



VARIATION IN ALFALFA MOSAIC VIRUS WITH SPECIAL  
REFERENCE TO ITS IMMUNOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES

MOHAMMAD REZA HAJIMORAD  
B.Sc. (Shiraz, IRAN)

Thesis submitted to the University of Adelaide in fulfilment of the  
requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Plant Pathology  
Waite Agricultural Research Institute  
The University of Adelaide

*awarded 19.2.90*

August, 1989

Table of contents		Page
Summary		i
Statement		v
Acknowledgments		vi
Chapter 1	General Introduction	1
Chapter 2	General Materials and Methods	12
I	Materials	12
	A. Virus isolates	12
	B. Materials used for polyacrylamide-gel electrophoresis	12
	C. Protein used as markers for polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis	12
	D. Materials used for cDNA synthesis and molecular hybridization analysis	13
	E. Materials used specifically for hybridoma technology	13
	F. Materials used for serological techniques	14
	G. Buffers and solutions	14
	H. Miscellaneous material	14
	I. Instruments	15
II.	Methods	18
	A. Collection of leaf samples from the field and isolation of AMV	18
	B. Biological purification of selected field isolates of AMV by local lesion passage	18
	C. Host range and symptomatology	19
	D. Aphid transmission	19
	E. Plant inoculation and virus propagation	19
	F. Infectivity assays	20
	G. Virus purification	20
	H. Preparation of virus labelled with <sup>35</sup> S	20
	I. Fractionation of AMV nucleoproteins	21

J. The stabilisation of AMV capsids by glutaraldehyde	22
K. Agarose gel electrophoresis of glutaraldehyde-fixed and native virus preparations	22
L. Determination of nucleoprotein particle ratios	22
1. Analytical centrifugation	22
2. Sucrose density-gradient centrifugation	23
M. Stability of nucleoproteins of different AMV isolates	23
N. Isolation and characterisation of AMV coat protein	23
1. Isolation of coat protein	23
2. SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis	24
3. Silver staining of the SDS-polyacrylamide gels for protein	25
O. Isolation of RNA	26
1. Preparation of RNase-free glassware and solutions	26
2. Isolation of total RNA from plant tissues	26
3. Isolation of viral RNA	26
4. Agarose gel electrophoresis of RNA	27
a. Preparative gel electrophoresis	27
b. Analytical gel electrophoresis	28
P. Nucleic acid hybridization analysis of viral RNAs	28
1. Synthesis and purification of <sup>32</sup> P-labelled DNA complementary (cDNA) to viral RNA	28
2. RNA blotting procedures	29
a. Dot-blot	29
b. Northern blots	29
3. RNA-cDNA hybridization procedures	30
Q. Serological techniques	30
1. Serological assays	30
a. Gel-immunodiffusion tests	30
b. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)	31
i. Variations of ELISA	31

ii. Conjugation of $\gamma$ -globulin with enzymes	31
(1) Conjugation with alkaline phosphatase	31
(2) Conjugation with biotin	32
iii. Buffers, incubation times and conditions for various steps in ELISA	32
iv. Determination of the optimal parameters for ELISA	33
v. Determination of binding efficiency of viral antigens to the ELISA plates	34
c. Western immunoblotting	35
i. Electrophoretic transfer of protein from the gel to nitrocellulose	35
ii. Immunoblotting	35
2. Production of polyclonal antibodies	36
a. Production of polyclonal antibodies against native and glutaraldehyde-fixed virus preparations in rabbits	36
b. Production of polyclonal antibodies against native and glutaraldehyde-fixed virus preparations in chickens	37
c. Production of polyclonal antibodies against isolated coat protein	37
d. Production of strain specific or group specific polyclonal antibodies	38
3. Production and characterization of monoclonal antibodies (McAbs)	38
a. Immunization	38
b. Preparation and storage of stock solutions and growth media	39

c. Fusion	40
i. Preparation of fusogen (50% PEG solution)	40
ii. Preparation of peritoneal extraction solution and extraction of peritoneal exudate cells (PEG)	41
iii. Growth of Myeloma cells	41
iv. Preparation of spleen cells for fusion	42
v. Preparation of tumour cells for fusion	42
vi. Fusion strategy	43
d. Maintenance of the plates until screening	43
e. Screening procedures	44
f. Preservation of hybridoma cells	44
g. Regeneration of hybridoma cells	44
h. Limited dilution cloning	45
i. Limited dilution cloning without counting the number of hybridoma cells	45
ii. Limited dilution cloning by counting the number of hybridoma cells	45
i. Characterization of McAbs	46
i. Isotyping	46
(1) Gel-immunodiffusion tests	46
(2) Indirect ELISA	46
ii. Reactivity of McAbs	46
(1) Indirect ELISA	46
(2) Gel-immunodiffusion tests	47
j. Mass production of McAbs	47
i. <i>In vitro</i> production	47
ii. <i>In vivo</i> production	47
4. Purification of $\gamma$ -globulin	47

	a. Preparation and purification of $\gamma$ -globulin from polyclonal antisera	47
	b. Purification of McAbs	48
	i. Extraction and concentration of McAbs from culture supernatants	48
	ii. Extraction of ascites fluid	49
	iii. Delipidization of ascites fluid prior to affinity chromatography	49
	iv. Purification of mouse IgG McAbs from culture supernatants and ascites fluid by affi-gel protein A	49
	v. Purification of IgM from mouse ascites fluid	50
	5. Determination of $\gamma$ -globulin concentration	51
	6. Storage of antisera and purified $\gamma$ -globulin	51
	R. Spectrophotometry	51
Chapter 3	Incidence, and variation in the host ranges and symptomatology of AMV isolates from South Australia	53
I	Introduction	53
II	Experimental	53
	A. Incidence and distribution of AMV in lucerne	53
	B. Aphid transmission	54
	C. Biological variation of AMV isolates	55
	D. Attempts to classify AMV isolates into clusters	57
III	Conclusion	62

Chapter 4	Comparative biochemical and biophysical properties of five biologically distinct isolates of AMV	63
I	Introduction	63
II	Experimental	63
	A. Particle composition of different AMV isolates	63
	B. Relative stability of AMV isolates	64
	C. Comparison of electrophoretic mobility of AMV coat protein	65
	D. Comparison of RNAs from AMV isolates	65
	E. RNA-cDNA hybridisation tests	66
III	Conclusion	66
Chapter 5	Immunogenicity and antigenicity of native, glutaraldehyde-fixed virus and isolated coat protein of AMV	68
I	Introduction	68
II	Experimental	69
	A. Comparison of immunogenicity of native and glutaraldehyde-fixed AMV	69
	1. Using rabbits as experimental animals	69
	2. Using chickens as experimental animals	72
	B. Immunogenicity of isolated viral coat protein using rabbits as experimental animals	73
	C. Detection of antibodies reacting with protein subunits in antisera from rabbits immunized with native or fixed AMV	73
	D. Antigenic reactivity of various conformations of AMV as determined by indirect ELISA	76
	E. Specificity of binding of native and glutaraldehyde-fixed antigen to antibodies	77
	F. The difference in stability of native and glutaraldehyde-fixed virus	79
	G. The antigenic similarity of native with glutaraldehyde-fixed AMV	80

	H. Possibility of formation of new epitopes by glutaraldehyde fixation	82
	I. Antigenic comparison of isolated coat protein with native and glutaraldehyde-fixed virus	82
III	Conclusion	83
Chapter 6	Attempts to differentiate biologically distinct isolates of AMV with polyclonal antisera	85
I	Introduction	85
II	Experimental	86
	A. Use of polyclonal antisera to glutaraldehyde-fixed virus	86
	1. Gel-diffusion	86
	2. Indirect ELISA	87
	3. DAS-ELISA	89
	B. Polyclonal antisera to native virus preparations	90
	1. Gel-immunodiffusion tests	90
	2. Indirect ELISA	93
	C. Polyclonal antisera to isolated coat protein	95
	1. Gel-immunodiffusion tests	95
	2. Indirect ELISA	99
	3. DAS-ELISA	100
	4. Western immunoblotting	100
	D. Preparation of strain specific and group specific polyclonal antisera	101
III	Conclusion	101



Chapter 7	Production and characterization of a panel of monoclonal antibodies (McAbs) for differentiation of AMV isolates	103
I	Introduction	103
II	Experimental	103
	A. Immunization of mice	103
	B. Generation of hybridoma clones	104
	C. Differentiation of AMV isolates by McAbs in indirect ELISA	105
	D. Differentiation of epitope type	107
	E. Precipitating McAbs	109
	F. Detection of AMV with McAbs in western immunoblotting	110
	G. Limit of detection of AMV by western immunoblotting using McAbs	111
	H. Comparison of sensitivity of McAb with polyclonal antibodies in biotinylated ELISA	111
III	Conclusion	112
Chapter 8	General conclusion	113
I	The importance of AMV in South Australia	113
II	General properties of AMV isolates studied in this thesis	113
III	Effect of glutaraldehyde-fixation on immunogenicity and antigenicity of AMV	116
IV	Does fixation change the antigenicity of AMV?	117
V	Serological properties of AMV isolates studied in this thesis	120
VI	Speculation on conformational types of isolate-specific antigenic determinants	126
VII	The status of the five AMV isolates studied in this thesis	127
VIII	Are AMV and CMV serologically related?	128
IX	Taking advantage of the genetic stability of the AMV coat protein	130
	A. Seed indexing scheme based on serological techniques	130
	B. Development of coat protein mediated resistant plants	132

X	Why the coat protein gene of AMV is evolutionarily conserved	133
	References	134
Appendix 1	Preparation of soluble, biologically active alfalfa mosaic virus coat protein and its CaCl <sub>2</sub> -induced degradation	158
I	Summary	159
II	Introduction	159
III	Materials and Methods	160
	A. Virus isolates and their purification	160
	B. Isolation of virus genomic RNAs	160
	C. Spectrophotometry	161
	D. Infectivity assays	161
	E. Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of proteins	161
	F. Serological techniques	161
IV	Results	162
	A. Attempts to prepare RNA-free soluble protein from different isolates by previously used methods	162
	B. Modification of the CaCl <sub>2</sub> method to prepare soluble proteins from five AMV isolates	163
	C. Effect of exposure of AMV protein to CaCl <sub>2</sub> on its integrity	165
	D. The chosen routine method for preparing intact AMV protein	166
	E. The purity of AMV protein preparations	166
V	Discussion	167
VI	References	168

Appendix 2	Binding properties of alfalfa mosaic virus to polystyrene and its significance to indirect ELISA	171
I	Summary	172
II	Introduction	172
III	Materials and methods	173
	A. Virus isolates and their purification	173
	B. Serology	173
	C. Preparation of [ <sup>35</sup> S]-labelled AMV	174
	D. Assay for binding of viral protein to ELISA plates	174
IV	Results and discussion	175
	A. Attempts to trace serological relationships among AMV isolates by indirect ELISA	175
	B. Differences in the adsorption properties of protein from AMV to ELISA plates	176
	C. Parameters affecting adsorption of protein from AMV to ELISA plates	176
	D. The effect of temperature and pH on AMV nucleoprotein stability	177
	E. The effect of CaCl <sub>2</sub> on adsorption of protein from AMV to ELISA plates	178
V	Conclusions	179
VI	References	180
Appendix 3	Publications	182

## Summary

1 - Alfalfa mosaic virus (AMV) was isolated from lucerne (Medicago sativa) plants with a variety of disease symptoms in each of 13 sites in South Australia indicating that the virus is widespread in the state. Host range and symptomatology of the collected field isolates were determined on a limited number of host plant species and shown to be extremely variable. Pathogenicity of twelve single local lesion AMV isolates was studied in detail by mechanical inoculation to 22 different plant host species under two different environmental conditions. These experiments showed that each isolate was biologically distinct and that the host range and symptomatology of each isolate was affected by the environmental condition. Differences were observed in host range and symptomatology between some of the field isolates with their corresponding single local lesion isolates indicating that there was heterogeneity in the viral populations of the AMV field isolates. The host range and variability of the twelve single local lesion AMV isolates precluded their grouping into strains of the virus.

2 - Five biologically most distinct single local lesion isolates of AMV (H4, N20, S30, S40 and W1) were selected for further study and their biochemical and biophysical properties were compared. It was shown that the isolates have different physical stabilities and particle length ratios. Their coat proteins had similar molecular weights but different numbers of disulphide bonds as revealed by polyacrylamide gel-electrophoresis under reduced and non-reduced conditions. The analysis of total RNA of each isolate by agarose gel electrophoresis showed that each has at least four RNA components designated RNAs 1-4 in order of decreasing molecular weight. The size of each segment was indistinguishable between the isolates, but their relative amounts varied. Additional RNA segments found in preparations of some AMV isolates were shown to have AMV sequences by northern blot analysis. Nucleotide sequence analysis of all RNA segments of these isolates by northern and dot-blot hybridization showed that despite their biological and physicochemical differences all five isolates have a high degree of homology.

3 - Despite the high degree of sequence homology between the coat protein genes of the five AMV isolates, their capsids had various requirements for stability as it was not

possible to prepare soluble coat protein preparations of all the isolates by any of the previously published methods. Consequently, a method for the preparation of soluble coat protein of all AMV isolates was developed (Appendix 1). However, protein solubility was retained only in the presence of 0.1 M CaCl<sub>2</sub>. If the salt concentration was reduced below 0.1 M, protein from some of the AMV isolates precipitated. Proteins prepared by this method were shown to be immunoreactive and to activate the infectivity of the AMV genome. However, during prolonged exposure to buffer containing 0.1 M CaCl<sub>2</sub>, AMV coat protein undergoes slow proteolysis thereby losing its ability to activate the AMV genome but not its immunoreactivity.

4 - Polyclonal antisera were raised in rabbits against preparations of coat proteins from two of the AMV isolates and against native as well as glutaraldehyde-fixed virus particles of all five isolates. Antisera were also raised in chickens against native and glutaraldehyde-fixed virus particles of two of the AMV isolates. All antisera raised in rabbits were titrated against different antigenic forms of AMV (native and glutaraldehyde-fixed as well as coat protein subunits) in gel-immunodiffusion tests. Antibodies recognised isolated coat protein in some of the antisera raised against native or glutaraldehyde-fixed AMV and in all antisera raised against isolated coat proteins by gel-immunodiffusion, indirect ELISA and western immunoblotting.

Comparisons of immunogenicity of native and glutaraldehyde-fixed preparations of all five AMV isolates showed that glutaraldehyde-fixation enhanced its immunogenicity. It was also found that glutaraldehyde-fixation renders AMV more efficient as a test antigen in gel-immunodiffusion tests when compared to native virus or isolated coat protein preparations, irrespective of the type of immunogen used for antiserum production. The binding of glutaraldehyde-fixed virus to antibodies was shown to be specific as antibodies to non-related viruses were not able to recognise glutaraldehyde-fixed AMV particles. The better reactivity of glutaraldehyde-fixed AMV in this test was demonstrated to be correlated with its enhanced stability. In contrast to gel-immunodiffusion tests, the fixed AMV was the least reactive test antigen in indirect ELISA compared to native or isolated coat protein preparations, irrespective of the type of antibodies used.

5 - The poor antigenic reactivity of glutaraldehyde-fixed AMV in indirect ELISA was investigated using  $^{35}\text{S}$ -labelled AMV (Appendix 2). It was observed that glutaraldehyde-fixed virus bound very poorly to microtitre plates in 10 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, when compared to that of native virus. However, the glutaraldehyde-fixed virus bound better than native virus to microtitre plates precoated with anti-AMV sera, irrespective of the type of immunogen used for raising the antisera. Furthermore, the adsorption of glutaraldehyde-fixed AMV to microtitre wells could be enhanced by raising pH or increasing ionic strength of coating buffer.

The adsorption properties of proteins from native virus preparations of the different AMV isolates to the microtitre well was studied using  $^{35}\text{S}$ -labelled virus. When 10 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, (in which all AMV particles remained intact) was used as coating buffer, different isolates had different ability to be adsorbed to the plates. The serological comparison between AMV isolates using this buffer gave indications of wide differences between AMV isolates. When virus particles were applied in conventional coating buffer, (carbonate buffer, pH 9.6), all isolates had similar binding abilities. However, in this buffer, no intact AMV particles were detected and all isolates were serologically similar. These data demonstrate that the results of indirect ELISA were directly correlated with the binding ability of the isolates and this test is not a reliable method for studying serological relationships among AMV.

6 - The serological comparison of biologically distinct AMV isolates was investigated by three different tests; gel-immunodiffusion, two formats of ELISA and western immunoblotting. The comparison was made at the level of isolated coat protein, native and glutaraldehyde-fixed particles using their corresponding polyclonal antisera. It was shown that the biologically distinct isolates of AMV were serologically very closely related but not identical. However, the degree of relationships depended on the type of antisera and test antigens used. The presence of isolate-specific epitopes were best revealed in gel-immunodiffusion tests when using antisera against isolated coat protein or native virus particles and glutaraldehyde-fixed or native virus particles as test antigens. Under these experimental conditions it was possible to obtain isolate-specific or group specific

polyclonal antisera to some of the isolates. Western immunoblotting was not able to differentiate any of the AMV isolates.

7 - Mouse monoclonal antibodies (McAb) were produced to a mixture of native virus preparations of five AMV isolates and screened by three formats of indirect ELISA to select a maximum diversity of McAbs. Seven McAbs were able to differentiate between biologically diverse AMV isolates. They reacted with unique isolate-specific epitopes on the coat protein subunits, native virus or glutaraldehyde-fixed virus particles in indirect ELISA. A number of McAbs were also obtained which had been directed against common antigenic determinants of all the AMV isolates. Two McAbs were selected which precipitated either native or glutaraldehyde-fixed virus, respectively, in gel-immunodiffusion tests. A heterospecific McAb was obtained which precipitated native virus preparations of cucumber mosaic virus (CMV) but not AMV. This McAb differentiated glutaraldehyde-fixed virus preparation of CMV from its corresponding native virus particle by the formation of a pronounced spur in immunodiffusion tests.

8 - Both polyclonal and McAbs revealed the presence of three types of antigenic determinants (**cryptotopes**, **metatopes** and **neotopes**) on different antigenic conformations of AMV. Evidence is presented to show that isolate-specific epitopes are located on the surfaces of intact AMV particles, and are those antigenic determinants which are exposed on the surface of the isolated coat protein.