

09PH
N968



THE BIOMETRICAL ANALYSES OF INTERCROPPING EXPERIMENTS :
SOME PRACTICAL ASPECTS WITH THE REFERENCE TO
INDONESIAN INTERCROPPING EXPERIMENTS

A thesis submitted by

WAEGO HADI NUGROHO

Ir. (Agricultural Engineer)

Brawijaya University (Indonesia)

to

The University of Adelaide

for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

Biometry Section,

Waite Agricultural Research Institute,

The University of Adelaide.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
LIST OF TABLES	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
SUMMARY	xv
DECLARATION	xviii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	xix
I. GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
II. LITERATURE REVIEW	5
1. CRITERIA FOR ASSESSING YIELD ADVANTAGES	5
1.1 THE CONCEPT OF THE LAND EQUIVALENT RATIO (LER)	6
1.2 THE APPROACH USING BIVARIATE ANALYSIS	9
2. CRITERIA FOR ASSESSING YIELD STABILITY	17
3. CRITERIA FOR ASSESSING COMPETITION	19
4. CONCLUDING REMARKS	22
III. SOURCES OF DATA	26
1. PROBLEMS WITH SIMULATED DATA	26
2. DESCRIPTIONS OF EXPERIMENTS	26
IV. STATISTICAL ANALYSES FOR ASSESSING YIELD ADVANTAGES	47
IV.1. TESTING THE VALIDITY OF THE MODELS	47
IV.1.1. THE DISTRIBUTION AND HOMOGENEITY OF VARIANCE OF THE LER IN DIFFERENT STANDARDIZATIONS	47
1. INTRODUCTION	47
2. STANDARDIZATION AND STATISTICAL METHODS	48
2.1 Standardization methods	48
2.2 Statistical methods	49
2.2.1 Non-normality tests	51
2.2.2 The precisions of comparisons of LERs	57
2.2.3 Homogeneity of variance	58
3. RESULTS	61
4. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY	68
IV.1.2. THE CORRELATIONS OF THE TWO CROP YIELDS FOR ALL TREATMENTS IN INTERCROPPING EXPERIMENTS	82
1. INTRODUCTION	82
2. THE EXPERIMENT SAMPLES AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS	83
2.1 The experiment samples	83
2.2 Statistical analysis	83
3. RESULTS	85
4. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY	88

	<u>PAGE</u>
IV.2. THE ASSESSMENT OF YIELD ADVANTAGES BY USING UNIVARIATE AND MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS	99
1. INTRODUCTION	99
2. STATISTICAL METHODS	100
2.1 Univariate analysis	101
2.2 Multivariate analysis	103
3. RESULTS	106
3.1 Univariate analysis	106
1. Analyses on each crop yield and the first crop yield equivalence	106
2. The Land Equivalent Ratio	110
3.2 Multivariate and bivariate analyses	113
4. THE EXTENSION OF THE PREVIOUS METHODS	114
4.1 THE NEW EFFECTIVE LER	114
4.2 THE GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION AND THE ELABORATIONS OF THE BIVARIATE METHODS	122
5. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY	128
IV.3. CONCLUSIONS	155
V. THE CROPPING SYSTEMS \times ENVIRONMENT INTERACTION AND YIELD STABILITY OF INTERCROPPING SYSTEMS	158
1. INTRODUCTION	158
2. THE EXPERIMENTS AND STATISTICAL METHODS	160
1. The experiments	160
2. The statistical methods	161
3. RESULTS	165
4. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY	174
VI. THE NATURE OF COMPETITION ANALYSIS FOR INTERCROPPING SYSTEMS	190
1. INTRODUCTION	190
2. THE COMPARISON OF THE PREVIOUS COMPETITION MODELS	192
3. PROPOSED ANALYSIS IN EXAMINING COMPETITION FOR INTERCROPPING SYSTEMS	200
4. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY	209
VII. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS OF INDONESIAN INTERCROPPING EXPERIMENTS	224
1. INTRODUCTION	224
2. INDONESIAN INTERCROPPING EXPERIMENTS	226
3. DISCUSSION	230
4. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS IN DESIGNING INDONESIAN INTERCROPPING EXPERIMENTS	234

	<u>PAGE</u>
VIII. GENERAL CONCLUSIONS	245
BIBLIOGRAPHY	250
APPENDICES	

SUMMARY

Most statistical analyses and designs developed for agricultural research are primarily meant for sole crop experiments. In intercropping experiments, however, the development of both designs and analyses is relatively primitive. In this thesis several biometrical techniques for intercropping are investigated. The suitability of these techniques is illustrated by analysing data from 51 Indonesian intercropping experiments.

The biometrical techniques used here have been applied to investigate three important aspects of intercropping experiments. The first aim is to assess the validity of the assumptions underlying the models and also to develop the previous models in order to assess yield advantages in intercropping. The second is evaluation of the cropping systems \times environmental interactions and yield stability for intercropping. The third is a discussion of the role of competition analysis in intercropping experiments. The thesis also provides guidance on experimental designs for Indonesian intercropping experiments.

The assumption underlying two popular models in intercropping the Land Equivalent Ratio (LER) (Mead and Willey, 1980) and bivariate analyses (Pearce and Gilliver, 1978, 1979) are examined in Section IV.1. The LER is quite satisfactory as regards distribution and homoscedasticity as long as there are no outliers in the data. It is shown that for many data sets and analyses, the hypothesis of equality of correlation needs to be tested as well as that of equality of treatment means in the bivariate analysis of variance. Since there are two characteristics of interest (the two separate crop yields), then without knowing the criterion of "the best", the problems of choosing the best treatment will not be solved. Univariate and multivariate analyses are investigated in order to determine how best to assess the degree of yield advantage for intercropping systems (Section IV.2). The study emphasizes that more than one

analysis should be done in order to get a better understanding of the nature of any yield advantages. The joint use of LERs and bivariate analysis is suggested as the two methods complement each other. In order to have a comprehensive result, the study offers an alternative criterion of the best treatment, a new effective LER (LER'). The best treatment is defined as that which has the yield of the main crop meeting the farmer's requirements and which also has the highest biological efficiency in terms of LER'.

In view of the problems encountered in assessing both the interaction of cropping systems and environments and also yield stability in intercropping systems, the study treats these aspects extensively (Chapter V). On analysing the Indonesian intercropping data, one concludes that the experimenters seem not to realize the importance of these factors for intercropping systems, as few relevant experiments have been conducted. Accordingly, any conclusions must be tentative in the extreme, but the results have the merit of merging the study of cropping systems or cropping combination \times environmental interaction for Indonesian intercropping experiments.

The usefulness of the bivariate graphical method (Pearce and Gilliver, 1978, 1979) is highlighted in Chapter VI in examining the nature of competition analysis for intercropping experiments. The study develops this technique and emphasizes that without distinguishing the degree of yield advantage, most published competition functions are largely uninformative. It is proposed that in order to have a better understanding of the final yields, one must consider growth and other characters of crops under intercropping.

Experimental design considerations and guidelines in designing Indonesian intercropping experiments are discussed in Chapter VII. The study shows that the experimenters in some cases have been confused about the objectives of experiments and consequently about relevant experimental

designs. Much closer collaboration is needed between experimenters and statisticians; the lack of statisticians or biometricians in Indonesia may cause major problems in applying even the existing statistical methods for intercropping experiments. This has important implications for agricultural practice in developing countries.