



**Influence of water regime on growth and resource allocation
in aquatic macrophytes of the Lower River Murray, Australia**

by

Stuart James Blanch

River Murray Laboratory, Department of Zoology, and
Department of Botany
The University of Adelaide
South Australia

A thesis submitted to The University of Adelaide
for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

August 1997

Summary

Water regime is the principal factor determining pattern and process in vegetation in rivers and wetlands in semi-arid environs. It is a complex variable, encompassing the depth, duration, frequency and timing of flooding and exposure, and the rate of change in water level. The influence of water regime on macrophytes of the Lower River Murray, Australia, was investigated at the level of the community, population and tissue. The aim of the study was to examine the effects of water regime on growth, vegetative recruitment, resource allocation and photosynthesis in selected perennial species, and the adaptations permitting them to tolerate sub-optimal regimes.

The composition of littoral plant assemblages along weir Pool 5 was correlated with gradients in water regime established by weirs. Species with competitive and ruderal traits (cf. Grime, 1979) occurred in the hydrologically stable lower pool (*Vallisneria americana*, *Typha domingensis*), whilst species with stress-tolerant and ruderal traits predominated in mid and upper pools where flooding and exposure were common (*Cyperus gymnocaulos*, *Cynodon dactylon*, *Muehlenbeckia florulenta*). Vegetation was significantly correlated with the number of days flooded to 0-20 and 20-60 cm, and days exposed to ≥ 100 cm. Five species groups were suggested by clustering, reflecting broad water regimes and species abundance: common (e.g. *Paspalidium jubiflorum*, *Muehlenbeckia*) and uncommon floodplain species (*Eleocharis acuta*, *Pseudoraphis spinescens*), species from the infrequently (*Phyla canescens*, *Bolboschoenus caldwellii*) and permanently flooded littoral (*Vallisneria*, *Typha*), and widespread, common species broadly tolerant of flooding and exposure (*Phragmites australis*, *Cyperus* and *Bolboschoenus medianus*). Half of the 26 species occurred in four or more of seven water regime groups suggested by clustering of sites based on indices of water regime, suggesting selection for adaptations to a variable hydrologic regime are common. In contrast, 4 species occurred only in the stable lower pool.

Growth and resource allocation in the emergent sedge *Bolboschoenus medianus* (Cyperaceae) and submersed herb *Vallisneria americana* (Hydrocharitaceae) were examined in response to changes in water regime in pond and field experiments. Morphological and photosynthetic adaptations to resource limitation were studied: access to CO₂, O₂ and water in *Bolboschoenus*, and to light in *Vallisneria*. Results were used to interpret the changes in community composition of littoral vegetation along gradients of water regime in the Lower Murray, Australia.

Experiments determined optimum water regimes for *Bolboschoenus* and *Vallisneria*, and how they responded to sub-optimal conditions. RGR was greatest when the water surface was within ± 20 cm of the sediment in *Bolboschoenus* in a pond experiment. With increasing depth, net assimilation rate (NAR) and hence RGR declined. Leaf area ratio (LAR) remained remarkably constant however, due to stem elongation, more vertical orientation of submersed leaves and higher leaf recruitment when partially flooded. Tuber biomass and emergent leaf area were inversely linearly related, indicating below-ground biomass is allocated to stems as depth increases, producing up to a three-fold increase in above:below ground biomass. Carbon assimilation and stomatal conductance of emergent leaves were highest in partially flooded plants. Assimilation declined due to lower

conductance in plants exposed by 20 cm, which reduced culm recruitment. A field experiment found RGR, culm recruitment and flowering was greatest in plants shallowly flooded then slowly exposed at 2.5 cm day^{-1} . The temporal juxtaposition of top-flooding followed by rapid exposure ($10\text{-}12 \text{ cm d}^{-1}$) reduced growth and reproduction.

Vallisneria was grown at turbidities of 90, 209 and 504 NTU (producing rates downwelling light extinction of 6.48, 12.59 and 21.92 m^{-1} , respectively) at various depths in a pond experiment. RGR declined with decreasing light penetration and increasing depth, calculated as \bar{I} , the average irradiance between the water surface and sediment. The whole-plant RGR- \bar{I} response followed a P-I curve, and integrates photosynthesis at all irradiances across all depths in the canopy over time. The reduction in RGR was due to lower NAR as less of the canopy received greater than compensating irradiances. However, LAR peaked at low \bar{I} due to the production of long thin leaves, indicating morphological plasticity at low light. The RGR- \bar{I} model successfully simulated growth in field populations under a range of extinction coefficients and depths. Such models may be useful for predicting the effects of elevated turbidity levels on submersed macrophytes.

Oxygen evolution experiments with leaf pieces found similar or lower I_c and I_k than reported elsewhere for *Vallisneria* (3-35, and 40-180 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ respectively), presumably due to adaptation to low light penetration in the Murray. Photosynthetic efficiency α was relatively high: $0.005\text{-}0.1 \text{ mg C g dw}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1} (\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1})^{-1}$. Carbon assimilation depended on leaf age and depth. No evidence of shade acclimatization (increasing α with depth) was observed.

Modelled daily canopy carbon assimilation indicates the importance of canopy formation in highly turbid water. Plants in shallow water (24 cm) placed 42% of their canopy at the surface, and had high canopy carbon assimilation (21.2 mg C d^{-1}). Plants at 82 cm had 87% of the canopy below the maximum depth of penetration of compensating irradiances, and had a negative carbon balance (-2.2 mg C d^{-1}). Carbon balances correlated well with observed growth: RGRs of 15 and -2 mg g d^{-1} , respectively.

Vallisneria died when exposed for >14 days in spring and summer in a field experiment which investigated growth in stable and variable water regimes. RGR was greatest at 0.5 m in stable conditions, and declined in shallower and deeper water due to exposure and light-limitation, respectively. These data concur with the distribution of *Vallisneria* in the Murray in the early 1990's: depths of 10-80 cm in lower weir pools.

A computer model used by South Australian water agencies for flood forecasting, the *River Murray Hydraulic Model*, was evaluated for its use in researching the effects of flooding on littoral vegetation in the Murray. Prediction accuracy for water levels was often within 10 cm, but linear interpolations between weirs proved simpler and more effective when stage data are available. Recommendations are made for improving the model or future models for ecological research and environmental flow management.

Table of Contents

SUMMARY	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
DECLARATION	x
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	xi
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xv
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 WATER REGIME AND AQUATIC MACROPHYTES IN SEMI-ARID RIVERS AND WETLANDS	1
1.1.1 <i>Emergent macrophytes</i>	2
1.1.2 <i>Submersed macrophytes</i>	4
1.2 THE MURRAY-DARLING BASIN AND LOWER RIVER MURRAY	7
1.3 FLOW REGULATION IN THE LOWER MURRAY	9
1.4 AQUATIC AND LITTORAL VEGETATION OF THE LOWER MURRAY	10
1.5 THESIS OUTLINE	12
1.6 PAPERS BOUND IN SUPPORT OF THE THESIS	14
CHAPTER 2. LITTORAL PLANT LIFE HISTORY STRATEGIES AND WATER REGIME GRADIENTS IN THE RIVER MURRAY, SOUTH AUSTRALIA	33
2.1 ABSTRACT	33
2.2 INTRODUCTION	33
2.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	35
2.4 RESULTS	36
2.4.1 <i>Vegetation-environment correlations</i>	36
2.4.2 <i>Distance downstream-water regime correlations</i>	38
2.5 DISCUSSION	38
CHAPTER 3. A CHARACTERISATION OF TOLERANCE TO FLOODING AND EXPOSURE IN LITTORAL PLANTS USING INDICES OF WATER REGIME	51
3.1 ABSTRACT	51
3.2 INTRODUCTION	52
3.3 METHODS	53
3.3.1 <i>Survey methods</i>	53
3.3.2 <i>Analyses</i>	53
3.3.3 <i>Water regime indices</i>	55
3.4 RESULTS	55
3.4.1 <i>Vegetation patterns</i>	55
3.4.3 <i>Classification of water regime</i>	60
3.5 DISCUSSION	66
CHAPTER 4. AN EVALUATION OF THE RIVER MURRAY HYDRAULIC MODEL WITH REGARD FOR ECOLOGICAL RESEARCH AND FLOW MANAGEMENT	87
4.1 ABSTRACT	87
4.1 INTRODUCTION	88
4.2 OPERATIONAL RULES	89
4.1.1 <i>Lake Victoria</i>	89
4.1.2 <i>Locks and weirs</i>	90
4.1.3 <i>Barrages</i>	90
4.3 DESCRIPTION OF THE MODEL	91
4.4 METHODS	92
4.4.1 <i>Accuracy and trends</i>	93
4.4.2 <i>Accuracy and trends for specific WLE and discharge</i>	94
4.5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	95
4.5.1 <i>Average model accuracy and trends</i>	95
4.5.2 <i>Comparison of prediction accuracy using minimal and improved inputs</i>	97
4.5.3 <i>Model accuracy and trends for specific WLEs</i>	98

4.5.4 Output adjustment.....	99
4.5.5 Comparison of RMHM accuracy with linear interpolation between Locks 3 and 4	100
4.5.6 Temporal prediction accuracy	100
4.5.7 Discharge	101
4.6 APPLICATION TO ECOLOGICAL RESEARCH AND ENVIRONMENTAL FLOW ALLOCATIONS	101
4.7 IMPROVING THE RMHM FOR ECOLOGICAL RESEARCH AND ENVIRONMENTAL FLOW MANAGEMENT.....	104
4.8 CONCLUSIONS	105
CHAPTER 5. GROWTH AND RESOURCE ALLOCATION IN RESPONSE TO FLOODING IN THE EMERGENT SEDGE <i>BOLBOSCHOENUS MEDIANUS</i>.....	125
5.1 ABSTRACT.....	126
5.2 INTRODUCTION.....	124
5.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	128
5.3.1 Description of <i>Bolboschoenus medianus</i>	128
5.3.2 Experimental design	128
5.3.3 Morphological measurements	129
5.3.4 Carbon assimilation.....	130
5.3.5 Analyses.....	128
5.4 RESULTS	132
5.4.1 Growth analyses.....	132
5.4.2 Plant morphology	134
5.4.3 Culm height	136
5.4.4 Carbon assimilation.....	136
5.4.5 Biomass allocation patterns.....	137
5.4.6 Rhizome length and number.....	138
5.5 DISCUSSION.....	141
5.5.1 Optimum depth range for growth and recruitment.....	141
5.5.2 Biomass allocation.....	144
CHAPTER 6. A FIELD STUDY OF THE EFFECTS OF DEPTH OF FLOODING AND RATE OF EXPOSURE ON <i>BOLBOSCHOENUS MEDIANUS</i>.....	185
6.1 INTRODUCTION.....	185
6.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	187
6.2.1 Planned water-level manipulations	187
6.2.2 Experimental Design.....	187
6.2.2 Growth measurements.....	188
6.2.3 Photosynthesis and stomatal conductance	188
6.2.4. Data analyses	188
6.3 RESULTS	190
6.3.1 Changes in water level at each site	190
6.3.2 Biomass production	190
6.3.3 RGR, NAR and LAR.....	194
6.3.4 Culm recruitment.....	195
6.3.5 Photosynthesis and stomatal conductance	197
6.4 DISCUSSION.....	198
6.4.1 The importance of ecological experiments in large rivers	198
6.4.2 Resource allocation in variable water regimes.....	198
CHAPTER 7. GROWTH AND RECRUITMENT IN <i>VALLISNERIA AMERICANA</i> AS FUNCTIONS OF THE AVERAGE IRRADIANCE OVER THE WATER COLUMN.....	225
7.1 ABSTRACT.....	225
7.2 INTRODUCTION.....	226
7.3 METHODS.....	231
7.3.1 Description of <i>Vallisneria</i> and experimental design	231
7.3.2 Constant downwelling extinction regimes.....	232
7.3.3 Average irradiance \bar{I} treatments.....	233
7.3.4 Measurements of growth and recruitment.....	235

7.3.5 Light extinction coefficient for <i>Vallisneria</i>	235
7.3.6 Proportion of the canopy biomass B_0 receiving irradiances exceeding light compensation levels.....	236
7.3.7 Modelling.....	236
7.3.8 Modelled changes in plant biomass under varying flooding regimes in the Murray.....	237
7.4 RESULTS.....	238
7.4.1 Solar irradiance and water temperature.....	238
7.4.2 Survival.....	238
7.4.3 Effect of turbidity on growth, biomass accumulation and recruitment at constant z_m	238
7.4.4 Effects of \bar{I} over varying z_m on plant performance.....	240
7.4.6 Relationship between plant performance and the effective photosynthetic leaf biomass B_0	248
7.5 DISCUSSION.....	249
7.5.1 Growth and recruitment as functions of \bar{I}	249
7.5.2 Value of \bar{I} in studies of submersed macrophytes.....	252
7.5.3 Modelled growth in field populations of <i>Vallisneria</i> in the Lower Murray under varying flooding regimes.....	253
7.6 CONCLUSION.....	256
CHAPTER 8. PHOTOSYNTHESIS-IRRADIANCE AND RESPIRATION CHARACTERISTICS OF VALLISNERIA AMERICANA IN TURBID WATER.....	295
8.1 INTRODUCTION.....	295
8.2 METHODS.....	297
8.2.1 Dark respiration and net photosynthesis measurements.....	297
8.2.2 Chlorophyll <i>a</i> determination	298
8.2.3 Effects of leaf age and depth on metabolic activity.....	299
8.3 RESULTS.....	301
8.3.1 Comparison of <i>P-I</i> and respiration in <i>Vallisneria</i> with other species	301
8.3.2 Effects of relative leaf age on net photosynthesis in <i>Vallisneria</i>	305
8.3.3 Effect of depth in the canopy on <i>P-I</i> relations.....	305
8.3.4 Effect of rooting depth on chlorophyll <i>a</i> , net photosynthesis and respiration.....	307
8.3.5 Root and stolon respiration.....	308
8.4 DISCUSSION.....	309
8.4.1 Relative physiological shade-tolerance of <i>Vallisneria</i>	309
8.4.2 <i>P-I</i> relations and canopy carbon assimilation.....	311
8.4.3 Canopy formation in turbid water	313
8.4.4 Appropriateness of methodology.....	314
CHAPTER 9. CANOPY ASSIMILATION MODEL AND DAILY CARBON BALANCE IN VALLISNERIA AMERICANA IN TURBID WATER.....	335
9.1 INTRODUCTION.....	335
9.2 METHODS.....	336
9.2.1 Daily canopy carbon assimilation.....	336
9.2.2 Estimation of the vertical distribution of canopy biomass.....	337
9.2.3 Whole plant daily carbon balance.....	339
9.3 RESULTS.....	339
9.3.1 Daily canopy carbon assimilation.....	339
9.3.2 Night-time leaf and 24 h root and stolon respiration.....	340
9.3.3 Effects of varying <i>v.</i> mean irradiance on modelled canopy assimilation	343
9.3.4 Whole plant carbon balance.....	346
9.4 DISCUSSION.....	346
9.4.1 Approaches to modelling carbon assimilation in submersed macrophytes	346
9.4.2 Carbon assimilation in the canopy of <i>Vallisneria</i>	348
9.4.3 Effects of reducing the variability of irradiance data on carbon budgets.....	349
9.4.4 Whole plant carbon balance.....	350
9.4.5 Improvements to the carbon balance model.....	351

CHAPTER 10. A FIELD STUDY OF THE EFFECTS OF WATER LEVEL VARIABILITY ON GROWTH IN <i>VALLISNERIA AMERICANA</i>	359
10.1 INTRODUCTION	359
10.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	360
10.3 RESULTS	363
10.3.1 <i>Changes in water level in stable and variable treatments over the experiment</i>	363
10.3.2 <i>Survival</i>	364
10.3.3 <i>Biomass production and percent allocation</i>	366
10.3.4 <i>Shoot and leaf recruitment and maximum leaf length</i>	369
10.4 DISCUSSION	369
10.4.1 <i>Optimum elevation range for recruitment and biomass production</i>	369
10.4.2 <i>Confounding effects of boat wash and dense algal growth</i>	371
10.5 CONCLUSION	372
CHAPTER 11. SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS	381
11.1 INFLUENCE OF WATER REGIME ON MACROPHYTES OF THE LOWER MURRAY	381
11.2 IMPLICATIONS FOR FLOW MANAGEMENT	385
11.3 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE STUDIES	385
11.3.1 <i>Influence of water regime on growth and resource allocation in aquatic macrophytes</i>	385
11.3.2 <i>Morphological and physiological adaptations to a variable water regime and low light</i>	386
11.3.3 <i>Monitoring the long-term effects of flooding on floodplain vegetation</i>	387
REFERENCES	390
APPENDIX 1	415
APPENDIX 2	420