



GENOTYPIC VARIATION
FOR MANGANESE EFFICIENCY IN CEREALS

This thesis is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
of the University of Adelaide

Nico Emile Marcar

B. Sc. Agr. (Sydney)

M. Agr. Sc. (La Trobe)

Department of Agronomy
University of Adelaide
March, 1986

Approved 12/12/87

Abstract

The experiments described in this thesis consider the extent of genetic variation for Mn efficiency (ie. tolerance to Mn deficiency) in cereals, with particular reference to wheat, and explore some possible plant factors associated with Mn efficiency. Emphasis has been given to the growth of seedling plants under growth cabinet conditions in pots containing Mn-deficient soil. A long term objective was to develop a screening technique for Mn efficient genotypes in a screening program.

Barley proved to be more Mn efficient than wheat, triticale or rye, when grown for 4-5 weeks in small (250 g cap.) pots at 15°C, having the highest dry matter production, Mn uptake and utilisation efficiency. The higher rate of Mn uptake for barley was much more strongly associated with root growth and root morphology (greater lateral root development) rather than with enhanced chemical or biological modification of the rhizosphere. From a study with wheat-barley addition lines it appeared that the Mn efficiency of barley could be transferred to wheat, but that the mechanism of this efficiency was not obvious nor simply inherited.

Differences in growth between wheat cultivars (in particular) without added Mn were confounded by differences in the Mn content of sown seeds. Nevertheless significant wheat cultivar variation in the rate of growth, Mn uptake and Mn utilisation became apparent when a little Mn (10 mg kg⁻¹ soil) was added. Cultivar differences for a functional requirement of Mn in photosynthesis, as determined by room temperature chlorophyll a fluorescence, were small.

Further growth cabinet and field studies demonstrated that seed Mn content (natural occurring as well as artificially increased by soaking in MnSO₄) played a vital role in determining early plant productivity as well as influencing grain yield. Manganese-inefficient genotypes could be distinguished by their greater response to seed soaking in the field.

Results of field experiments conducted mainly at Wangary on the same soil as used in the pot experiments showed that agronomic Mn efficiency (based on relative grain yield) varied considerably between cereal cultivars. Rye and Weeah barley were the most Mn-efficient and oats the least Mn-efficient cereal. Considerable differences were evident between cultivars of wheat, barley and triticales for actual and relative grain yields. The best wheat (Aroona) yielded about the same as the worst barley (Galleon). Yields of triticales were intermediate between wheat and rye. The least Mn-efficient cultivars were characterised by severe plant mortality mid-season as well as low grain yields per plant.

Table of contents

| | Page |
|--|------|
| Acknowledgements | |
| Abstract | |
| Table of Contents | |
| | |
| CHAPTER 1 | |
| LITERATURE REVIEW | |
| 1.1 INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.2 FORMS AND AVAILABILITY OF SOIL MANGANESE | 2 |
| 1.2.1 Introduction | 2 |
| 1.2.2 Measurement of soil Mn pools | 3 |
| 1.2.3 Manganese availability in calcareous soils | 5 |
| 1.2.4 Oxidation-reduction cycling of soil Mn | 6 |
| 1.3 MANGANESE UPTAKE AND TRANSLOCATION | 9 |
| 1.3.1 Acquisition of Mn at the root-soil interface | 9 |
| 1.3.2 Manganese entry into roots | 17 |
| 1.3.3 Translocation and redistribution of Mn | 19 |
| 1.3.4 Distribution of Mn in the grain | 20 |
| 1.4 EFFECTS OF MANGANESE DEFICIENCY ON GROWTH AND YIELD | 23 |
| 1.4.1 Functions of Mn in plant growth and development | 23 |
| 1.4.2 Symptoms of Mn deficiency | 25 |
| 1.5 GENOTYPIC DIFFERENCES IN MANGANESE EFFICIENCY | 27 |
| 1.5.1 Introduction | 27 |
| 1.5.2 Extent of Mn efficiency in cereals | 28 |
| 1.5.3 Factors associated with Mn efficiency | 28 |
| 1.5.4 Genetics of Mn efficiency | 35 |
| 1.6 THESIS PROLOGUE | 36 |
| 1.7 REFERENCES | 37 |

CHAPTER 2

TOLERANCE OF WHEAT, TRITICALE, RYE AND BARLEY SEEDLINGS TO MANGANESE DEFICIENCY

| | | |
|-------|--|----|
| 2.1 | SUMMARY | 61 |
| 2.2 | INTRODUCTION | 62 |
| 2.3 | MATERIALS AND METHODS | 63 |
| 2.3.1 | General procedures for soil culture experiments | 63 |
| 2.3.2 | Experiment 2.1 | 67 |
| 2.3.3 | Experiment 2.2 | 67 |
| 2.3.4 | Experiment 2.3 | 68 |
| 2.3.5 | Experiment 2.4 | 69 |
| 2.4 | RESULTS | 70 |
| 2.4.1 | Experiments 2.1 and 2.2 | 70 |
| 2.4.2 | Experiment 2.3 | 80 |
| 2.4.3 | Experiment 2.4 | 80 |
| 2.5 | DISCUSSION | 84 |
| 2.6 | CONCLUSIONS | 88 |
| 2.7 | REFERENCES | 89 |
| | APPENDICES | 92 |

CHAPTER 3

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH MANGANESE ACQUISITION BY WHEAT AND BARLEY SEEDLINGS

| | | |
|-----|-----------------------|-----|
| 3.1 | SUMMARY | 99 |
| 3.2 | INTRODUCTION | 99 |
| 3.3 | MATERIALS AND METHODS | 100 |
| 3.4 | RESULTS | 101 |
| 3.5 | DISCUSSION | 106 |
| 3.6 | REFERENCES | 108 |

CHAPTER 4

GENOTYPIC VARIATION FOR MANGANESE EFFICIENCY

IN WHEAT SEEDLINGS

| | | |
|-----|---|-----|
| 4.1 | SUMMARY | 110 |
| 4.2 | INTRODUCTION | 110 |
| 4.3 | MATERIALS AND METHODS | 111 |
| 4.4 | RESULTS | 113 |
| | 4.4.1 Growth | 113 |
| | 4.4.2 Manganese uptake, translocation and utilization efficiency | 117 |
| | 4.4.3 Chlorophyll fluorescence | 117 |
| | 4.4.4 Determination of incipient yield depression | 127 |
| 4.5 | DISCUSSION | 127 |
| 4.6 | REFERENCES | 130 |
| | APPENDICES | 132 |

CHAPTER 5

EFFECT OF SEED MANGANESE CONTENT ON SEEDLING GROWTH

OF CEREALS, PARTICULARLY WHEAT, UNDER MANGANESE DEFICIENCY

| | | |
|-----|--|-----|
| 5.1 | SUMMARY | 138 |
| 5.2 | INTRODUCTION | 138 |
| 5.3 | MATERIALS AND METHODS | 139 |
| | 5.3.1 Experiment 5.1 | 140 |
| | 5.3.2 Experiment 5.2 | 140 |
| 5.4 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 141 |
| | 5.4.1 Seed Mn content | 141 |
| | 5.4.2 Symptoms | 141 |
| | 5.4.3 Growth | 142 |
| | 5.4.4 Manganese uptake | 146 |
| | 5.4.5 Manganese critical deficiency levels | 148 |
| 5.5 | CONCLUSIONS | 151 |
| 5.6 | REFERENCES | 152 |
| | APPENDICES | 154 |

CHAPTER 6

COMPARATIVE TOLERANCE OF CEREALS TO MANGANESE DEFICIENCY IN THE FIELD

| | | |
|-----|-----------------------|-----|
| 6.1 | SUMMARY | 160 |
| 6.2 | INTRODUCTION | 160 |
| 6.3 | MATERIALS AND METHODS | 161 |
| | 6.3.1 Experiment 6.1 | 161 |
| | 6.3.2 Experiment 6.2 | 162 |
| 6.4 | RESULTS | 163 |
| | 6.4.1 Experiment 6.1 | 164 |
| | 6.4.2 Experiment 6.2 | 173 |
| 6.5 | DISCUSSION | 173 |
| 6.6 | REFERENCES | 178 |
| | APPENDICES | 180 |

CHAPTER 7

EFFECT OF SEED MANGANESE CONTENT ON AGRONOMIC MANGANESE EFFICIENCY IN CEREALS

| | | |
|-----|-------------------------------|-----|
| 7.1 | SUMMARY | 185 |
| 7.2 | INTRODUCTION | 185 |
| 7.3 | MATERIALS AND METHODS | 185 |
| | 7.3.1 Experiment 7.1 | 185 |
| | 7.3.2 Experiment 7.2 | 186 |
| | 7.3.3 Experiment 7.3 | 186 |
| 7.4 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 186 |
| | 7.4.1 Experiments 7.1 and 7.2 | 186 |
| | 7.4.2 Experiment 7.3 | 192 |
| 7.5 | CONCLUSIONS | 192 |
| | APPENDICES | 193 |

CHAPTER 8

GENERAL CONCLUSIONS

| | | |
|-----|--|-----|
| 8.1 | Experimental overview | 196 |
| 8.2 | General conclusions | 197 |
| | 8.2.1 Genotypic variation for Mn efficiency | 197 |
| | 8.2.2 Mechanisms associated with Mn efficiency | 197 |
| 8.3 | FUTURE RESEARCH | 199 |
| 8.4 | REFERENCES | 201 |