....	130
4.5. Conclusion:.....	134
CHAPTER 5: GROWTH AND YIELD OF <i>TRIGLOCHIN</i> AND <i>TYPHA</i> WHEN ESTABLISHED IN VARIOUS PLANTING COMBINATIONS: .....	135
5.1. Introduction:.....	135
5.2. Methods: .....	137
5.3. Results:.....	141
5.4. Discussion:.....	147
5.4.1. Preemption of Space and Colonisation: .....	148
5.4.2. Biomass Response:.....	148
5.4.3. RGR response: .....	150
5.5. Conclusion:.....	154
CHAPTER 6: GENERAL DISCUSSION, PREDICTIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS: .....	155
6.1: Growth and performance of aquatic macrophytes at the Willunga wetland system:.....	155
6.1.1. <i>Triglochin</i> : .....	155
6.1.2. <i>Bolboschoenus</i> :.....	157
6.1.3. <i>Typha</i> : .....	157

6.1.4. <i>Phragmites</i> :	158
6.1.5. Species combinations in wetlands:	159
6.2: Harvesting as a Nutrient Removal Mechanism in Constructed Wetland Management: Observations and Predictions:	159
6.2.1. Model of nutrient removal via harvesting the plant component:	160
6.2.2. Estimates and predictions of nutrient removal from the model:	165
6.3. Significance of this study:	174
6.4. Future Directions of Study:	174
APPENDIX A	177
APPENDIX B	180
APPENDIX C	186
REFERENCES	187

## ABSTRACT

The capacity for wetlands to function as sinks for nutrients has been widely exploited to treat a variety of wastewaters. Much debate has centred on the contribution to nutrient storage via acquisition and assimilation, by aquatic macrophytes to the overall sink capacity of constructed wetlands. This study investigated the nutrient storage and removal potential of four aquatic macrophyte species (*Bolboschoenus medianus*, *Phragmites australis*, *Triglochin procerum* and *Typha domingensis*) at the Willunga constructed wetland system, South Australia.

The macrophytes at Willunga had large above ground biomass and high tissue nutrient concentrations compared with maximum values in other studies. The storage capacity for nitrogen and phosphorus of two species not commonly used in constructed wetlands (*Triglochin procerum* and *Bolboschoenus medianus*) were 70.7 g N m<sup>-2</sup> 6.8 g P m<sup>-2</sup> respectively. These were significantly higher than the values recorded for species commonly used in constructed wetlands (*Typha domingensis* and *Phragmites australis*). In addition, *Triglochin* maintained a high tissue nutrient concentration throughout the year (29.1 – 36.6 mg N g<sup>-1</sup> dwt; 3.6 – 4.8 mg P g<sup>-1</sup> dwt), whereas the tissue nutrient concentrations for *Bolboschoenus* and *Phragmites* were low during winter senescence.

A comparative study was undertaken to determine the influence of single versus multiple harvest on the removal of nutrients. Generally, potential nutrient removal was higher under multiple regimes than single regimes for *Bolboschoenus*, *Triglochin* and *Typha*. Multiple harvests of *Phragmites* did not remove greater quantities of nitrogen and phosphorus due of poor regrowth after harvesting.

The range of parameters measured at the Willunga system was used to construct a simple predictive computer model which calculated nutrient removal via harvesting as a percentage of total annual nutrient load. Under the current plant densities, nutrient loading and wetland area, a single harvest of all above ground plant material during late summer (February) would only remove 6.1% of annual N load and 2.2% of annual P load. A multiple harvest regime (harvest in November followed by a harvest in February) would increase the removal to 8.7% N and 3.3% P. The model was used to

make nutrient removal predictions under a variety of hypothetical wetland configurations.

Other ecological aspects were investigated for the four species (*Typha* and *Triglochin* in particular) in both field and controlled conditions. This included investigations into the implications of the contrasting rhizome extension strategies observed at the Willunga system for *Triglochin* and *Typha*. Also a controlled pond based experiment demonstrated that location of nutrient supply (sediment versus water nutrient addition) had little influence on total above ground biomass, however differences in storage capacity resulted from variation in nutrient concentration of that above ground biomass. Another pond based experiment investigated the resulting biomass and growth performance for *Triglochin* and *Typha* when grown in a replacement series design.

Overall, it was concluded that wetland design and management were crucial to nutrient storage and removal by aquatic plants. Appreciation of plant responses to environmental factors such as nutrient addition regime and water depth, was integral to an understanding of the processes which optimise nutrient removal from wetlands via aquatic plants.