

OAPH  
N1572



**WATER RELATIONS OF ACACIA**

**WITH SPECIAL EMPHASIS ON OSMOTIC ADJUSTMENT**

by

**Beth Paul Naiola, B.Sc., Drs.**

**Department of Botany**

**A thesis submitted to the University of Adelaide  
in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy  
April , 1996**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
SUMMARY	
DECLARATION	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Species and distribution	1
1.2 The role and uses of <i>Acacia</i>	1
1.3 The reason for studying Australian <i>Acacia</i>	2
1.4 The study of seedling water relations	3
CHAPTER 2. WATER RELATIONS AND PLANT GROWTH	
2.1 The role of water in plant growth	5
2.2 The effect of water shortage on plant growth	7
2.3 Osmotic adjustment	8
2.3.1 Definition, significance and measurement	8
2.3.2 Solute accumulation	11
2.3.3. The role of bulk modulus of elasticity	13a
2.4 The measurement of water potential and its components for the estimation of the degree of osmotic adjustment	14
CHAPTER 3. OSMOTIC ADJUSTMENT IN POT GROWN SEEDLINGS	
3.1 Introduction	25
3.2 Materials and Methods	26
3.2.1 Samples preparation and design	26
3.2.2 Measurement of water potential	27
3.2.3 Measurement of osmotic potential	28
3.2.4 Measurement of soil water content	29
3.3 Analysis of data	30
3.4 Results	32
3.4.1 Water potential and osmotic potential	32
3.4.2 Soil water content	33
3.4.3 Exponential regression	34
3.5 Discussion	34

## CHAPTER 4. SEASONAL FLUCTUATION OF OSMOTIC ADJUSTMENT IN FIELD GROWN PLANTS

4.1	Introduction	38
4.2	Study location	39
4.3	Materials and methods	39
4.3.1	Field plot	39
4.3.2	Water potential, osmotic potential and turgor pressure determination: osmotic adjustment	41
4.3.3	Rainfall record	43
4.3.4	Statistical analysis	44
4.4	Results	
4.4.1	Negative turgor pressure	45
4.4.2	Calibration of psychrometer measurement of osmotic potential	45
4.4.3	The fluctuations of water relations components in well-watered and stressed plants	47
4.4.3.a.	Statistical considerations	49
4.4.3.b.	Evidence of osmotic adjustment	51
4.4.3.c.	Exponential relationship between water potential and osmotic potential	52
4.5	Discussion	
4.5.1	Field osmotic adjustment	53
4.5.2	Comparison with other arid zone species	55
4.5.3	Physiological-Ecology significance of osmotic adjustment	57

## CHAPTER 5. SOLUTES: SEASONAL FLUCTUATIONS IN FIELD-GROWN PLANTS

5.1	Inorganic solutes	60
5.1.1	Potassium and sodium	60
5.1.1.1	Materials and methods	60
5.1.1.2	Statistical analysis	61
5.1.1.3	Results and Discussion	63
5.1.1.4	Role and contribution of $K^+$ and $Na^+$ to the osmotic potential of cells	67

5.2	Organic solutes	70
5.2.1	Proline	70
5.2.1.1	Materials and methods	71
5.2.1.2	Statistical analysis	72
5.2.1.3	Results	72
5.2.1.4	Discussion	73
5.2.2	Betaines and other ammonium compounds	78
5.2.2.1	Materials and methods	78
5.2.2.2	Results and Discussion	80
5.2.3	Phenylethylamine (PEA)	80
5.2.3.1	Results and Discussion	80
5.2.3.2	Extended experiments in PEA	81

## CHAPTER 6. POTASSIUM AND SODIUM:

	The effect of potassium concentration in nutrient solutions on the osmotic adjustment of <i>A. iteaphylla</i> pot grown seedlings	83
6.1	Materials and methods	83
6.2	Results	85
6.2.1	Effects of drying on water potential and osmotic potential	85
6.2.2	Effects of drying on $K^+$ and $Na^+$ accumulations	86
6.3	Discussion	87
6.3.1	$K^+$ concentrations - osmotic adjustment	87
6.3.2	$K^+$ concentration and its osmotic effect in conjunction with the symplastic water volume	89

## CHAPTER 7. PROLINE

7.1	The influence of nutrient application, soil type and environmental factors on proline synthesis in pot-grown seedlings of <i>Acacia iteaphylla</i>	93
7.1.1	Materials and methods	93
7.1.2	Results	94
7.1.3	Discussion	96
	a. The original question	96
	b. Differences in proline concentration	97
	c. Nutrient requirement for proline synthesis	99
	d. The influence of 'environmental factors'	102

CHAPTER 8	ADDITIONAL EXPERIMENTS ON ORGANIC SOLUTES	
8.1	Nutrient effect on proline synthesis in field grown plants of <i>A. aneura</i> , <i>A. iteaphylla</i> and <i>A. myrtifolia</i>	106
8.1.1	Materials and methods	106
8.1.2	Results and Discussion	107
8.2	Proline synthesis by pot-grown seedlings of <i>A. aneura</i> , <i>A. iteaphylla</i> and <i>A. myrtifolia</i>	108
8.2.1	Materials and methods	109
8.2.2	Results and Discussion	110
8.3	The response of PEA to water, salinity and cold stresses in pot grown <i>A. iteaphylla</i> seedlings where proline is used as a standard	112
8.3.1	Materials and Methods	112
8.3.2	Results	113
8.3.3	The inconclusive result	114
8.4	Changes in PEA with time during droughting, in <i>A. iteaphylla</i>	
8.4.1	Materials and Methods	114
8.4.2	Results and Discussion	114
CHAPTER 9	GENERAL DISCUSSION	117
REFERENCES		129
APPENDICES		

## SUMMARY

### *Water relations of Acacia with special emphasis on osmotic adjustment*

In spite of their importance in the Australian flora, acacias in Australia have received limited attention in research apart from taxonomic studies. This project was designed to study one physiological aspect, namely water relations at the critical seedling stage and more specifically, osmotic adjustment.

Osmotic adjustment was defined as the decrease of osmotic potential of cell sap to more negative level as a result of the accumulation of osmotically active solutes in protoplasm rather than the concentration of cell contents due to loss of water.

The aims of this study were

1. To demonstrate the existence, magnitude and variability of osmotic adjustment in *Acacia* especially South Australian species.
2. To investigate some of the solutes which accumulated when the plants were water stressed, particularly inorganic ions  $K^+$  and  $Na^+$  and organic compounds proline and others.
3. To seek correlations between osmotic adjustment patterns and the degree of water stress species might experience in their natural areas of distribution.

This study included glass-house, field and laboratory experiments. Ten species were selected for a pot droughting experiment namely *A.anceps*, *A.aneura*, *A.gillii*, *A.longifolia*, *A.myrtifolia*, and *A.saligna*; *A.cyclops*, *A.iteaphylla*, *A.leiophylla* and *A.rivalis*. From measurements of water and osmotic potentials it was found that osmotic adjustment occurred in the first group but not the second. There was not a strong relationship between osmotic adjustment and the rainfall in the area of distribution of a species.

Five of the species (*A. anceps*, *A. aneura*, *A. gillii*, *A. teaphylla* and *A. myrtifolia*) were then grown in the field and monitored over summer. Four of the five showed stronger osmotic adjustment than in the pot experiment. Magnitudes were *A. anceps* 1.10 MPa, *A. aneura* 1.09, *A. gillii* 0.69, *A. teaphylla* 1.07 and *A. myrtifolia* 1.11 MPa.

Proline concentration increased significantly in the stressed plants of most field grown species, while other organic solutes were not detected, except in *A. teaphylla*, which unexpectedly contained phenyl-ethylamine (PEA). However, no evidence was found of a significant relationship between drought treatment and PEA concentration.

Potassium and sodium did not fluctuate with the fall of water potential in the field. For potted *A. teaphylla* seedlings fluctuations in  $K^+$  were also absent when the K supply was varied in Hoagland's nutrient solution. However the osmotic contribution of K and Na was between 29% and 52% of total osmotic potential in the field grown plants, a significant contribution. Which solutes did generate the observed osmotic adjustment was not determined.

The study suggested that osmotic adjustment is important in the survival of these acacia seedlings, although other factors may also be involved. The great diversity in Australian acacias and the tolerance of many to water stress may lead in future to their use as a genetic resource in developing drought-tolerant varieties.