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#### Abstract

Prostate cancer (PCa) is the second most common cancer worldwide in men and one of the major causes of cancer-related death among men in Australia. In PCa cells, the androgen receptor (AR) is the key driver of cell proliferation, cell cycle progression, and metabolism; thus, blocking AR activity with androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) is a standard-of-care treatment for metastatic PCa. However, ADT is never curative, with all patients eventually relapsing with lethal castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC). In a paradoxical phenomenon, potent activation of AR with high doses of androgens can also inhibit the growth of PCa tumours. However, the exact mechanism(s) by which activation of AR can block PCa growth is poorly understood. Therefore, in my PhD project, I explored the mechanisms underlying PCa growth suppression in response to extreme activation of AR using a potent androgen, methyltestosterone (MeT).


I have found that methyl-testosterone (MeT), a synthetic androgen, can potently transactivate AR and suppress the proliferation of AR-positive prostate cancer cells (LNCaP, C42B, MR49F, and 22RV1) but not an AR-negative cell line (PC3) or a PCa model expressing a version of the AR lacking the ligand-binding domain (R1-D567), suggesting that the growthinhibitory effects of MeT are AR-dependent. Mechanistically, MeT acts much like high-dose dihydrotestosterone (DHT) in terms of genome-wide AR binding (evaluated by ChIP-seq) and the transcriptional program activated via AR (evaluated by RNA-seq). However, these analyses showed that MeT only extends the AR cistrome and enables AR to act as a potent
transcriptional repressor of genes associated with cell cycle, DNA replication, and DNA damage responses.

Unexpectedly, our RNA-seq data revealed that MeT dysregulates the expression of transposable elements, including endogenous retroviruses (ERVs). Mechanistically, we found that MeT suppresses the expression of DNA methyl-transferases (DNMTs) and EZH2, which are considered to be key factors repressing the expression of transposable elements. Consistent with the proposed hypothesis, my PhD work showed that MeT caused global hypomethylation of DNA and re-distribution of H3K27me3. More specifically, my research supports a model whereby DNA hypomethylation was linked to the induction of endogenous retroviruses (ERVs). Interestingly, I found that ERV induction was associated with a "viral mimicry" response characterised by activation of pattern recognition receptors RIG-I and STING and subsequent activation of interferon (IFN) signalling. Importantly, I also observed increased expression of MHC class I genes with MeT treatment, suggesting that it can enhance tumour immunogenicity. Validating this finding, co-culture of a murine model of PCa (RM1) with tumour-specific $\mathrm{CD}^{+} \mathrm{T}$ cells revealed that MeT promoted enhanced recognition and functional cytokine production by T cells.

Collectively, my work has provided a greater understanding of growth-inhibitory effects of androgens on PCa tumours and uncovers a potential new role for high-dose androgen therapy as an immunosensitisation agent.
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## Chapter 1: Introduction

### 1.1. Prostate gland structure and function

The prostate is the largest accessory genital gland that secretes material making up 30-35\% of the seminal fluid that protects sperm (Resnick and Thompson 2000). This material includes high levels of divalent cations and several proteases, the most abundant being prostatespecific antigen (PSA or kallikrein-related peptidase-3 (KLK3), encoded by the KLK3 gene). PSA is responsible for the degradation of the semenogelins I and II in semen after ejaculation, which improves sperm motility (Mattsson, Ravela et al. 2014).

The prostate gland consists of 30 to 50 branched tubule-acinar glands surrounded by a capsule. As shown in figure 1.1, this gland can be divided into three zones histologically: the peripheral zone (about 70\% of the glandular tissue of the prostate), central zone (20-25\% of the glandular tissue of the prostate), and transition zone (5-10\% of the glandular tissue of the prostate). The central zone is the entire base of the prostate and includes the ejaculatory ducts. In the peripheral zone, acini are round to oval and surrounded by a loose stroma of smooth muscle and collagen (Figure 1.2a and 1.2b). Central zone acini are complex and large (Figure 1.2 c and 1.2 d ). Transition zone glands are simple, small, and round and set in a compact stroma (Figure 1.2e and 1.2f).


Figure 1.1. Typical anatomy of the prostate gland. The location of prostate zones in the coronal section of the prostate gland (Shah and Zhou 2012).


Figure 1.2. Normal Prostate histology. 1.2a. simple peripheral zone acini. 1.2b. Columnar epithelium of normal peripheral zone. 1.2c. Normal, large, complex with papillary infoldings acini of the central zone. 1.2d. Cuboidal to columnar epithelium of normal central zone. 1.2e. Normal and simple acini of transition zone with compact stroma. 1.2f. Cuboidal or low columnar epithelium of normal transition zone (Bostwick and Cheng 2008).

### 1.2. Androgen receptor (AR) structure and function

Androgen receptor (AR), a member of the steroid and nuclear receptor superfamily (NR3C4, nuclear receptor subfamily 3 , group $C$, gene 4 ), plays a critical role in the development and homeostasis of the prostate gland. The gene encoding the AR protein is located at the locus Xq11-Xq12 on chromosome $X$ with 8 exons and introns varying between 0.7 to 2.6 kb . AR protein, as a ligand-dependent transcription factor, is a phosphoprotein of 919 amino acids and consists of three main functional domains, including an N-terminal domain (NTD), a DNAbinding domain (DBD), and a C-terminal ligand-binding domain (LBD). A flexible region named hinge connects the LBD domain to the DBD (Lorente, Mateo et al. 2015) (Figure 1.3).

The NTD (residues 1-558) encoded by exon 1 of the AR gene, constitutes approximately 60\% of the 110 kDa AR protein (Imamura and Sadar 2016). This domain consists of two regions termed TAU-1 (residues 101-370) and TAU-5 (residues 360-485), which are involved in the transcriptional activity of AR (Jenster, van der Korput et al. 1995). Activation function-1 (AF1) in the TAU-1 domain mediates the protein-protein interactions between AR and coregulatory proteins (Kumar, Betney et al. 2004, Lavery and McEwan 2008, De Mol, Szulc et al. 2018). TAU1 and TAU5 can also mediate the inter-domain interactions between NTD and LBD (N/C interaction), which is an important regulatory mechanism for the expression of some AR target genes (McEwan and Gustafsson 1997, Reid, Murray et al. 2002).

AR exons 5-8 encode the LBD (residues 666-919), consisting of eleven $\alpha$-helices ( H 1 to H 12 ) and two $\beta$-sheets arranged in a three-layer antiparallel helical sandwich. $A R$ LBD is characterized by a ligand-binding pocket, in which lipophilic ligands are captured in a
hydrophobic cavity (Tan, Li et al. 2015). In response to structurally different AR ligands, hydrophobic amino acids in the LBD can adopt different conformations to maintain the ligand in the steroid-binding cavity. Following the hydrophobic interactions, hydrogen bonds between AR and ligands are formed, firmly tethering the steroid molecule (Pereira de JésusTran, Côté et al. 2006). Structurally, the H12 helix acts as a lid to close the LBP upon ligand binding, leading to the formation of a hydrophobic cleft called the activation function 2 domain (AF2). AF2 acts as a ligand-dependent docking site for AR coactivators such as steroid receptor coactivator-3 (SRC3) (He, Kemppainen et al. 2000, Zhou, Suino-Powell et al. 2010, Tan, Li et al. 2015).


Figure 1.3. A. The structure of the androgen receptor. AR gene consists of 8 exons, encoding three main domains of AR protein including an N-terminal domain (NTD), a DNA-binding domain (DBD), and a C-terminal ligand-binding domain (LBD) (Tan, Li et al. 2015).

The DBD enables AR to bind the androgen response element (ARE) sequences in promoter and enhancer regions of AR-regulated genes. Each ARE consists of two equal, hexameric halfsites ( $5^{\prime}-$ AGAACA- $3^{\prime}$ ) separated by a three base-pair spacer. Structurally, AR DBD (residues 556-623) consists of a "core" composed of two Zinc fingers and one carboxyl-terminal extension (CTE) region which is encoded by exon 4 (Khorasanizadeh and Rastinejad 2001, Gelmann, Sawyers et al. 2013). Formed through hydrophobic interactions, DBD Zinc fingers are associated with two zinc ions, leading to the formation of "P-box" and "D-box" in N terminal and C-terminal Zinc-fingers, respectively. Molecularly, when AR is liganded with an agonist, $D$-box mediates AR dimerization in a "head-to-head" manner allowing the AR to bind as a dimer to the two half-sites of an ARE (Shaffer, Jivan et al. 2004, Lallous, Dalal et al. 2013). The N-terminal Zinc-finger, termed the Recognition helix, subsequently inserts into the major groove of the chromatin and P-box specifically binds to ARE (Umesono and Evans 1989, Khorasanizadeh and Rastinejad 2001). In addition to Zinc fingers, amino acids residues at CTE are also involved in AR binding to DNA (Gelmann, Sawyers et al. 2013).

### 1.3. Cellular and molecular phenotypes of prostate epithelial cells

Based on cellular and molecular phenotypes, the glandular prostate epithelium can be categorized into three main cell types including secretory luminal cells, basal cells, and neuroendocrine cells (Van Leenders, Gage et al. 2003). Luminal cells, which can be found in the luminal layer of prostate epithelium, express a high level of androgen receptor (AR) representing the major secretory cells in prostate epithelium. Importantly, in the normal prostate gland, luminal cells are androgen-dependent, terminally differentiated with the lowest proliferation capability. By contrast, basal cells localised in the basal part of glandular epithelium, are androgen-independent, less differentiated, and highly proliferative cells, characterized by a low level of AR and without a significant secretory function. Characterized as the least terminally-differentiated and androgen insensitive cells, neuroendocrine cells can be identified in the prostate epithelium; however, they are less frequent and their function is not completely understood (Table 1.1) (Hudson 2004, Lang, Frame et al. 2009).

Table 1.1. Cellular and molecular phenotypes of prostate epithelial cells

| Prostate Epithelial <br> cells | Differentiation/Proliferative status | Key Morphological phenotypes in <br> normal Prostate tissue | Key Molecular <br> phenotypes | Reference (s) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

### 1.4. Androgen receptor agonists

AR agonists consist of small molecules which can interact with AR LBD and stimulate AR transcriptional activity function. These AR interacting compounds can be categorised based on their chemical structure (steroidal versus nonsteroidal) and also the origin of synthesis (endogenous versus synthetic agonist) (Gao, Kim et al. 2006). Testosterone (T) and its potent metabolite, dihydrotestosterone (DHT), are the major male sex hormones acting as endogenous steroidal AR agonists, inducing both androgenic and anabolic effects in a tissuespecific manner (Pihlajamaa, Sahu et al. 2015, Feng and He 2019). Androgenic effects are associated with androgen effects on male sex characteristics and anabolic effects are mainly linked to effects of androgens on skeletal muscle and bones (Bhasin, Taylor et al. 2003).

Another group of AR agonists called anabolic-androgenic steroids (AAS) are synthetic derivatives of T , developed to modulate the androgenic effects of endogenous steroids. In comparison with endogenous androgens, these compounds have improved bioavailability, reduced adverse androgenic effects, and enhanced anabolic features and are clinically used as testosterone analogues for hormone replacement therapies (Patt, Beck et al. 2020). Structurally, AAS hormones are synthesised either through the esterification (e.g. testosterone cypionate) or alkylation (e.g. Methyltestosterone) of the testosterone backbone (Salerno, Cascio et al. 2018). These chemical modifications are mainly affecting the pharmacokinetics of the hormones; for example, alkylation or esterification of testosterone structure at 17-alpha position (Figure 1.4), increases the oral bioavailability and decreases the hepatic metabolism of the compound (Fragkaki, Angelis et al. 2009). These chemical
modifications on steroids may also affect the AR conformation, potentially affecting the AR function through the recruitment of different AR-coregulators in a tissue-specific manner (Chang, Norris et al. 1999, Chang and McDonnell 2002, Wang, Lawless et al. 2020).

Another group of synthetic androgens are selective androgen receptor modulators (SARMs). To reduce the undesirable androgenic effects of T on prostate cells, nonsteroidal AR ligands called SARMs have been also developed to help the patients suffering from skeletal muscle wasting. Theoretically, while acting as AR agonist in bone and skeletal muscle, SARMs function either as an antagonist or mild agonist in the prostate gland (Fonseca, Dworatzek et al. 2020). Mechanistically, the exact mechanism(s) involved in tissue-specific activation of AR by SARMs are not fully understood; however, the ligand-dependent surface topology of activated-AR and tissue-specific recruitments of unique coregulators may explain the different effects of DHT and SARMs in prostate cells (Baek, Ohgi et al. 2006, Pihlajamaa, Sahu et al. 2015).
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Figure 1.4. A) Chemical modifications on Testosterone backbone. B) Chemical structure of syntheticandrogenic AR agonists (Henderson, Penatti et al. 2006, Fragkaki, Angelis et al. 2009).

### 1.5. AR transcriptional activity

In normal prostate cells, the AR signalling depends on the presence of AR agonists. The inactive form of AR preferentially is located in the cytoplasm while bound to heat shock proteins (HSPs). Heat shock proteins prevent AR translocation and support the permissive conformation of AR for ligand binding (Heinlein and Chang 2002). Upon ligand binding, AR undergoes a conformational change leading to its dimerization in the cytoplasm, followed by translocation into the nucleus and binding to AREs. AR subsequently recruits the basic transcription machinery and related coregulators to trigger the transcription of androgenresponsive genes (Xu, Shimelis et al. 2009) (Figure 1.5).

### 1.6. Regulation of AR function by co-regulators and post-translational modifications

In the prostate cells, AR coregulators including co-activators and co-repressors are the key determinants of $A R$ function and their quantity and interactions regulate $A R$ 's transcriptional activity (Scher, Buchanan et al. 2004). Coactivators consist of a diverse variety of proteins assisting AR in ligand binding, nuclear translocation, DNA binding, and recruitment/stabilizing of the transcription machinery (Heinlein and Chang 2002). Some coactivators, such as SRC-1 from p160/SRC family and CBP/p300, possess a histone modification activity modulating the AR signalling through chromatin remodelling (Spencer, Jenster et al. 1997, Aarnisalo, Palvimo et al. 1998).


Figure 1.5. Activation of AR signalling by Androgens in prostate cancer cells. T: Testosterone; DHT: Dihydrotestosterone; AR: Androgen receptor; HSP: Heat shock proteins; p: phosphorylation; CoReg: Co-regulator; AREs: Androgen response elements.

Corepressors, in contrast, suppress the transcriptional activity of AR. This group of proteins can modulate the AR signalling by interfering in mechanisms such as AR N/C interactions, translocation, DNA binding, interaction with coactivators, and recruitment of basal transcriptional machinery (Wang, Hsu et al. 2005).

Posttranscriptional modifications of AR protein are another regulatory layer in the fine-tuning of AR function. Phosphorylation, acetylation, methylation, and ubiquitination can either positively or negatively affect AR signalling in response to different signal transduction pathways (Wen, Niu et al. 2019). For example, phosphorylation of Serine 81 (S81) in the AF1 region of the AR NTD domain mediated by different cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs) regulates AR protein stability, localization, and transactivation (Hsu, Chen et al. 2011).

### 1.7. AR function in normal prostate gland

Androgens, acting via AR, have a crucial role in male phenotype formation, sexual maturation, and reproductive function. Also, non-reproductive tissues such as muscle, bone, skin, and adipose tissues are affected by androgens (Heemers and Tindall 2007). In the normal prostate gland, AR regulates the homeostasis between cell proliferation and cell death and maintains the differentiated phenotype of prostate epithelial cells (Carson and Rittmaster 2003). To this end, AR in stromal cells induces the expression of growth factors called Andromedins, stimulating the proliferation of epithelial cells in paracrine-manner. By contrast, in luminal cells, while androgens activate AR and stimulate KLK3 expression, however, it causes cell growth suppression (Figure 1.6) (Isaacs and Isaacs 2004). Mechanistically, the growth
inhibitory effect of androgens in normal prostate epithelial cells is linked to AR-mediated repression of $c-M y c$ and upregulation of $p 21, p 27$, and $S K P-2$, leading to G0/G1 cell cycle arrest (Vander Griend, Litvinov et al. 2014).


Figure 1.6. AR signalling in normal luminal and stromal cells regulates homeostasis (Isaacs and Isaacs 2004). In normal prostate, AR in stromal cells is activated by androgens, which leads to production of Andromedins, regulating the growth and maintenance of epithelial cells in a paracrine manner. In epithelial cells, however, AR activation by androgens is not associated with growth, but rather mediates the production of secretory proteins such as PSA. T: Testosterone; DHT: Dihydrotestosterone; AR: Androgen receptor; HSP: Heat shock proteins; p: phosphorylation; CoReg: Co-regulator.

### 1.8. Prostate malignancy

The peripheral zone in the prostate gland is responsible for about $70 \%$ to $80 \%$ of prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN) and carcinoma cases $(6,8)$. Figure 1.7 illustrates the morphologic features of prostate tissue from normal prostatic epithelium to early invasive carcinoma. In low-grade PIN, there is a mild dysplasia which may progress to moderate-tosevere dysplasia, high-grade PIN, and carcinoma. Malignant cell invasion to the stroma, involving disruption of the basal cell layer, is the main feature of early invasive carcinoma (6, 9).


Figure 1.7. Morphologic changes of prostate tissue from normal prostatic epithelium towards early invasive carcinoma (Bostwick and Cheng 2008).

### 1.8.1. Epidemiology of Prostate cancer:

Prostate cancer is the second most common cancer worldwide in men after lung cancer (Bray, Ferlay et al. 2018) and is one of the major causes of cancer-associated death in men in western countries (Rebello, Oing et al. 2021).

The incidence of PCa in developed western countries is higher than developed Asian nations (e.g. Japan and South Korea) and also developing countries in the rest of the world (Kimura and Egawa 2018). Currently, Australia, New Zealand, North America and Europe, as well as regions in South America such as Brazil, have the highest incidence rates of PCa. Incidence rates are influenced by many factors, including: awareness of prostate cancer and diagnostic screening rates (Loeb, Bjurlin et al. 2014); life expectancy, since risk of prostate cancer is strongly associated with age (i.e. more than $85 \%$ of patients newly diagnosed with PCa are more than 60) (Bray, Ferlay et al. 2018); racial differences, as the development and progression of PCa are more likely in African Americans compared to individuals of European ancestry (Hur and Giovannucci 2020); and ethnic diets, since saturated fat intake has been associated with higher risk of developing the disease (Whittemore, Kolonel et al. 1995).

### 1.9. AR malignancy switch in Prostate Cancer

Changes in the genetic and environment of prostate epithelial cells mediate the carcinogenesis process, which ultimately leads to AR's signalling outputs shift from prodifferentiative and anti-proliferative to anti-differentiative and pro-proliferative (Berger, Febbo et al. 2004). As part of malignant transformation in prostate cells, therefore, AR is switched to an oncogenic factor, considered as a central event in the development and progression of both localized and advanced metastatic prostate cancer (Tomlins, Mehra et al. 2007). The malignancy switch of AR can be characterized by reprogramming of AR cistrome. A comparison of AR cistrome between normal and cancerous cells reveals that the pattern of genome-wide AR binding sites between normal and cancerous tissues is different, which can drive distinct transcriptional programs leading to tumour progression (Pomerantz, Li et al. 2015). Alteration in AR cistrome can be related to the recruitment of unique coregulators in cancerous cells. For example, FOXA1 and HOXB13, only co-expressed in prostate tumour cells, are co-localised with AR at tumor-specific AR binding sites (Pomerantz, Li et al. 2015). This observation suggests that the association of AR with new coregulators such as ERG, FOXA1 and HOXB13 can change the AR function through reprogramming the AR cistrome.

Gene fusions between AR-regulated genes and coding regions of oncogenic transcription factors is another potential oncogenesis process in prostate epithelial cells (Marx 2005, Tomlins, Rhodes et al. 2005). For example, TMPRSS2-ERG is the most common gene-fusion occurring in about $50 \%$ of all localised prostate cancer, in which promoter region of ARregulated gene called TMPRSS2 is fused with the coding region of ERG, an ETS transcription
factors (Kumar-Sinha, Tomlins et al. 2008). TMPRSS2-ERG expression is constantly induced by androgens, which causes the upregulation of genes associated with cell invasion and epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) (Wang, Cai et al. 2008, Adamo and Ladomery 2016).

### 1.10. Histologic grading of prostate cancer:

The histologic grade of the tumour is a useful prognostic factor in prostatic adenocarcinoma. Although there are different histologic grading systems, the Gleason system is the best predictor of survival in men suffering from prostate cancer. The Gleason grade is a measure of the level of differentiation in the tumour, ranging from well-differentiated (score 1) to poorly differentiated (score 5). The Gleason score (GS), which ranges from 2-10, is the sum of the primary and secondary Gleason grades which refer to the dominant and second-most frequent pattern of tumour, respectively (Figure 1.8)(Short, Warren et al. 2019). The grading system was modified based on the 2014 ISUP consensus conference and Gleason scores were assigned to 5 prognostically distinct Grade groups for improved prognostication and to reduce overtreatment of indolent cancer (Egevad, Delahunt et al. 2016). The modified ISUP grading system includes all Gleason scores of 6 or less in grade I, Gleason 3+4=7 in grade II, Gleason $4+3=7$ in grade III, Gleason 4+4=8 in grade IV, and all Gleason 9 and 10 in grade V (Egevad, Delahunt et al. 2016).

### 1.11. Treatment of localized prostate cancer

PCa has a highly variable prognosis, which mainly depends on tumour grade at primary diagnosis time. Generally, the majority ( $\sim 80 \%$ ) of patient with PCa are diagnosed with organconfined disease. In patient with localized prostate cancer, the survival expectancy can be about 99\% over 10 years if diagnosed at an early stage (Siegel, Miller et al. 2016). However, $\sim 15 \%$ of patients are diagnosed with metastases within the region of primary tumours or $\sim 5 \%$ with distant metastases (Siegel, Miller et al. 2016). For men diagnosed with metastatic disease, the prognosis is much poorer; indeed, the overall survival rate in PCa patient diagnosed with a distant metastasis is only about $30 \%$ at 5 years (Siegel, Miller et al. 2016).

Based on a recommendation by the European Association of Urology, there is a wide variation in treatment intensity that can be applied for localized prostate cancer (Mottet, Bellmunt et al. 2017). Over the last years, active surveillance has been applied as an alternative to intensive treatment of low-risk prostate cancer. Active surveillance is described as close monitoring of cancer progression using PSA and without intensive therapies such as surgery (Haymart, Miller et al. 2017). This strategy is carried on men with low to intermediate grade prostate cancer. However, among these patients, $20 \%$ to $41 \%$ will need definitive treatment in the following 5 years to control tumour growth. Radical prostatectomy is one of the most common major treatment measures in patients with localized prostate cancer. Mortality and risk of local progression and metastasis are decreased by radical prostatectomy (Bill-Axelson, Holmberg et al. 2005).

Another major treatment method for localised prostate cancer is radiotherapy, comprising radioactive isotopes, photons, and particle beams (Bagshaw, Kaplan et al. 1993). In a study by Hamdy, Freddie C., et al. (Hamdy, Donovan et al. 2016), the effectiveness of external-beam radiotherapy compared to active monitoring and radical prostatectomy was evaluated in terms of mortality and the incidence of metastases and disease progression at a median of 10 years of follow-up. The results of this randomized trial found that radiotherapy (and prostatectomy) were associated with lower rates of disease progression and metastases than active monitoring (Hamdy, Donovan et al. 2016).

However, while surgery and radiation therapies cure a substantial proportion of men, approximately $30 \%$ experience recurrence with metastatic disease (Singh, Febbo et al. 2002). Additionally, some men are diagnosed with metastatic PCa, which cannot be treated with surgery or radiation therapy (Aus, Robinson et al. 2005). Androgen deprivation therapy is the key strategy for men who fail treatment for localised disease or who are diagnosed with metastatic disease (see below).


Figure 1.8. The Gleason score ranges and pathological features in different clinical stages of prostate cancer (Bostwick and Cheng 2008).

### 1.12. Treatment of Metastatic Prostate Cancer

As described earlier, the growth and progression of prostate cancer rely heavily on AR activation by T and DHT. Consequently, androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) has been the main therapeutic strategy for metastatic prostate cancer for many decades (Thompson, Goodman et al. 2003, Vignozzi, Rastrelli et al. 2014). ADT comprises surgical or medical castration, which greatly reduces the levels of circulating testicular androgens and thereby reduces prostate cancer growth (Heinlein and Chang 2004). Surgical castration includes orchiectomy, in which the testicles are removed, but this approach is very rare now. Medical castration is primarily achieved using gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) agonists (Leuprolide and Goserelin) and antagonists (Degarelix), which act through the anterior pituitary gland. GnRH agonists cause a decrease in luteinizing hormone (LH) levels by downregulation of GnRH receptors, whereas GnRH antagonists inhibit GnRH receptors. In addition to medical/surgical castration, ADT can also incorporate the application of antiandrogens (AR antagonists), such as cyproterone acetate, bicalutamide, nilutamide, and flutamide, which directly bind to the AR LBD and block its activity (Thomas and Neal 2013). More recently, chemotherapy has been combined with ADT, which can improve outcomes for some patients (Sweeney, Chen et al. 2015). Chemotherapy is a process in which a tumour is treated with one or more cytotoxic drugs (Panda, Chakraborty et al. 2017).

Unfortunately, ADT for metastatic PCa, alone or in combination with chemotherapy, is never curative (Figure 1.9) and patients will eventually relapse with what is termed castrationresistant prostate cancer (CRPC). CRPC is defined as an increase in PSA levels or tumour size despite castrate levels of circulating androgens ( $<0.50 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) (Komiya, Yasuda et al. 2013,

Fizazi, Massard et al. 2015). Also, reduction in androgen levels using ADT or antiandrogens have some adverse clinical side effects on a patient's life including a decrease in muscle strength, reduced lean and bone mass, higher risk of fracture and unusual lipid profile (Galvao, Nosaka et al. 2006).

## Prostate Cancer Treatment and Progression



Figure 1.9. The progression pattern of prostate cancer in patients with disease recurrence (Crea, Saidy et al. 2015).

### 1.13. Treatment of CRPC

In recent years, next-generation ADT agents have been introduced which provide a survival benefit in CRPC. These agents are apalutamide, darolutamide, enzalutamide, as AR antagonists, and abiraterone acetate, a CYP17A1 inhibitor. In CRPC patients and after chemotherapy, administration of AR antagonists blocks the AR signalling by binding to the LBD of AR and inhibiting the transactivation of AR and also by preventing the AR nuclear translocation, which ultimately results in median overall survival by 18.4 months compared to placebo (13.6 months) (Scher, Fizazi et al. 2012). Abiraterone acetate functions as an irreversible inhibitor of CYP17A1, an enzyme that converts pregnenolone to dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA), a precursor of T and DHT, resulting in a significant decrease in androgen synthesis (Chandrasekar, Yang et al. 2015). After chemotherapy, the median overall survival benefit of Abiraterone acetate was reported as 14.8 months vs. 10.9 months in the placebo group (De Bono, Logothetis et al. 2011). Other therapies for CRPC include the chemotherapeutics docetaxel (Sweeney, Chen et al. 2015) and cabazitaxel (Chandrasekar, Yang et al. 2015). Both Cabazitaxel and Docetaxel belongs to the same family of taxane chemotherapies, however, the TROPIC trial showed that Cabazitaxel was active after docetaxel failure and can prolong overall survival (Shiota, Yokomizo et al. 2016). Radium-223 dichloride is a targeted alpha emitter that can selectively bind to areas of bone with increased turnover and emit alpha particles of extremely short range with high energy. Radium-223 is considered an effective and well-tolerated treatment in men with CRPC and bone metastases (Hoskin, Sartor et al. 2014). However, unfortunately, none of these therapeutic strategies is curative, and all only provide a survival benefit in the order of months. Therefore, there is a major unmet need for new therapies that can effectively control CRPC.

### 1.14. AR-mediated Therapy Resistance in CRPC:

Persistent AR signalling following the ADT is the major mechanism driving CRPC growth (Coutinho, Day et al. 2016). It has been shown that approximately 80\% of CRPC tumours demonstrate persistent AR signalling (Ylitalo, Thysell et al. 2017), highlighting the addiction of prostate cancer cells to this pathway. Illustrating the importance of AR signalling for prostate epithelial cells, therapy-mediated selection pressure causes genomic alterations in genes involved in the regulation of AR signalling such as AR gene itself and AR coregulators such as FOXA1 (pioneer factor) and NCOR1/2 (corepressor), aiming to sustain the AR transcriptional function in cells (Parolia, Cieslik et al. 2019).

ADT-mediated genomic alterations in the AR gene is one of the most frequent mechanisms, leading to the persistent oncogenic function of AR in prostate cancer cells. Despite being castrated, the oncogenic activity of AR following the ADT will be sustained by several mechanisms including hypersensitivity of cancer cells to low levels of androgens, antagonistagonist switching, AR activation by non-canonical ligands, and ligand-independent transactivation of AR (Table 2). These mechanisms are mainly associated with overexpression or point mutations of the AR gene. AR gene overexpression, which makes cells hypersensitive to very low levels of androgens is achieved either through AR gene copy-number amplification (DNA level) or transcriptional upregulation of the AR gene (RNA level) (Coutinho, Day et al. 2016). Genetic analyses of prostate cancer tumours show that AR gene copy-number amplification is largely present in CRPC but not in primary tumours, accounting for 50\% CRPC samples, approximately (Barbieri, Bangma et al. 2013). AR point mutations also can cause oncogenic activation of AR, accounting for 20\% of CRPC tumours, approximately (Beltran,

Yelensky et al. 2013). These mutations have been mainly identified in AR ligand-binding domain or AR (AR-LBD) transactivation activity (AR-NTD), which can result in ligand promiscuity causing AR transactivation with a very low level of androgens, AR interaction with non-specific ligands, and/or an antagonist-to-agonist switch (Table 2)(Coutinho, Day et al. 2016). ADT-induced selection pressure is also associated with constitutively active AR variants including AR-V7, AR-V567es and AR-V3 (Jernberg, Bergh et al. 2017).

As an emerging clinical issue, a subpopulation of CRPC patients (accounting for 20\%, approximately) can relapse with clinically aggressive variants of prostate cancer, exhibiting an AR-independent phenotype, in which AR expression is reduced or absent (Watson, Arora et al. 2015, Chen, Dong et al. 2018, Handle, Prekovic et al. 2019). Therefore, they are resistant to all current AR signalling inhibitors. Given the continued relevance of AR in the CRPC state and the fact that new AR pathway inhibitors only provide minor survival benefits, smarter ARtargeted therapeutic strategies are needed to treat advanced metastatic prostate cancer.

Table 1.2. ADT-mediated resistance mechanism

| ADT-mediated resistance mechanisms | Genetic alteration in the AR gene | Outcome | Representative in vitro model | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hypersensitivity to low levels of androgens | AR gene amplification | Transcriptional upregulation AR gene | VCaP cell line | (Korenchuk, Lehr et al. 2001, Liu, Xie et al. 2008) |
|  | T878A gain-of-function mutation | Flutamide and nilutamide act as AR agonists | LNCaP cell line | (Veldscholte, Ris-Stalpers et al. 1990) |
|  | H875Y gain-of-function mutation | Nilutamide acts as an AR agonist | $22 \mathrm{rv1}$ cell line | (Marcias, Erdmann et al. 2010) |
|  | F877L gain-of-function mutation | Enzalutamide act as an AR agonist | MR49F | (Korpal, Korn et al. 2013, Coleman, Van Hook et al. 2016) |
| Antagonist-agonist switching | W742C/L gain-of-function mutation | Bicalutamide acts as an AR agonist | LAPC-4, KuCaP-1 | (Terada, Shimizu et al. 2010, Sugawara, Baumgart et al. 2019) |
|  | S889G gain-of-function mutation | Flutamide and Bicalutamide act as AR agonists | - | (Prekovic, Van den Broeck et al. 2018) |
|  | M896V gain-of-function mutation | Flutamide and Bicalutamide act as AR agonists | - | (Prekovic, Van den Broeck et al. 2018) |
| AR activation by non-canonical ligands | L702H gain-of-function mutation | Glucocorticoids act as an AR agonist | MDA PCa 2 b cell line | (Sumiyoshi, Mizuno et al. 2019) |
|  | L701H gain-of-function mutation | Cortisol act as an AR agonist | - | (van de Wijngaart, Molier et al. 2010) |
|  | AR-V3 | Constitutively-active AR variant | - | (Kallio, Hieta et al. 2018, Tagawa, |
| AR splice variants | AR-V7 | Constitutively-active AR variant | 22 rv 1 cell line | Antonarakis et al. 2019) |
|  | ARv567es | Constitutively-active AR variant | D567 cell line |  |

### 1.15. Bipolar androgen therapy; a potential strategy for prostate cancer therapy

 As described above, blocking AR signalling is the main therapeutic strategy for the treatment of patients with advanced metastatic prostate cancer. While attempts to develop more potent AR antagonists are being made, the adaptation mechanisms that lead to the failure of AR pathway inhibitors are a major concern. Therefore, there is an unmet need for an "out of box" approach avoiding the lethal adaptation stage in the treatment of advanced metastatic prostate cancer tumours.Bipolar Androgen Therapy (BAT) is one of the emerging concepts in the treatment of prostate cancer, which can potentially overcome the innate ability of prostate cancer cells to adapt to castrate level of androgens. In this strategy, rapid cycling between two polar extremes of androgen levels, namely supraphysiologic and castration levels, within a short period can avoid the therapy adaptation due to the abrupt changes in androgen levels. More importantly, while activating the AR transcriptional activity in prostate cancer cells, high-dose of androgens paradoxically inhibits the tumour progression and renders cancer cells vulnerable to death (Schweizer, Antonarakis et al. 2015). This unexpected therapy response is illustrated in figure 1.10 showing the PSA response of a patient who received 16 cycles of BAT having led to a dramatic decrease in tumour progression.


Figure 1.10. PSA response in an individual patient (patient 9) receiving a total of 16 cycles of BAT. (Schweizer, Antonarakis et al. 2015).

Currently, synthetic testosterone derivatives such as Testosterone cypionate and Testosterone Enanthate are widely used in clinical trial studies aiming to treat prostate cancer with high-dose androgen therapy (e.g. NCTO3522064, NCTO2090114, NCTO3554317, NCT03516812, and NCT01750398).

### 1.16. Proposed Mechanisms for therapeutic effects of high-dose androgens:

Exposing AR-positive prostate cancer cells to a high dose of androgens can inhibit their proliferation (Joly-Pharaboz, Soave et al. 1995). The apparent paradox that AR inhibition and potent activation can both exert anti-cancer effects raises the question as to which mechanisms are involved in tumour suppression by high-dose androgens in CRPC patients. Preclinical studies have proposed some mechanisms for therapeutic effects of high-dose androgen (see below); however, regarding the variation in response to BAT in clinical settings (Schweizer, Antonarakis et al. 2019), the exact tumour suppressive mechanism(s) remains uncertain. Therefore, it is imperative to identify the potential antitumor mechanism following the AR activation by high-dose androgens.

### 1.16.1. High-dose androgen therapy interrupts cell cycle progression

In prostate cancer cells, liganded-AR has a key role in the progression of the cell cycle either through physical interactions with cell cycle-associated proteins or by driving phase-specific transcriptional networks. Indeed, the interplay between AR and cell cycle proteins induces a phase-specific AR cistrome and transcriptome, governing the proliferation of prostate cancer
cell through the cell cycle progression (Murthy, Wu et al. 2013, McNair, Urbanucci et al. 2017). However, evidence indicates that AR function in the cell cycle depends on the concentration of its agonist. Enigmatically, the proliferative effects of androgens depend on their concentration, exhibiting a biphasic response in prostate cancer cells. More specifically, doseresponses of LNCaP cells treated with R1881 (synthetic androgen) can be divided into proliferative and antiproliferative phases (Figure 1.11). Importantly, cell cycle analysis revealed that antiproliferative doses of androgens cause cell cycle arrest arrested in the G1 phase (De Launoit, Veilleux et al. 1991).


Figure 1.11. Biphasic response of LNCaP cells treated with increasing doses of R1881 for 72h (Roediger, Hessenkemper et al. 2014).

These observations support the idea that AR liganded with an antiproliferative supraphysiologic dose of androgens causes an interruption in the cell cycle progression, leading to cell cycle arrest. Although the key mechanism triggering this cell cycle arrest have not been precisely determined, several mechanisms have been suggested. First, GSEA analysis on transcriptomic data generated from different AR-positive prostate cancer cell lines shows that treatment with 10 nM R188 significantly represses the expression of some gene sets including Myc and E2F1 target genes (Figure 1.12). Since the integrated function of Myc and activated E2F1 is required for S phase entry (Leung, Ehmann et al. 2008), suppression of Myc expression by high-dose androgens may lead to repression of E2F1/E2F1 target genes, which potentially leads to cell cycle arrest (Roediger, Hessenkemper et al. 2014).


Figure 1.12. Results of GSEA analysis showing enriched significant Hallmark genes sets (FDR <0.05) in 4 cell lines treated with 10 nM R1881. Red dots: Androgen receptor-related gene sets; Blue dots: cell cycle-related gene sets (Nyquist, Corella et al. 2019)


Figure 1.13. Model of AR interaction with replication machinery in the cell cycle. High-dose Androgen therapy may interrupt the AR dissociation from chromatin avoiding DNA re-licensing.

Linked to a non-transcriptional function of $A R$, another mechanism has been also proposed for cell cycle arrest by high-dose androgens. According to the proposed model, AR has a role in DNA licensing in androgen-sensitive prostate cancer cells, required for DNA replication in the $S$ phase (Litvinov, Vander Griend et al. 2006). This AR function is mediated through the AR interactions with some DNA licensing factors such as Orc2, Cdc6 and MCM7 (Shi, Yan et al. 2008, Jin and Fondell 2009) (Figure 1.13). In this mechanism, liganded-AR binds to the origins of replication sites in the G1 phase and forms a complex with other factors, licensing these sites for replication within the $S$ phase. In the G2 phase, AR remains bound to DNA, which prevents the re-licensing and subsequently re-initiation of DNA replication before the next cell cycle. However, following the G2 phase and in mitosis, AR is excluded from DNA and degraded, which allows the initiation of a new DNA licensing and re-initiation of DNA replication in the next cell cycle (D'Antonio, Vander Griend et al. 2009). Current evidence suggests that high-dose androgens may interrupt the DNA licensing role of AR, arresting the cell cycle progression from G1 to S . In this model, acute increase in the androgen levels up to supraphysiological levels causes insufficient degradation of AR during the mitosis/early G1 leading to interruption in DNA re-licensing and re-initiation of DNA replication (Figure 1.13).

Mechanistically, the function of cell cycle proteins including licensing factors is precisely regulated through the phase-specific cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs)(Reusswig, Zimmermann et al. 2016). For example, CDK1, overexpressed in prostate cancer tumours, is an M-phase protein involved in G2-to-M transition (Liu, Kao et al. 2008). Expression of CDK1 is induced directly by AR and the association of CDK1 with AR tightly binds to increase the AR stability, localization, and chromatin binding, which are mainly mediated by AR S81
phosphorylation (Lee and Chang 2003, Chen, Xu et al. 2006, Wang, Li et al. 2009, Sharma, Yeow et al. 2010, Chen, Gulla et al. 2012). Suppression of AR transcriptional activity following CDK1 inhibition supports the importance of this CDK1-AR feedback loop (Liu, Gao et al. 2017). Interestingly, a study by Koryakina et al. (Koryakina, Knudsen et al. 2015) demonstrated that AR S308 phosphorylation by CDK1 in the nucleus of mitotic prostate cancer cells is crucial for AR exclusion from chromosomes and nucleus. Therefore, given the proposed role of AR as a licensing factor, high-dose androgens may also, either directly or indirectly, interrupt the post-transcriptional regulation of $A R$ by CDKs, leading to insufficient AR dissociation/degradation in mitosis.

### 1.16.2. AR-induced cell cycle arrest accumulates activated-Retinoblastoma protein inducing tumour suppressor function to AR

AR activation by a high dose of androgens can trigger the repression of gene sets required for cell cycle progression including DNA repair/replication genes (Niu, Altuwaijri et al. 2008). Analysis of AR binding profiles shows that high-dose androgens cause recruitment of AR proteins to gene sets involved in DNA replication/repair and integration of AR cistrome with transcriptomic data confirms the repression of those gene sets, suggesting a direct tumour suppressor function of AR through its transcriptional activity (Figure 1.14) (Gao, Gao et al. 2016).

AR transcriptional repressor function can be mediated through the recruitment of transcriptional repressor proteins (Cai, He et al. 2011). One of the key transcriptional repressors, which can be activated as a consequence of androgen-induced cell cycle arrest, is
$R b$ protein. Genomic studies on Rb cistrome revealed that $A R$ and $R b$ are co-localised on the promotor of DNA replication genes suppressed by high-dose androgens. Given that activated Rb can bind to the promoter of E2F1 target genes (Sharma, Yeow et al. 2010), current evidence suggests that Rb acts as an AR coregulator to repress E2F1 target genes. Importantly, although androgen-induced cell cycle arrest seems to be Rb-independent (Vander Griend, Litvinov et al. 2014); however, Rb deficiency, which is significantly overrepresented in CRPC tumours, may interfere with AR-dependent repression of DNA replication genes (Sharma, Yeow et al. 2010). Therefore, coupling the BAT with other therapeutic strategies can potentially improve the response of patients with Rb-deficiency to BAT.


Figure 1.14. Rb activation by high-dose androgens leads to co-localisation of $A R$ and $R b$ on the promoter of DNA replication/repair genes leading to the suppression of their expression (Gao, Gao et al. 2016).

### 1.16.3. High dose androgen can induce lethal dsDNA breaks

Chromosomal translocations in prostate epithelial cells is one of the key mechanisms leading to the development and progression of prostate cancer. As described in section 1.8, ERGTMPRSS2 fusion is the most frequent genomic rearrangements presented in $50 \%-70 \%$ of prostate tumours resulting in overexpression of ETS oncogenes in an androgen-dependent manner, which can help in the progression of prostate cancer (Carver, Tran et al. 2009, Li, Yuan et al. 2020).

Rearrangements of DNA fragments in prostate cancer cells is mediated by inducing sitespecific double-stranded DNA breaks (DSBs)(Kloosterman, Tavakoli-Yaraki et al. 2012). In prostate cancer cells, AR has two key roles in inducing the DSBs: 1) binding to specific regions on DNA and 2) inducing spatial proximity between DNA fragments. Toward this end, ligandedAR binds to specific intronic regions mediating intra- and interchromosomal interactions through the recruitment of enzymes needed for alterations in local epigenetic markers. Local epigenetic remodelling by liganded-AR not only causes chromosomal movement but also makes these regions accessible for enzymes generating DSBs (Lin, Yang et al. 2009).

Multiple enzymes can induce DSBs at AR binding sites. For example, Lin et al (Lin, Yang et al. 2009) reported that exogenous genotoxic stresses can cause the expression of genotoxicassociated enzymes including activation-induced cytidine deaminase (AID) and LINE-I repeatencoded ORF2 endonucleases, which can bind to AR-induced accessible sites on DNA and establish DSBs. In this study, the presence of genotoxic stresses has been shown to be crucial
for causing the genomic breaks. However, a study by Haffner et al. (Haffner, De Marzo et al. 2011) supports the notion that intrinsic androgen signalling could also be sufficient to generate transient genomic breaks needed for genomic rearrangement in prostate cancer cells. Based on this mechanism, androgen stimulation causes the recruitment of TOP2B at specific AR binding sites inducing extremely fleeting recombinogenic DSBs. Subsequently, androgen-induced DSBs are quickly targeted by DSB repair machinery. More importantly, TOP2B-mediated DSBs has been shown to be crucial for AR transcriptional activity since targeting TOP2B leads to the interruption in the expression of AR-target genes (Ju, Lunyak et al. 2006, Haffner, De Marzo et al. 2011).

In the context of bipolar androgen therapy, current evidence suggests that cyclic activation of AR by supraphysiologic levels of an androgen and castration may lead to unrepaired DSBs, which can induce cell cycle arrest and cell death (Chatterjee, Schweizer et al. 2019). Theoretically, DNA damage could be exacerbated by AR's ability to down-regulate DNA repair gene pathways (see section above) (Gao, Gao et al. 2016). This hypothesis can explain why a patient with BRCA2/ATM deficiency showed an extreme response to high-dose androgen therapy (Teply, Kachhap et al. 2017).

However, the negative feedback loop between androgen level and AR gene expression and/or a lower level of AR protein in a subset of tumours with AR-indifferent phenotype may attenuate the DSBs generation (Chatterjee, Schweizer et al. 2019, Handle, Prekovic et al. 2019). More importantly, the highest frequency of Rb deficiency in a patient with CRPC can also restrict the repression of DNA repair/replication genes in an Rb-dependent manner
(section 1.14.2) (McNair, Xu et al. 2018). Another important point to raise is that, although patients with a deficiency in DNA repair pathways are expected to show better response; however, there is a considerable variation among patients with different genomic aberrations in terms of response to BAT (Figure 1.15) (Schweizer, Antonarakis et al. 2019), indicating that genomic deficiency in DNA repair pathways cannot be a reliable index for segregation of patients in terms of response to BAT. Therefore, the exact mechanism(s) involved in response to high-dose androgen therapy remains imprecise.

| Biomarker panel | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PSA50 } \\ & \text { response } \\ & \text { rate } \end{aligned}$ | P-value | Median PFS, mo | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hazard Ratio } \\ & \text { (95\% C1) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HRD | 14/30 (47\%) | 0.02 | 5.48 | $\begin{gathered} 0.90(0.53- \\ 1.52, \mathrm{P}=0.69) \end{gathered}$ |
| No HRD | 6/32 (19\%) |  | 5.34 |  |
| TP53 mutation | 11/27 (41\%) | 0.12 | 5.38 | $\begin{gathered} 0.70(0.40- \\ 1.22, \mathrm{P}=0.21) \end{gathered}$ |
| No TP53 mutation | 7/32 (22\%) |  | 5.44 |  |
| HRD and/or TP53 mutation | 20/47 (43\%) | 0.008 | 5.51 | $\begin{gathered} 0.59(0.33- \\ 1.08, \mathrm{P}= \\ 0.087) \end{gathered}$ |
| No HRD or TP53 mutation | 1/16 (6.3\%) |  | 4.62 |  |

Figure 1.15. PSA response rate of CRPC patients received BAT (Schweizer, Antonarakis et al. 2019).

### 1.17. Hypothesis and aims

The preliminary data from high-throughput screening of nuclear receptor ligands by my host lab suggests that a synthetic Testosterone analogue, named Methyl-Testosterone (MeT), potently suppresses the proliferation of LNCaP cells. Therefore, we hypothesized that MeT acts as a potent androgen suppressing cell proliferation. As outlined above, while several mechanisms underlying the efficacy of supraphysiological androgen treatment in PCa have been described, many questions still remain. We hypothesised that a potent androgen like MeT could be a useful tool to gain a more complete understanding of these mechanisms as well as having potential as a therapeutic. With this in mind, the specific aims of my project are:

## Aims:

1. Characterise the antiproliferative effects of MeT in different prostate cancer models.
2. Define the $A R$ cistrome and $A R$-induced transcriptome after activation with MeT.
3. Further elucidate the major mechanisms involved in the therapeutic efficacy of high-dose androgens.

## Chapter 2: Material and Methods

### 2.1. Material

## Table 2.1: Common chemical and reagents

| Reagents | Supplier | Catalogue number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium-high glucose | Sigma Aldrich | D6429 |
| RPMI 1640 liquid media | Sigma Aldrich | R8758 |
| RPMI 1640 phenol red free | Sigma Aldrich | R7509 |
| Trypsin EDTA solution | Sigma Aldrich | T4049 |
| Bradford assay reagent | BioRad | 500-0006 |
| BSA (bovine serum albumin) | Sigma Aldrich | A9647 |
| Chloroform | Sigma Aldrich | C2432 |
| DMSO (dimethyl sulfoxide) | BDG Laboratory Supplies | D2650 |
| Ethanol, molecular grade | Scharlau | ET00110500 |
| FBS (fetal bovine serum) | Sigma Aldrich | 14M357 |
| Glycerol | Chem Supply | GA010-2.5L-P |
| iScirpt cDNA synthesis kit | BioRad | 170-8891 |
| iQ SYBR Green Supermix | BioRad | 170-8885 |
| Inactivation buffer (supplied with Turbo free) | Ambion Inc. | AM1907 |
| Methanol | Chem Supply | MA004-2.5L-P |
| Nitrocellulose membrane (0.4 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | Amersham | GEHE10600016 |
| Nuclease free water | Qiagen | 129114 |
| PBS (phosphate-buffered saline) | Gibco | 14190 |
| Ponceau S | Sigma Aldrich | P3504 |
| LEGENDplex ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Human Type 1/2/3 Interferon Panel (5-plex) with V-bottom Plate | Biolegend | 740396 |
| SDS (sodium dodecyl sulphate) | Sigma Aldrich | 75746 |
| Triton-X 100 | Sigma Aldrich | T8787 |
| TRIZOL Reagent | Sigma Aldrich | T9424 |
| 100 bp DNA ladder | New England Biolabs | N3231S |
| Agarose, analytical grade | Sigma Aldrich | A6013 |
| Poly(I:C) (LMW) / LyoVec ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ | Invivogen | tlrl-picwlv |
| Criterion precast gel (4-12\%) | BioRad | 567-1084 |
| DAPI prolong gold mount media | Molecular Probes (Life Tech) | P26935 |
| 17 $\alpha$-Methyltestosterone/ <br> Mesterone | Sigma Aldrich | M7252 |

## Table 2.2: Commonly Used Buffers and Media

| Buffer/Medium Name | Buffer/Medium Components |
| :---: | :---: |
| RIPA Buffer for protein extraction | $1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{SDS} ; 0.93 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{DTT} ; 1.2 \mathrm{mg}$ Bromophenol blue; 7 mL Tris-Cl/SDS (4x); 3 mL Glycerol, 10 mL Milli-Q water; Store at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Running Buffer (10x) | 77.5 g Tris Base; 360 g Glycine; 25 g SDS; 2.5 L RO H2O |
| TBS (10x) | 151.5 g Tris ; 219 g NaCl ; Volume to 2.5 L with water ( pH 7.4 ) |
| TBST (1x) | 2.5 mL Tween 20 ; 250 mL 10x TBS ; 2.25L RO H2O |
| Transfer Buffer (10x) | 77.5 g Tris ; 360 g Glycine ; Volume to 2.5 L with water |
| Tris-HCl (0.5M), pH 8.0 and pH 7.6 | $12.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Tris-HCl} ; 200 \mathrm{ml}$ Milli-Q water |
| HEPES-KOH (0.5M), pH 7.5 | 59.5 g HEPES; $500 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{Milli-Q} \mathrm{water}$ |
| $\mathrm{NaCl}(5 \mathrm{M})$ | $29 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaCl} ; 100 \mathrm{ml}$ Milli-Q water |
| EDTA (0.5M) | 9.3 g EDTA; 50 ml Milli-Q water |
| EGTA (0.1M) | 1.9 g EGTA; 50 ml Milli-Q water |
| LiCl (5M) | $21.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{LiCl} ; 100 \mathrm{ml}$ Milli-Q water |
| SDS (10\%) | 20 g SDS; 200 ml Milli-Q water |
| Na-Deoxycholate (5\%) | 10 g Na -Deoxycholate; $200 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{Milli-Q} \mathrm{water}$ |
| N-laurylsarcosine (5\%) | 10 g N -laurylsarcosine; 200 ml Milli-Q water |
| PBS + PI | 1 x tablet of Complete Mini Protease Inhibitor Cocktail in 10 ml PBS |
| Triton Extraction Buffer (TEB) | $0.5 \%$ Triton-X 100, 2 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), and 0.02\% (w/v) NaN3 |
| NaCl 500 mM | $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of NaCl 5 M ; $18 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sterile water |
| Triton X-100 (10\%) | 1 ml of $100 \%$ Triton X-100; 9 ml Milli-Q water |

Table 2.3: Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) Buffers

| Solution name | Final Conc | Stock concentration | Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Solution A | 1\% Formaldehyde | 40\% | 2 ml |
|  | 50mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.5 | 0.5M | 8 ml |
|  | 100 mM NaCl | 5M | 1.6 ml |
|  | 1mM EDTA | 0.5M | 160 ml |
|  | 0.5 mM EGTA | 0.1M | 400ml |
|  | water |  | 67.84 ml |
|  | Total volume |  | 80 ml |
| Block Solution | 0.5\% BSA |  | 250 mg |
|  | PBS |  | to 50 ml |
| LB1 | 50mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.5 | 0.5M | 10 ml |
|  | 140 mM NaCl | 5M | 2.8 ml |
|  | 10\% glycerol | 100\% | 10 ml |
|  | 1mM EDTA | 0.5M | 200 ml |
|  | 0.5\% NP-40 | 100\% | 500ml |
|  | 0.25\% Triton X-100 | 100\% | 250 ml |
|  | water |  | 76.25 ml |
|  | Total volume |  | 100 ml |
| LB2 | 10mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0 | 0.5M | 2 ml |
|  | 200 mM NaCl | 5M | 4 ml |
|  | 1mM EDTA | 0.5M | 200 ml |
|  | 0.5 mM EGTA | 0.1M | 500ml |
|  | water |  | 93.3 ml |
|  | TOTAL |  | 100ml |
| LB3 | 10mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0 | 0.5M | 1 ml |
|  | 100 mM NaCl | 5M | 1 ml |
|  | 1mM EDTA | 0.5M | 100ml |
|  | 0.5 mM EGTA | 0.1M | 250 ml |
|  | 0.1\% Na-Deoxycholate | 5\% | 1 ml |
|  | 0.5\% N-laurylsarcosine | 5\% | 5 ml |
|  | water |  | 41.65 ml |
|  | Total volume |  | 50 ml |
| RIPA Buffer | 50mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.5 | 0.5M | 10 ml |
|  | 500 mM LiCl | 5M | 10 ml |
|  | 1mM EDTA | 0.5M | 200 ml |
|  | 1\% NP40 | 100\% | 1 ml |
|  | 0.7\% Na-Deoxycholate | 5\% | 14 ml |
|  | water |  | 64.8 ml |
|  | Total volume |  | 100 ml |
| TBS | 20mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6 | 0.5M | 2 ml |


|  | 150 mM NaCl | 5 M | 1.5 ml |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | water |  | 46.5 ml |
|  | Total volume | 50 ml |  |
|  | 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0 | 0.5 M | 1 ml |
|  | 10 mM EDTA | 0.5 M | 200 ml |
|  | $1 \%$ SDS | $10 \%$ | 1 ml |
|  | water |  | 7.8 ml |
|  | Total volume | 10 ml |  |

## Table 2.4: Primers

| Primer Name | Sequence | Use |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FANCI-RT-Fwd | CTGCCCTGGCTACGAAAAAG | ChIP-PCR |
| FANCI-RT-Rev | CATATTGCTGATCCCACCTGC | ChIP-PCR |
| LMNB1-RT-Fwd | TGCCCTTTGTGCTGTAATCG | ChIP-PCR |
| LMNB1-RT-Rev | GACCGTGATAAGGAGGGGAC | ChIP-PCR |
| MCM7-RT-Fwd | CCTACCAGCCGATCCAGTCT | ChIP-PCR |
| MCM7-RT-Rev | CCTCCTGAGCGGTTGGTTT | ChIP-PCR |
| BLM-RT-Fwd | CAGCAGCGGAACATAAGAAGG | ChIP-PCR |
| BLM-RT-Rev | GCCAAGAAGACTGGCATCAC | ChIP-PCR |
| FANCI-Fwd | CTGCCCTGGCTACGAAAAAG | qRT-PCR |
| FANCI-Rev | CATATTGCTGATCCCACCTGC | qRT-PCR |
| LMNB1-Fwd | TGCCCTTTGTGCTGTAATCG | qRT-PCR |
| LMNB1-Rev | GACCGTGATAAGGAGGGGAC | qRT-PCR |
| MCM7-Fwd | CCTACCAGCCGATCCAGTCT | qRT-PCR |
| MCM7-Rev | CCTCCTGAGCGGTTGGTTT | qRT-PCR |
| CCNA2-Fwd | CAGAAAACCATTGGTCCCTC | qRT-PCR |
| CCNA2-Rev | CACTCACTGGCTTTTCATCTTC | qRT-PCR |
| STING-Fwd | AGCATTACAACAACCTGCTACG | qRT-PCR |
| STING-Rev | GTTGGGGTCAGCCATACTCAG | qRT-PCR |
| ERV3-env-Fwd | CCATGGGAAGCAAGGGAACT | qRT-PCR |
| ERV3-env-Rev | CTTTCCCCAGCGAGCAATAC | qRT-PCR |
| HERV-W-Fwd | TGAGTCAATTCTCATACCTG | qRT-PCR |
| HERV-W-Rev | AGTTAAGAGTTCTTGGGTGG | qRT-PCR |
| HERVE Fwd | GGTGTCACTACTCAATACAC | qRT-PCR |
| HERVE-Rev | GCAGCCTAGGTCTCTGG | qRT-PCR |
| HERV F-Fwd | CCTCCAGTCACAACAACTC | qRT-PCR |
| HERV F-Rev | TATTGAAGAAGGCGGCTGG | qRT-PCR |
| ERVL-Fwd | ATATCCTGCCTGGATGGGGT | qRT-PCR |
| ERVL-Rew | GAGCTTCTTAGTCCTCCTGTGT | qRT-PCR |
| HERV-K-Fwd | ATTGGCAACACCGTATTCTGCT | qRT-PCR |
| HERV-K-Rev | CAGTCAAAATATGGACGGATGGT | qRT-PCR |
| DNMT1-Fwd | GCGTTCCGGCTGAACAAC | qRT-PCR |
| DNMT1-Rev | GCATCTCCACGTCTCCCT | qRT-PCR |
| EZH2--RT-fwd | GTGGAGAGATTATTTCTCAAGATG | qRT-PCR |
| EZH2-RT-Rev | CCGACATACTTCAGGGCATCAGCC | qRT-PCR |
| B2M-RT-fwd | TGACTTTGTCACAGCCCAAG | qRT-PCR |
| B2M-RT-Rev | AGCAAGCAAGCAGAATTTGG | qRT-PCR |
| HLA-A-RT-fwd | GGCCCTGACCCAGACCTG | qRT-PCR |
| HLA-A-RT-Rev | GCACGAACTGCGTGTCGTC | qRT-PCR |
| HLA-B-RT-fwd | ACTGAGCTTGTGGAGACCAGA | qRT-PCR |
| HLA-B-RT-Rev | GCAGCCCCTCATGCTGT | qRT-PCR |
| HLA-C-RT-fwd | CTGGCCCTGACCGAGACCTG | qRT-PCR |
| HLA-C-RT-Rev | CGCTTGTACTTCTGTGTCTCC | qRT-PCR |
| IFN-beta-RT-fwd | GCCATCAGTCACTTAAACAGC | qRT-PCR |
| IFN-beta-RT-Rev | GAAACTGAAGATCTCCTAGCCT | qRT-PCR |


| ISG15-RT-fwd | CCTTCAGCTCTGACACC | qRT-PCR |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ISG15-RT-Rev | CGAACTCATCTTTGCCAGTACA | qRT-PCR |
| IRF7-RT-fwd | GTGGACTGAGGGCTTGTAG | qRT-PCR |
| IRF7-RT-Rev | TCAACACCTGTGACTTCATGT | qRT-PCR |
| MDA5-RT-fwd | GAGCAACTTCTTTCAACCACAG | qRT-PCR |
| MDA5-RT-Rev | CACTTCCTTCTGCCAAACTTG | qRT-PCR |
| MAVS-RT-fwd | AGGAGACAGATGGAGACACA | qRT-PCR |
| MAVS-RT-Rev | CAGAACTGGGCAGTACCC | qRT-PCR |
| RIG-I-RT-fwd | CCAGCATTACTAGTCAGAAGGAA | qRT-PCR |
| RIG-I-RT-Rev | CACAGTGCAATCTTGTCATCC | qRT-PCR |

## Table 2.5: Antibodies

| Primary Antibody | Dilution | Application | Catalogue <br> number/Supplier |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| mouse anti-dsRNA | $1: 1000$ | IF | Scicons English |
| MHC class I | $1: 1000$ | Flow cytometry | BioLegend |
| p-STAT1 | $1: 1000$ | Western Blotting | Cell Signalling Technology |
| STAT1 | $1: 1000$ | Western Blotting | Cell Signalling Technology |
| Phospho-Rb <br> Antibody | $1: 1000$ | Western Blotting | Cell signalling |
| Rb (4H1) Mouse mAb | $1: 1000$ | Western Blotting | Cell signalling |
| TBK1/NAK Antibody | $1: 1000$ | Western Blotting | Cell signalling (\#3013) |
| Phospho-TBK1/NAK (Ser172) <br> (D52C2) XP® | $1: 1000$ | Western Blotting | Cell signalling (\#5483) |
| Tri-Methyl-Histone <br> (Lys27) (C36B11) H3 | $1: 1000$ | ChIP, <br> Blotting | Western |
| Cell signalling |  |  |  |
| Anti-phospho-Histone H2A.X | $1: 1000$ | Western Blotting | Merck Millipore |
| Tubulin | $1: 5000$ | Western Blotting | Merck Millipore |
| GAPDH | $1: 5000$ | Western Blotting | MAB374, Merck |
| Goat anti-rabbit | $1: 2000$ | Western Blotting | PO448, DAKO |
| Goat anti-mouse | $1: 2000$ | Western Blotting | PO161, DAKO |

### 2.2. Methods

### 2.2.1. Reviving, maintaining, passaging and freezing of cell lines

The human prostate carcinoma cell lines, LNCaP, VCaP, PC3, and, 22Rv1 C4-2B, were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). The LNCaP-V16D, LNCaP-MR42D and LNCaP-MR49F cell lines were obtained from Dr Amina Zoubeidi's lab at the Vancouver Prostate Centre. WPMY-1 was obtained from Dr Mitchell Lawrence's lab at Monash University and CWR-R1-D567 was obtained from Dr Scott Dehm's lab at Masonic Cancer Centre of the University of Minnesota.

C4-2B, 22Rv1, LNCaP, and LNCaP-V16D cell lines were maintained in RPMI-1640 containing 10\% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) and 2 mM L-Glutamine. PC3 and WPMY-1 cell lines were cultured in RPMI-1640 containing 5\% FBS and 2 mM L-Glutamine. LNCaP-MR42D and LNCaPMR49F were maintained in RPMI-1640 containing 10\% FBS, 10 uM Enzalutamide and 2 mM L-Glutamine. CWR-R1-D567 cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 containing 10\% CSS and 2 mM L-Glutamine. VCaP cells were maintained in DMEM high glucose containing 10\% FBS, 2 mM L-Glutamine, 2 mM Sodium Pyruvate, and 2 mM of non-essential amino acids solution. All cell lines were authenticated by short tandem repeat profiling by CellBank Australia and were regularly screened for potential mycoplasma contamination. Cell revival was carried out through a quick throwing of vials in a $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ water bath, followed by slowly mixing with 7 ml of appropriate cell culture media. The cell suspension was centrifuged at 252 g for 5 minutes, and then, pellets were resuspended in 2 ml of media and transferred into a T25 culture flask with 5 ml fresh media. Flasks were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to be passaged when $70-$
$80 \%$ confluency was reached. Passaging was initiated by removing the media, washing the cells with PBS, and trypsinization with $0.25 \%$ trypsin. After a short incubation time after trypsinization, trypsin was neutralized with a full medium containing $10 \%$ FBS and cell suspension were spun down at 252 g for 5 minutes to resuspend and plate the required number of cells into flasks or plates. For cryopreserving cultured cells, a flask with about 70$80 \%$ cell confluency was washed and trypsinized, and after trypsin neutralization, the cell suspension was centrifuged at 252 g for 5 minutes and cell pellets were suspended in freezing media containing $10 \%$ DMSO, $40 \%$ FBS, $50 \%$ culture media at a cell density of 1-2 million cells $/ \mathrm{ml}$. Finally, 1 ml of cell suspension was added to labelled cryo-vials, and placed in isopropanol filled freezing container at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before transferring the frozen cells into liquid nitrogen.

### 2.2.2. Trypan blue exclusion assay

Depending on the doubling time and length of proliferation assay, cells were seeded at specific densities in multi-well plates. After cell seeding, plates were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ for at least 24 hours to allow cells to be attached to the plate surface before treatment. At the appropriate time-points, cells were treated with androgens prepared freshly in cell culture media, followed by incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ until the next timepoint. Past studies indicate that for1 nM DHT is considered to recapitulate a physiological dose (i.e. a dose replicating normal DHT levels in prostate tumours) whereas 100 nM is used to recapitulate a supraphysiological level of DHT (Jaaskelainen, Deeb et al. 2006, Li, Chan et al. 2013, Schweizer, Antonarakis et al. 2015, Hedayati, Haffner et al. 2016). We used these
studies to guide our dosing of in vitro PCa models to mimic physiological and supraphysiological conditions.

At the end of each time-point, cell viability in allocated plates was assessed using the Trypan blue exclusion assay. To determine the number of live cells in allocated plates, the culture media, and the PBS used for washing were collected followed by treatment of cells with $0.25 \%$ trypsin. After 2-3 minutes of incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, trypsin was neutralised through adding a culture media containing FBS or CSS, and then the cell suspension was added to the previously collected media and PBS. Subsequently, the cell suspension was spun down at 252 g for 5 minutes and after removing the supernatants, cell pellets were re-suspended in an appropriate volume of media. The cell suspension was mixed with Trypan Blue at a 1:1 ratio and live cells were counted using the haemocytometer.

### 2.2.3. Cell growth assay using an IncuCyte platform

IncuCyte is a live-cell imaging and analysis platform, allowing to monitor and quantify the cell behaviour over time. For assessment of cell proliferation using the IncuCyte, $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of cell suspension at the appropriate cell density was seeded in 96 -well plates and plates were incubated overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. On day $0,50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of media containing freshly prepared drugs and IncuCyte dyes were added to the wells. IncuCyte ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ NucLight ${ }^{T M}$ (1:1500) was used for labelling the live cells, and Sytox Green dye (1:1000 from 100 nM stock) was used to identify the dead cells. Following the treatment at day 0, automated imaging was carried out until day 7 as the final time-point. Drug re-treatment was carried out on day 3. Image analysis was performed using IncuCyte ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ software.

### 2.2.4. Western Blotting

### 2.2.4.1. Preparation of Cell Lysates

To prepare protein lysates, cells were plated at the appropriate seeding density in 6 -well plates. To collect cells, media was removed and cells were washed with ice-cold PBS and subsequently, cells were scrapped on ice into $100 \mu$ l of RIPA buffer. Protein lysates were spun down at $10,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 10 min and supernatants were stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.2.4.2. Bradford Assay

The total protein concentration of extracted cell lysates was determined using the Bradford assay. The assay was carried out in a 96 -well flat-bottomed plate. In this experiment, $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of each sample was added in wells contained $159 \mu$ l Baxter water for irrigation. To quantify the protein concentration in cell lysates, a standard curve was prepared by adding an increasing amount of Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) ( $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) ranging from 0 to $6 \mu$ g in wells allocated for standard samples (in duplicate). $40 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Bradford reagent was pipetted into each well to a total volume of $200 \mu$ l. The plate was mixed and incubated at RT for 5 min before being read at 595 nm on a PolarStar microplate reader. The quantification of protein in each sample was performed using the standard curve.

### 2.2.4.3. Sodium Dodecyl Sulphate Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)

Western immunoblotting was carried out in BioRad precast SDS-PAGE gels (4-12\%). $20 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of total protein was mixed with $6 x$ loading dye and heated at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes, after which samples were loaded in the gel to run at a constant 120 V for 90 min . Gels were run in an
immunoblotting running buffer. $6 \mu$ l of Precision Plus Protein Dual Color Standards was used as a size marker.

### 2.2.4.4. Western Transfer and Immunoblotting

After running the SDS-PAGE gel, proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane in a 1x Transfer Buffer using the BioRad Criterion Blotter at a constant 400 mA for 60 minutes. To verify the protein transfer, the membrane was stained with Ponceau S, followed by overnight blocking at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a blocking buffer containing $1 \%$ skim milk powder or $2 \%$ BSA dissolved in TBST (1x). Subsequently, the membrane was probed using primary and then HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies at room temperature (for 2 hours each). HRP-bound antibody-protein complex was detected using ECL solution, imaged on a BioRad Chemidoc MP imaging system and analysed using Image Lab Software.

### 2.2.5. Gene expression and transcriptome analysis

### 2.2.5.1. RNA isolation from cell lines

To isolate RNA from cells, they were grown and treated in 6-well plates. At appropriate time points, media was removed from wells and cells were harvested in 1 mL Trizol per well and collected into 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes. Following a 15 -minutes incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of chloroform was added into each tube which was then vigorously shaken for 15 s before a 3 min incubation at room temperature. Then, samples were centrifuged at $12,000 \mathrm{~g}$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to isolate the aqueous phase on the top layer. The supernatant was transferred into a new tube containing $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Glyco-blue (Life Technologies), 2.5 volume $100 \%$ ethanol, 10 mM MgCl , and 0.1 volume 5 M NaCl . Samples were incubated overnight at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by spinning
down at $12,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 30 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. RNA pellets then were washed using $80 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ and resuspended in $20 \mu$ l nuclease-free water. RNA concentration and quality were quantified using Thermo Scientific NanoDrop 2000. Samples were stored at -80 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until further use for qRT-PCR or sequencing (RNA-seq).

### 2.2.5.2. DNase Treatment

To avoid the interference of DNA in downstream applications, RNA samples were treated with a TURBO DNA-free ${ }^{T M}$ DNase Treatment kit according to the manufacturer's instruction (Ambion cat\#AM1907). For DNase treatment, 2 to 4 ug of RNA dissolved in $44 \mu$ l RNase free water was mixed with DNase reaction mixture containing $5 \mu$ of $10 x$ Turbo DNAse Buffer and $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ TURBO DNase enzyme, followed by a 30 -minutes incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Following the incubation, $5 \mu$ l of DNase inactivation reagent was added to the samples and after a 5 -minutes incubation at room temperature, samples were spun down at 10000 g for 1.5 minutes. 47 ll of supernatant was transferred into new tubes containing $50 \mu \mathrm{l} 75 \%$ isopropanol and $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Glycoblue and incubated overnight at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Samples were centrifuged at 16.1 g for 20 minutes at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and RNA pellets were dried after washing with 1 ml of $75 \%$ ethanol. Dried RNA pellets were re-suspended in $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of TE buffer, and after a 10 minutes incubation at 55 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, they were quantified using the Nanodrop.

### 2.2.5.3. Reverse Transcription

Using the iScriptTM Reverse Transcription kit, DNase-treated RNA samples were converted into cDNA according to the manufacturer's instruction. For a reverse transcriptase (RT) reaction, 500 ng of RNA sample (diluted to $15 \mu$ with TE buffer) was mixed with iScript master
mix containing $4 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of iScript reaction mix and $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of reverse transcriptase enzyme. Two control samples also were prepared including one "No-RNA" sample and one "No-RT" sample; the No-RNA sample contained $15 \mu$ l nuclease-free water and $5 \mu$ iScript master mix and the No-RT sample contained all components (including RNA) except for reverse transcriptase. RT reactions were performed by incubating samples at room temperature for 5 minutes, $42{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes and $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes. The prepared cDNA samples were diluted 1:5 in nuclease-free water and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until running the polymerase chain (PCR) reaction.

### 2.2.5.4. Quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)

Gene expression was examined via quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) assay using a BioRad C1000 Thermal Cycler and CFX384TM Real-Time System. The qRT-PCR reaction was performed by preparing a mixture of $0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ forward primer ( $5 \mathrm{pmol} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ ), $0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ reverse primer ( $5 \mathrm{pmol} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ ), $5 \mu \mathrm{IQ}$-SYBR Green Supermix, $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ RNase free water, and $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ cDNA. The qRT-PCR samples were prepared in three biological and three technical replicates, followed by a 3-step PCR program including 1) 3 minutes in at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 2) 40 cycles of 15 sec at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{sec}$ at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-62$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (depending on the annealing temperature of primers used), and 30 sec at $72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 3) 1 minute at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1$ minute at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $10 \sec 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Data were analysed using CFX Manager Software Version 3.0 (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.). Expression of target genes was calculated by the $2-\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ method relative to the expression of GAPDH (reference gene) as described previously (Schmittgen and Livak 2008).

### 2.2.5.5. RNA-seq

LNCaP cells were seeded at the appropriate seeding density in 6-well plates and treated with Vehicle, MeT 1 nM, DHT 1 nM , and a combination of MeT $1 \mathrm{nM}+$ DHT 1 nM and total RNA was extracted at 6 hours and 24 hours after treatment as described in section 2.2.5.1. For each treatment condition, three biological replicates were used to generate samples for RNAseq. RNA concentration was quantified by Nanodrop 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and total RNA ( $2 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) was supplied to the South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute (SAHMRI) for RNA integrity check, library preparation and high throughput sequencing. The integrity of RNA samples was assessed using The 2100 Bioanalyzer system (The Agilent). RNA sequencing libraries were constructed with TruSeq ${ }^{\circledR}$ Total RNA HT kit (Illumina) and libraries were sequenced on the Illumina NextSeq 500 platform with the stranded, paired-end read of 80bp.

### 2.2.6. Immunofluorescence

LNCaP cells were seeded on glass coverslips in 6 -well plates. To improve cell adhesion, glass coverslips were coated with 1:8 diluted L-Poly-Lysine. After treating the cells, at appropriate time points, cells were fixed in 4\% paraformaldehyde for 10 minutes, permeabilized in $0.1 \%$ Triton X-100 for 15 minutes, and blocked in $2.5 \%$ BSA solution for 1 hour. The coverslips then were incubated with a primary antibody and incubated overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by washing (twice with 5 min intervals) and then incubation with a fluorescent-tagged secondary antibody for 1 hour at room temperature. Cell nuclei were visualised by co-staining the cells with 4'-6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Invitrogen) for 1 min. Imaging was carried out
using a confocal microscope (Olympus FV3000 Confocal Microscope) and analysed using the Image J software (Schneider, Rasband et al. 2012).

### 2.2.7. Cell cycle analysis by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS)

Cells were seeded in 6 -well plates and incubated overnight at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. At appropriate time points, cells were trypsinized after washing with a freshly prepared wash buffer containing PBS with $2 \%$ FBS. After trypsin treatment, the cells were pipetted to resuspend and break up any clumps. The cell suspension was added to a 5 ml FACS tube (containing previously collected cell culture media), each well was washed with PBS, and then this wash was added to tubes. Tubes were centrifuged at 700 g for 5 min . Cell pellets were re-suspended and washed with 1 ml PBS, followed by centrifugation at 700 g for 5 minutes. After removing supernatants, cell pellets were resuspended in residual liquid by flicking the tubes and at the end, 1 ml ice-cold $70 \%$ EtOH in PBS was added into each tubes containing the cell suspension to be fixed overnight at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Following cell fixation with ethanol, cells were centrifuged at 700 g for 5 minutes and the cell pellets were washed twice with 1 ml HBSS + 2\% FBS. Cells were then stained with 1 ml of DAPI (10 ug/mL). The prepared cell suspension was used for cell cycle analysis based on DNA content using BD FACSCanto II flow cytometer (Analyser). Following values/options were applied as a Cytometer Settings: FSC (forward scatter): 284, linear, signal height (H) and area (A) were measured; SSC (side scatter): 236, log, signal height $(H)$ and area (A) were measured; DAPI: 277, linear, signal height $(H)$, width (W) and area (A) were measured. FSC threshold was adjusted to 1200 . After acquiring 50,000 events from each tube, the analysis was carried out using the FlowJo software program.

### 2.2.8. LINE-I ELISA assay for assessment of DNA methylation

The Global DNA Methylation-LINE-I Kit was used to assess the DNA methylation status of Long Interspersed Nucleotide Element 1 (LINE-I) repeat elements, which serves as a proxy for global DNA methylation level.

### 2.2.8.1. Extraction of genomic DNA

Genomic DNA was isolated using the QIAamp® DNA Mini kit according to the manufacturer's instruction. Cells were grown and treated in a 6-well plate and at the appropriate time points, (see Chapter 3) media was removed. Cells were washed with PBS and then treated with trypsin as described above, followed by the addition of media containing FBS to neutralise the trypsin. Subsequently, the cell suspension was centrifuged at 300 g for 5 min , and the supernatant was removed. Cell pellets were re-suspended in $200 \mu \mathrm{I}$ PBS and $20 \mu$ l proteinase K was added into cell suspension. After adding the proteinase $\mathrm{K}, 200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Buffer AL was added and the mixture was mixed by pulse-vortexing for 15 sec , followed by incubation at $56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. After brief centrifugation, $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $96-100 \%$ ethanol was added to the samples and mixed by pulse-vortexing for 15 sec . Samples were then applied to a QIAamp Mini spin column and spun down at 6000 g for 1 minute. The tubes containing the filtrates were discarded and the spin columns were placed in clean 2 ml collection tubes. $500 \mathrm{\mu l}$ of Buffer AW1 was then added into each column, which was followed by spinning down at 6000 g for 1 minute, and then replacing the tubes containing the filtrates with clean 2 ml collection tubes. $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Buffer AW2 was then added into the columns, after which they were centrifuged at 16.1 g speed for 3 minutes. After replacing the collection tubes with clean
tubes, columns were centrifuged at 16.1 g speed for 1 minute and then collection tubes were replaced with 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes. Finally, $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of AE buffer was added to each column and after incubation at room temperature for 1 min the columns centrifuged at 6000 $g$ for 1 min to elute DNA. DNA yield was assessed by Nanodrop and samples were stored at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.2.8.2. Msel Digestion of Genomic DNA

The methylation status of LINE-I elements is detected through the hybridization of LINE-I probes with DNA fragments generated by Msel-mediated digestion reaction. Digestion reactions were performed by adding $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of genomic DNA ( $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) into a reaction mixture consisting of $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ reaction buffer (10x), $0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Msel enzyme (10U/ $\mu \mathrm{l}$ ), and $7.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sterile water. Sample tubes were mixed by pipetting and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 4 hours of incubation, Msel enzymatic activity was stopped by heating the tubes at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 minutes. After digestion, DNA concentration was measured by Nanodrop. Digested DNA samples were stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until further use.

### 2.2.8.3. DNA Sample Hybridization

For DNA hybridization, $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of digested DNA samples ( $4 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) was added into 0.2 ml PCR tubes (in triplicates) followed by adding $25 \mu \mathrm{I}$ of LINE-I probe solution. For quantification of DNA methylation in experimental samples, a standard curve was needed to be prepared using standards provided in the kit. Methylated and non-methylated DNA standards were mixed in different combinations to prepare seven standard samples with a known DNA methylation status. Then, in labelled PCR tubes, $25 \mu$ l of each standard sample ( 100 ng DNA/well) was
mixed with $25 \mu$ I of LINE-I probe solution. Finally, all samples were placed in a thermal cycler and incubated as following: $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes, $68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour, and a quick ramp to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.2.8.4. DNA binding to the streptavidin-coated plate and colorimetric detection

After DNA hybridization, the content of each PCR tube was transferred into an allocated well coated with streptavidin and then the plate was incubated at room temperature for 1 hour with mild agitation. Subsequently, the contents of each well were removed by quickly inverting the plate, followed by washing wells $3 x$ with $200 \mu \mathrm{IX}$ Xuffer W (10 minutes each wash). After each wash, the contents of wells were removed by pipette and $200 \mu$ of Assay Buffer AM3 (blocking buffer) was added to each well and then incubated for 30 minutes. After removing the blocking buffer, $100 \mu$ l of diluted 5-Methylcytosine antibody was added per well and incubated for 1 hour, followed by $3 x$ washes with $200 \mu$ l 1 X Wash Buffer. $100 \mu$ l of diluted HRP-conjugated anti-mouse antibody was then added to each well for 1 hour at room temperature. Wells were then washed $3 x$ using $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 1 X Wash Buffer before adding 100 $\mu \mathrm{l}$ developing solution to each well. The reaction was stopped by adding $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of stop solution when a medium to dark blue colour was evident in the standard well with the highest concentration of methylated DNA. Absorbance was read using a spectrophotometer at 450 nm . The percentage of $5-\mathrm{mC}$ associated with each sample was analysed using the prepared standard curve.

### 2.2.9. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)

### 2.2.9.1. Cell treatment and cross-linking

LNCaP cells were seeded in 15 cm culture dishes at $5 \times 10^{6} /$ plate (for AR-ChIP; three plates per replicate) and $\times 10^{6} /$ plate (for H 3 K 27 me 3 -ChIP; one plate per replicate) in their normal growth medium. For AR-ChIP, phenol-red-free medium supplemented with $5 \%$ DCC-stripped FBS was used and cells were allowed to grow for 2 days prior to treatment with Vehicle (Ethanol), MeT 1 nM , and DHT 1 nM for 4 hours. For H3K27me3 ChIP, phenol-red-free medium supplemented with $5 \%$ FBS was used and cells were allowed to grow for 1 day before treating the cells with Vehicle (Ethanol), MeT (1nM and 100nM), and DHT (1nM and 100nM) for 72 hours. To crosslink, the target protein to DNA, 20 ml of pre-warmed Solution A containing freshly added $1 \%$ formaldehyde was added to each 15 cm -cell culture dish. Plates were incubated for 10 minutes in the fume hood, and then formaldehyde was quenched by adding 2 ml of 1 M glycine ( pH 7.5 ) and incubating for 5 minutes. Subsequently, cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS, after which cells were scraped into $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ PBS + PI per 15 cm dish. After transferring the harvested cells into 2.0 ml Eppendorf tubes, they were centrifuged at 7,168 $g$ for 3 minutes at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and cell pellets were resuspended in $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ PBS + PI. Centrifugation and removal of the supernatant were repeated and then cell pellets were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 $\varrho^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until further use.

### 2.2.9.2. Preparation of magnetic beads

Dynabeads (Protein A, Invitrogen) were used for conjugation with AR antibody (Abcam; ab108341) or H3K27me3 antibody (cell Signalling; C36B11). Dynabeads were vortexed to be ensured they were fully resuspended. Then, $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ per ChIP was added into a 2 ml , round-
bottomed, Eppendorf tube and put in a magnetic stand, on ice. The supernatant was removed and beads were washed and blocked three times with cold 1 ml PBS $+5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ BSA. After washing, beads were re-suspended in $500 \mu$ l of cold PBS/BSA and $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} /$ IP of AR antibody or $7.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{IP} \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~K} 27 \mathrm{me} 3$ antibody was added into the tubes containing the prepared dynabeads. Tubes were rotated at $12-20 \mathrm{rpm}$ overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.2.9.3. Sonication and immunoprecipitation

Cell pellets were resuspended in 1 ml of Lysis Buffer 1 (LB1) + PI and then tubes were rotated at 4 C for 10 minutes, centrifuged at $2,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 5 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, after which supernatants were removed. Cell pellets were then resuspended in 1 ml LB2 +PI , rotated at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes, centrifuged at 2000 g for 5 minutes at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, after which supernatants were removed. Cell pellets were then resuspended in $300 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of LB3 + PI per each 15 cm plate. $300 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of cell suspension from each replicate were transferred into 1.5 ml TPX sonication tubes (Diagenode) and they were sonicated (Bioruptor Plus, Diagenode) as follows: 30s on and 30s off, on high, for 10 cycles. Ice was added to water in the sonicator after every round to avoid increasing the temperature. Sonicated samples from each replicate were re-pooled and $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ aliquot of the sonicated chromatin was evaluated by agarose gel electrophoresis as following protocol. $5 \mu$ l of sonicated DNA was transferred into a $250 \mu \mathrm{I}$ PCR tube containing $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of NaCl 500 mM and the final volume was adjusted to $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ with sterile water. Samples were heated in a thermocycler at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 minutes, followed by ramping the temperature down to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, tubes were removed from the thermocycler and incubated at room temperature for 5 minutes. Reverse cross-linked samples were run on $1.2 \%$ agarose gel to check the sonication. Fragment sizes should be ideally approximately 200-500 bp.

After confirming the sonication efficiency on an agarose gel, 10\% Triton X-100 dissolved in LB3+PI was added into pooled sonicated samples to a final concentration of $1 \%$. Tubes were then centrifuged at $20,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 10 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In the meantime, magnetic beads prepared in 2.2.9.2 were washed 3 x using 1 ml ice-cold PBS/BSA using a magnetic stand to remove unbound antibodies, followed by re-suspending in $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of LB3+PI+1\% triton. Sonicated chromatin supernatants were transferred into fresh 2 ml tubes and $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ from each sample was kept as an Input sample. Then, $100 \mu$ l of conjugated magnetic beads were added to the tubes containing sonicated chromatin and diluted with LB3 (+PI) with a final concentration of $1 \%$ Triton $\mathrm{X}-100$ to $\sim 1.8 \mathrm{ml}$. tubes were rotated overnight at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.2.9.3. Reverse cross-linking and DNA isolation

After overnight incubation of beads with chromatin samples, beads were washed 6 x with 1 ml ice-cold ChIP-RIPA buffer using a magnetic stand. $200 \mu$ l of elution buffer was then added into each tube and incubated on a thermal shaker at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min , with a brief vortexing every 5 min . Reverse crosslinking of samples was performed by 18 hours incubation at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At the same time, $150 \mu$ l of elution buffer was also added to each Input sample which was prepared after sonication and had been stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by 18 hours incubation at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After incubation time, tubes were placed on a magnetic stand and supernatants containing eluted antibody: target: DNA complexes were transferred into fresh Eppendorf tubes.

In the next step, $200 \mu$ l of TE buffer and $8 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ RNAse A were added into each sample, and then the tubes were incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. Subsequently, $4 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ Proteinase K was added per sample and tubes were incubated at $55{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours. $400 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of

Phenol: Chloroform: Isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) was added per tube and after mixing for 15 sec the suspension was added into pre-spun 5PRIME phase-lock gel column (Quanta Biosciences). Phase-lock columns were centrifuged for 5 minutes at $10,000 \mathrm{~g}$ at room temperature, followed by transferring the upper layer ( $\sim 400 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) into an Eppendorf tube containing $16 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 5 M NaCl and $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ glycogen $(20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{l}) .800 \mu \mathrm{l} 100 \%$ ethanol was added per tube and samples were incubated overnight at $-80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The next day, samples were centrifuged at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 16.1 g for 20 minutes. The supernatant was removed and DNA pellets were washed using $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ cold $70 \%$ ethanol. After spinning down at full speed for 5 minutes at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ethanol was removed and pellets were air-dried at room temperature. After drying the pellets, DNA samples were resuspended in $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 10 mM Tris HCl pH 8.0. Samples were stored at $-80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until analysis by qPCR or Illumina sequencing.

### 2.2.9.4. Preparing the ChIP DNA samples for next-generation sequencing

DNA concentration was measured by Qubit dsDNA HS assay, according to the manufacturer's instruction (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Briefly, $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Qubit working Solution for each standard and sample was prepared by diluting the fluorescent reagent 1:200 in the kit buffer. To prepare the standards, $10 \mu$ l of each standard vials (low and high) were mixed with a 190 $\mu \mathrm{l}$ working solution. Qubit samples were prepared by mixing $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of each sample with $199 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of working solution. Samples were vortexed briefly and incubated for 2 minutes at room temperature. Using the standards, DNA concentration in each sample was measured by the Qubit Fluorometer. 5 ng of ChIP DNA (ChIP-enriched or input) were used for ChIP-sequencing library preparation using an Illumina TruSeq ChIP Library Prep kit (Illumina). Prepared samples
were sequenced on the Illumina Nextseq 500 platform using the single-end protocol with a read length of 75 bp at the South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute (SAHMRI).

### 2.2.9.5. PCR analysis of ChIP DNA

For ChIP-PCR reactions, iQ SYBR Green Supermix (BIO-RAD) and primers as listed in Table 2.5 were used. For the AR-ChIP PCR experiment, KLK3 was used as a positive control for AR binding, and a non-coding region of DNA named NC2 was used as a negative control. PCR was performed using the CFX384 Real-Time PCR Detection System (BIO-RAD) and standard cycling conditions at the optimised annealing temperature. Enrichment of target factor in ChIP-PCR was analysed as the percentage of input.

### 2.2.10. RNA-seq analysis:

The quality of raw data was initially assessed using the FastQC platform (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/). The raw FASTQ files were then filtered for short sequences using Cutadapt v1.16.6 (Martin 2011) with the following settings: minimum overlap length in Adaptor options: 3, Minimum length in filter options: 20, maximum error rate: 0.1 , quality cut-off: 20 . The quality of filtered FASTQ files (averaging 30 million read pairs per sample) were checked again using the FastQC program. Reads were mapped against the human reference genome (hg38) using the STAR spliced alignment algorithm version 2.6 .0 b-2 (Dobin, Davis et al. 2013) with default parameters. FeatureCounts was used to count and assign the reads in generated BAM files to genomic features (Liao, Smyth et al. 2014). Count tables generated by featureCount were used for differential expression analysis using DESeq2 (Love, Huber et al. 2014). Statistically, p-adj $\leq 0.05$ were
used to identify the differentially expressed genes (DEGs). Principal component analysis and the gene expression visualisation were performed using ClustVis (Metsalu and Vilo 2015).

### 2.2.11. ChIP-seq analysis:

The quality of raw FastQ files was checked using FastQC v0.72 (https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/). The poor-quality reads were removed using Trimmomatic Galaxy v.0.35 (Bolger, Lohse et al. 2014) and subsequently, raw data were aligned to GRCh37 (hg19) genome assembly using Bowtie2 version: 2.3.4.3 with default parameters (Langmead, Trapnell et al. 2009). SAMtools was used to remove the lowquality mapped reads (MAPQ < 10), multi-mapping reads, and PCR duplicates (Li, Handsaker et al. 2009). Peak calling from alignment results were carried out using MACS2 callpeak v 2.1.1.20160309.6, with minimum FDR (q-value) cutoff for peak detection 0.05 (Zhang, Liu et al. 2008, Feng, Liu et al. 2012). BAMCoverage was used to convert BAM files to bigwig, followed by data visualisation using the Integrative Genomics Viewer (Ji, Jiang et al. 2008, Robinson, Thorvaldsdóttir et al. 2011). deepTools was used to generate the Heatmaps (Galaxy Version 3.3.2.0.0) (Ramírez, Ryan et al. 2016). Peak annotations were performed using Cisgenome v2.0 (Ji, Jiang et al. 2008).

### 2.2.12. Statistical analyses:

Statistical analyses were done using GraphPad Prism 9. Detailed methods for statistical analysis are included in figure legends or the individual Chapter methods.

# Chapter 3: Potent stimulation of the androgen receptor instigates a viral mimicry response in prostate cancer 

## Statement of Authorship

| Title of Paper | Potent stimulation of the androgen receptor instigates a viral mimicry response in <br> prostate cancer |
| :--- | :--- |
| Publication Status | Г Published |
|  | V Submitted for Publication $\quad$Accepted for Publication <br> Unpublished and Unsubmitted work written in <br> manuscript style |
| Publication Details |  |

Principal Author

| Name of Principal Author (Candidate) | Mohammadreza Alizadeh Ghodsi |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contribution to the Paper | Performed experiments (cell culture, growth assays, Western blots, apoptosis assays, qRT-PCR, ChIP-PCR, ChIP-seq, RNA-seq, transactivation assays, immunofluorescence), analysed and interpreted data (including bioinformatics analysis of ChIP-seq and RNA-seq); designed experiments; generated figures; co-wrote manuscript. |  |
| Overall percentage (\%) | 70\% |  |
| Certification: | This paper reports on original research I conducted during the period of my Higher Degree by Research candidature and is not subject to any obligations or contractual agreements with a third party that would constrain its inclusion in this thesis. I am the primary author of this paper. |  |
| Signature | Date | 26/05/2021 |

## Co-Author Contributions

By signing the Statement of Authorship, each author certifies that:
i. the candidate's stated contribution to the publication is accurate (as detailed above);
ii. permission is granted for the candidate in include the publication in the thesis; and
iii. the sum of all co-author contributions is equal to $100 \%$ less the candidate's stated contribution.

| Name of Co-Author | Katie L. Owen |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contribution to the Paper | Designed and conducted RM1 experiments; contributed to data analysis and interpretation. |  |  |  |
| Signature |  | Date | 13/5 | 21 |


| Name of Co-Author | Scott Townley |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Contribution to the Paper | Assisted with experimental design and performed experiments (cell culture, qRT-PCR, <br> transactivation assay, growth assays). |  |
| Signature |  | Date |

Please cut and paste additional co-author panels here as required.

| Name of Co-Author | Damien Zanker |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contribution to the Paper | Designed and conducted RM1 experiments: contributed to data analysis and interpretation. |  |
| Signature | Date | $13-05-2021$ |


| Name of Co-Author | Adrienne Hanson |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contribution to the Paper | Assisted with experimental design and performed experiments (cell culture, <br> qRT-PCR, LINE-1 DNA methylation assays). |  |  |  |  |
| Signature |  |  |  |  |  |


| Name of Co-Author | Raj Shrestha |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Contribution to the Paper | Assisted with experimental design and performed experiments <br> (apoptosis assays). <br>  <br> Signature | $\|l\| l\|l\|$ |


| Name of Co-Author | John Toubia |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Contribution to the Paper | Assisted with analysis of RNA-seq and ChIP-seq data. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Signature |  |  | Date |


| Name of Co-Author | Tessa Gargett |
| :--- | :--- |
| Contribution to the Paper | Assisted with multiplex IFNs measurement by FACS. |
|  |  |
| Signature |  |



| Name of Co-Author | Igor Chernukhin |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Contribution to the Paper | Assisted with analysis of RNA-seq data (expression of repetitive elements); <br> assisted with interpretation of transcriptomic data. |
| Signature |  |


| Name of Co-Author | Jason Carroll |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Contribution to the Paper | Assisted with analysis of RNA-seq data (expression of repetitive elements); <br> assisted with interpretation of transcriptomic data. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Signature |  |  | Date |


| Name of Co-Au'hor | Jean M. Winter |
| :--- | :--- |
| Contribution to the Paper | Generated preliminary data; assisted with experimental design. |
|  |  |
| Signature |  |


| Name of Co-Author | Lisa M. Butler |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Contribution to the Paper | Generated preliminary data (primary tumour explant study); assisted with <br> experimental design. |  |
| Signature |  |  |


| Name of Co-Author | Benjamin Thierry |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Contribution to the Paper | Project co-supervisor; assisted with experimental design. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Signature |  |  |  |


| Name of Co-Author | Mitchell G. Lawrence |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Contribution to the Paper | Generated preliminary data; assisted with experimental design. |  |
|  |  |  |
| Signature |  |  |


| Name of Co-Author | Gail Risbridger |
| :--- | :--- |
| Contribution to the Paper | Generated preliminary data; assisted with experimental design. |
|  |  |
| Signature |  |

v

| Name of Co-Author | Renea Taylor |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Contribution to the Paper | Generated preliminary data; assisted with experimental design. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Signature |  |  | Date |  |


| Name of Co-Author | Theresa E. Hickey |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Contribution to the Paper | Project conceptualization and funding; assisted with experimental design and data <br> interpretation. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Signature |  |  | Date | 24/05/2021 |


| Name of Co-Author | Belinda S. Parker |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Contribution to the Paper | Designed and conducted RM1 experiments; contributed to data analysis <br> and interpretation. |  |
|  |  |  |
| Signature |  |  |


| Name of Co-Author | Wayne Tilley |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Contribution to the Paper | Project supervisor; project conceptualization and funding; assisted with <br> experimental analysis and data interpretation. |  |  |
| Signature |  |  |  |


| Name of Co-Author | Luke A. Selth |
| :--- | :--- |
| Contribution to the Paper | Project principal supervisor; conceived project; designed <br> experiments; (including bioinformatics analysis of ChiP-seq and <br> RNA-seq); generated figures; co-wrote the manuscrip. |
|  |  |
| Signature |  |
|  |  |

# Potent stimulation of the androgen receptor instigates a viral mimicry response in prostate cancer 

Mohammadreza Alizadeh Ghodsi ${ }^{1}$, Katie L. Owen ${ }^{2,3}$, Scott Townley ${ }^{1,4}$, Damien Zanker ${ }^{2,3}$, Adrienne Hanson ${ }^{4}$, Raj Shrestha ${ }^{1,4}$, John Toubia ${ }^{5,6}$, Tessa Gargett ${ }^{5}$, Igor Chernukhin ${ }^{7}$, Jason Carroll ${ }^{7}$, Kaylene Simpson ${ }^{8}$, Jean M. Winter ${ }^{1}$, Mitchell G. Lawrence ${ }^{9,10}$, Lisa M. Butler ${ }^{11,12}$, Gail Risbridger ${ }^{9,10,12}$, Benjamin Thierry ${ }^{13,14}$, Renea Taylor ${ }^{9,15}$, Theresa E. Hickey ${ }^{1}$, Belinda S. Parker ${ }^{2,12}$, Wayne D. Tilley ${ }^{1}$ and Luke A. Selth ${ }^{1,3,11^{*}}$

1. Dame Roma Mitchell Cancer Research Laboratories and Freemasons Centre for Male Health and Wellbeing, Adelaide Medical School, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia.
2. Cancer Immunology Program, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Melbourne, VIC 3000, Australia.
3. Sir Peter MacCallum Department of Oncology, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC 3000, Australia.
4. Flinders Health and Medical Research Institute, Flinders University, Bedford Park, SA 5042, Australia.
5. Centre for Cancer Biology, University of South Australia and SA Pathology, Adelaide, SA 5000, Australia
6. ACRF Cancer Genomics Facility, Centre for Cancer Biology, SA Pathology and University of South Australia, Frome Road, Adelaide, SA, 5000, Australia
7. Cancer Research UK Cambridge Institute, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK.
8. Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Victorian Centre for Functional Genomics, Melbourne, VIC 3000, Australia.
9. Department of Anatomy and Developmental Biology, Monash Partners Comprehensive Cancer Consortium, Monash Biomedicine Discovery Institute, Prostate Cancer Research Group, Monash University, Clayton, VIC 3168, Australia.
10. Cancer Research Program, Cancer Research Division, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC 3000, Australia.
11. South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute, Adelaide, SA 5000, Australia.
12. Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5000, Australia.
13. ARC Centre of Excellence in Convergent Bio and Nano Science and Technology, University of South Australia, Frome Road, Adelaide, SA 5000, Australia
14. Future Industries Institute, University of South Australia, Mawson Lakes, SA 5095, Australia.
15. Department of Physiology, Monash Partners Comprehensive Cancer Consortium, Monash Biomedicine Discovery Institute, Prostate Cancer Research Group, Monash University, Clayton, VIC 3168, Australia.
*For correspondence: luke.selth@flinders.edu.au


#### Abstract

Inhibiting the androgen receptor (AR), a ligand-activated transcription factor, with androgen deprivation therapy is a standard-of-care treatment for metastatic prostate cancer (PCa). Paradoxically, recent studies have suggested that "extreme" activation of AR using high doses of androgens can - similarly to suppression of AR activity - inhibit the growth of PCa. This study exploited a potent synthetic androgen, methyltestosterone (MeT), to investigate the mechanism of action of high dose androgen therapy. MeT strongly inhibited the growth of PCa cells expressing $A R$, but not AR-negative models. By integrating ChIP-seq and RNA-seq data, we found that the genes and pathways regulated by MeT were highly analogous to those regulated by DHT, although MeT educed a quantitatively greater androgenic response. The transcriptomic analysis also revealed that MeT caused dysregulation of transposable element expression, with long-term treatment resulting in upregulation of endogenous retroviruses (ERVs). Mechanistically, increased expression of ERVs was linked to MeT-mediated down-regulation of DNA methyltransferases and global DNA hypomethylation. Increased ERV expression was associated with accumulation of double-stranded RNA and a "viral mimicry" response that resulted in activation of interferon signalling, upregulation of MHC Class I molecules and enhanced tumor cell immunogenicity as measured by enhanced recognition by tumour-specific $\mathrm{CD}^{+}$T cells. Importantly, we identified positive associations between AR activity and ERVs/anti-viral pathways in clinical datasets. Collectively, our study reveals that the potent androgen MeT can activate innate immune responses in PCa cells, a finding that has potential implications for the development of androgen-mediated strategies to sensitize PCa to immunotherapies.


## INTRODUCTION

Prostate cancer (PCa) cells are exquisitely dependent on androgens and the androgen receptor (AR) for growth and survival, which explains the efficacy of androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) as a treatment for advanced PCa. ADT is comprised of hormonal manipulations that reduce circulating androgen levels and/or directly block AR activity. While almost all men initially respond to ADT, the development of a therapy resistant disease state, referred to as castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC), is inevitable. In the vast majority of cases, resistance to ADT is mediated by adaptive alterations to the AR signalling axis, highlighting addiction to this pathway as a hallmark of PCa (Coutinho, Day et al. 2016).

AR is a transcription factor that, upon binding to androgen, translocates from the cytoplasm to the nucleus and interacts with specific cis-regulatory elements (termed androgen response elements) on chromatin to regulate a gene expression program that promotes growth, survival and metabolism of PCa cells. The transcriptional output of AR can be influenced by a multitude of parameters, including hundreds of co-regulators (Liu, Kumari et al. 2017), epigenetic factors (Gao and Alumkal 2010) and the concentration and composition of the androgenic milieu (Auchus and Sharifi 2020). Additional complexity arises from the evolution of AR signalling axis components during progression to CRPC. For example, direct changes to the $A R$ gene (mutation, amplification and rearrangements that result in AR splicing alterations) alter cellular responses to androgens, alternative ligands and anti-androgens, collectively enabling high AR activity despite ongoing ADT (Coutinho, Day et al. 2016).

Not surprisingly, most work on AR to date has focussed on its oncogenic functions. However, it is important to consider that in normal adult prostate epithelial cells AR promotes cellular quiescence by preserving luminal differentiation and protein-secretory activity. This understanding may explain the decades-old observation that administration of high doses of testosterone can result in clinical responses in men with CRPC (Huggins 1965). This apparent paradox is supported by pre-clinical studies demonstrating that low androgen levels promote growth of PCa whereas high androgen concentrations are growth-inhibitory (Langeler, van Uffelen et al. 1993, Kokontis, Hay et al. 1998, Mohammad, Nyquist et al. 2017). The concept of therapeutic application of androgens in PCa has culminated in recent clinical trials testing supraphysiological levels of testosterone (SupraT), which have yielded promising results in a subset of patients (Schweizer, Antonarakis et al. 2015, Teply, Wang et al. 2018, Denmeade, Wang et al. 2021, Markowski, Wang et al. 2021, Sena, Wang et al. 2021). In the clinic, SupraT is often combined with ADT such that patients are cycled between near-castrate and very high serum $T$ levels, a treatment strategy referred to as bipolar androgen therapy (BAT) (Schweizer, Antonarakis et al. 2015, Teply, Wang et al. 2018, Denmeade, Wang et al. 2021, Markowski, Wang et al. 2021, Sena, Wang et al. 2021).

A detailed understanding of the mechanism(s) by which androgens can inhibit PCa growth is important to optimise clinical benefit of SupraT/BTA. Numerous processes have been purported to explain the activity of SupraT, including AR transcriptional reprogramming (Gao, Gao et al. 2016, Nyquist, Corella et al. 2019) and AR's effects on the DNA damage response (Chatterjee, Schweizer et al. 2019), DNA replication (D'Antonio, Vander Griend et al. 2009) and oxidative stress (Bui, Huang et al. 2017), but the relative importance of each and whether
or not other anti-cancer effects exist are poorly understood. In this study, we investigated the mode of action of a synthetic androgen, $17 \alpha$-methyl-testosterone (MeT), which can potently inhibit PCa growth. By dissecting the transcriptome of MeT-activated AR, we uncovered a novel response of PCa cells to potent androgen action. Specifically, we demonstrate that MeT down-regulated DNA methyltransferases and hence reduced DNA methylation throughout the genome, an effect that was associated with increased expression of endogenous retrovirus transcripts, activation of interferon (IFN) signalling and enhanced immunogenicity of PCa cells. Thus, our findings demonstrate that potent androgenic action can cause viral mimicry in PCa cells, which may provide a basis for new targeted investigations into combining androgen therapies with immunotherapies.

## RESULTS

Methyl-testosterone is a potent activator of AR activity and suppressor of prostate cancer cell growth

In studies interrogating the therapeutic potential of AR ligands in PCa, we noted that MeT has strong growth-inhibitory activity in LNCaP cells grown in full serum (i.e. androgen replete conditions) at doses as low as 1 nM (Fig. 1A). Conversely, DHT only suppressed cell growth only at doses greater than 1 nM (Fig. 1A). Growth of the CRPC cell lines C42B, MR49F, and 22 Rv1 was also inhibited by MeT at doses ranging from 1-100 nM (Fig. 1B). DHT also suppressed the growth of C42B and MR49F cells but had no effect on 22Rv1 cells (Supplementary Fig. 1A). Importantly, neither the AR-negative model PC3 nor the R1-D567 model, which expresses an AR variant that lacks the ligand-binding domain (ARv567es), were affected by MeT (Supplementary Fig. 1B), indicating that growth suppression was a consequence of binding of MeT to AR .

To better understand the activity of MeT in PCa cells, we undertook a series of molecular assays. First, we compared MeT and DHT in a classic transcriptional activation assay using a probasin promoter:luciferase reporter construct (PB3-luc; (Jia, Kim et al. 2003)). At lower doses (0.1 nM and $0.5 \mathrm{nM})$, MeT more potently activated endogenous AR in LNCaP cells and exogenously-supplied AR in PC3 cells AR (Fig. 1C). No difference in transcriptional activity was observed between the 1 nM MeT and DHT treatments (Fig. 1C), possibly because of signal saturation, which is known to occur with these types of luciferase assays (Rakotondrafara and Miller 2008, Heise, Oppermann et al. 2013, Meliani, Leborgne et al. 2015). This experiment provided evidence that MeT could more potently induce the transcriptional activity of AR compared to the physiological ligand DHT.

Subsequently, to evaluate MeT regulation of AR at a global level and in a more physiological setting, we conducted AR ChIP-seq and RNA-seq in LNCaP cells. The AR-MeT cistrome was $\sim 3$-fold larger than the equivalent AR-DHT cistrome (Fig. 1D). However, heat maps and density plots of sequencing tags (Fig. 1E) revealed that the majority of MeT-induced AR binding sites were also targeted, albeit more weakly, by DHT-activated AR; hence, we refer to these as "MeT-enriched" (Fig. 1E). For both ligands, AR cistromes were mainly comprised of binding sites distal from gene promoters (Supplementary Table 1), which mirrors what has been reported previously (Tewari, Yardimci et al. 2012, Stelloo, Bergman et al. 2019). Although we cannot rule out the possibility that MeT creates additional AR binding sites in the LNCaP genome, our findings suggest that, in general, MeT did not lead to new AR binding events but rather enhanced its interaction with canonical regulatory elements. This concept was supported by transcriptomic analysis, which revealed that genes differentially expressed in response to $\operatorname{MeT}(\mathrm{n}=1212, \mathrm{FDR} \leq 0.05)$ were also altered by DHT in a directionally-consistent manner albeit to a lesser degree (Fig. 1F; Supplementary Data 1). This effect was most striking when assessing the 285 genes that were differentially expressed by DHT compared to vehicle (FDR $\leq 0.05$ ): 99\%
$(282 / 285)$ of these genes were also regulated by MeT, all of those 282 genes were regulated in the same direction by both hormones, and $99 \%$ (280/282) were more strongly regulated by MeT than by DHT (average 1.6-fold stronger downregulated and 1.1-fold stronger upregulated (Supplementary Data 1). The majority of genes altered by either hormone were downregulated (Fig. 1F and Supplementary Data 1). Collectively, these findings suggest that MeT is a potent activator of canonical AR functions that largely exhibits quantitative, rather than qualitative, differences to the endogenous ligand DHT.


Fig. 1. Methyl-testosterone has potent androgenic and growth suppressive activity in prostate cancer cells. (A) MeT potently suppresses the growth of LNCaP cells (left graph), as determined by Trypan blue growth assay. The response of cells to DHT is shown on the right. Error bars are $\pm$ SEM. P values (day 7) were determined using ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple comparisons tests (*, p < 0.05; **, $\mathrm{p}<0.01$; $^{* * *}, \mathrm{p}<0.001$; $^{* * * *, ~} \mathrm{p}<0.0001$ ). NS, not significant. (B) MeT inhibits the growth of CRPC models of PCa (C42B, MR49F and 22Rv1), as determined by Trypan blue growth assay. Statistical analysis was as for (A). (C) Activation of AR transcriptional activity by MeT in PC3 cells (top) and LNCaP cells (bottom). PC-3 cells were transfected with plasmids expressing or AR and a probasin-luciferase reporter for 4 h prior to a 20 h treatment with 1 nM DHT; LNCaP cells were transfected only with the probasin-luciferase reporter. Transcriptional activity values represent the mean of six biological replicates; results are representative of three independent experiments. Error bars are SEM. Unpaired t tests were used to compare MeT and DHT (***, p < 0.001; ****, p < 0.0001). (D) Venn diagram showing the overlap of AR cistromes in LNCaP cells treated with DHT or MeT (1 nM each). (E) Read density plots (top panels) and heatmaps (bottom panels) representing AR ChIP-seq peak sets from (D). (F) Heatmap of RNA-seq data for genes differentially expressed by 24 hours of MeT treatment (compared to Vehicle; FDR < 0.05). The heatmap was generated using ClustVis (Metsalu and Vilo 2015) after applying unit variance scaling to each gene.
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Supplementary Fig. 1. Anti-proliferative effects of MeT and DHT in prostate cancer models. (A) DHT inhibits the growth of C42B and MR49F, but not 22RV1, as determined by Trypan blue growth assays. Error bars are +SEM. P values were determined using ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple comparisons tests (*, p < 0.05; ${ }^{* *}, \mathrm{p}<0.01$; $^{* * *, ~ p ~<~ 0.001 ; ~}{ }^{* * * *, ~ p ~<~ 0.0001) . ~ N S, ~ n o t ~ s i g n i f i c a n t . ~(B) ~ M e t h y l-~}$ testosterone does not affect the growth of PC3 or R1-D567 prostate cancer cells, as determined by Trypan blue growth assays. . Error bars are $\pm$ SEM.

## Supplementary Table 1. Genomic distribution of AR cistromes

|  | MeT-AR peaks | DHT-AR peaks | MeT and DHT <br> Shared AR binding sites |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Peak number (FDR < 0.05) | 6491 | 1993 | 4123 |
| Intergenic (\%) | 51.13 | 51.33 | 51.30 |
| Intragenic (\%) | 48.87 | 48.67 | 48.70 |
| Exon (\%) | 2.67 | 2.91 | 2.67 |
| Intron (\%) | 46.50 | 45.96 | 46.35 |
| CDS (\%) | 0.94 | 0.75 | 0.82 |
| UTR (\%) | 1.74 | 2.16 | 1.89 |
| 5'UTR (\%) | 0.18 | 0.25 | 0.15 |
| 3'UTR (\%) | 1.57 | 1.96 | 1.77 |

* Peak locations were assessed using the CisGenome software.


## Methyl-testosterone suppresses DNA replication and repair pathways in prostate cancer cells

 Given its potent growth-inhibitory activity, we hypothesised that further dissecting the transcriptomic readouts of MeT-bound AR could yield new insights into mechanisms underlying the activity of high-dose androgen therapy in PCa. Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) (Subramanian, Tamayo et al. 2005) was used to identify ‘Hallmark' gene sets (Liberzon, Birger et al. 2015) altered by treatment with this potent androgen. Providing further evidence that MeT regulates a transcriptional program that is highly similar to endogenous androgens, the most upregulated hallmarks for both MeT and DHT were 'androgen response', 'protein secretion' and 'apical junction (Fig. 2A). Hallmarks that were robustly repressed by MeT/DHT were related to DNA replication and repair (i.e. E2F targets, MYC targets, G2M checkpoint, mitotic spindle, DNA repair; Fig. 2A), analogous to what has been reported for high-dose androgen treatment previously (Gao, Gao et al. 2016, Chatterjee, Schweizer et al. 2019, Nyquist, Corella et al. 2019). When we examined curated DNA repair (Chatterjee, Schweizer et al. 2019) and DNA replication (Gao, Gao et al. 2016) gene sets that were reported to be repressed by high-dose androgen treatment, we observed that MeT down-regulated these to a considerably greater extent than DHT (Fig. 2B-C). Many of these genes have been purported to be directly regulated by AR on the basis of its binding to proximal regulatory elements (Gao, Gao et al. 2016). Indeed, we found that AR binding near these genes was strongly stimulated by MeT and, to a lesser extent, DHT (Fig. 2D and Supplementary Fig. 2).A reported consequence of suppression of DNA repair and replication pathways by high-dose androgen treatment is cell cycle arrest (Tsihlias, Zhang et al. 2000, Chatterjee, Schweizer et
al. 2019). Flow cytometry revealed that MeT caused accumulation of cells in G1 phase and consequent reduction of cells in S and G2/M phases (Fig. 2E). The same dose of DHT did not have a significant effect on cell cycle (Fig. 2E), providing additional evidence that MeT is a more potent, yet canonical, androgen than DHT in terms of PCa cell growth suppression. One proposed mediator of G1 arrest by high-dose androgen treatment is increased DNA damage, occurring via a combination of AR-mediated double-stranded breaks (DSBs) (Haffner, De Marzo et al. 2011) and down-regulation of DNA repair genes (Chatterjee, Schweizer et al. 2019). However, MeT did not significantly increase the number of pH 2 AX foci (Fig. 2F), a marker of DSBs, suggesting that DNA damage is not a major mechanism underlying its growthsuppressive activity in PCa cells. Low dose, but not high dose, DHT caused a minor increase in the number of pH 2 AX foci (Fig. 2F), potentially representing a differential mode of action between the two androgens in relation to DNA damage and repair.


Fig. 2. DNA replication and repair pathways are repressed by potent androgenic stimulation of prostate cancer cells. (A) Normalized enrichment scores (NES) for top-ranked Hallmark gene sets (Liberzon, Birger et al. 2015) representing RNA-seq data from LNCaP cells treated with 1 nM MeT for 24 hours. (B-C) Heatmap of RNA-seq data for androgen-regulated genes associated with DNA repair (Chatterjee, Schweizer et al. 2019) and DNA replication (Gao, Gao et al. 2016) in LNCaP cells treated with 1 nM MeT or 1 nM DHT for 24 hours. Heatmaps were generated using ClustVis (Metsalu and Vilo 2015) after applying unit variance scaling to each gene. (D) Average read density plots for AR chromatin binding proximal ( $<100 \mathrm{~kb}$ ) to DNA repair/replication genes in LNCaP cells treated with 1 nM MeT or 1 nM DHT for 4 hours. (E) Cell cycle analysis by DAPI labelling and flow cytometry after 72 hours of treatment with 1 nM MeT or 1 nM DHT. Unpaired t tests were used to compare data at different cell cycle phases (i.e. G1, S and G2/M) between treatment groups (**, p < 0.01; ${ }^{* * * *, ~ p ~<~ 0.0001) . ~(F) ~}$ Assessment of DNA double-strand breaks after potent androgen treatments. pH 2 AX foci were quantitated in LNCaP cells 6 hours after treatment with MeT, DHT or a positive control $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$. Error bars are SEM. P values (day 7) were determined using ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple comparisons tests (*, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001; ****, p < 0.0001). NS, not significant.


Supplementary Fig 2. Androgen treatments mediates AR binding to genes involved in DNA replication andrepair. Genome browser images showing AR ChIP-seq signals at binding sites associated with BARD1, MCM6, LNMB1 and ATM in LNCaP cells treated with Vehicle, 1 nM MeT or 1 nM DHT for 4 hours.

## Methyl-testosterone causes DNA hypomethylation in prostate cancer cells

AR has a major role in regulating the epigenome via interplay with and transcriptional regulation of chromatin remodelling factors (Cai, Yuan et al. 2013), although little is known about how these mechanisms are altered in the context of high-dose androgen treatment. Our RNA-seq data revealed that MeT strongly down-regulated the DNA methyltransferases DNMT1 and DNMT3b in LNCaP cells (Fig. 3A), which we validated by qRT-PCR (Fig. 3B) and Western blotting (Fig. 3C and Supplementary Fig. 3). Gene signatures of response to DNMT inhibitors (Missiaglia, Donadelli et al. 2005, Kim, Zhong et al. 2006) were altered by MeT (Fig. 3D), suggesting that DNA hypomethylation and subsequent effects on transcription were occurring downstream of DNMT down-regulation. To directly test this idea, we assayed for 5Methylcytosine at long interspersed nuclear elements (LINEs), a proxy for global DNA methylation. In support of our expression profiling data, a decrease in global DNA methylation levels was observed in response to MeT and, to a lesser extent, DHT (Fig. 3E).


Fig. 3. Methyl-testosterone down-regulates DNA methyltransferases and causes DNA hypomethylation. (A) Expression of DNMT1, DNTM3A and DNMT3B, as determined by RNA-seq, of LNCaP cells following 24 hours of treatment with MeT or DHT (1 nM each) or a vehicle control. CPM, counts per million reads. Middle line, mean; above and below, $\pm$ SEM. P values (treatment compared to vehicle) were determined using ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple comparisons tests (*, p < 0.05; **,
 determined by qRT-PCR, following 24 hours of treatment with MeT or DHT ( 1 nM each) or a vehicle control. Gene expression was normalized to GAPDH; expression for Vehicle was set to 1. Error bars are SEM; P values (treatment compared to vehicle) were determined using ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple comparisons tests (*, p < 0.05; **, $\mathrm{p}<0.01$; $^{* * *}$, $\mathrm{p}<0.001$; $^{* * * *, ~} \mathrm{p}<0.0001$ ). (C) Representative Western blot showing DNMT1 protein levels following treatment of LNCaP cells with the indicated doses of MeT or DHT or vehicle control for 24 and 48 hours. GAPDH is shown as a loading control; each sample was pooled from two replicates. Quantification of DNMT1 protein (normalised to GAPDH) is shown on right. (D) Association between MeT-induced genes and a gene set upregulated by TSA and Decitabine (left) (Kim, Zhong et al. 2006) and between MeT-repressed genes and a set of genes downregulated following treatment with Decitabine (Missiaglia, Donadelli et al. 2005), as demonstrated by GSEA. NES, normalised enrichment score. (E) Global DNA methylation (5 mC; \% methylation of LINE-I elements) in LNCaP cells treated with indicated doses of MeT or DHT for 6 days. Decitabine ( $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) was used as a positive control. P values (treatment compared to vehicle) were determined using ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple comparisons tests (*, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001 ; $^{* * * *, ~ p ~<~ 0.0001) . ~}$

## C4-2B




Supplementary Fig. 3. Methyl-testosterone down-regulates DNMT1. Western blots showing DNMT1 protein levels following treatment of C4-2B (top) and V16D (bottom) cells with the indicated doses of MeT or DHT or vehicle control for 24 and 48 hours; each sample was pooled from two replicates. GAPDH is shown as a loading control. Quantification of DNMT1 protein (normalised to GAPDH) is shown on right.

Methyl-testosterone induces transcription of transposable elements and causes accumulation of dsRNA

The transcription of transposable elements (TEs), which constitute $\sim 45 \%$ of the human genome (Criscione, Zhang et al. 2014) and are comprised of distinct families including endogenous retroviruses (ERVs), LINEs and Short Interspersed Nuclear Elements (SINEs), is heavily influenced by DNA methylation (Reik 2007). Thus, we hypothesised that loss of DNA methylation in response to MeT could lead to altered TE expression. To test this hypothesis, we first interrogated levels of different TE classes within the ERV/LINE/SINE families in our short-term (24 hour) RNA-seq data. Similar to our analyses of the coding transcriptome, MeT caused substantial changes to expression of TEs whereas DHT had a less pronounced effect (Fig. 4A).

Having established that potent androgen treatment could alter the expression of TEs in 24 hours, we measured specific transcripts after 3-6 days of treatment, based on the earlier observation that loss of DNA methylation occurred over an equivalent period (Fig. 3E). We initially focussed our attention on LINEs, since these elements were specifically evaluated in the DNA methylation assays. LINE-I was weakly induced by MeT after 6 days of treatment, but its expression was not altered by DHT treatment (Supplementary Fig. 4A), a finding that was recapitulated in the CRPC cell line C4-2B (Supplementary Fig. 4B). Subsequently, we measured the expression of the major family members of ERVs, since these sequences of viral origin are known to influence various biological processes in cancer cells, including innate immune responses (Bannert, Hofmann et al. 2018). MeT induced ERV3-1 and HERV-K transcripts in LNCaP cells (Fig. 4B); HERV-E and HERV-W were not significantly altered but exhibited a trend
towards upregulation (Supplementary Fig. 4C). Analogous results - significant induction of ERV3-1 and HERV-K but not HERV-E or HERV-W - were observed in the C4-2B model, suggesting this is a general response of PCa cells to MeT (Fig. 4C, Supplementary Fig. 4D). As for protein-coding transcripts, equivalent doses of DHT caused similar qualitative changes to LINE/ERV expression but quantitatively weaker effects (Figs. 4B-C, Supplementary Fig. 4). Collectively, these findings demonstrate that the potent synthetic androgen MeT can induce expression of transposable elements, including ERVs, in a context-dependent manner in PCa cells.

Expression of some ERVs occurs bi-directionally and can thereby result in generation of dsRNA (Chiappinelli, Strissel et al. 2015). Since potent androgen treatment led to increased levels of the major classes of ERVs over a period of 3-6 days, we speculated that this could cause accumulation of dsRNA. Using an immunofluorescent approach with a dsRNA-specific antibody (J2), we found that MeT treatment elicited a profound increase in the level of cellular dsRNA (Fig. 4D). Indeed, 1 nM MeT resulted in more detectable dsRNA than 100 nM DHT and $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of Decitabine, a DNMT inhibitor (DNMTi) previously reported to induce dsRNA in other cancer cell types (Chiappinelli, Strissel et al. 2015, Roulois, Loo Yau et al. 2015, Topper, Vaz et al. 2017) (Fig. 4D). Collectively, these findings reveal that potent androgenic stimulation of PCa cells leads to dysregulation of TE transcription that is associated with accumulation of ERV transcripts and dsRNA.


Fig. 4. Induction of transposable element expression by methyl-testosterone is associated with production of dsRNA. (A) Principal component analysis (PCA) of transposable element expression (long terminal repeats, LINE and SINE elements) from RNA-seq data following treatment of LNCaP cells with MeT or DHT ( 1 nM each) for 24 hours. The plot was generated using ClustVis (Metsalu and Vilo 2015) after applying unit variance scaling to each element. (B) Expression of ERV3-1 and HERV-K, as determined by qRT-PCR, following 3 or 6 days of treatment with MeT or DHT ( 1 nM each) or a vehicle control. Expression of ERVs was normalized to GAPDH. Error bars are SEM; P values (treatment compared to vehicle) were determined using ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple comparisons tests ( ${ }^{*}$, p $<0.05 ;{ }^{* *}, \mathrm{p}<0.01 ;{ }^{* * *}, \mathrm{p}<0.001 ;{ }^{* * * *}$, p 0.0001). (C) Expression of ERV3-1 and HERV-K, as determined by qRT-PCR, following 3 days of treatment with MeT or DHT ( 1 nM each) or a vehicle control. Expression of ERVs was normalized to GAPDH. Error bars are SEM; statistical testing was as in (B). (D) Quantitation of cellular dsRNA by immunofluorescent staining with J 2 monoclonal antibody following 72 hours of treatment with MeT, DHT or a DNMT inhibitor (DNMTi), Decitabine. Error bars are SEM; P values (treatment compared to vehicle) were determined using ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple comparisons tests ( ${ }^{* * * *}$, $\mathrm{p}<0.0001$ ). (E) Representative images of J 2 immunofluorescence. J 2 signal, representing cellular dsRNA, is in green. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (blue).


Supplementary Fig. 4. Induction of transposable element expression by methyl-testosterone. (A-B) Expression of LINE-I, as determined by qRT-PCR, following treatment with MeT or DHT in LNCaP (A) and C4-2B (B) cells. Expression of LINE-I was normalized to GAPDH. Error bars are SEM; P values (treatment compared to vehicle) were determined using ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple comparisons tests $\left(^{*}, \mathrm{p}<0.05\right.$; $^{* *}, \mathrm{p}<0.01$ ). (C) Expression of HERV-E and HERV-W, as determined by qRT-PCR, following treatment with MeT or DHT in LNCaP cells. Expression of ERVs was normalized to GAPDH. Error bars are SEM; significance (treatment compared to vehicle) was determined using ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple comparisons tests. (D) Expression of HERV-E and HERV-W, as determined by qRTPCR, following treatment with MeT or DHT in C4-2B cells. Expression of ERVs was normalized to GAPDH. Error bars are SEM; significance (treatment compared to vehicle) was determined using ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple comparisons tests.

## Methyl-testosterone activates interferon signalling

Induction of ERV transcription and accumulation of dsRNA can activate cellular responses similar to those elicited by infection with an exogenous virus, a phenomenon termed "viral mimicry" (Bannert, Hofmann et al. 2018). Given the ability of MeT to modulate ERV transcription and induce dsRNA, we speculated that it could cause a viral mimicry response. To test this hypothesis, we first measured mRNA levels of the cytosolic pattern recognition receptor (PRR) RIG-I (encoded by the DDX58 gene), which is a major sensor of dsRNA produced during viral infection. We observed induction of $R I G-I$ in response to MeT and, to a lesser extent, DHT (Fig. 5A), which was confirmed by Western blotting (Fig. 5B). Another PRR involved in antiviral responses, STING, is best known for its role in sensing of cytosolic DNA but also serves as a detector of RNA viruses and can interact with RIG-I (Ni, Ma et al. 2018): similarly to RIG-I, STING was strongly upregulated by MeT in PCa cells (Fig. 5C). Downstream of PRRs, the mitochondrial antiviral signalling protein (MAVS) and TANK Binding Kinase 1 (TBK1) are required to activate innate immune anti-viral responses (Sun, Sun et al. 2006). As expected, MeT treatment increased the levels of MAVS mRNA and phosphorylated (active) TBK-1; DHT again caused analogous but blunted responses (Figs. 5C-D). Sensing of dsRNA by PRRs leads to activation of Type I IFN signalling (Gonzalez-Cao, Karachaliou et al. 2018). MeT treatment caused induction of IFN- $\beta$ (encoded by IFNB1) as well as IRF3 and IRF7, transcription factors that can activate IFN expression (Fig. 5E). Upregulation of IFN signalling by MeT was also observed in an independent cell line model, C4-2B (Supplementary Fig. 5). Collectively, these findings reveal that MeT activates an anti-viral response, likely due to its ability to increase cellular levels of dsRNA.


Fig. 5. Methyl-testosterone activates an interferon-mediated anti-viral response. (A) Expression of RIG-I as determined by qRT-PCR following 3 or 6 days of treatment with the indicated doses of MeT or DHT or a vehicle control. Gene expression was normalized to GAPDH. Error bars are SEM; P values (treatment compared to vehicle at each time-point) were determined using ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple comparisons tests ( ${ }^{* *}$, p < 0.01; ${ }^{* * *}, \mathrm{p}<0.001$; ${ }^{* * * *, ~} \mathrm{p}<0.0001$ ). (B) Western blot showing RIG-I protein levels following treatment of LNCaP cells with the indicated doses of MeT or DHT or vehicle control for 3 or 6 days. GAPDH is shown as a loading control. (C) Expression of STING as determined by qRT-PCR following 3 or 6 days of treatment with the indicated doses of MeT or DHT or a vehicle control. Gene expression was normalized to GAPDH. Error bars are SEM. Statistical analysis was as for (A) (***, p < 0.001; ****, p < 0.0001). (D) Expression of MAVS as determined by qRT-PCR following 3 or 6 days of treatment with the indicated doses of MeT or DHT or a vehicle control. Gene expression was normalized to GAPDH. Error bars are SEM. Statistical analysis was as for (A) (*, p < 0.05 ). ( $E$ ) Western blot showing levels of total and phosphorylated TBK1 following treatment of C4-2B cells with the indicated doses of MeT or DHT or vehicle control for 3 or 6 days. GAPDH is shown as a loading control. (F) Expression of IFN6 and IRF7 as determined by qRT-PCR following 3 or 6 days of treatment with the indicated doses of MeT or DHT or a vehicle control. Gene expression was normalized to GAPDH. Error bars are SEM. Statistical analysis was as for (A) (*, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001; ****, p < 0.0001).


Supplementary Fig. 5. Induction of IFN signalling by methyl-testosterone in C4-2B cells. Expression of IFNß (encoded by IFNB1), ISG15, IRF3 and IRF7, as determined by qRT-PCR, following treatment with MeT or DHT in C4-2B cells. Expression of genes was normalized to GAPDH. Error bars are SEM; P values (treatment compared to vehicle) were determined using ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple comparisons tests ( ${ }^{*}, \mathrm{p}<0.05$; $^{* *}, \mathrm{p}<0.01$; $^{* * *, ~ p<0.001) . ~}$

## Association between AR activity and anti-viral responses in clinical prostate cancer

Our mechanistic investigations using PCa cell lines suggested that high AR activity could activate a viral mimicry response involving IFN signalling. To gain evidence for this concept in a more clinically-relevant setting, we analysed large transcriptomic datasets from patients with primary prostate cancer (TCGA) and metastatic CRPC (SU2C). Supporting our pre-clinical mechanistic work, we found a significant correlation between AR activity and Reactome's "antiviral mechanism by IFN-stimulated genes" gene set (Fig. 6A). Moreover, by exploiting a study in which ERVs were quantitated in TCGA samples (Rooney, Shukla et al. 2015), we discovered a strong positive correlation between AR activity and the expression of ERVs in the ERV3-1 and HERV-K classes (Fig. 6B). No association between AR signalling and HERV-E ( $r=$ $0.079, p=0.30$ ) or HERV-W ( $r=-0.047, p=0.54$ ) classes was observed, corroborating our earlier findings that these classes of ERVs were not robustly induced by high dose androgen treatment (Supplementary Fig. 4).


Fig. 6. Positive association between AR signalling and anti-viral responses in patient tumours. (A) AR activity, based on a 267-gene signature (Sowalsky, Ye et al. 2018), is associated with the Reactome "antiviral mechanism by IFN-stimulated genes" gene set in the TCGA (left) and SU2C (right) datasets. Activity scores were calculated using ssGSEA. P and r values were determined using Pearson's correlation tests. (B) AR activity is associated with the levels of ERV3-1 (left) and HERVK in the TCGA dataset. AR activity scores were calculated using ssGSEA. Counts per million (CPM) reads for ERV3-1 and HERVK (sum of all HERVK transcripts) were obtained from a published study (Rooney, Shukla et al. 2015). P and r values were determined using Pearson's correlation tests.

## Methyl-testosterone can enhance the interaction between prostate cancer cells and T cells

 IFN-mediated anti-viral defense signalling is associated with increased immunogenicity of solid tumours and improved responses to immune checkpoint therapy (Chiappinelli, Strissel et al. 2015, Stone, Chiappinelli et al. 2017, Topper, Vaz et al. 2017, Sheng, LaFleur et al. 2018, Morel, Sheahan et al. 2021). Indeed, we found that MeT treatment caused increased expression of MHC class I antigen processing and presentation genes over a period of 3-6 days (Fig. 7A). Moreover, AR activity was positively correlated with Class I (but not Class II: $\mathrm{r}=0.003$ and $p=0.96$ for TCGA; $r=0.174$ and $p=0.06$ for SU2C) MHC-mediated antigen processing and presentation in the TCGA and SU2C cohorts (Fig. 7B).To determine whether type I IFN-driven modulation of immune signalling in PCa in response to MeT influences T cell function, we utilised the murine RM1 model of CRPC (Owen, Gearing et al. 2020). We first confirmed that RM1 cells expressed AR (Fig. 7C) and were growthinhibited by MeT/DHT (Fig. 7D, Supplementary Fig. 6A), which collectively highlight the suitability of this model as a tool to understand the impact of high dose androgens on PCa biology. Mirroring the findings from human PCa cell lines, MeT increased expression of ERVs (murine ERV-L, MTA, RLTR1B and RLTR45), LINE-I elements, Rig-I and Irf7 in RM1 cells (Fig. 7E). Despite DHT having equivalent growth-suppressive effects, it did not influence the expression of transposable elements, Rig-I or interferon pathway genes (Supplementary Fig. $6 B$ ). We next used an ex vivo co-culture system to assess whether viral mimicry induced by MeT could lead to T cell activation. Whilst DHT-treatment had no effect on T cell response, MeT-treatment of RM1 cells increased the immunogenicity of RM1 cells, resulting in enhanced CD8 ${ }^{+}$T cell recognition and functional cytokine production (Fig. 7F).


Fig. 7. Methyl-testosterone elicits viral mimicry and enhances interferon- $\gamma$ (IFN- $\gamma$ ) expression in $\mathbf{T}$ cells in a mouse model of prostate cancer. (A) Expression of HLA genes and B2M as determined by qRT-PCR following 3 or 6 days of treatment with the indicated doses of MeT or DHT or a vehicle control. Gene expression was normalized to GAPDH. Error bars are SEM; P values (treatment compared to vehicle at each time-point) were determined using ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple comparisons tests ( ${ }^{*}, \mathrm{p}<0.05$; $^{* *}, \mathrm{p}<0.01$; $^{* * *}, \mathrm{p}<0.001$; $^{* * * *, ~} \mathrm{p}<0.0001$ ). ( B$)$ AR activity is associated with the Reactome "Class I MHC-mediated antigen processing and presentation" gene set in the TCGA (left) and SU2C (right) datasets. Activity scores were calculated using ssGSEA. P and r values were determined using Pearson's correlation tests. (C) Western blot showing AR protein expression in RM1 cells following treatment with the indicated doses of MeT or vehicle control (in both full and charcoal-stripped media). GAPDH is shown as a loading control. (D) MeT suppresses the growth of RM1 cells, as determined by Sulforhodamine B colorimetric assay mean absorbance ( 550 nm ) is shown at the indicated time-points; error bars are $\pm$ SEM. P values were determined using unpaired t tests at day 5 (***, p < 0.001; ****, p < 0.0001). (E) Expression of ERVs (R/tr1B, R/tr45, ErvL, Erv3 Mta), LINE-I, RIG-I IRF7 and ISG15 in RM1 cells as determined by qRT-PCR following 3 of treatment with the indicated doses of MeT. Gene expression was normalized to Hprt. Vehicle for each gene was set to 1 . Error bars are SEM; $P$ values (treatment compared to vehicle at each time-point) were determined using ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple comparisons tests (*, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; $* * *, \mathrm{p}<0.001$; $^{* * * *, ~ p<0.0001) . ~(F) ~ I n t r a c e l l u l a r ~ c y t o k i n e ~ s t a i n i n g ~(I C S) ~ a s s a y ~ d e m o n s t r a t i n g ~ I F N-~} \gamma^{+}$in CD8 ${ }^{+}$following activation by RM1 cells treated with indicated doses of MeT or DHT for 3 days. Vehicle control for each AR ligand was set to 1. Error bars are SEM; P values (treatment compared to vehicle at each time-point) were determined using ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple comparisons tests (*, p < $0.05 ;^{* *}, \mathrm{p}<0.01$; $^{* * *}, \mathrm{p}<0.001$; $^{* * * *}, \mathrm{p}<0.0001$ ).


Supplementary Fig. 6. Effects of DHT on RM1 murine model of prostate cancer. (A) DHT suppresses the growth of RM1 cells, as determined by Sulforhodamine B colorimetric assay Mean absorbance ( 550 nm ) is shown at the indicated time-points; error bars are $\pm$ SEM. P values were determined using unpaired t tests at day 5 (***, p < 0.001). (B) Expression of ERVs (RItr1B, R/tr45, Erv-L, Erv3 Mta), LINEI, RIG-I IRF7 and ISG15 in RM1 cells as determined by qRT-PCR following 3 of treatment with the indicated doses of MeT. Gene expression was normalized to Hprt. Vehicle for each gene was set to 1. Error bars are SEM; P values (treatment compared to vehicle at each time-point) were determined using ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple comparisons tests (no significant differences for any transcripts with either dose of DHT).

## DISCUSSION

Although the mainstay treatment for advanced prostate cancer relies on suppression of AR activity, there is accumulating evidence that potent activation of AR by treating CRPC patients with high doses of testosterone can also be of therapeutic benefit. The molecular mechanisms underlying this apparent paradox remain to be fully elucidated. Here, by using a synthetic and highly potent androgen, MeT, we provide new insights into the consequences of hyperactivation of AR in PCa.

Molecular dissection of AR activity revealed that MeT elicits remarkably similar activity to DHT in terms of qualitative effects on the transcriptome and AR cistrome. Strikingly, however, MeT's effect on transcription was considerably stronger than DHT's for almost every ARregulated gene and when evaluated by transcriptional activation assays using a synthetic androgen-responsive reporter gene, the latter observation being consistent with previous work (Wolf, Diel et al. 2011). The potency of MeT was manifested by robust regulation of DNA damage/repair and replication pathways and gene sets that are known to respond to high doses of T and DHT and have been purported to (at least partly) underpin growth suppression and cell death caused by high dose androgen treatment (Gao, Gao et al. 2016, Chatterjee, Schweizer et al. 2019, Nyquist, Corella et al. 2019). Interestingly, despite strong downregulation of DNA repair genes, we did not observe increased staining of the DNA damage marker pH 2 AX in response to MeT. Increased DNA damage has been purported to be a mechanism by which high dose androgens cause cell death (Chatterjee, Schweizer et al. 2019), synergise with agents that inhibit DNA repair (Chatterjee, Schweizer et al. 2019) and elicit therapeutic responses in PDXs (Lam, Nguyen et al. 2020) or patients with defective
homology-directed repair (HDR) (Teply, Kachhap et al. 2017, Markowski, Shenderov et al. 2020). This concept has led to a prevailing belief that HDR gene defects could be useful predictive biomarkers of BAT (Chatterjee, Schweizer et al. 2019) and that combining BAT with DNA damaging therapies, such as radiotherapy (e.g. NCT04704505), is a rational therapeutic strategy. By contrast, other studies failed to detect heightened DNA damage in response to high doses of physiological or synthetic (i.e. R1881) androgens (Polkinghorn, Parker et al. 2013), and a recent analysis of BAT clinical trials failed to demonstrate improved progressionfree survival in patients with HDR gene defects (Schweizer, Antonarakis et al. 2019). We propose that definitively establishing the relevance of DNA damage, as a mediator of therapeutic response to androgen therapies (including MeT) is imperative to maximise clinical impact. Interestingly, in our experiments low dose (1 nM) but not high dose (100 nM) DHT resulted in a significant increase in DNA damage. Since 1 nM DHT did not substantially impact on PCa cell proliferation, this also argues against DNA damage being important in androgenmediated growth suppression.

In addition to its effects on DNA replication and repair pathways, our data revealed that MeT caused a major shift in the expression profile of TEs, including ERVs such as ERV3-1 and HERVK. This occurred concomitantly with down-regulation of DNMT enzymes, including DNMT and DNMT3b, and loss of DNA methylation at LINE-I elements. Given the well-established role of DNA methylation is suppressing the expression (and mobility) of ERVs and other TEs (Reik 2007), we propose that inhibition of DNMTs is a key mechanism underlying our observation. A negative association between the expression/activity of AR and DNMTs has been reported previously (e.g. (Chu, Chang et al. 2014)), but the molecular underpinnings of this
phenomenon are not known. One plausible explanation is that hyper-active AR decreases DNMT expression via its interplay with Rb and E2F. More specifically, it has been reported that high dose androgen treatment leads to AR and Rb binding to, and transcriptionally repressing, a series of E2F-regulated genes involved in DNA replication (Gao, Gao et al. 2016), a finding that we recapitulated with MeT. Since DNMT1 is a well-established target of E2F (Kimura, Nakamura et al. 2003, McCabe, Davis et al. 2005), it is reasonable to expect that it would be down-regulated by AR-mediated perturbation of the Rb/E2F1 axis. Such a mechanism is reminiscent of an earlier study demonstrating that the CDK4/6 inhibitor abemaciclib reduces E2F activity and thereby decreases DNMT1 expression (Goel, DeCristo et al. 2017).

Upregulation of ERVs can result in accumulation of cellular dsRNA (Chiappinelli, Strissel et al. 2015), which is sensed by PRRs (i.e. RIG-I, STING) that signal via MAVS/TBK-1 to activate IFN signalling. We propose that this "viral mimicry" response is a key mechanism by which MeT activates IFN, although we cannot rule out the possibility that host IncRNAs and/or microRNAs induced by MeT play a role in RIG-I activation, as has been described recently (Rehwinkel and Gack 2020). Viral mimicry is thought to be an important mediator of tumour innate immunity in response to epigenetic therapies such as DNMT inhibitors, histone deacetylate inhibitors, CDK4/6 inhibitors and EZH2 inhibitors (Chiappinelli, Strissel et al. 2015, Roulois, Loo Yau et al. 2015, Goel, DeCristo et al. 2017, Krug, De Jay et al. 2019, Morel, Sheahan et al. 2021). In support of this, we demonstrated that MeT enhanced the immunogenicity of murine PCa cells leading to increased T cell responses in a co-culture system, providing in vitro evidence that a viral mimicry response induced by this androgen could modulate the tumour immune
microenvironment. A limitation of this experiment is that we only measured IFN- $\gamma$ in T cells, and hence it is unknown whether the viral mimicry response induced by MeT leads to cancer cell killing by T-cells. We propose to test whether MeT leads to increased cytotoxic T cell mediate cancer cell killing using IncuCyte immune cell killing assays in co-culture condition (Cichocki, Bjordahl et al. 2020, Granger and Appledorn 2021).

PCa is recognised as an immunologically "cold" cancer type based on its tumour microenvironment (i.e. few infiltrating cytotoxic T cells and a predominance of immunosuppressive cells, such as regulatory T cells and M 2 macrophages), low immunogenicity and down-regulation of MHC Class I antigen processing/presenting machinery in tumour cells (de Almeida, Fong et al. 2020). These characteristics likely explain the limited impact of immunotherapies in this disease to date (de Almeida, Fong et al. 2020). A cellular immunotherapy, Sipuleucel-T, is approved for men with mCRPC but only confers a survival benefit of $\sim 4$ months (Kantoff, Higano et al. 2010). Similarly, multiple trials of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) have failed to demonstrate overall survival benefits (de Almeida, Fong et al. 2020), although some patients have experienced extraordinary responses to this treatment strategy (Graff, Alumkal et al. 2016, Markowski, Shenderov et al. 2020). With this background in mind, there is considerable interest in developing combinatorial treatment strategies that would sensitize CRPC tumours to immunotherapy. Our study found that MeT enhanced expression of MHC Class I genes and increased T cell cytotoxicity, suggesting that this regulator of viral mimicry could increase tumour cell immunogenicity, which is critical to improve response to ICls. In support of this concept, a recent study found that inhibition of EZH2 activated a dsRNA-STING-IFN stress response that increased intratumoral trafficking of
activated CD8 ${ }^{+}$T cells and sensitized PCa cells to PD-1 checkpoint blockade (Morel, Sheahan et al. 2021). Moreover, there is evidence that both AR activation (i.e. BAT) and AR inhibition (i.e. Enzalutamide) could sensitize tumours to PD-1 inhibitors, albeit in very small studies (Graff, Alumkal et al. 2016, Markowski, Shenderov et al. 2020). Whether response to ICls in patients previously treated with BAT is a result of viral mimicry is an enticing possibility that warrants further investigation, either using pre-clinical models and/or by molecular analysis of samples from patients being treated by BAT/ICI in ongoing clinical trials (e.g. COMBATCRPC, NCT03554317).

Immunological priming by BAT has been hypothesised to be a consequence of androgenmediated DNA damage, which can be sensed by the dsDNA sensor protein cGAS that can in turn activate IFN signalling (Markowski, Shenderov et al. 2020). At least 2 lines from our study of evidence suggest that this hypothesis should be modified to consider dsRNA as an alternative trigger of IFN signalling. First, MeT (and to a lesser extent DHT) induced ERVs, RIGI and MAVS and caused accumulation of dsRNA. Second, we did not observe increased DNA damage - using $\mathrm{\gamma H} 2 \mathrm{AX}$ as a molecular marker of DNA damage - in response to MeT or highdose DHT ( 100 nM ) in LNCaP cells. However, it must be noted that the absence of pH 2 AX foci does not preclude MeT-mediated DNA damage, nor did we specifically measure cytoplasmic DNA. Additionally, STING, which is traditionally thought of as a sensor of cytoplasmic DNA, was strongly induced by MeT, although it must be noted that emerging evidence suggests that this factor also plays a key role in dsRNA-based immune responses (Ni, Ma et al. 2018, Morel, Sheahan et al. 2021). In short, it is plausible that the multifactorial impact on transcription and genome organisation caused by MeT (or high doses of DHT/T) would result
in both dsRNA accumulation and DNA damage, both of which could elicit viral mimicry and IFN signalling.

An important question that still needs to be addressed is whether, and to what extent, activation of IFN signalling contributes to MeT-mediated suppression of PCa cell growth. Type I IFNs can elicit cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in malignant cells (Kotredes and Gamero 2013), therefore it is possible that induction of this pathway at least partly explains the efficacy of MeT. However, MeT (and high doses of T/DHT) cause growth suppression within 1-2 days, whereas we observed induction of IFNB and IRF7 3-6 days after treatment, an observation that is consistent with a stepwise activation of IFN involving epigenomic remodelling, ERV transcription and sensing of dsRNA. Moreover, growth suppression of RM1 cells by MeT and DHT was equivalent, even though the latter hormone did not induce ERVs or the IFN pathway. These observations argue against viral mimicry and IFN pathway activation playing a major role in the growth-inhibitory effects of MeT , at least when PCa cells are grown in vitro. Future in vivo studies carried out in the context of antagonism or ablation of viral mimicry effectors (e.g. RIG-I, IFNß) could resolve this outstanding question.

A consistent finding throughout our study was that MeT exhibited greater potency - in terms of PCa cell growth inhibition, AR DNA binding and transcriptional activity, and viral mimicry responses - than DHT. MeT has been reported to have reduced affinity, when compared to DHT, for both the rat AR ligand-binding domain (Fang, Tong et al. 2003, Attardi, Hild et al. 2006) and cytosolic fractions from rat prostate (Saartok, Dahlberg et al. 1984). However, the main pathway for metabolism of testosterone and its derivatives in PCa cells is via
glucuronidation (Smith, Ballard et al. 1994), and MeT is very poorly glucuronidated by human glucuronyl-transferases (Kuuranne, Kurkela et al. 2003). With these early biochemical studies in mind, we propose that the stronger androgenic effects elicited by MeT relate to its increased stability compared to DHT. Moreover, we hypothesise that the increased potency of MeT, as opposed to a differential mode of action, explains why activation of IFN has not been observed in previous studies aimed at dissecting the mode of action of high dose DHT and other androgens (i.e. R1881) (Chatterjee, Schweizer et al. 2019, Nyquist, Corella et al. 2019). This hypothesis is supported by the observation that DHT elicited effects on ERVs, dsRNA production and IFN signalling that were qualitatively analogous to those mediated by MeT but were in almost all cases weaker. In short, we postulate that a certain threshold of AR activation, in terms of both strength and duration, is required to activate a viral mimicry response and that such a threshold can be more readily reached with stable synthetic androgens such as MeT .

Whether MeT could be harnessed as a therapeutic for advanced PCa, either as a monotherapy or in combination with immunotherapy, is an intriguing question. PCa is an immunologically "cold" cancer but it is possible that a MeT-induced viral mimicry response could increase tumour cell immunogenicity, which is critical to improve response to ICIs. In support of this concept, it has been shown that a small subset of patients treated with BAT showed an extreme response to immune checkpoint blockade (Markowski, Shenderov et al. 2020). Further evidence for this concept comes from our observation of a positive association between AR activity and anti-viral responses in patients with primary or metastatic tumours. As shown in Figure 6A, the correlation between AR activity and IFN response is stronger in
localised disease compared to CRPC, which we propose simply reflects the more homogenous nature of localised PCa in comparison with CRPC tumours.

Current medical recommendations suggest that MeT should be explicitly avoided in men with PCa but these are based on the viewpoint that androgens promote tumour progression, which is overly simplistic in the era of SupraT/BAT as a rational, valid treatment for CRPC. As an anabolic-androgenic steroid, MeT has a range of medical uses, including to treat delayed puberty in males (Bertelloni, Baroncelli et al. 2010), as a component of post-menopausal hormone replacement therapy in women (Chiuve, Martin et al. 2004) and, historically, as a treatment for breast cancer (Nevinny-Stickel, Dederick et al. 1964); as such, its pharmacodynamic, pharmacokinetic and safety profiles are relatively well understood. Drawbacks of MeT include high estrogenicity, due to its efficient aromatization into the potent and stable estrogen $17 \alpha$-methylestradiol (El-Desoky el, Reyad et al. 2016) and hepatotoxicity (Sanchez-Osorio, Duarte-Rojo et al. 2008). Of course, other AR ligands may be even more effective than MeT in terms of growth suppression and modulation of immune responses. In this respect, selective AR modulators (SARMs) are of interest (Christiansen, Lipshultz et al. 2020) since it is conceivable that some may possess the requisite androgenic anti-growth and immunomodulatory activities in prostate cancer cells and favourable anabolic properties in other tissues. In short, we propose that investigation beyond the physiological androgens testosterone and DHT is required to maximise the therapeutic potential of AR activation in PCa.

In summary, our investigations have revealed a novel consequence of potent activation of AR in PCa cells. We propose that this work will expose new avenues of research aimed at elucidating interplay between androgenic and immune responses in the prostate and facilitate the development of new hormonal strategies to sensitize PCa to immunotherapies.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell lines and cell culture
The human prostate carcinoma cell lines LNCaP, VCaP, PC3, 22Rv1 and C4-2B were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). LNCaP-V16D, LNCaP-MR49F and CWR-R1D567 have been described previously (Nyquist, Li et al. 2013, Bishop, Thaper et al. 2017). C42B, 22Rv1, LNCaP, and LNCaP-V16D cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 (Sigma Aldrich) containing 10\% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 2 mM L-Glutamine. PC3 cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 containing 5\% FBS and 2 mM L-Glutamine. LNCaP-MR42D and LNCaP-MR49F were maintained in RPMI-1640 containing $10 \%$ FBS, $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ Enzalutamide and 2 mM L-Glutamine. CWR-R1-D567 cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 containing 10\% charcoal-stripped serum (CSS) and 2 mM L-Glutamine. VCaP cells were maintained in DMEM (high glucose) containing 10\% FBS, 2 mM L-Glutamine, 2 mM Sodium Pyruvate, and 2 mM of non-essential amino acids solution (Sigma Aldrich). All cell lines were authenticated by short tandem repeat profiling by CellBank Australia in 2017-2020 and were regularly screened for potential mycoplasma contamination.

## Cell viability assays

Cells were seeded at varying densities (depending on the doubling time of cell lines and length of the proliferation assay) in 6 -well plates and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO} 2$ for at least 24 hours to allow cells to be attached to the plate surface before treatment. At the appropriate timepoints, cells were treated with freshly prepared drugs (as indicated in Fig. legends), followed by incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO} 2$ until next time-point. Treatments were refreshed every 2-3 days. At the end of each time-point, cell viability was assessed using Trypan blue exclusion assays. The impact on $\mathrm{MeT}(1 \mathrm{nM}$ and 100 nM ) on the proliferation of RM1 cells was assessed in a 96 -well format using the sulforhodamine B-binding assay over 5 days with a seeding density of 500 cells per well, as described previously (Houghton, Fang et al. 2007). Treatment commenced 24 hours post-seeding. Endpoint absorbance was measured at 550 nm .

## Transactivation assays

AR transactivation assays were performed in 96-well plates essentially as previously described (Buchanan, Yang et al. 2004). LNCaP cells were used to test the transactivation of endogenous AR, whereas PC-3 cells were used to test the transactivation of exogenous AR. Cells were transfected with 1 ng of pcDNA-AR (PC3 only) and 100 ng of a reporter construct containing 3 copies of the Probasin enhancer (pGL4.14-PB3-luc) using LipofectAMINE 2000 (GIBCO-BRL), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Following transfection, cells were treated for 24 h in phenol-red free medium supplemented with the different doses of MeT and DHT, and luciferase activity was determined in cell lysates using the Luciferase ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Reporter Gene Assay Kit (Promega) and a plate reading luminometer (Top Count).

## Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)-sequencing

LNCaP cells were seeded at $5 \times 10^{6}$ cells/plate in 15 cm plates phenol-red-free medium supplemented with 5\% DCC-stripped FBS and allowed to grow for 2 days prior to treatment with Vehicle (Ethanol), MeT 1nM, and DHT 1nM on 3 biological replicates each for 4 hours. Subsequently, cells were fixed with formaldehyde and chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) was performed essentially as described previously (Paltoglou, Das et al. 2017) using an Abcam AR antibody (ab108341). For each treatment condition, 2 biological replicates were generated. After DNA quantification with Qubit dsDNA HS assay (Thermo-Fisher Scientific), 5 ng of ChIP DNA (ChIP-enriched or input) was used for library preparation using a TruSeq ChIP Library Prep kit (Illumina). Sequencing was performed on an Illumina Nextseq 500 platform (single-end protocol, 75 bp read length) at the South Australian Genomics Centre (SAGC). Mapping and processing of fastq files were performed as described previously (Chan, Selth et al. 2015). Deeptools (Ramírez, Ryan et al. 2016) was used to convert BAM files to bigwig and for visualizing ChIP-seq data as heatmaps. Peak annotations were performed using Cisgenome v2.0 (Ji, Jiang et al. 2008). HOMER (Heinz, Benner et al. 2010) was used to generate histograms of tag density at specific sets of peaks. Alignments were visualised and interrogated using the Integrative Genomics Viewer v2.3.80 (Thorvaldsdóttir et al., 2013).

## RNA sequencing

LNCaP were seeded at the $2 \times 10^{5}$ cells/well in 6 -well plates and treated with vehicle, 1 nM MeT or 1 nM DHT. Total RNA was extracted at 6 hours and 24 hours after treatment using Trizol. For each treatment condition, 3 biological replicates were generated. The integrity of

RNA was first assessed using a 2100 Bioanalyzer system (Agilent). RNA concentration were quantified by Nanodrop 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and total RNA ( $2 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) was supplied to the South Australian Genomics Centre (SAGC). RNA sequencing libraries were constructed using a TruSeq Total RNA HT kit (Illumina) and libraries were sequenced on the Illumina NextSeq 500 platform (stranded, paired-end 75 bp reads).

The quality of raw data was initially assessed using FastQC (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/). Raw FASTQ files were then filtered for short sequences using Cutadapt v1.16.6 (Martin 2011) with the following settings: minimum overlap length in Adaptor options: 3, Minimum length in filter options: 20, maximum error rate: 0.1, quality cut-off: 20.

To evaluate expression of protein-coding genes, reads were mapped against the human reference genome (hg19) using STAR version 2.6.0b-2 (Dobin, Davis et al. 2013) with default parameters. FeatureCounts was used to count and assign the mapped reads to genomic features (Liao, Smyth et al. 2014). Count tables generated by featureCounts were used for differential expression analysis using $R$ version 3.2.3 and edgeR version 3.3 (Robinson, McCarthy et al. 2010) as described previously (Lun, Chen et al. 2016). Heatmaps summarising RNA-seq data were generated using ClustVis (Metsalu and Vilo 2015).

To evaluate expression of transposable elements (TEs), reads were re-mapped against the human reference genome (hg19) using STAR version 2.6.0b-2 (Dobin, Davis et al. 2013) with parameters that retained multiply mapped reads (--runThreadN 4 --outSAMtype BAM

SortedByCoordinate --runMode alignReads --outFilterMultimapNmax 1000 -outFilterMismatchNmax 3 -- outMultimapperOrder Random --winAnchorMultimapNmax 1000 --alignEndsType EndToEnd --alignIntronMax 1 --alignMatesGapMax 350). HOMER was used to count and assign the mapped reads to different families of TEs (LTR, LINE and SINE). Count tables generated by HOMER were used to make a PCA plot with ClustVis.

## Gene set enrichment analysis

Genes were ranked according to expression using the Signal2Noise metric. Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (Preranked analysis) (Subramanian, Tamayo et al. 2005) was implemented using the Broad Institute's public GenePattern server with default parameters.

## Flow cytometry for cell cycle analysis

LNCaP cells were seeded in 6-well plates and incubated overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}$. Three days after treatment, cells were washed with a freshly prepared wash buffer containing PBS with $2 \%$ FBS, followed by trypsinization. The cell suspension was added to a 5 ml FACS tube containing cell culture media that had been collected earlier. Tubes were centrifuged at 700 $g$ for 5 min and cell pellets were re-suspended and washed with 1 ml PBS, followed by centrifugation at 700 g for 5 minutes. After removing supernatants, cell pellets were resuspended in residual liquid by flicking the tubes. Subsequently, 1 ml ice-cold $70 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in PBS was added into tubes containing the cell suspensions and fixed overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Following cell fixation, cells were centrifuged at 700 g for 5 minutes and the cell pellets were washed twice with 1 ml Hanks' Balanced Salt Solution + 2\% FBS. Cell were then stained with 1 ml of DAPI ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ). The prepared cell suspension was used for cell cycle analysis based
on DNA content using a BD FACSCanto II flow cytometer (Analyser); analysis was carried out using FlowJo software.

Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis of mRNA from human cells
Total RNA from human cell lines was extracted using TRI Reagent (Sigma), as described previously (Das, Gregory et al. 2017). Total RNA was treated with Turbo DNA-free kit (Invitrogen), and reverse transcribed using iScript Reverse Transcriptase Supermix kit (BioRad). PCR was done in triplicate using a CFX384TM Real-Time System, as described previously (Moore, Buchanan et al. 2012). Levels of GAPDH were used for normalization of qRT-PCR data. Primer sequences are listed in Table S1.

Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis of mRNA from mouse cells
mRNA was extracted using the Qiagen Rneasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions and reverse transcribed using iScript Reverse Transcriptase Supermix cDNA for qRT-PCR kit (Bio-Rad). qRT-PCR was performed using PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mix (applied biosystems) to quantify gene expression on the CFX384TM RealTime System (Bio-Rad) as described previously (Owen, Gearing et al. 2020). Gene expression (arbitrary units) was calculated as mean relative transcript abundance (RTA) by methods outlined previously (Bidwell, Slaney et al. 2012) and expressed relative to a housekeeping gene, Hprt. Primer sequences are listed in Table S1.

## Western blotting

Protein extraction from cells using RIPA buffer (human cell lines) or hypotonic lysis buffer (RM1) and Western blotting was done essentially as described previously (Moore, Buchanan et al. 2012). Primary antibodies used in human Western blotting were: TBK1 Antibody (Cell Signalling; 3013); phospho-Ser172-TBK1 (Cell Signalling; D52C2); RIG-I (Santa Cruz; SC-376845); and GAPDH (Millipore, MAB374). Primary antibodies used in murine Western blotting were: AR ( $\mathrm{N}-20$; Santa Cruz; SC-816) and GAPDH XP (Cell Signaling; D16h11). HRP conjugated anti-rabbit and anti-mouse IgG secondary antibodies (Dako) were used and immunoreactive bands visualized using Clarity Western ECL Substrate (Bio-Rad).

## Immunofluorescence

LNCaP cells were seeded on glass coverslips in 6-well plates. To improve cell adhesion, glass coverslips were coated with 1:8 diluted L-Poly-Lysine. After treatment, cells were fixed in 4\% paraformaldehyde for 10 minutes, permeabilized in 0.1\% Triton X-100 for 15 minutes, and blocked in 2.5\% BSA (for phospho-Histone H2A.X) or 5\% BSA (for J2) solution for 1 hour. The coverslips then were incubated with anti- yH 2 AX primary antibody (Millipore; 05-636) or J2 antibody (both used at $1: 1000$ ) overnight at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by washing (twice with 5 min intervals) and then incubation with a fluorescent-tagged secondary antibody for 1 hour at room temperature. Cell nuclei were visualised by co-staining the cells with 4'-6-Diamidino-2phenylindole (DAPI; Invitrogen) for 1 min. Imaging was carried out using a confocal microscope (Olympus FV3000 Confocal Microscope). To quantify the number of pH 2 AX foci per nucleus, images were analysed using Image J software: i) the number of cells (i.e. DAPIstained nuclei) were counted in each image by Analyze Particles tool; ii) the number of pH 2 AX foci in each image was quantified using the Find Maxima tool, which was performed using the
noise tolerance parameter adjusted for positive control; iii) the average number of foci per nucleus for each treatment was calculated by counting yH 2 AX foci from $70-150$ cells per treatment across multiple microscope fields. To quantify J2 signal, Image J (Schneider, Rasband et al. 2012) was used to measure signal intensity at regions of interest (ROI); total signal intensity was normalised to cell counts at each ROI.

## Quantification of LINE-I DNA Methylation

Cells were grown and treated in 6 -well plates and genomic DNA was isolated using QIAamp DNA Mini kits, according to the manufacturer's instructions. To quantify the DNA methylation in DNA samples, Global DNA Methylation-LINE-I Kits (Active Motif) were used to assess the methylation of 5-mC status at Long Interspersed Nucleotide Element 1 (LINE-I) elements, as specified by the manufacturer.

Intracellular cytokine staining for T-cell specificity
For assessment of androgen effects on antigen presentation in cancer cells, RM1 cells were treated with MeT, DHT or vehicle control as previously described. Following 72 hrs, RM1 cells $\left(5 \times 10^{4}\right)$ were co-cultured with in vitro expanded RM1-specific CD8 ${ }^{+}$T cells for 5 hrs in the presence of $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ Brefeldin A. Intracellular cytokine staining assays for production of IFN$\gamma$ were carried out as previously described (Owen, Gearing et al. 2020).

Analysis of prostate cancer clinical transcriptomic data
Clinical transcriptomic datasets (TCGA (Abeshouse, Ahn et al. 2015) and SU2C (Robinson, Van Allen et al. 2015)) were downloaded from cBioportal (Gao, Aksoy et al. 2013). The activity of

AR signalling and other pathways (i.e. antiviral mechanism by IFN-stimulated genes, MHC class I antigen processing and presentation) in these datasets was estimated by single sample GSEA (ssGSEA) (Barbie, Tamayo et al. 2009); ssGSEA was implemented using the Broad Institute's public GenePattern server, using rank normalisation and default parameters.

## Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses for grouped quantitative data were carried out using two-tailed unpaired t-test or ANOVA (GraphPad Prism 9). The relationships between activity scores were determined using Pearson's correlation coefficient (Graphpad Prism 9). Further details of statistical tests are provided in the figure legends. Statistical significance was defined as $\mathrm{p}<$ 0.05 .
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## Supplementary Data1.

A) MeT vs Vehicle

| No | gene_id | logFC | logCPM | LR | PValue | FDR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | KLK3 | 1.102505 | 12.20737 | 308.6007 | 4.41E-69 | 1.87E-67 |
| 2 | DHCR24 | 1.013861 | 11.28665 | 246.4704 | $1.53 \mathrm{E}-55$ | 4.95E-54 |
| 3 | TUBB | -1.14484 | 11.22334 | 344.2626 | 7.53E-77 | 3.77E-75 |
| 4 | KRT8 | 2.755782 | 10.91338 | 1419.69 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.10584753499914 \mathrm{e}- \\ & 310 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.14 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 307 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | TUBA1B | -1.02658 | 10.47486 | 150.4516 | 1.38E-34 | $2.46 \mathrm{E}-33$ |
| 6 | KRT18 | 1.933156 | 10.33859 | 567.1592 | $2.33 \mathrm{E}-125$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.39 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 123 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 | ABHD2 | 1.056565 | 10.32729 | 165.8682 | 5.91E-38 | 1.16E-36 |
| 8 | SORD | 1.524395 | 10.12226 | 722.6912 | 3.48E-159 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.81 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 157 \end{aligned}$ |
| 9 | TMPRSS2 | 1.9133 | 10.07856 | 889.5421 | 1.84E-195 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5.66E- } \\ & 193 \end{aligned}$ |
| 10 | ODC1 | 1.919991 | 9.933507 | 959.7237 | $1.02 \mathrm{E}-210$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.52 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 208 \end{aligned}$ |
| 11 | BRP44 | 1.025034 | 9.9108 | 132.7389 | 1.03E-30 | 1.61E-29 |
| 12 | ATP1A1 | 1.083749 | 9.856039 | 378.8471 | $2.22 \mathrm{E}-84$ | $1.34 \mathrm{E}-82$ |
| 13 | FKBP5 | 2.826146 | 9.752285 | 1374.943 | 5.85E-301 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 9.50 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 298 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 14 | SLC45A3 | 1.794352 | 9.685641 | 692.9592 | 1.02E-152 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.44 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 150 \end{aligned}$ |
| 15 | ACSL3 | 2.530338 | 9.582629 | 990.3053 | $2.30 \mathrm{E}-217$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 9.01 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 215 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 16 | SPDEF | 1.81474 | 9.384326 | 542.9447 | 4.31E-120 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4.15E- } \\ & 118 \end{aligned}$ |
| 17 | CENPN | 1.577379 | 9.320023 | 686.6329 | $2.41 \mathrm{E}-151$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.30 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 149 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 18 | ELOVL5 | 1.175085 | 9.248209 | 224.8435 | 7.94E-51 | 2.31E-49 |
| 19 | KLK2 | 1.68128 | 9.239738 | 551.3755 | 6.32E-122 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.25 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 120 \end{aligned}$ |
| 20 | ABCC4 | 1.138322 | 9.135198 | 170.3646 | 6.16E-39 | 1.27E-37 |
| 21 | UAP1 | 1.075357 | 9.142776 | 369.5943 | 2.29E-82 | 1.32E-80 |
| 22 | COPG1 | 1.079653 | 9.135934 | 351.0871 | $2.46 \mathrm{E}-78$ | 1.28E-76 |
| 23 | DBI | 1.346483 | 9.101579 | 226.7449 | $3.06 \mathrm{E}-51$ | 9.05E-50 |
| 24 | PEX10 | 1.45545 | 9.027251 | 442.5256 | 3.05E-98 | 2.21E-96 |
| 25 | SSR2 | 1.130174 | 9.028995 | 167.2236 | $2.99 \mathrm{E}-38$ | 5.99E-37 |
| 26 | GDF15 | 1.246685 | 8.914849 | 279.276 | $1.08 \mathrm{E}-62$ | 3.96E-61 |
| 27 | PPAP2A | 1.767033 | 8.874552 | 822.3957 | 7.29E-181 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.97 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 178 \end{aligned}$ |
| 28 | HM13 | 1.006956 | 8.816252 | 257.3113 | 6.62E-58 | 2.24E-56 |
| 29 | MIA3 | 1.093211 | 8.716466 | 242.8354 | 9.47E-55 | 3.02E-53 |


| 30 | SLC41A1 | 2.693377 | 8.666302 | 1377.688 | $1.48 \mathrm{E}-301$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.81 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 298 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | NDRG1 | 3.390736 | 8.62771 | 2448.34 | 0 | 0 |
| 32 | RRBP1 | 1.016748 | 8.673835 | 219.8926 | 9.55E-50 | 2.68E-48 |
| 33 | C17orf28 | 1.257265 | 8.646756 | 316.6161 | 7.91E-71 | 3.48E-69 |
| 34 | APP | 1.034228 | 8.639645 | 174.5952 | 7.34E-40 | $1.54 \mathrm{E}-38$ |
| 35 | TRPM4 | 1.215836 | 8.641616 | 243.4252 | 7.04E-55 | 2.26E-53 |
| 36 | SLC39A7 | 1.04882 | 8.640004 | 305.2606 | 2.35E-68 | 9.76E-67 |
| 37 | MAP7D1 | 1.082256 | 8.637467 | 229.6355 | 7.16E-52 | $2.14 \mathrm{E}-50$ |
| 38 | SMS | 2.166353 | 8.558071 | 1116.133 | 1.03E-244 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.50 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 242 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 39 | NCAPD3 | 2.267871 | 8.563809 | 785.0907 | $9.41 \mathrm{E}-173$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.15 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 170 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 40 | ABCC1 | 1.147796 | 8.538649 | 182.54 | 1.35E-41 | 2.99E-40 |
| 41 | PMEPA1 | 1.11535 | 8.391103 | 342.0117 | 2.33E-76 | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-74$ |
| 42 | CORO1B | 1.425981 | 8.376904 | 447.3255 | 2.76E-99 | 2.01E-97 |
| 43 | H2AFX | -2.20271 | 8.459112 | 653.4829 | 3.91E-144 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.99 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 142 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 44 | SCAP | 1.273117 | 8.345523 | 332.9503 | 2.19E-74 | 1.03E-72 |
| 45 | SLC9A3R2 | 1.002723 | 8.347771 | 192.8273 | 7.68E-44 | $1.82 \mathrm{E}-42$ |
| 46 | ARF4 | 1.032718 | 8.209469 | 223.9967 | 1.22E-50 | 3.49E-49 |
| 47 | CREB3L4 | 1.261963 | 8.198118 | 334.1976 | 1.17E-74 | 5.57E-73 |
| 48 | PACS1 | 1.984219 | 8.161179 | 785.0768 | $9.48 \mathrm{E}-173$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.15 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 170 \end{aligned}$ |
| 49 | TBRG1 | 1.002711 | 8.188979 | 329.6456 | $1.15 \mathrm{E}-73$ | 5.30E-72 |
| 50 | CAPZB | 1.40817 | 8.153803 | 400.5794 | 4.12E-89 | 2.72E-87 |
| 51 | MLPH | 1.392538 | 8.15998 | 431.327 | 8.36E-96 | 5.97E-94 |
| 52 | TPD52 | 1.212396 | 8.161516 | 161.0796 | 6.57E-37 | 1.25E-35 |
| 53 | SERP1 | 1.173807 | 8.131943 | 203.6154 | 3.40E-46 | 8.59E-45 |
| 54 | MCM7 | -2.19755 | 8.226906 | 1113.188 | 4.49E-244 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.69 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 241 \end{aligned}$ |
| 55 | MBOAT2 | 1.139948 | 8.12853 | 357.0327 | 1.25E-79 | 6.71E-78 |
| 56 | SLC50A1 | 1.091445 | 8.081225 | 197.1609 | 8.70E-45 | 2.11E-43 |
| 57 | BAIAP2 | 1.104676 | 8.073449 | 281.5848 | 3.39E-63 | 1.26E-61 |
| 58 | ECl2 | 1.115146 | 8.068436 | 275.6245 | 6.75E-62 | 2.42E-60 |
| 59 | PRKDC | -1.1474 | 8.09885 | 125.4546 | $4.05 \mathrm{E}-29$ | 5.94E-28 |
| 60 | MICAL1 | 3.059149 | 8.011749 | 1554.8 | 0 | 0 |
| 61 | SAT1 | 2.303927 | 7.935712 | 1025.171 | 6.07E-225 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.55 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 222 \end{aligned}$ |
| 62 | SSR3 | 1.011443 | 7.960082 | 177.1866 | $1.99 \mathrm{E}-40$ | 4.26E-39 |
| 63 | GLUD1 | 1.262113 | 7.952331 | 417.6326 | 7.99E-93 | 5.51E-91 |
| 64 | B2M | 1.47189 | 7.95434 | 233.8719 | 8.53E-53 | 2.64E-51 |
| 65 | HMGXB3 | 1.139274 | 7.964506 | 299.9471 | 3.38E-67 | 1.36E-65 |
| 66 | TMED9 | 1.202777 | 7.943769 | 335.741 | 5.40E-75 | $2.58 \mathrm{E}-73$ |


| 67 | SERINC2 | 1.072148 | 7.951807 | 202.9951 | 4.64E-46 | 1.17E-44 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 68 | NANS | 1.015961 | 7.925586 | 215.8399 | 7.31E-49 | $1.99 \mathrm{E}-47$ |
| 69 | ANKH | 1.285997 | 7.921012 | 535.9416 | $1.44 \mathrm{E}-118$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.38 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 116 \end{aligned}$ |
| 70 | TACC3 | -1.35228 | 7.958916 | 356.1131 | 1.98E-79 | 1.05E-77 |
| 71 | VPS26B | 1.615397 | 7.865412 | 616.6265 | $4.05 \mathrm{E}-136$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4.47 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 134 \end{aligned}$ |
| 72 | CHPF | 1.246516 | 7.86973 | 230.7004 | 4.19E-52 | 1.26E-50 |
| 73 | HERC3 | 1.180985 | 7.824053 | 150.1779 | $1.59 \mathrm{E}-34$ | 2.82E-33 |
| 74 | KPNA2 | -1.66235 | 7.896057 | 632.5545 | $1.39 \mathrm{E}-139$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.68 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 137 \end{aligned}$ |
| 75 | TNFRSF10B | 1.020375 | 7.780281 | 233.4449 | 1.06E-52 | 3.26E-51 |
| 76 | HEBP2 | 1.10033 | 7.754269 | 227.2638 | $2.36 \mathrm{E}-51$ | 7.01E-50 |
| 77 | MYC | -1.56335 | 7.759253 | 519.0624 | $6.77 \mathrm{E}-115$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.11 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 113 \end{aligned}$ |
| 78 | TPM1 | 1.073725 | 7.72101 | 302.3249 | 1.03E-67 | 4.20E-66 |
| 79 | MKI67 | -2.67576 | 7.768959 | 714.567 | $2.03 \mathrm{E}-157$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.16 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 155 \end{aligned}$ |
| 80 | MTOR | 1.122382 | 7.6254 | 138.3406 | 6.14E-32 | $1.01 \mathrm{E}-30$ |
| 81 | FN1 | -1.19125 | 7.680013 | 156.4909 | 6.61E-36 | 1.23E-34 |
| 82 | SEC11C | 1.23395 | 7.613902 | 153.3484 | 3.21E-35 | 5.85E-34 |
| 83 | RHOU | 2.167109 | 7.623098 | 804.5011 | 5.67E-177 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.43 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 174 \end{aligned}$ |
| 84 | NCAPD2 | -1.80916 | 7.689602 | 606.4034 | $6.78 \mathrm{E}-134$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.34 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 132 \end{aligned}$ |
| 85 | GFM1 | 1.338574 | 7.593172 | 256.3054 | 1.10E-57 | 3.69E-56 |
| 86 | PAK1IP1 | 2.387798 | 7.594383 | 1117.176 | $6.11 \mathrm{E}-245$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.08 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 242 \end{aligned}$ |
| 87 | FOXM1 | -1.63477 | 7.674485 | 716.9346 | $6.21 \mathrm{E}-158$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 9.94 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 156 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 88 | SYVN1 | 1.000653 | 7.593092 | 203.8742 | $2.98 \mathrm{E}-46$ | 7.58E-45 |
| 89 | CSE1L | -1.35737 | 7.623052 | 365.7079 | $1.61 \mathrm{E}-81$ | $9.06 \mathrm{E}-80$ |
| 90 | AIDA | -1.10573 | 7.598071 | 140.4468 | $2.13 \mathrm{E}-32$ | 3.55E-31 |
| 91 | RBMX | -1.0122 | 7.594585 | 288.9231 | 8.53E-65 | 3.23E-63 |
| 92 | PPAPDC1B | 1.110143 | 7.50677 | 233.6865 | 9.36E-53 | 2.89E-51 |
| 93 | CBWD1 | 1.778387 | 7.492296 | 510.5037 | $4.93 \mathrm{E}-113$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.34 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 111 \end{aligned}$ |
| 94 | TBC1D1 | 1.357368 | 7.505586 | 486.5281 | 8.11E-108 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.40 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 106 \end{aligned}$ |
| 95 | HMGB2 | -1.92992 | 7.56376 | 551.252 | $6.72 \mathrm{E}-122$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.59 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 120 \end{aligned}$ |
| 96 | SAPCD2 | -1.20272 | 7.481292 | 303.6156 | 5.37E-68 | 2.21E-66 |
| 97 | MYBL2 | -2.2685 | 7.505904 | 777.8938 | $3.45 \mathrm{E}-171$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.55 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 169 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 98 | C1orf21 | 1.443016 | 7.405648 | 450.1608 | 6.65E-100 | 4.88E-98 |


| 99 | HIST2H2BE | 1.478518 | 7.37175 | 488.6229 | $2.84 \mathrm{E}-108$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.26 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 106 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | NASP | -1.54286 | 7.471485 | 513.7376 | $9.75 \mathrm{E}-114$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8.66 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 112 \end{aligned}$ |
| 101 | MDC1 | -1.96038 | 7.471565 | 527.8025 | 8.49E-117 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7.98 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 115 \end{aligned}$ |
| 102 | RANBP1 | -1.13948 | 7.46558 | 148.3011 | 4.08E-34 | 7.17E-33 |
| 103 | LMNB2 | -1.38575 | 7.447536 | 328.1175 | $2.47 \mathrm{E}-73$ | 1.13E-71 |
| 104 | DNMT1 | -1.84248 | 7.449779 | 530.912 | $1.79 \mathrm{E}-117$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.69 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 115 \end{aligned}$ |
| 105 | SEC61B | 1.012905 | 7.358126 | 128.9505 | 6.95E-30 | 1.05E-28 |
| 106 | RRM1 | -1.70327 | 7.388294 | 592.5655 | $6.93 \mathrm{E}-131$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 7.29 \mathrm{E}- \\ 129 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 107 | TPX2 | -2.55077 | 7.409799 | 1190.686 | $6.45 \mathrm{E}-261$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5.23 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 258 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 108 | ELL2 | 1.290407 | 7.311118 | 217.7268 | 2.83E-49 | 7.78E-48 |
| 109 | ARRDC1 | 1.224093 | 7.306428 | 191.5759 | $1.44 \mathrm{E}-43$ | 3.38E-42 |
| 110 | TSC22D1 | 1.504501 | 7.305186 | 624.1406 | $9.40 \mathrm{E}-138$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.08 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 135 \end{aligned}$ |
| 111 | SPAG5 | -1.96586 | 7.378592 | 1009.157 | $1.84 \mathrm{E}-221$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 7.45 \mathrm{E}- \\ 219 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 112 | MCM2 | -3.25425 | 7.370048 | 1314.99 | $6.25 \mathrm{E}-288$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7.10 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 285 \end{aligned}$ |
| 113 | CTBP1 | -1.73532 | 7.339669 | 691.7332 | $1.88 \mathrm{E}-152$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.63 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 150 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 114 | LRIG1 | 1.541028 | 7.277218 | 281.3816 | 3.75E-63 | 1.39E-61 |
| 115 | AZGP1 | 1.55445 | 7.246189 | 294.3881 | 5.50E-66 | 2.15E-64 |
| 116 | HERPUD1 | 1.178085 | 7.267212 | 376.2176 | 8.29E-84 | 4.93E-82 |
| 117 | RPA1 | -1.00348 | 7.292358 | 257.4977 | 6.03E-58 | 2.05E-56 |
| 118 | PCNA | -2.29076 | 7.316584 | 775.344 | $1.24 \mathrm{E}-170$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.66 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 168 \end{aligned}$ |
| 119 | TK1 | -2.40243 | 7.319267 | 690.508 | $3.47 \mathrm{E}-152$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 4.80 \mathrm{E}- \\ 150 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 120 | CHRNA2 | 1.634173 | 7.192927 | 371.8103 | 7.55E-83 | 4.40E-81 |
| 121 | C1orf85 | 1.194064 | 7.180633 | 307.9533 | 6.10E-69 | 2.58E-67 |
| 122 | C1orf122 | 1.067236 | 7.18229 | 95.62431 | $1.39 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 1.54E-21 |
| 123 | PRKD1 | -1.05385 | 7.215452 | 233.2838 | 1.15E-52 | 3.52E-51 |
| 124 | CCNB1 | -1.73838 | 7.235568 | 454.2172 | 8.72E-101 | 6.47E-99 |
| 125 | ATAD2 | -1.20414 | 7.210836 | 233.0338 | 1.30E-52 | 3.96E-51 |
| 126 | SEPP1 | 1.597374 | 7.116772 | 412.4631 | 1.07E-91 | 7.26E-90 |
| 127 | SMC1A | -1.02717 | 7.173127 | 116.4915 | $3.71 \mathrm{E}-27$ | 5.06E-26 |
| 128 | ZG16B | 1.157092 | 7.107736 | 169.2795 | 1.06E-38 | 2.16E-37 |
| 129 | BIRC5 | -1.81075 | 7.177067 | 346.1707 | $2.89 \mathrm{E}-77$ | 1.45E-75 |
| 130 | ELOVL7 | 1.139251 | 7.068933 | 170.6596 | 5.31E-39 | 1.10E-37 |

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline 131 & \text { PLK1 } & -2.34233 & 7.175124 & 981.4612 & 1.92 \mathrm{E}-215 & \begin{array}{l}6.83 \mathrm{E}- \\
213\end{array}
$$ <br>
\hline 132 \& PPFIBP2 \& 1.405403 \& 7.071177 \& 421.265 \& 1.29 \mathrm{E}-93 \& 8.97 \mathrm{E}-92 <br>
\hline 133 \& CENPF \& -2.65802 \& 7.146804 \& 669.8106 \& 1.10 \mathrm{E}-147 \& 1.45 \mathrm{E}- <br>

145\end{array}\right]\)|  |
| :--- |

| 164 | PRC1 | -2.3849 | 6.878806 | 865.6275 | $2.91 \mathrm{E}-190$ | $8.48 \mathrm{E}-$ <br> 188 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 165 | TYMS | -2.70844 | 6.875414 | 1343.805 | $3.42 \mathrm{E}-294$ | $4.86 \mathrm{E}-$ <br> 291 |
| 166 | MKLN1 | 1.048939 | 6.782135 | 202.5771 | $5.72 \mathrm{E}-46$ | $1.44 \mathrm{E}-44$ |
| 167 | FEN1 | -2.36599 | 6.856174 | 1088.94 | $8.37 \mathrm{E}-239$ | $4.32 \mathrm{E}-$ |
| 236 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 199 | H1F0 | 1.184458 | 6.514215 | 315.3405 | 1.50E-70 | 6.55E-69 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200 | CHTF18 | -1.10213 | 6.5864 | 203.456 | 3.68E-46 | 9.29E-45 |
| 201 | TP53111 | 1.001571 | 6.524923 | 166.8999 | 3.52E-38 | 7.00E-37 |
| 202 | NUDT9 | 1.297071 | 6.493919 | 357.4887 | 9.92E-80 | 5.37E-78 |
| 203 | ARFGAP3 | 1.03879 | 6.483907 | 218.693 | $1.74 \mathrm{E}-49$ | 4.85E-48 |
| 204 | ST6GALNAC1 | 1.778908 | 6.465627 | 545.0317 | $1.52 \mathrm{E}-120$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.47 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 118 \end{aligned}$ |
| 205 | FXYD3 | 1.594635 | 6.456788 | 166.4964 | 4.31E-38 | 8.49E-37 |
| 206 | TONSL | -2.7993 | 6.54392 | 761.4106 | $1.33 \mathrm{E}-167$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.69 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 165 \end{aligned}$ |
| 207 | ERRFI1 | 2.396972 | 6.449845 | 625.5226 | $4.71 \mathrm{E}-138$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.46 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 136 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 208 | CYP2U1 | 2.382067 | 6.438611 | 752.9833 | $9.01 \mathrm{E}-166$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.74 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 163 \end{aligned}$ |
| 209 | STARD10 | 1.065298 | 6.46205 | 90.23963 | $2.11 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 2.24E-20 |
| 210 | LPAR3 | 1.164568 | 6.392414 | 171.2806 | 3.89E-39 | 8.04E-38 |
| 211 | CHAF1A | -2.07606 | 6.47708 | 564.767 | $7.72 \mathrm{E}-125$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.83 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 123 \end{aligned}$ |
| 212 | KIF20A | -2.47709 | 6.495192 | 989.6868 | $3.13 \mathrm{E}-217$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.19 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 214 \end{aligned}$ |
| 213 | FAM105A | 2.156335 | 6.395164 | 636.382 | $2.05 \mathrm{E}-140$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2.53 \mathrm{E}- \\ 138 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 214 | CREB3 | 1.330188 | 6.382446 | 238.3737 | 8.90E-54 | 2.80E-52 |
| 215 | GMPPA | 1.192689 | 6.389208 | 240.3809 | 3.25E-54 | 1.03E-52 |
| 216 | ST7 | -1.25679 | 6.434559 | 243.1134 | 8.24E-55 | 2.63E-53 |
| 217 | RNASEH2A | -1.92643 | 6.46065 | 362.4873 | 8.09E-81 | 4.46E-79 |
| 218 | CDC25B | -1.71029 | 6.455418 | 414.9696 | 3.04E-92 | 2.08E-90 |
| 219 | C12orf44 | 1.112789 | 6.378276 | 183.236 | 9.53E-42 | 2.13E-40 |
| 220 | SEC61G | 1.028399 | 6.360787 | 69.52986 | 7.53E-17 | 6.10E-16 |
| 221 | Mar-02 | 1.094852 | 6.346342 | 185.3067 | 3.36E-42 | 7.60E-41 |
| 222 | SMC4 | -2.10645 | 6.403634 | 425.6532 | $1.44 \mathrm{E}-94$ | 1.02E-92 |
| 223 | MT2A | -1.12949 | 6.412637 | 100.5581 | $1.15 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 1.35E-22 |
| 224 | TFDP1 | -1.04138 | 6.384332 | 209.8179 | 1.51E-47 | 3.98E-46 |
| 225 | REEP4 | -1.40724 | 6.402221 | 236.9455 | $1.82 \mathrm{E}-53$ | 5.72E-52 |
| 226 | SHMT1 | -1.02091 | 6.384896 | 219.8868 | 9.57E-50 | 2.68E-48 |
| 227 | POLD4 | 1.483001 | 6.301807 | 234.5942 | 5.94E-53 | 1.85E-51 |
| 228 | CYTH1 | 1.114964 | 6.307986 | 189.9782 | 3.21E-43 | 7.49E-42 |
| 229 | CKS2 | -1.83259 | 6.377009 | 321.9099 | 5.56E-72 | 2.50E-70 |
| 230 | WDR90 | -1.10826 | 6.328975 | 160.1325 | $1.06 \mathrm{E}-36$ | $1.99 \mathrm{E}-35$ |
| 231 | ERBB2IP | 1.010267 | 6.249908 | 62.56129 | $2.58 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $1.90 \mathrm{E}-14$ |
| 232 | TMEM79 | 1.537379 | 6.25542 | 499.5023 | $1.22 \mathrm{E}-110$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.02 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 108 \end{aligned}$ |
| 233 | WNT7B | 1.149858 | 6.280866 | 276.8787 | 3.60E-62 | 1.31E-60 |
| 234 | CNN2 | 1.05879 | 6.259797 | 224.2268 | 1.08E-50 | 3.11E-49 |


| 235 | PCDH1 | 1.607217 | 6.239676 | 248.7152 | 4.95E-56 | 1.63E-54 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 236 | TSPYL2 | 1.123337 | 6.260646 | 153.1687 | 3.52E-35 | 6.39E-34 |
| 237 | TELO2 | -1.01961 | 6.287891 | 131.3721 | $2.05 \mathrm{E}-30$ | 3.17E-29 |
| 238 | UBE2T | -2.08563 | 6.302109 | 509.9576 | $6.48 \mathrm{E}-113$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5.66E- } \\ & 111 \end{aligned}$ |
| 239 | CBX2 | -1.35803 | 6.268047 | 300.6352 | 2.40E-67 | 9.69E-66 |
| 240 | MCM6 | -1.95161 | 6.277195 | 721.3881 | 6.68E-159 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.10 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 156 \end{aligned}$ |
| 241 | GNMT | 2.439455 | 6.163692 | 664.9697 | 1.24E-146 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.60 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 144 \end{aligned}$ |
| 242 | SLC16A6 | 1.925227 | 6.220973 | 366.6904 | 9.84E-82 | 5.59E-80 |
| 243 | UGT2B11 | 3.381715 | 6.119025 | 878.6553 | $4.29 \mathrm{E}-193$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.28 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 190 \end{aligned}$ |
| 244 | PTTG1 | -1.13815 | 6.281529 | 82.99068 | 8.24E-20 | 7.97E-19 |
| 245 | PIK3R3 | -1.00472 | 6.23804 | 165.9614 | 5.64E-38 | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-36$ |
| 246 | IGBP1 | 1.009105 | 6.187094 | 211.8651 | 5.38E-48 | $1.44 \mathrm{E}-46$ |
| 247 | RECQL4 | -2.44567 | 6.257349 | 735.0075 | 7.30E-162 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.28 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 159 \end{aligned}$ |
| 248 | YIPF1 | 1.350027 | 6.149532 | 289.4761 | 6.47E-65 | 2.46E-63 |
| 249 | TCF19 | -3.17297 | 6.252116 | 1086.997 | 2.21E-238 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.09 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 235 \end{aligned}$ |
| 250 | ZCCHC6 | 1.274969 | 6.147848 | 222.7858 | 2.23E-50 | 6.34E-49 |
| 251 | GEMIN4 | -1.61516 | 6.20047 | 372.4269 | 5.54E-83 | 3.28E-81 |
| 252 | CDCA5 | -3.10287 | 6.238124 | 1261.541 | $2.58 \mathrm{E}-276$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.66 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 273 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 253 | GADD45G | 2.928615 | 6.156119 | 1031.987 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-226$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.48 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 224 \end{aligned}$ |
| 254 | MTMR9 | 1.228813 | 6.139286 | 201.2752 | 1.10E-45 | $2.74 \mathrm{E}-44$ |
| 255 | RFC2 | -1.66704 | 6.199762 | 305.9512 | 1.66E-68 | 6.93E-67 |
| 256 | GREB1 | 1.294012 | 6.120287 | 198.2542 | 5.02E-45 | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-43$ |
| 257 | SMPD2 | 1.172216 | 6.124848 | 167.983 | $2.04 \mathrm{E}-38$ | $4.13 \mathrm{E}-37$ |
| 258 | DEK | -1.93842 | 6.168683 | 409.6557 | 4.36E-91 | 2.93E-89 |
| 259 | NEU1 | 1.000617 | 6.103164 | 208.6205 | $2.75 \mathrm{E}-47$ | 7.18E-46 |
| 260 | HLTF | -1.06762 | 6.134986 | 100.1209 | $1.43 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 1.67E-22 |
| 261 | SELS | 1.197415 | 6.093093 | 230.9763 | 3.65E-52 | 1.10E-50 |
| 262 | CRLS1 | 1.012555 | 6.076245 | 129.3629 | 5.65E-30 | 8.54E-29 |
| 263 | DERL2 | 1.100616 | 6.086523 | 93.39008 | $4.29 \mathrm{E}-22$ | $4.66 \mathrm{E}-21$ |
| 264 | TOP2A | -3.27268 | 6.159168 | 710.8513 | 1.31E-156 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.01 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 154 \end{aligned}$ |
| 265 | DDAH2 | 1.075739 | 6.082459 | 185.7827 | 2.65E-42 | 6.00E-41 |
| 266 | DSEL | -1.17527 | 6.103658 | 218.1113 | $2.34 \mathrm{E}-49$ | 6.47E-48 |
| 267 | UBE2C | -2.70921 | 6.167261 | 695.3527 | 3.06E-153 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.46 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 151 \end{aligned}$ |
| 268 | Mar-05 | 1.037374 | 6.063772 | 179.1387 | 7.47E-41 | $1.61 \mathrm{E}-39$ |
| 269 | CORO2A | 1.020624 | 6.027818 | 151.8501 | 6.83E-35 | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-33$ |


| 270 | SASH1 | 1.207238 | 6.020806 | 91.74808 | 9.84E-22 | 1.06E-20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 271 | POLD1 | -1.70165 | 6.096017 | 268.312 | 2.65E-60 | 9.37E-59 |
| 272 | LONRF1 | 1.681935 | 5.992754 | 378.0212 | 3.36E-84 | 2.01E-82 |
| 273 | EFCAB4A | 1.17597 | 6.025514 | 167.7012 | 2.35E-38 | 4.74E-37 |
| 274 | PTPRM | 1.231821 | 6.012084 | 161.7762 | $4.63 \mathrm{E}-37$ | 8.80E-36 |
| 275 | FAM214B | 1.221125 | 6.022107 | 189.8395 | 3.45E-43 | 8.01E-42 |
| 276 | CCNB2 | -2.3793 | 6.098181 | 742.9113 | $1.40 \mathrm{E}-163$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.52 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 161 \end{aligned}$ |
| 277 | TROAP | -1.69885 | 6.095553 | 383.1854 | 2.52E-85 | 1.57E-83 |
| 278 | ACAD8 | 1.607501 | 5.987761 | 323.4961 | 2.51E-72 | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-70$ |
| 279 | PKP4 | -1.31 | 6.026131 | 206.9198 | 6.46E-47 | $1.66 \mathrm{E}-45$ |
| 280 | EXOSC2 | -1.03818 | 6.02918 | 209.0109 | $2.26 \mathrm{E}-47$ | 5.91E-46 |
| 281 | RACGAP1 | -2.25328 | 6.047303 | 631.398 | $2.48 \mathrm{E}-139$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.94 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 137 \end{aligned}$ |
| 282 | LRRC45 | -1.54626 | 6.026365 | 262.478 | 4.95E-59 | $1.73 \mathrm{E}-57$ |
| 283 | SNHG3 | -1.12506 | 6.010091 | 176.4108 | 2.95E-40 | 6.26E-39 |
| 284 | LSM2 | -1.12572 | 6.01861 | 97.2629 | 6.07E-23 | 6.86E-22 |
| 285 | MTHFD2 | -1.04098 | 5.985797 | 131.1138 | $2.34 \mathrm{E}-30$ | 3.61E-29 |
| 286 | CCNF | -2.25008 | 6.00093 | 639.0337 | 5.42E-141 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6.77 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 139 \end{aligned}$ |
| 287 | KIFC1 | -2.9716 | 6.000929 | 1098.194 | 8.16E-241 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.41 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 238 \end{aligned}$ |
| 288 | XRCC3 | -1.43163 | 5.971976 | 295.0115 | 4.02E-66 | 1.58E-64 |
| 289 | E2F1 | -3.03618 | 5.996343 | 1132.469 | $2.90 \mathrm{E}-248$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.06 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 245 \end{aligned}$ |
| 290 | RNF185 | 1.015195 | 5.888818 | 145.5135 | 1.66E-33 | 2.83E-32 |
| 291 | KIF2C | -2.92428 | 5.981083 | 1030.185 | $4.93 \mathrm{E}-226$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.24 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 223 \end{aligned}$ |
| 292 | DTYMK | -1.087 | 5.963959 | 86.3344 | 1.52E-20 | 1.53E-19 |
| 293 | CCDC53 | 1.220078 | 5.883705 | 119.2415 | 9.27E-28 | $1.30 \mathrm{E}-26$ |
| 294 | PKMYT1 | -3.17892 | 5.970537 | 724.0308 | $1.78 \mathrm{E}-159$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.02 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 157 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 295 | CDC6 | -2.71456 | 5.945206 | 956.275 | $5.74 \mathrm{E}-210$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1.92 \mathrm{E}- \\ 207 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 296 | KANK2 | -1.39431 | 5.903333 | 195.9566 | 1.59E-44 | 3.84E-43 |
| 297 | HMMR | -2.1397 | 5.923096 | 390.2568 | 7.28E-87 | 4.67E-85 |
| 298 | NCAPG | -2.75675 | 5.917118 | 851.3649 | 3.67E-187 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.04 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 184 \end{aligned}$ |
| 299 | SNHG1 | -1.66199 | 5.894013 | 337.8273 | 1.90E-75 | 9.13E-74 |
| 300 | KCNMA1 | 1.048287 | 5.817175 | 92.86631 | 5.59E-22 | 6.06E-21 |
| 301 | NCAPH2 | -1.04697 | 5.901586 | 146.5628 | 9.78E-34 | $1.68 \mathrm{E}-32$ |
| 302 | KIF4A | -2.00257 | 5.899307 | 514.496 | $6.67 \mathrm{E}-114$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.97 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 112 \end{aligned}$ |
| 303 | NDFIP2 | 1.28736 | 5.815608 | 166.6536 | $3.98 \mathrm{E}-38$ | 7.87E-37 |


| 304 | CDCA3 | -2.43805 | 5.893867 | 508.1527 | $1.60 \mathrm{E}-112$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1.39 \mathrm{E}- \\ 110 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 305 | MLF1IP | -2.59502 | 5.883664 | 774.1869 | $2.21 \mathrm{E}-170$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.65 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 168 \end{aligned}$ |
| 306 | PARP2 | -1.536 | 5.878172 | 297.0701 | 1.43E-66 | 5.69E-65 |
| 307 | HJURP | -2.82867 | 5.888758 | 816.9411 | 1.12E-179 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.89 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 177 \end{aligned}$ |
| 308 | IQGAP3 | -2.15928 | 5.881633 | 558.399 | $1.87 \mathrm{E}-123$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.89 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 121 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 309 | RWDD2A | 1.144425 | 5.800116 | 183.9652 | 6.60E-42 | 1.48E-40 |
| 310 | RPA2 | -1.20334 | 5.852203 | 173.6675 | 1.17E-39 | $2.45 \mathrm{E}-38$ |
| 311 | POLA2 | -2.16493 | 5.857153 | 617.5233 | $2.58 \mathrm{E}-136$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.88 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 134 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 312 | C15orf23 | -1.11599 | 5.854737 | 204.6946 | 1.97E-46 | 5.04E-45 |
| 313 | MCMBP | -1.2245 | 5.828437 | 265.1596 | 1.29E-59 | 4.53E-58 |
| 314 | CDK2 | -1.50778 | 5.841479 | 299.9445 | 3.39E-67 | 1.36E-65 |
| 315 | MESP1 | 1.055936 | 5.748554 | 78.99119 | 6.24E-19 | 5.74E-18 |
| 316 | INCENP | -2.03569 | 5.835241 | 378.6788 | $2.41 \mathrm{E}-84$ | 1.45E-82 |
| 317 | DNAJC9 | -1.31581 | 5.825465 | 206.7788 | 6.93E-47 | $1.78 \mathrm{E}-45$ |
| 318 | FAM83D | -2.45341 | 5.838418 | 720.8671 | 8.67E-159 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.41 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 156 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 319 | FOXD4 | 1.583442 | 5.745258 | 364.0671 | 3.66E-81 | 2.05E-79 |
| 320 | FZD5 | 1.203639 | 5.723739 | 129.8697 | $4.38 \mathrm{E}-30$ | 6.65E-29 |
| 321 | AURKA | -2.26681 | 5.788678 | 499.3343 | $1.33 \mathrm{E}-110$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.10 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 108 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 322 | PSIP1 | -1.20329 | 5.755688 | 162.085 | 3.96E-37 | 7.56E-36 |
| 323 | SNX25 | 1.518669 | 5.693395 | 280.8462 | 4.91E-63 | 1.81E-61 |
| 324 | ASRGL1 | 1.333319 | 5.700826 | 296.8215 | 1.62E-66 | 6.43E-65 |
| 325 | LRRC20 | -1.07583 | 5.746568 | 178.1508 | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-40$ | 2.63E-39 |
| 326 | MSH6 | -1.34492 | 5.735659 | 222.0121 | 3.29E-50 | 9.31E-49 |
| 327 | TOPBP1 | -1.2495 | 5.727987 | 166.7894 | 3.72E-38 | 7.38E-37 |
| 328 | ZNF350 | 1.54278 | 5.671632 | 314.3957 | $2.41 \mathrm{E}-70$ | 1.05E-68 |
| 329 | CBLL1 | 1.436619 | 5.675176 | 221.5112 | 4.23E-50 | 1.19E-48 |
| 330 | C9orf152 | 1.30564 | 5.658872 | 176.9403 | $2.26 \mathrm{E}-40$ | 4.81E-39 |
| 331 | BUB1B | -3.03646 | 5.738795 | 753.0286 | 8.81E-166 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.73 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 163 \end{aligned}$ |
| 332 | CADPS2 | 2.250906 | 5.627089 | 423.0305 | 5.34E-94 | 3.73E-92 |
| 333 | DLGAP5 | -2.21023 | 5.726486 | 329.2605 | $1.39 \mathrm{E}-73$ | 6.41E-72 |
| 334 | NCAPG2 | -1.90439 | 5.713099 | 350.935 | $2.65 \mathrm{E}-78$ | $1.38 \mathrm{E}-76$ |
| 335 | C14orf80 | -1.35272 | 5.711237 | 247.3248 | 9.95E-56 | 3.24E-54 |
| 336 | ASF1B | -3.8302 | 5.735445 | 1225.607 | $1.66 \mathrm{E}-268$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.45 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 265 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 337 | UNG | -1.42818 | 5.672245 | 277.2868 | 2.93E-62 | 1.07E-60 |
| 338 | NUP85 | -1.46517 | 5.692248 | 291.8036 | 2.01E-65 | 7.78E-64 |


| 339 | TMEM201 | -1.33915 | 5.678676 | 172.2282 | 2.41E-39 | 5.01E-38 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 340 | SLC29A1 | -2.77261 | 5.661481 | 778.4889 | $2.56 \mathrm{E}-171$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.72 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 169 \end{aligned}$ |
| 341 | MAF | 2.932162 | 5.575168 | 507.8834 | $1.83 \mathrm{E}-112$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.58 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 110 \end{aligned}$ |
| 342 | LIFR | 2.752187 | 5.555242 | 489.2013 | $2.13 \mathrm{E}-108$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.70 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 106 \end{aligned}$ |
| 343 | FANCD2 | -2.13342 | 5.646963 | 494.3288 | $1.63 \mathrm{E}-109$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.33 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 107 \end{aligned}$ |
| 344 | PNMA1 | 1.241846 | 5.553088 | 265.5523 | 1.06E-59 | 3.73E-58 |
| 345 | CCNA2 | -2.98941 | 5.643516 | 695.3065 | $3.14 \mathrm{E}-153$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.51 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 151 \end{aligned}$ |
| 346 | CAMK2N1 | -2.14393 | 5.616474 | 459.8053 | 5.30E-102 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.99 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ |
| 347 | CAP2 | 1.783524 | 5.53728 | 276.5103 | 4.33E-62 | 1.56E-60 |
| 348 | MAP9 | 1.153307 | 5.546306 | 115.2109 | $7.08 \mathrm{E}-27$ | 9.58E-26 |
| 349 | ANLN | -2.7275 | 5.608306 | 472.6704 | 8.41E-105 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.37 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 103 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 350 | CDT1 | -2.6894 | 5.617058 | 592.6203 | $6.74 \mathrm{E}-131$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7.16 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 129 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 351 | TFPT | 1.03312 | 5.547885 | 99.8895 | 1.61E-23 | 1.87E-22 |
| 352 | CHAF1B | -1.83884 | 5.603972 | 347.9958 | 1.16E-77 | 5.90E-76 |
| 353 | GALK2 | 1.006977 | 5.504204 | 102.635 | $4.03 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 4.83E-23 |
| 354 | RAD51C | -1.15301 | 5.562171 | 138.3002 | 6.27E-32 | $1.03 \mathrm{E}-30$ |
| 355 | WDR62 | -2.89153 | 5.586252 | 525.3029 | $2.97 \mathrm{E}-116$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.77 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 114 \end{aligned}$ |
| 356 | UGT2B17 | -1.49002 | 5.547899 | 224.8046 | 8.10E-51 | 2.35E-49 |
| 357 | ACPP | 1.065064 | 5.482185 | 182.4516 | $1.41 \mathrm{E}-41$ | 3.12E-40 |
| 358 | PRR11 | -1.93725 | 5.569425 | 386.2358 | 5.46E-86 | 3.49E-84 |
| 359 | MELK | -2.7595 | 5.576693 | 666.8211 | 4.91E-147 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.41 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 145 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 360 | STC2 | -1.45317 | 5.527638 | 194.9093 | 2.70E-44 | 6.45E-43 |
| 361 | TTC39A | 1.531964 | 5.457682 | 308.8245 | 3.94E-69 | $1.68 \mathrm{E}-67$ |
| 362 | CKAP2 | -1.2193 | 5.532953 | 141.2153 | $1.44 \mathrm{E}-32$ | 2.43E-31 |
| 363 | DDC | -1.59046 | 5.532478 | 148.0825 | 4.55E-34 | 7.97E-33 |
| 364 | NDC80 | -2.66059 | 5.558103 | 634.4423 | $5.40 \mathrm{E}-140$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6.60 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 138 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 365 | PRPF4 | -1.12161 | 5.51558 | 140.7936 | 1.79E-32 | 3.00E-31 |
| 366 | LRRC16A | 1.282402 | 5.462036 | 166.5632 | 4.17E-38 | 8.22E-37 |
| 367 | TCEAL3 | 1.027348 | 5.460493 | 126.2593 | 2.70E-29 | 4.00E-28 |
| 368 | TMEM48 | -1.79609 | 5.505487 | 320.863 | 9.40E-72 | 4.19E-70 |
| 369 | ERLEC1 | 1.236214 | 5.424797 | 154.3849 | $1.91 \mathrm{E}-35$ | 3.50E-34 |
| 370 | AURKB | -2.99083 | 5.525203 | 569.7318 | 6.42E-126 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.63 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 124 \end{aligned}$ |
| 371 | SLC2A12 | 1.710115 | 5.413684 | 343.7637 | 9.67E-77 | 4.80E-75 |


| 372 | HAUS5 | -1.60938 | 5.507433 | 236.6324 | 2.13E-53 | 6.68E-52 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 373 | SNAPC4 | -1.04952 | 5.472485 | 112.4805 | 2.80E-26 | 3.69E-25 |
| 374 | POLR1E | -1.49621 | 5.46638 | 245.2165 | 2.87E-55 | 9.23E-54 |
| 375 | NR4A1 | 1.671129 | 5.435144 | 289.9536 | 5.09E-65 | $1.94 \mathrm{E}-63$ |
| 376 | PFAS | -1.32278 | 5.45572 | 113.5676 | $1.62 \mathrm{E}-26$ | $2.16 \mathrm{E}-25$ |
| 377 | ESPL1 | -3.35512 | 5.494887 | 823.629 | 3.93E-181 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.09 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 178 \end{aligned}$ |
| 378 | NUP155 | -1.28387 | 5.441691 | 134.8934 | 3.48E-31 | 5.55E-30 |
| 379 | FANCG | -1.86435 | 5.473524 | 361.5053 | $1.32 \mathrm{E}-80$ | 7.23E-79 |
| 380 | PRKCA | 2.027003 | 5.384767 | 343.0186 | 1.40E-76 | 6.94E-75 |
| 381 | NRARP | -1.16411 | 5.441732 | 162.6872 | 2.93E-37 | 5.62E-36 |
| 382 | KIAA0513 | 1.392395 | 5.380875 | 211.8561 | 5.41E-48 | 1.45E-46 |
| 383 | PLXNA3 | 1.091541 | 5.397839 | 66.45759 | 3.58E-16 | $2.78 \mathrm{E}-15$ |
| 384 | TRIP13 | -1.96282 | 5.45135 | 479.7892 | 2.37E-106 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.86 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 104 \end{aligned}$ |
| 385 | RRAS | 1.256704 | 5.388401 | 126.1676 | 2.83E-29 | 4.18E-28 |
| 386 | CDKN3 | -1.23991 | 5.455793 | 106.8736 | $4.74 \mathrm{E}-25$ | 5.97E-24 |
| 387 | GMNN | -1.74604 | 5.450182 | 366.4446 | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-81$ | 6.29E-80 |
| 388 | DDX11 | -1.61283 | 5.438654 | 192.4068 | $9.48 \mathrm{E}-44$ | $2.24 \mathrm{E}-42$ |
| 389 | C5orf4 | 1.226262 | 5.38173 | 93.28493 | $4.53 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 4.91E-21 |
| 390 | ITPKC | 1.012044 | 5.381158 | 125.0619 | $4.93 \mathrm{E}-29$ | 7.23E-28 |
| 391 | BCAP29 | 1.127326 | 5.365335 | 111.3899 | 4.86E-26 | 6.32E-25 |
| 392 | RABAC1 | 1.299533 | 5.358938 | 90.53673 | 1.82E-21 | $1.93 \mathrm{E}-20$ |
| 393 | WIPI1 | 3.085675 | 5.320718 | 715.7274 | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-157$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.79 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 155 \end{aligned}$ |
| 394 | CENPE | -2.55539 | 5.416865 | 398.9942 | 9.12E-89 | 5.99E-87 |
| 395 | SMAP1 | 1.128774 | 5.354387 | 180.4406 | 3.88E-41 | 8.47E-40 |
| 396 | OAZ3 | 1.101403 | 5.338351 | 70.90724 | $3.74 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 3.09E-16 |
| 397 | RAP1GAP | 1.973047 | 5.301951 | 290.4567 | 3.95E-65 | 1.52E-63 |
| 398 | EZH2 | -1.82731 | 5.393788 | 342.9186 | $1.48 \mathrm{E}-76$ | 7.26E-75 |
| 399 | CDCA8 | -2.75916 | 5.409472 | 817.8243 | 7.19E-180 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.90 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 177 \end{aligned}$ |
| 400 | SMC2 | -1.93674 | 5.364923 | 247.6822 | 8.31E-56 | $2.71 \mathrm{E}-54$ |
| 401 | ZBTB24 | 1.112941 | 5.319554 | 155.8564 | 9.10E-36 | $1.68 \mathrm{E}-34$ |
| 402 | NETO2 | -1.08871 | 5.353325 | 181.9619 | $1.81 \mathrm{E}-41$ | 3.98E-40 |
| 403 | EMP2 | -1.2952 | 5.364514 | 157.3889 | 4.21E-36 | 7.82E-35 |
| 404 | CENPO | -1.63327 | 5.363486 | 303.6638 | 5.24E-68 | 2.17E-66 |
| 405 | YWHAH | -1.00771 | 5.334659 | 129.9422 | $4.22 \mathrm{E}-30$ | 6.43E-29 |
| 406 | EDEM2 | 1.234739 | 5.287493 | 149.0135 | $2.85 \mathrm{E}-34$ | 5.04E-33 |
| 407 | TECPR1 | 1.002065 | 5.270997 | 95.51449 | $1.47 \mathrm{E}-22$ | $1.63 \mathrm{E}-21$ |
| 408 | PBK | -2.07243 | 5.352495 | 361.7017 | $1.20 \mathrm{E}-80$ | 6.59E-79 |
| 409 | NCAPH | -3.25505 | 5.350564 | 989.5939 | $3.28 \mathrm{E}-217$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.20 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 214 \end{aligned}$ |


| 410 | RFC4 | -1.93463 | 5.343251 | 371.91 | 7.18E-83 | 4.21E-81 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 411 | LOC150776 | -1.07254 | 5.331821 | 92.46693 | 6.85E-22 | 7.39E-21 |
| 412 | NUP160 | -1.42056 | 5.301647 | 134.1115 | 5.17E-31 | 8.21E-30 |
| 413 | ADAM7 | 1.202614 | 5.265882 | 222.1598 | 3.06E-50 | 8.66E-49 |
| 414 | NOTCH1 | -1.23504 | 5.292124 | 74.77103 | 5.29E-18 | 4.57E-17 |
| 415 | CDC45 | -3.02432 | 5.344925 | 626.7053 | 2.60E-138 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.05 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 136 \end{aligned}$ |
| 416 | RGS3 | -1.12618 | 5.30241 | 166.0001 | 5.53E-38 | 1.09E-36 |
| 417 | BUB1 | -2.40453 | 5.320551 | 457.2626 | $1.89 \mathrm{E}-101$ | 1.42E-99 |
| 418 | PHF1 | 1.034168 | 5.262987 | 87.6755 | 7.71E-21 | 7.94E-20 |
| 419 | PIAS1 | 1.229291 | 5.251054 | 129.4538 | 5.40E-30 | 8.17E-29 |
| 420 | SLC7A5 | -1.38152 | 5.277115 | 164.1857 | $1.38 \mathrm{E}-37$ | 2.67E-36 |
| 421 | GTSE1 | -2.46696 | 5.299098 | 672.4993 | $2.86 \mathrm{E}-148$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 3.82 \mathrm{E}- \\ 146 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 422 | SLC25A20 | 1.181722 | 5.2054 | 195.5404 | 1.96E-44 | 4.72E-43 |
| 423 | MDN1 | -1.04446 | 5.224759 | 57.79713 | 2.91E-14 | $1.98 \mathrm{E}-13$ |
| 424 | BHLHA15 | 1.509403 | 5.167879 | 142.853 | 6.33E-33 | 1.07E-31 |
| 425 | POC1A | -1.59785 | 5.245995 | 225.6205 | 5.38E-51 | 1.57E-49 |
| 426 | CKS1B | -1.54118 | 5.228513 | 135.1903 | 3.00E-31 | 4.81E-30 |
| 427 | BRCA1 | -3.09521 | 5.210567 | 524.7953 | 3.83E-116 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 3.54 \mathrm{E}- \\ 114 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 428 | HLA-DMA | 1.88914 | 5.125523 | 210.2816 | $1.19 \mathrm{E}-47$ | 3.16E-46 |
| 429 | PTPN21 | 2.308262 | 5.107155 | 294.9429 | 4.16E-66 | $1.63 \mathrm{E}-64$ |
| 430 | SLC39A8 | -1.38282 | 5.177939 | 211.3398 | 7.01E-48 | 1.87E-46 |
| 431 | PODXL | -1.29161 | 5.175001 | 152.7887 | 4.26E-35 | 7.72E-34 |
| 432 | AFF3 | 1.782135 | 5.101864 | 226.5547 | 3.36E-51 | 9.93E-50 |
| 433 | KIF11 | -2.75344 | 5.181224 | 380.6875 | 8.82E-85 | 5.39E-83 |
| 434 | BTG2 | -1.39522 | 5.161335 | 189.0626 | 5.09E-43 | 1.17E-41 |
| 435 | ARID5B | 1.397515 | 5.090399 | 133.0787 | 8.69E-31 | $1.36 \mathrm{E}-29$ |
| 436 | PGC | 2.199557 | 5.097379 | 383.2945 | $2.39 \mathrm{E}-85$ | $1.49 \mathrm{E}-83$ |
| 437 | FAM64A | -2.30997 | 5.174444 | 407.6541 | 1.19E-90 | 7.94E-89 |
| 438 | MAD2L1 | -2.46985 | 5.137843 | 307.9156 | 6.21E-69 | 2.62E-67 |
| 439 | TBC1D8 | 1.127747 | 5.102788 | 104.3703 | $1.68 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 2.05E-23 |
| 440 | C4orf34 | 1.345178 | 5.04361 | 139.8938 | 2.81E-32 | 4.67E-31 |
| 441 | PPP2R5B | 1.027742 | 5.075568 | 107.2249 | 3.97E-25 | 5.02E-24 |
| 442 | CENPM | -1.42535 | 5.135223 | 112.4281 | 2.88E-26 | $3.78 \mathrm{E}-25$ |
| 443 | EAF2 | 2.160754 | 5.067209 | 286.3176 | 3.15E-64 | 1.18E-62 |
| 444 | TFAP4 | -1.15115 | 5.088297 | 159.2153 | 1.68E-36 | 3.14E-35 |
| 445 | PSRC1 | -2.30736 | 5.139266 | 497.618 | $3.14 \mathrm{E}-110$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.58 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 108 \end{aligned}$ |
| 446 | CDC25A | -1.00609 | 5.098526 | 101.1647 | 8.46E-24 | $9.98 \mathrm{E}-23$ |
| 447 | BCL2L12 | -1.34974 | 5.126352 | 129.9842 | 4.13E-30 | 6.30E-29 |
| 448 | ECT2 | -1.9274 | 5.101833 | 273.0659 | 2.44E-61 | 8.68E-60 |


| 449 | FANCA | -2.6372 | 5.118483 | 522.419 | $1.26 \mathrm{E}-115$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.15 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 113 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 450 | WRAP53 | -1.46638 | 5.10507 | 223.492 | 1.57E-50 | 4.47E-49 |
| 451 | CXCR7 | -2.41898 | 5.08734 | 473.6052 | 5.26E-105 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.04 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 103 \end{aligned}$ |
| 452 | FN3KRP | -1.12619 | 5.078632 | 117.5823 | $2.14 \mathrm{E}-27$ | 2.95E-26 |
| 453 | KIAA1731 | -1.00206 | 5.066189 | 86.95609 | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 1.13E-19 |
| 454 | SLC10A7 | 1.739501 | 5.006378 | 230.9897 | 3.63E-52 | 1.10E-50 |
| 455 | UGT2B15 | -1.58315 | 5.06843 | 205.6687 | $1.21 \mathrm{E}-46$ | 3.10E-45 |
| 456 | ARHGAP19 | -1.43039 | 5.083822 | 169.5721 | 9.18E-39 | 1.88E-37 |
| 457 | EXOSC8 | -1.59109 | 5.079829 | 251.7733 | 1.07E-56 | 3.55E-55 |
| 458 | MCM8 | -1.40254 | 5.061522 | 170.138 | 6.90E-39 | 1.42E-37 |
| 459 | SLC44A1 | -1.12636 | 5.041781 | 103.331 | $2.84 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 3.43E-23 |
| 460 | KIAA0101 | -1.34067 | 5.069066 | 124.7198 | 5.86E-29 | 8.56E-28 |
| 461 | EML1 | 1.08568 | 5.004544 | 122.2279 | 2.06E-28 | $2.96 \mathrm{E}-27$ |
| 462 | TFAM | -1.0786 | 5.03236 | 98.67487 | $2.98 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 3.41E-22 |
| 463 | DEPDC1 | -2.58639 | 5.061649 | 323.2672 | 2.81E-72 | 1.27E-70 |
| 464 | METTL7A | -1.13267 | 5.040264 | 114.7384 | 8.98E-27 | 1.21E-25 |
| 465 | NET1 | -1.0463 | 5.030003 | 83.96344 | 5.04E-20 | 4.93E-19 |
| 466 | ARHGAP11A | -2.7988 | 5.052337 | 337.3679 | $2.39 \mathrm{E}-75$ | 1.15E-73 |
| 467 | CIT | -3.02174 | 5.062757 | 791.295 | $4.21 \mathrm{E}-174$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.04 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 171 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 468 | NUP107 | -1.49223 | 5.022358 | 183.0315 | $1.06 \mathrm{E}-41$ | $2.35 \mathrm{E}-40$ |
| 469 | GINS1 | -2.84708 | 5.043659 | 771.3359 | 9.21E-170 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.90 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 167 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 470 | ZWILCH | -1.62127 | 5.026318 | 281.7203 | 3.17E-63 | 1.18E-61 |
| 471 | LIG1 | -1.91338 | 5.034235 | 286.6422 | 2.68E-64 | $1.01 \mathrm{E}-62$ |
| 472 | RAD54L | -3.46275 | 5.045544 | 704.0766 | $3.88 \mathrm{E}-155$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.81 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 153 \end{aligned}$ |
| 473 | TYMP | 1.257953 | 4.937592 | 138.6267 | 5.32E-32 | 8.74E-31 |
| 474 | C20orf72 | -1.84753 | 5.008939 | 287.6562 | $1.61 \mathrm{E}-64$ | 6.08E-63 |
| 475 | DDB2 | -2.00279 | 5.021673 | 351.6334 | 1.87E-78 | $9.78 \mathrm{E}-77$ |
| 476 | CDKN2C | -1.84896 | 5.018923 | 262.1932 | 5.71E-59 | 1.99E-57 |
| 477 | CEP55 | -2.71222 | 4.997699 | 490.714 | 9.96E-109 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.03 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 107 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 478 | LBR | -1.38069 | 4.970888 | 127.4202 | 1.50E-29 | 2.24E-28 |
| 479 | CCDC14 | -1.51614 | 4.983164 | 163.7695 | 1.70E-37 | 3.29E-36 |
| 480 | ZNF395 | -1.03166 | 4.954544 | 106.0548 | 7.17E-25 | 8.92E-24 |
| 481 | C2orf76 | 1.034981 | 4.903542 | 57.65162 | 3.13E-14 | $2.12 \mathrm{E}-13$ |
| 482 | C10orf47 | 1.017365 | 4.910119 | 95.87337 | 1.22E-22 | 1.37E-21 |
| 483 | CLSPN | -3.80621 | 4.974504 | 746.4599 | $2.36 \mathrm{E}-164$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.47 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 162 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 484 | KNTC1 | -2.78784 | 4.965225 | 618.9634 | 1.26E-136 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.43 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 134 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |


| 485 | DNAJB9 | 1.724768 | 4.8996 | 247.8891 | 7.49E-56 | 2.45E-54 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 486 | HIST1H2AC | 1.196452 | 4.885308 | 91.65938 | $1.03 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 1.10E-20 |
| 487 | PRKCH | 1.275291 | 4.868928 | 132.4279 | $1.21 \mathrm{E}-30$ | 1.88E-29 |
| 488 | RFC5 | -2.38398 | 4.949173 | 368.6632 | 3.66E-82 | 2.09E-80 |
| 489 | BCHE | -2.25651 | 4.92801 | 361.2371 | $1.51 \mathrm{E}-80$ | 8.23E-79 |
| 490 | USP1 | -1.47739 | 4.921063 | 167.0937 | 3.19E-38 | 6.37E-37 |
| 491 | LYAR | -1.50544 | 4.932251 | 181.5964 | $2.17 \mathrm{E}-41$ | 4.77E-40 |
| 492 | CDCA7L | -2.18438 | 4.924996 | 521.7968 | $1.72 \mathrm{E}-115$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.56 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 113 \end{aligned}$ |
| 493 | C9orf100 | -2.58737 | 4.944562 | 502.9781 | $2.14 \mathrm{E}-111$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.80 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 109 \end{aligned}$ |
| 494 | DSN1 | -1.64178 | 4.924662 | 228.1293 | $1.53 \mathrm{E}-51$ | 4.55E-50 |
| 495 | HIST1H2BD | 1.566302 | 4.834448 | 146.6505 | 9.36E-34 | $1.61 \mathrm{E}-32$ |
| 496 | GINS2 | -2.26059 | 4.922295 | 331.6574 | 4.19E-74 | 1.97E-72 |
| 497 | SYNJ1 | 1.018524 | 4.845336 | 60.85368 | 6.15E-15 | 4.40E-14 |
| 498 | ATP11A | -1.44176 | 4.870624 | 102.0676 | 5.37E-24 | 6.39E-23 |
| 499 | STEAP4 | 6.927834 | 4.757507 | 1233.643 | $2.98 \mathrm{E}-270$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.82 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 267 \end{aligned}$ |
| 500 | LUZP2 | -1.56074 | 4.866457 | 188.7955 | 5.82E-43 | 1.34E-41 |
| 501 | SOCS2 | 2.464368 | 4.823498 | 333.6941 | $1.51 \mathrm{E}-74$ | 7.14E-73 |
| 502 | POLH | -1.43982 | 4.871978 | 146.7418 | 8.94E-34 | $1.54 \mathrm{E}-32$ |
| 503 | TNFRSF19 | 1.533254 | 4.816737 | 209.0766 | $2.18 \mathrm{E}-47$ | 5.73E-46 |
| 504 | CDYL2 | 1.046766 | 4.821179 | 70.01139 | 5.90E-17 | 4.81E-16 |
| 505 | MVP | 1.144575 | 4.810926 | 133.6722 | $6.44 \mathrm{E}-31$ | 1.02E-29 |
| 506 | MYNN | -1.19668 | 4.842375 | 120.8719 | $4.08 \mathrm{E}-28$ | 5.78E-27 |
| 507 | NR2C2AP | -1.3963 | 4.865353 | 155.1469 | $1.30 \mathrm{E}-35$ | 2.39E-34 |
| 508 | CCDC99 | -1.96585 | 4.875761 | 290.7999 | 3.33E-65 | $1.28 \mathrm{E}-63$ |
| 509 | ELOVL6 | -1.48167 | 4.847384 | 200.1887 | $1.90 \mathrm{E}-45$ | 4.70E-44 |
| 510 | E2F3 | -1.01918 | 4.843824 | 87.62695 | 7.90E-21 | 8.12E-20 |
| 511 | UGT2B28 | 3.893655 | 4.738447 | 744.1228 | 7.61E-164 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.39 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 161 \end{aligned}$ |
| 512 | FAM101B | -1.3925 | 4.84779 | 201.9817 | 7.72E-46 | 1.93E-44 |
| 513 | ZNF18 | 1.154333 | 4.817943 | 146.4863 | $1.02 \mathrm{E}-33$ | $1.74 \mathrm{E}-32$ |
| 514 | MCM10 | -4.32466 | 4.855 | 744.3193 | $6.90 \mathrm{E}-164$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.28 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 161 \end{aligned}$ |
| 515 | FAM198B | -1.66277 | 4.815321 | 146.1116 | 1.23E-33 | 2.10E-32 |
| 516 | TRADD | 1.31002 | 4.778269 | 105.8736 | 7.86E-25 | 9.71E-24 |
| 517 | RAI14 | -1.22035 | 4.817695 | 105.8037 | 8.14E-25 | 1.00E-23 |
| 518 | UHRF1 | -3.61134 | 4.827836 | 758.5996 | 5.41E-167 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.08 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 164 \end{aligned}$ |
| 519 | INPP4B | 1.779542 | 4.737244 | 206.9816 | 6.26E-47 | 1.62E-45 |
| 520 | C16orf59 | -1.75965 | 4.835931 | 290.2952 | 4.29E-65 | 1.64E-63 |
| 521 | RAD1 | -1.02587 | 4.794676 | 98.62368 | $3.05 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 3.49E-22 |


| 522 | KIF18B | -3.75678 | 4.830277 | 596.9025 | 7.90E-132 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 8.47 \mathrm{E}- \\ 130 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 523 | CDCA2 | -2.75471 | 4.817123 | 439.4649 | $1.42 \mathrm{E}-97$ | 1.02E-95 |
| 524 | NAT1 | 2.105876 | 4.757416 | 251.384 | $1.30 \mathrm{E}-56$ | 4.30E-55 |
| 525 | LIN7B | 1.602485 | 4.752415 | 181.8536 | $1.91 \mathrm{E}-41$ | 4.20E-40 |
| 526 | C17orf96 | -1.26239 | 4.767808 | 111.6182 | $4.33 \mathrm{E}-26$ | 5.64E-25 |
| 527 | MANEA | -1.21951 | 4.745592 | 111.0307 | 5.83E-26 | 7.56E-25 |
| 528 | TTF2 | -1.38898 | 4.759101 | 162.1472 | 3.84E-37 | 7.34E-36 |
| 529 | C21orf58 | -2.35905 | 4.785925 | 507.6473 | $2.06 \mathrm{E}-112$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.76 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 110 \end{aligned}$ |
| 530 | WDHD1 | -2.5941 | 4.763399 | 611.5602 | 5.12E-135 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.60 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 133 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 531 | ACOX3 | 1.074054 | 4.689627 | 61.81209 | $3.78 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 2.75E-14 |
| 532 | ZNF812 | 2.544403 | 4.648766 | 584.373 | $4.20 \mathrm{E}-129$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.38 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 127 \end{aligned}$ |
| 533 | MTHFD1L | -1.11124 | 4.7318 | 97.74967 | $4.75 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 5.42E-22 |
| 534 | PUS7 | -1.00813 | 4.693314 | 68.98917 | 9.90E-17 | 7.97E-16 |
| 535 | MEX3D | -1.08268 | 4.704777 | 98.24086 | 3.70E-23 | 4.24E-22 |
| 536 | MEX3A | -1.35255 | 4.700962 | 101.3829 | $7.58 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 8.95E-23 |
| 537 | SHCBP1 | -2.76888 | 4.72046 | 423.2217 | 4.86E-94 | 3.41E-92 |
| 538 | NAT8L | -1.28146 | 4.682233 | 73.65812 | $9.29 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 7.92E-17 |
| 539 | MXD3 | -1.73475 | 4.718974 | 215.1305 | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-48$ | 2.82E-47 |
| 540 | HAUS6 | -1.36579 | 4.67344 | 131.8728 | 1.60E-30 | 2.47E-29 |
| 541 | BAHCC1 | -1.01076 | 4.676828 | 61.13839 | 5.32E-15 | 3.83E-14 |
| 542 | POLA1 | -1.59616 | 4.684699 | 200.1805 | $1.91 \mathrm{E}-45$ | 4.71E-44 |
| 543 | TUBGCP3 | -1.17059 | 4.680888 | 117.0667 | $2.78 \mathrm{E}-27$ | 3.81E-26 |
| 544 | SUV39H1 | -1.65967 | 4.690893 | 231.6669 | $2.58 \mathrm{E}-52$ | 7.82E-51 |
| 545 | RFWD3 | -2.07941 | 4.677295 | 226.5095 | 3.44E-51 | 1.01E-49 |
| 546 | KIF23 | -2.97371 | 4.685966 | 651.689 | $9.59 \mathrm{E}-144$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.21 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 141 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 547 | BMPR1A | 1.150621 | 4.60637 | 92.87615 | 5.57E-22 | 6.03E-21 |
| 548 | ORC6 | -3.34711 | 4.683425 | 674.5864 | $1.01 \mathrm{E}-148$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.36 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 146 \end{aligned}$ |
| 549 | PIGW | -1.24672 | 4.638507 | 130.511 | 3.17E-30 | 4.87E-29 |
| 550 | PLK2 | -1.41448 | 4.671327 | 128.4041 | 9.16E-30 | 1.37E-28 |
| 551 | POLQ | -3.26326 | 4.655492 | 385.0002 | $1.01 \mathrm{E}-85$ | 6.41E-84 |
| 552 | RBBP8 | -1.76981 | 4.640005 | 216.371 | 5.60E-49 | 1.53E-47 |
| 553 | NUF2 | -2.40672 | 4.651253 | 301.1723 | $1.83 \mathrm{E}-67$ | 7.45E-66 |
| 554 | NEK2 | -2.46444 | 4.648609 | 341.503 | 3.00E-76 | $1.46 \mathrm{E}-74$ |
| 555 | PRIM2 | -1.14901 | 4.629397 | 81.60635 | $1.66 \mathrm{E}-19$ | $1.58 \mathrm{E}-18$ |
| 556 | PSMC3IP | -2.44706 | 4.631968 | 347.0801 | $1.83 \mathrm{E}-77$ | 9.30E-76 |
| 557 | DTL | -4.49669 | 4.61236 | 954.0361 | $1.76 \mathrm{E}-209$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.71 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 207 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |


| 558 | CDCA4 | -2.9533 | 4.610723 | 479.5007 | $2.74 \mathrm{E}-106$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.12 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 104 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 559 | CDC7 | -2.0867 | 4.595561 | 309.8759 | 2.32E-69 | 9.97E-68 |
| 560 | MRE11A | -1.08835 | 4.584518 | 75.07879 | $4.52 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 3.92E-17 |
| 561 | TUBA3D | 2.335066 | 4.537442 | 380.21 | $1.12 \mathrm{E}-84$ | 6.81E-83 |
| 562 | SKA3 | -2.30676 | 4.585329 | 330.5552 | 7.28E-74 | 3.37E-72 |
| 563 | STIL | -2.05411 | 4.582942 | 248.6831 | 5.03E-56 | 1.65E-54 |
| 564 | LAMA1 | 2.261857 | 4.505519 | 404.8368 | 4.88E-90 | 3.24E-88 |
| 565 | VRK1 | -1.63239 | 4.572572 | 256.6143 | 9.39E-58 | 3.17E-56 |
| 566 | TARBP1 | -1.0655 | 4.544414 | 89.33701 | 3.33E-21 | 3.50E-20 |
| 567 | CABLES2 | -1.25456 | 4.561123 | 133.9291 | 5.66E-31 | 8.98E-30 |
| 568 | OAS3 | -2.25464 | 4.56786 | 240.3174 | 3.35E-54 | $1.06 \mathrm{E}-52$ |
| 569 | PCTP | 1.26239 | 4.519361 | 134.8077 | 3.64E-31 | 5.79E-30 |
| 570 | TMEM194A | -2.42661 | 4.547988 | 352.4024 | 1.27E-78 | 6.72E-77 |
| 571 | UACA | -1.14131 | 4.536448 | 68.28635 | $1.41 \mathrm{E}-16$ | $1.13 \mathrm{E}-15$ |
| 572 | CCDC34 | -1.26032 | 4.551422 | 70.07553 | 5.71E-17 | 4.66E-16 |
| 573 | PVT1 | -1.13798 | 4.528861 | 86.51544 | $1.39 \mathrm{E}-20$ | $1.40 \mathrm{E}-19$ |
| 574 | MAPK11 | 1.126087 | 4.475094 | 84.29218 | 4.27E-20 | $4.19 \mathrm{E}-19$ |
| 575 | PRKX | -1.16917 | 4.511738 | 68.34921 | 1.37E-16 | $1.09 \mathrm{E}-15$ |
| 576 | SEC14L2 | 2.358778 | 4.44341 | 309.6495 | 2.60E-69 | 1.11E-67 |
| 577 | PAQR6 | 1.064693 | 4.495225 | 64.28098 | $1.08 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 8.16E-15 |
| 578 | NDE1 | -1.15307 | 4.505692 | 91.76889 | $9.74 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 1.05E-20 |
| 579 | DUSP1 | 1.116623 | 4.459056 | 81.90146 | $1.43 \mathrm{E}-19$ | $1.36 \mathrm{E}-18$ |
| 580 | SLC25A19 | -1.84023 | 4.490555 | 291.9924 | 1.83E-65 | 7.10E-64 |
| 581 | RIF1 | -1.18942 | 4.470228 | 77.44654 | $1.36 \mathrm{E}-18$ | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-17$ |
| 582 | ANP32E | -1.16421 | 4.482062 | 68.30151 | 1.40E-16 | 1.12E-15 |
| 583 | SKP2 | -2.16685 | 4.483818 | 276.831 | 3.68E-62 | 1.33E-60 |
| 584 | ACD | -1.00083 | 4.491429 | 57.18785 | 3.96E-14 | 2.67E-13 |
| 585 | KRT19 | 1.52064 | 4.433102 | 106.5317 | 5.64E-25 | 7.06E-24 |
| 586 | CD3EAP | -1.20903 | 4.461233 | 106.5053 | 5.71E-25 | $7.14 \mathrm{E}-24$ |
| 587 | ID2 | 1.114766 | 4.451093 | 86.96935 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 1.12E-19 |
| 588 | DCK | -1.36039 | 4.467973 | 79.68538 | 4.39E-19 | 4.07E-18 |
| 589 | ADCY3 | -1.26148 | 4.462304 | 71.77503 | $2.41 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 2.02E-16 |
| 590 | CEP57 | -1.02447 | 4.45679 | 63.1173 | $1.95 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $1.45 \mathrm{E}-14$ |
| 591 | CHEK1 | -2.67607 | 4.48128 | 307.0872 | 9.41E-69 | 3.93E-67 |
| 592 | HAUS1 | -1.45359 | 4.484391 | 152.0858 | 6.07E-35 | $1.09 \mathrm{E}-33$ |
| 593 | TARP | 2.0082 | 4.394865 | 293.1411 | $1.03 \mathrm{E}-65$ | 4.00E-64 |
| 594 | BTG3 | -1.44741 | 4.458835 | 139.2849 | 3.82E-32 | 6.29E-31 |
| 595 | ORAI3 | 1.406972 | 4.385888 | 129.0624 | 6.57E-30 | 9.92E-29 |
| 596 | GUSBP1 | -1.70377 | 4.420452 | 204.5126 | 2.16E-46 | 5.51E-45 |
| 597 | RCCD1 | -1.22891 | 4.442964 | 125.0822 | 4.88E-29 | 7.16E-28 |
| 598 | FAM107B | -1.1013 | 4.418613 | 72.64276 | $1.55 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 1.31E-16 |


| 599 | YEATS4 | -1.37125 | 4.4016 | 89.13679 | 3.68E-21 | 3.87E-20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 600 | GINS4 | -2.83117 | 4.410119 | 370.9958 | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-82$ | 6.59E-81 |
| 601 | LOC100132247 | -1.22548 | 4.371449 | 5.883853 | 0.01528 | 0.027177 |
| 602 | FANCC | -1.8619 | 4.390391 | 189.7382 | 3.63E-43 | $8.41 \mathrm{E}-42$ |
| 603 | DBF4B | -2.06223 | 4.401455 | 256.8599 | 8.30E-58 | $2.81 \mathrm{E}-56$ |
| 604 | CCDC141 | 2.787908 | 4.324552 | 174.0387 | $9.71 \mathrm{E}-40$ | 2.04E-38 |
| 605 | SH2D3A | 1.225514 | 4.317489 | 91.76856 | $9.74 \mathrm{E}-22$ | $1.05 \mathrm{E}-20$ |
| 606 | DBF4 | -1.85695 | 4.362105 | 207.1958 | $5.62 \mathrm{E}-47$ | $1.45 \mathrm{E}-45$ |
| 607 | RAD18 | -1.16233 | 4.356835 | 86.0776 | $1.73 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 1.73E-19 |
| 608 | CSPG5 | -1.06289 | 4.354519 | 90.54889 | 1.80E-21 | 1.92E-20 |
| 609 | SH3BP1 | -1.06124 | 4.359435 | 70.7006 | $4.16 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 3.42E-16 |
| 610 | MIR22HG | 1.593397 | 4.321499 | 179.503 | 6.22E-41 | 1.34E-39 |
| 611 | DEPDC1B | -2.69309 | 4.373182 | 344.0621 | 8.32E-77 | 4.15E-75 |
| 612 | PRSS16 | 1.029776 | 4.309015 | 57.0447 | $4.26 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 2.86E-13 |
| 613 | BMPR1B | 1.288273 | 4.299067 | 90.54056 | $1.81 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 1.93E-20 |
| 614 | GCNT2 | 1.101548 | 4.295841 | 61.31621 | $4.86 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 3.50E-14 |
| 615 | HAUS7 | -1.16574 | 4.337564 | 42.28691 | 7.88E-11 | $4.11 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| 616 | FGD4 | 1.577784 | 4.287323 | 111.3836 | 4.88E-26 | 6.33E-25 |
| 617 | TEAD4 | -1.08412 | 4.32635 | 68.56459 | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-16$ | $9.84 \mathrm{E}-16$ |
| 618 | ORC1 | -2.92149 | 4.352869 | 384.9004 | 1.07E-85 | 6.70E-84 |
| 619 | TRIM52 | 1.313995 | 4.273618 | 112.1945 | 3.24E-26 | $4.24 \mathrm{E}-25$ |
| 620 | RMI1 | -1.514 | 4.316916 | 102.4814 | 4.35E-24 | 5.21E-23 |
| 621 | SPC24 | -2.63448 | 4.336883 | 372.2121 | 6.17E-83 | 3.64E-81 |
| 622 | ZFAND2A | 1.068566 | 4.277248 | 72.57435 | $1.61 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 1.36E-16 |
| 623 | POLD3 | -1.93025 | 4.315872 | 212.8788 | 3.23E-48 | 8.69E-47 |
| 624 | WEE1 | -2.12736 | 4.312252 | 174.0315 | $9.74 \mathrm{E}-40$ | 2.04E-38 |
| 625 | IL10RB | 1.10843 | 4.257556 | 97.425 | 5.59E-23 | 6.33E-22 |
| 626 | DST | -1.04814 | 4.306202 | 32.04447 | $1.51 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 6.38E-08 |
| 627 | NRM | -1.93358 | 4.31834 | 198.1546 | 5.28E-45 | $1.29 \mathrm{E}-43$ |
| 628 | EME1 | -3.06517 | 4.314727 | 346.9957 | 1.91E-77 | 9.66E-76 |
| 629 | RFC3 | -2.85784 | 4.302175 | 320.0803 | $1.39 \mathrm{E}-71$ | 6.18E-70 |
| 630 | TMEM237 | -1.63188 | 4.288242 | 191.3249 | $1.63 \mathrm{E}-43$ | 3.83E-42 |
| 631 | TRERF1 | -1.22385 | 4.251048 | 74.02023 | 7.73E-18 | 6.64E-17 |
| 632 | FIGNL1 | -2.51617 | 4.277732 | 225.5112 | 5.68E-51 | 1.66E-49 |
| 633 | ASPM | -3.05577 | 4.279402 | 223.4129 | 1.63E-50 | 4.64E-49 |
| 634 | CCNE1 | -1.48767 | 4.267012 | 166.8232 | 3.66E-38 | 7.26E-37 |
| 635 | ZNF43 | -1.08378 | 4.256483 | 75.80417 | 3.13E-18 | 2.76E-17 |
| 636 | ZNF385B | 1.068493 | 4.22953 | 69.82443 | 6.48E-17 | 5.28E-16 |
| 637 | CDC25C | -2.27893 | 4.290442 | 264.1282 | 2.16E-59 | 7.58E-58 |
| 638 | URB2 | -1.11889 | 4.236503 | 38.19154 | 6.41E-10 | 3.10E-09 |
| 639 | ANKRD37 | 2.81022 | 4.170971 | 283.5484 | $1.27 \mathrm{E}-63$ | $4.73 \mathrm{E}-62$ |
| 640 | DIAPH3 | -2.22925 | 4.25633 | 369.7869 | $2.08 \mathrm{E}-82$ | $1.20 \mathrm{E}-80$ |


| 641 | TTK | -2.90284 | 4.256631 | 311.6591 | 9.50E-70 | 4.11E-68 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 642 | ANO7 | 1.582033 | 4.185993 | 108.8021 | $1.79 \mathrm{E}-25$ | 2.30E-24 |
| 643 | ERN1 | 1.068375 | 4.156414 | 25.92961 | 3.54E-07 | $1.29 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| 644 | G2E3 | -1.24851 | 4.193824 | 60.09737 | 9.03E-15 | 6.40E-14 |
| 645 | CHEK2 | -2.14126 | 4.214408 | 301.1367 | 1.86E-67 | 7.56E-66 |
| 646 | AJUBA | -1.16244 | 4.188373 | 94.40761 | 2.57E-22 | 2.81E-21 |
| 647 | TNFRSF21 | -1.02892 | 4.174664 | 66.25842 | 3.96E-16 | 3.06E-15 |
| 648 | FICD | 1.800882 | 4.140731 | 199.2631 | 3.02E-45 | 7.41E-44 |
| 649 | APLN | -1.2229 | 4.181197 | 96.93863 | 7.15E-23 | 8.06E-22 |
| 650 | ISG20 | 1.287618 | 4.128919 | 78.58197 | 7.67E-19 | 7.03E-18 |
| 651 | MFHAS1 | -1.02568 | 4.160037 | 58.37976 | 2.16E-14 | $1.49 \mathrm{E}-13$ |
| 652 | HNRNPU-AS1 | -1.19214 | 4.163327 | 101.998 | 5.56E-24 | 6.61E-23 |
| 653 | C1orf112 | -1.62682 | 4.174699 | 153.3047 | 3.29E-35 | 5.98E-34 |
| 654 | LRR1 | -1.19925 | 4.168088 | 114.8618 | 8.44E-27 | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-25$ |
| 655 | RAB27A | 1.065875 | 4.133523 | 59.69147 | 1.11E-14 | 7.81E-14 |
| 656 | AGR2 | 1.385475 | 4.086989 | 87.89266 | 6.91E-21 | 7.15E-20 |
| 657 | MIS18A | -1.9019 | 4.160942 | 175.9537 | 3.71E-40 | 7.84E-39 |
| 658 | GPSM2 | -2.34426 | 4.158148 | 244.1555 | 4.88E-55 | 1.57E-53 |
| 659 | PARVA | 1.101712 | 4.083544 | 73.80191 | 8.64E-18 | 7.37E-17 |
| 660 | SGK1 | 2.090041 | 4.126749 | 152.5369 | 4.84E-35 | 8.72E-34 |
| 661 | ERCC6L | -2.15891 | 4.124497 | 131.4457 | $1.98 \mathrm{E}-30$ | 3.06E-29 |
| 662 | SGOL2 | -2.27281 | 4.126562 | 258.6919 | 3.31E-58 | 1.14E-56 |
| 663 | KDM5B-AS1 | 1.06292 | 4.077932 | 57.56826 | 3.26E-14 | 2.21E-13 |
| 664 | CEP78 | -1.87971 | 4.117113 | 172.2264 | 2.41E-39 | 5.01E-38 |
| 665 | PHTF2 | -1.2045 | 4.090515 | 59.43018 | 1.27E-14 | 8.87E-14 |
| 666 | PDSS1 | -1.36548 | 4.099026 | 128.4645 | 8.88E-30 | 1.33E-28 |
| 667 | MERTK | 2.4027 | 4.034444 | 217.7853 | $2.75 \mathrm{E}-49$ | 7.57E-48 |
| 668 | PSCA | 1.005194 | 4.080927 | 60.38523 | 7.80E-15 | 5.54E-14 |
| 669 | KIF20B | -2.91237 | 4.10426 | 356.1447 | 1.95E-79 | 1.04E-77 |
| 670 | CENPH | -1.60544 | 4.09512 | 154.3255 | 1.97E-35 | 3.60E-34 |
| 671 | EXO1 | -4.22236 | 4.094591 | 631.448 | $2.42 \mathrm{E}-139$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2.90 \mathrm{E}- \\ 137 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 672 | NEDD1 | -1.27383 | 4.062327 | 68.38542 | 1.34E-16 | 1.07E-15 |
| 673 | MASTL | -1.77061 | 4.07329 | 161.707 | 4.79E-37 | 9.10E-36 |
| 674 | TMEM150A | 1.039055 | 4.032263 | 77.84202 | 1.12E-18 | 1.01E-17 |
| 675 | A1BG | 1.398434 | 4.026405 | 41.66666 | $1.08 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 5.57E-10 |
| 676 | HSPG2 | -1.09465 | 4.046511 | 77.21183 | 1.54E-18 | $1.38 \mathrm{E}-17$ |
| 677 | ACACB | -1.32703 | 4.04808 | 62.16556 | 3.16E-15 | 2.31E-14 |
| 678 | SLC5A3 | -1.34694 | 4.027646 | 47.76811 | 4.80E-12 | 2.78E-11 |
| 679 | NEURL1B | -1.66536 | 4.042715 | 126.2141 | 2.76E-29 | 4.08E-28 |
| 680 | SKA1 | -2.95702 | 4.036338 | 348.6993 | 8.14E-78 | 4.18E-76 |
| 681 | C1orf96 | -1.62557 | 4.011396 | 118.7362 | 1.20E-27 | 1.67E-26 |


| 682 | QSER1 | -1.19693 | 3.992907 | 76.32404 | 2.41E-18 | 2.15E-17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 683 | C11orf82 | -2.4944 | 4.00667 | 188.4001 | 7.11E-43 | 1.63E-41 |
| 684 | TIPARP | 2.133877 | 3.963071 | 165.3256 | 7.77E-38 | 1.51E-36 |
| 685 | PIF1 | -2.52455 | 4.027417 | 217.1512 | $3.78 \mathrm{E}-49$ | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-47$ |
| 686 | C15orf42 | -3.64515 | 4.010447 | 410.3408 | 3.09E-91 | 2.09E-89 |
| 687 | SLITRK3 | -2.73803 | 3.984061 | 351.9018 | $1.63 \mathrm{E}-78$ | 8.59E-77 |
| 688 | RELL2 | 1.165997 | 3.956934 | 56.75353 | 4.94E-14 | 3.30E-13 |
| 689 | CEP85 | -1.24208 | 3.990531 | 54.28541 | $1.73 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-12$ |
| 690 | C9orf40 | -1.6649 | 3.979057 | 142.6632 | 6.96E-33 | 1.18E-31 |
| 691 | FAM123B | -1.16898 | 3.947375 | 50.20149 | $1.39 \mathrm{E}-12$ | 8.42E-12 |
| 692 | PLK4 | -2.60187 | 3.956852 | 219.7499 | $1.03 \mathrm{E}-49$ | 2.86E-48 |
| 693 | KIF15 | -3.3208 | 3.955213 | 424.9456 | 2.05E-94 | 1.44E-92 |
| 694 | HERC5 | 1.284517 | 3.886767 | 130.0925 | 3.91E-30 | 5.97E-29 |
| 695 | DCLRE1B | -2.14993 | 3.928038 | 234.2715 | 6.98E-53 | 2.17E-51 |
| 696 | RASD1 | 2.236472 | 3.863466 | 169.5251 | 9.40E-39 | 1.92E-37 |
| 697 | PLEKHA7 | -1.03048 | 3.912357 | 43.92 | $3.42 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 1.85E-10 |
| 698 | FBXO5 | -2.25191 | 3.919201 | 200.8726 | $1.35 \mathrm{E}-45$ | 3.35E-44 |
| 699 | DFFB | -1.03534 | 3.895758 | 42.13086 | 8.54E-11 | $4.44 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| 700 | DEFB132 | 1.299497 | 3.856532 | 81.5667 | $1.69 \mathrm{E}-19$ | $1.61 \mathrm{E}-18$ |
| 701 | SERTAD1 | 1.155962 | 3.876137 | 62.81318 | 2.27E-15 | 1.68E-14 |
| 702 | C17orf107 | 1.615546 | 3.823275 | 156.3913 | 6.95E-36 | $1.29 \mathrm{E}-34$ |
| 703 | CDH26 | -1.22118 | 3.859626 | 70.65852 | $4.25 \mathrm{E}-17$ | $3.49 \mathrm{E}-16$ |
| 704 | MYCL1 | 1.088066 | 3.837652 | 56.65464 | 5.19E-14 | 3.46E-13 |
| 705 | CCP110 | -1.34822 | 3.860193 | 80.912 | $2.36 \mathrm{E}-19$ | $2.22 \mathrm{E}-18$ |
| 706 | HIST1H2BC | 1.438715 | 3.811325 | 76.83254 | $1.86 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 1.67E-17 |
| 707 | SDC4 | -1.41598 | 3.854239 | 124.185 | 7.67E-29 | 1.12E-27 |
| 708 | STXBP5L | -1.379 | 3.84011 | 118.6546 | $1.25 \mathrm{E}-27$ | 1.73E-26 |
| 709 | NCOA7 | -1.06508 | 3.840618 | 52.72481 | 3.84E-13 | $2.42 \mathrm{E}-12$ |
| 710 | PRIM1 | -2.7987 | 3.862068 | 274.9707 | 9.37E-62 | 3.35E-60 |
| 711 | FANCE | -1.44336 | 3.857833 | 118.6963 | $1.22 \mathrm{E}-27$ | 1.70E-26 |
| 712 | RAD51AP1 | -3.10514 | 3.858318 | 311.8686 | 8.55E-70 | 3.71E-68 |
| 713 | TIPIN | -1.37836 | 3.843579 | 73.74915 | 8.87E-18 | 7.57E-17 |
| 714 | SLC7A2 | -1.75815 | 3.830496 | 86.37052 | $1.49 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 1.50E-19 |
| 715 | KIF24 | -2.95619 | 3.858929 | 303.4058 | 5.97E-68 | 2.45E-66 |
| 716 | OIP5 | -2.26235 | 3.853208 | 230.1571 | 5.51E-52 | 1.65E-50 |
| 717 | FAM176B | 1.516353 | 3.800912 | 89.7365 | $2.72 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 2.87E-20 |
| 718 | DUSP9 | -1.16083 | 3.809888 | 58.29823 | $2.25 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 1.55E-13 |
| 719 | HAUS8 | -2.79464 | 3.827204 | 310.7765 | $1.48 \mathrm{E}-69$ | 6.37E-68 |
| 720 | TRAIP | -2.20067 | 3.817425 | 180.5902 | 3.60E-41 | 7.87E-40 |
| 721 | TTN | 2.614608 | 3.775705 | 258.7871 | 3.15E-58 | 1.09E-56 |
| 722 | WNT10B | -1.14977 | 3.778618 | 90.63025 | $1.73 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 1.84E-20 |
| 723 | SUV39H2 | -1.60642 | 3.784435 | 135.8789 | 2.12E-31 | 3.42E-30 |


| 724 | B3GALT4 | 2.832441 | 3.720288 | 328.9995 | 1.59E-73 | 7.27E-72 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 725 | SMC6 | -1.5804 | 3.776707 | 82.32832 | $1.15 \mathrm{E}-19$ | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-18$ |
| 726 | DPYSL2 | -1.26224 | 3.758385 | 59.14969 | $1.46 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 1.02E-13 |
| 727 | IQCC | -1.51402 | 3.759863 | 116.9337 | 2.97E-27 | 4.07E-26 |
| 728 | FLJ41484 | -1.5235 | 3.741278 | 84.19088 | $4.49 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 4.40E-19 |
| 729 | GINS3 | -1.73824 | 3.756026 | 119.3809 | 8.64E-28 | $1.21 \mathrm{E}-26$ |
| 730 | RAD51 | -2.98367 | 3.756982 | 395.1846 | 6.15E-88 | 4.00E-86 |
| 731 | MNS1 | -2.44062 | 3.749475 | 184.9116 | 4.10E-42 | 9.25E-41 |
| 732 | LOC728554 | -1.30577 | 3.73998 | 107.1871 | $4.05 \mathrm{E}-25$ | 5.11E-24 |
| 733 | LOC219347 | -1.01781 | 3.749536 | 48.77995 | $2.86 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $1.69 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
| 734 | E2F2 | -3.57466 | 3.755456 | 479.6686 | 2.52E-106 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.96 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 104 \end{aligned}$ |
| 735 | CTDSPL2 | -1.27314 | 3.720102 | 44.89663 | 2.08E-11 | 1.14E-10 |
| 736 | SLC27A2 | -1.61449 | 3.725654 | 128.8359 | 7.37E-30 | 1.11E-28 |
| 737 | SH3D21 | 2.647745 | 3.671158 | 234.1186 | 7.54E-53 | 2.34E-51 |
| 738 | KIAA1524 | -2.11234 | 3.73011 | 165.4529 | 7.28E-38 | 1.42E-36 |
| 739 | SPC25 | -2.5445 | 3.737694 | 215.2429 | 9.86E-49 | 2.67E-47 |
| 740 | GSTCD | -1.99654 | 3.706872 | 143.8889 | 3.76E-33 | 6.39E-32 |
| 741 | LRCH1 | 1.206261 | 3.675248 | 44.32163 | $2.79 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 1.51E-10 |
| 742 | SYBU | -1.16677 | 3.678905 | 66.81248 | 2.99E-16 | 2.33E-15 |
| 743 | HIST1H4H | 1.486856 | 3.628909 | 48.00753 | $4.25 \mathrm{E}-12$ | 2.47E-11 |
| 744 | KIF14 | -2.71377 | 3.700978 | 226.7791 | 3.00E-51 | 8.92E-50 |
| 745 | PRKD3 | -1.19138 | 3.679304 | 69.00198 | $9.84 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 7.93E-16 |
| 746 | TBX15 | 2.031142 | 3.649352 | 186.9746 | $1.45 \mathrm{E}-42$ | 3.31E-41 |
| 747 | ESCO2 | -3.55128 | 3.678045 | 255.0783 | 2.03E-57 | 6.78E-56 |
| 748 | ORM1 | 4.646724 | 3.584169 | 558.3566 | 1.91E-123 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.91 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 121 \end{aligned}$ |
| 749 | CASC5 | -3.54596 | 3.668182 | 281.3416 | 3.83E-63 | 1.41E-61 |
| 750 | ZFP36L2 | -1.40277 | 3.646974 | 107.2857 | 3.85E-25 | 4.87E-24 |
| 751 | BRI3BP | -1.04553 | 3.644973 | 38.75384 | 4.81E-10 | 2.35E-09 |
| 752 | CA12 | -1.41513 | 3.646291 | 119.7792 | 7.07E-28 | 9.95E-27 |
| 753 | CCNE2 | -3.26585 | 3.647541 | 258.1389 | 4.37E-58 | 1.49E-56 |
| 754 | NRGN | -1.17895 | 3.657298 | 49.72338 | $1.77 \mathrm{E}-12$ | 1.07E-11 |
| 755 | JDP2 | -1.15876 | 3.63517 | 58.98903 | $1.59 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-13$ |
| 756 | CSGALNACT1 | 3.40068 | 3.570962 | 363.437 | 5.03E-81 | 2.80E-79 |
| 757 | KHK | -1.37881 | 3.631483 | 61.99874 | $3.44 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 2.51E-14 |
| 758 | ERI1 | -1.72734 | 3.606816 | 160.2264 | 1.01E-36 | $1.91 \mathrm{E}-35$ |
| 759 | MMP16 | -2.2333 | 3.620427 | 137.2339 | 1.07E-31 | $1.75 \mathrm{E}-30$ |
| 760 | CHML | -1.2323 | 3.599155 | 44.99648 | 1.97E-11 | $1.09 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| 761 | HPGD | 2.109722 | 3.546501 | 143.4881 | 4.60E-33 | 7.81E-32 |
| 762 | WDR67 | -1.25399 | 3.621995 | 55.63943 | $8.71 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 5.72E-13 |
| 763 | PARPBP | -2.4475 | 3.618575 | 156.3664 | 7.04E-36 | $1.30 \mathrm{E}-34$ |
| 764 | NUP35 | -1.19488 | 3.598997 | 66.57558 | 3.37E-16 | $2.62 \mathrm{E}-15$ |


| 765 | DSCC1 | -2.13346 | 3.614539 | 182.6449 | 1.28E-41 | 2.85E-40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 766 | SLC15A2 | 1.385816 | 3.573008 | 87.0846 | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 1.06E-19 |
| 767 | KLF5 | 1.288376 | 3.562063 | 62.57721 | $2.56 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 1.89E-14 |
| 768 | IL17RB | -1.99178 | 3.581358 | 160.1375 | 1.06E-36 | $1.99 \mathrm{E}-35$ |
| 769 | ENPP1 | -1.21258 | 3.559328 | 62.39313 | $2.81 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 2.06E-14 |
| 770 | SPTBN4 | 1.395943 | 3.522144 | 108.7891 | $1.81 \mathrm{E}-25$ | 2.31E-24 |
| 771 | LAT2 | 2.427611 | 3.494342 | 149.5321 | 2.19E-34 | 3.90E-33 |
| 772 | ORM2 | 4.097867 | 3.458973 | 353.356 | 7.88E-79 | 4.18E-77 |
| 773 | SNHG12 | -1.30454 | 3.53138 | 75.89123 | 3.00E-18 | 2.65E-17 |
| 774 | CENPL | -1.79845 | 3.539967 | 99.88182 | 1.62E-23 | $1.88 \mathrm{E}-22$ |
| 775 | HSPA4L | -1.67534 | 3.524468 | 59.37183 | $1.31 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 9.13E-14 |
| 776 | LRRN1 | -1.61001 | 3.495236 | 76.19264 | $2.57 \mathrm{E}-18$ | $2.29 \mathrm{E}-17$ |
| 777 | BARD1 | -2.55148 | 3.507466 | 129.8716 | 4.37E-30 | 6.65E-29 |
| 778 | KCNQ4 | -1.01167 | 3.498545 | 33.61509 | 6.72E-09 | 2.94E-08 |
| 779 | CAMK2B | -1.14934 | 3.51744 | 58.65534 | $1.88 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 1.30E-13 |
| 780 | CKAP2L | -2.74072 | 3.497197 | 218.0685 | $2.39 \mathrm{E}-49$ | 6.58E-48 |
| 781 | EXPH5 | -1.15146 | 3.457627 | 52.61518 | 4.06E-13 | 2.55E-12 |
| 782 | CECR6 | 2.186917 | 3.43316 | 78.76437 | 7.00E-19 | 6.42E-18 |
| 783 | LOC100128191 | -2.64894 | 3.508863 | 224.3989 | 9.93E-51 | 2.86E-49 |
| 784 | HS3ST1 | 1.066131 | 3.47225 | 54.76213 | $1.36 \mathrm{E}-13$ | 8.81E-13 |
| 785 | NT5C3 | -1.00783 | 3.470744 | 34.14562 | 5.11E-09 | 2.27E-08 |
| 786 | OSGEPL1 | -1.16074 | 3.469654 | 51.25061 | 8.13E-13 | 5.03E-12 |
| 787 | C14orf132 | -1.01481 | 3.467186 | 35.43416 | 2.64E-09 | 1.20E-08 |
| 788 | HAUS3 | -1.43001 | 3.459513 | 101.3935 | $7.54 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 8.91E-23 |
| 789 | CDC14B | 1.323304 | 3.421135 | 65.15301 | 6.93E-16 | 5.31E-15 |
| 790 | TAF5 | -1.16492 | 3.458455 | 55.89393 | 7.65E-14 | 5.05E-13 |
| 791 | SGOL1 | -2.76634 | 3.475341 | 261.1823 | 9.48E-59 | 3.29E-57 |
| 792 | KLF10 | -1.1361 | 3.443025 | 62.58935 | $2.55 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 1.88E-14 |
| 793 | ADCY1 | -1.64174 | 3.444643 | 130.4402 | 3.28E-30 | 5.03E-29 |
| 794 | ZNF107 | -1.66983 | 3.428667 | 96.52452 | 8.81E-23 | 9.90E-22 |
| 795 | RNF43 | -1.28268 | 3.445817 | 63.50381 | 1.60E-15 | 1.19E-14 |
| 796 | RMI2 | -3.02067 | 3.453655 | 276.6049 | 4.13E-62 | 1.49E-60 |
| 797 | ZAK | -1.94421 | 3.440323 | 118.3677 | $1.44 \mathrm{E}-27$ | 1.99E-26 |
| 798 | CENPA | -2.92953 | 3.460974 | 297.4671 | 1.17E-66 | 4.68E-65 |
| 799 | APOBEC3B | -1.35257 | 3.444421 | 85.66577 | 2.13E-20 | 2.13E-19 |
| 800 | DDX12P | -2.2256 | 3.44998 | 158.0284 | 3.05E-36 | 5.68E-35 |
| 801 | KLF4 | 1.189636 | 3.388472 | 52.24152 | 4.91E-13 | 3.07E-12 |
| 802 | TRIM59 | -1.45269 | 3.408551 | 122.5707 | $1.73 \mathrm{E}-28$ | 2.50E-27 |
| 803 | VLDLR | 1.481824 | 3.381713 | 115.8754 | 5.06E-27 | 6.88E-26 |
| 804 | DONSON | -2.00066 | 3.413257 | 158.1582 | 2.86E-36 | 5.33E-35 |
| 805 | SWT1 | 1.644071 | 3.366704 | 73.42668 | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 8.88E-17 |
| 806 | DNA2 | -2.76689 | 3.405734 | 150.8371 | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-34$ | 2.03E-33 |


| 807 | LIN54 | -1.04281 | 3.402325 | 31.36063 | 2.14E-08 | 8.94E-08 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 808 | GEN1 | -1.9078 | 3.395221 | 136.0877 | $1.91 \mathrm{E}-31$ | 3.08E-30 |
| 809 | EPB41L2 | -1.04174 | 3.39408 | 52.91812 | $3.48 \mathrm{E}-13$ | 2.19E-12 |
| 810 | FAM134B | -1.29243 | 3.367858 | 58.6655 | $1.87 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 1.29E-13 |
| 811 | E2F8 | -3.81998 | 3.392733 | 317.5903 | $4.85 \mathrm{E}-71$ | 2.15E-69 |
| 812 | ZNF367 | -4.32813 | 3.380485 | 299.4173 | 4.41E-67 | $1.77 \mathrm{E}-65$ |
| 813 | NNMT | 1.047581 | 3.347602 | 41.63383 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 5.66E-10 |
| 814 | ZNF100 | -1.04783 | 3.352851 | 24.02709 | 9.50E-07 | 3.32E-06 |
| 815 | ABCG1 | 1.105241 | 3.311829 | 28.89807 | 7.63E-08 | 3.02E-07 |
| 816 | BLM | -3.41356 | 3.366816 | 279.0465 | $1.21 \mathrm{E}-62$ | 4.43E-61 |
| 817 | SPATA5 | -1.32015 | 3.348486 | 71.99 | $2.16 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 1.81E-16 |
| 818 | GSG2 | -3.4629 | 3.364504 | 362.7189 | 7.20E-81 | 3.99E-79 |
| 819 | SNHG4 | -1.14853 | 3.330395 | 57.11487 | 4.11E-14 | 2.77E-13 |
| 820 | SLC6A6 | -1.40983 | 3.336926 | 52.14168 | 5.16E-13 | 3.23E-12 |
| 821 | PRKY | -1.60872 | 3.323492 | 99.28248 | $2.19 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 2.53E-22 |
| 822 | CENPJ | -1.85117 | 3.341224 | 63.57473 | $1.54 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 1.15E-14 |
| 823 | NKPD1 | 1.02814 | 3.292974 | 34.08192 | 5.28E-09 | 2.34E-08 |
| 824 | ANKRD32 | -1.16676 | 3.32541 | 38.79946 | $4.70 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 2.29E-09 |
| 825 | ZIK1 | -1.29188 | 3.317349 | 87.37181 | 8.99E-21 | 9.22E-20 |
| 826 | SCLT1 | -1.33599 | 3.308964 | 73.59365 | 9.60E-18 | 8.18E-17 |
| 827 | CRISPLD2 | 2.077797 | 3.259313 | 107.2989 | 3.83E-25 | 4.85E-24 |
| 828 | C17orf48 | 1.018594 | 3.271453 | 37.04864 | $1.15 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 5.42E-09 |
| 829 | SASS6 | -1.95293 | 3.282375 | 115.6829 | 5.58E-27 | 7.56E-26 |
| 830 | LRRCC1 | -1.39311 | 3.277272 | 51.58085 | 6.87E-13 | 4.26E-12 |
| 831 | COLEC12 | -1.32371 | 3.262694 | 86.10465 | $1.71 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 1.71E-19 |
| 832 | MND1 | -3.12209 | 3.307658 | 199.8417 | 2.26E-45 | 5.55E-44 |
| 833 | DNMT3B | -1.44814 | 3.284436 | 76.21404 | $2.55 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 2.27E-17 |
| 834 | PKIB | -1.07747 | 3.267757 | 49.06057 | $2.48 \mathrm{E}-12$ | 1.48E-11 |
| 835 | C18orf56 | -1.22464 | 3.292778 | 39.3162 | 3.60E-10 | 1.78E-09 |
| 836 | CDH24 | -1.19277 | 3.281354 | 44.90404 | 2.07E-11 | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| 837 | ADAT2 | -1.02294 | 3.251893 | 37.47591 | $9.25 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 4.41E-09 |
| 838 | TRNP1 | -1.25211 | 3.254968 | 44.57074 | 2.45E-11 | 1.34E-10 |
| 839 | CCDC15 | -1.00268 | 3.260078 | 33.55018 | 6.94E-09 | 3.04E-08 |
| 840 | SLC2A3 | 4.014408 | 3.21207 | 331.2792 | 5.06E-74 | 2.37E-72 |
| 841 | KIAA1656 | 1.186426 | 3.234862 | 50.09021 | 1.47E-12 | 8.89E-12 |
| 842 | NEIL3 | -3.04605 | 3.264715 | 255.398 | $1.73 \mathrm{E}-57$ | 5.80E-56 |
| 843 | MAPRE2 | -1.00429 | 3.23097 | 49.70027 | $1.79 \mathrm{E}-12$ | 1.08E-11 |
| 844 | LRRC37A4 | -1.16917 | 3.225988 | 40.99446 | $1.53 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 7.78E-10 |
| 845 | LOC401431 | -1.08407 | 3.196361 | 38.23166 | 6.28E-10 | 3.04E-09 |
| 846 | C4orf46 | -3.12073 | 3.184868 | 187.1242 | $1.35 \mathrm{E}-42$ | 3.07E-41 |
| 847 | WDR76 | -2.68684 | 3.182243 | 224.8671 | 7.85E-51 | 2.29E-49 |
| 848 | ANG | 1.814142 | 3.110239 | 102.8918 | 3.54E-24 | 4.26E-23 |


| 849 | OPTN | 1.691043 | 3.098588 | 105.9395 | 7.60E-25 | 9.41E-24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 850 | CEP152 | -2.1307 | 3.15379 | 94.95323 | $1.95 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 2.15E-21 |
| 851 | RBL1 | -2.58173 | 3.150147 | 110.088 | 9.37E-26 | 1.21E-24 |
| 852 | TMEM107 | -1.16554 | 3.156484 | 43.78547 | 3.66E-11 | $1.97 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| 853 | TRPV1 | -1.04874 | 3.148863 | 34.01874 | 5.46E-09 | 2.41E-08 |
| 854 | NOC3L | -1.00389 | 3.126805 | 58.27829 | 2.28E-14 | $1.56 \mathrm{E}-13$ |
| 855 | ARMC12 | 2.123023 | 3.118034 | 143.9772 | 3.59E-33 | 6.12E-32 |
| 856 | RELL1 | -1.27884 | 3.118836 | 50.25672 | $1.35 \mathrm{E}-12$ | 8.23E-12 |
| 857 | SNAI2 | 2.481717 | 3.122005 | 204.8473 | $1.83 \mathrm{E}-46$ | 4.68E-45 |
| 858 | TEX30 | -1.4719 | 3.122972 | 64.3744 | 1.03E-15 | 7.80E-15 |
| 859 | XRCC2 | -3.57366 | 3.10956 | 315.5248 | 1.37E-70 | 6.00E-69 |
| 860 | BEND3 | -1.3985 | 3.096632 | 64.15968 | $1.15 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $8.66 \mathrm{E}-15$ |
| 861 | PTPRN2 | 2.082654 | 3.083282 | 176.1122 | 3.42E-40 | 7.26E-39 |
| 862 | BRCA2 | -3.54997 | 3.112857 | 295.2399 | 3.59E-66 | 1.42E-64 |
| 863 | POLR3G | -1.45319 | 3.092283 | 70.21691 | 5.31E-17 | 4.35E-16 |
| 864 | HELLS | -3.3891 | 3.100935 | 162.3502 | 3.47E-37 | 6.64E-36 |
| 865 | PART1 | 1.712935 | 3.060102 | 77.45019 | $1.36 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 1.23E-17 |
| 866 | CDCA7 | -3.07772 | 3.091576 | 202.5467 | 5.81E-46 | $1.46 \mathrm{E}-44$ |
| 867 | SLC45A1 | 1.032657 | 3.046409 | 39.69559 | 2.97E-10 | $1.48 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 868 | KIAA1211 | -1.41924 | 3.092965 | 57.84276 | 2.84E-14 | $1.93 \mathrm{E}-13$ |
| 869 | LOC642846 | -2.5479 | 3.100182 | 114.2246 | 1.16E-26 | $1.57 \mathrm{E}-25$ |
| 870 | NR1D1 | -1.21055 | 3.063159 | 49.29168 | $2.21 \mathrm{E}-12$ | 1.32E-11 |
| 871 | SLC31A2 | 1.143838 | 3.039866 | 39.33853 | 3.56E-10 | 1.76E-09 |
| 872 | PER2 | -1.27893 | 3.059703 | 42.4396 | 7.29E-11 | 3.82E-10 |
| 873 | CASP8AP2 | -1.132 | 3.045985 | 34.18097 | 5.02E-09 | 2.23E-08 |
| 874 | FAM178A | -1.261 | 3.030438 | 44.4955 | 2.55E-11 | 1.39E-10 |
| 875 | CLDN8 | 1.161157 | 3.026854 | 59.4506 | 1.25E-14 | 8.79E-14 |
| 876 | ODF3B | 1.054682 | 3.018693 | 45.6125 | $1.44 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 8.00E-11 |
| 877 | LOC100129480 | 1.568523 | 2.993878 | 57.22885 | 3.88E-14 | 2.62E-13 |
| 878 | CEP128 | -2.23337 | 3.035504 | 123.0141 | 1.38E-28 | 2.00E-27 |
| 879 | C16orf55 | -1.27736 | 3.024729 | 51.41188 | 7.49E-13 | 4.64E-12 |
| 880 | CHRNA5 | -1.24955 | 3.008231 | 57.56419 | 3.27E-14 | 2.22E-13 |
| 881 | CEP68 | -1.2034 | 2.994158 | 46.65497 | 8.47E-12 | $4.79 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
| 882 | ABTB1 | 1.586115 | 2.970268 | 107.5077 | $3.45 \mathrm{E}-25$ | $4.38 \mathrm{E}-24$ |
| 883 | RNASE4 | 1.730216 | 2.948282 | 60.43789 | $7.59 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 5.40E-14 |
| 884 | S100P | 2.105736 | 2.925508 | 96.50694 | $8.89 \mathrm{E}-23$ | $9.98 \mathrm{E}-22$ |
| 885 | MMS22L | -2.38191 | 3.000201 | 117.5078 | 2.22E-27 | 3.06E-26 |
| 886 | FANCM | -1.23901 | 2.987179 | 49.32213 | 2.17E-12 | $1.30 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
| 887 | NHSL1 | -1.06838 | 2.98745 | 34.67221 | 3.90E-09 | 1.75E-08 |
| 888 | SPATA18 | -1.43826 | 2.974484 | 69.01939 | $9.75 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 7.86E-16 |
| 889 | ZNF704 | -1.00002 | 2.96406 | 28.36926 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 3.90E-07 |
| 890 | PTGER4 | 1.524584 | 2.933251 | 54.94859 | $1.24 \mathrm{E}-13$ | 8.03E-13 |


| 891 | C1QTNF9BAS1 | 2.154137 | 2.922719 | 113.8169 | $1.43 \mathrm{E}-26$ | $1.92 \mathrm{E}-25$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 892 | CCDC18 | -1.80017 | 2.956273 | 63.77814 | 1.39E-15 | 1.04E-14 |
| 893 | MTMR11 | 1.026313 | 2.937255 | 21.65315 | 3.27E-06 | 1.06E-05 |
| 894 | E2F7 | -4.91385 | 2.942007 | 247.2552 | 1.03E-55 | 3.34E-54 |
| 895 | AKAP12 | 2.532329 | 2.863866 | 121.4501 | 3.05E-28 | $4.36 \mathrm{E}-27$ |
| 896 | CENPI | -3.01173 | 2.932829 | 251.4544 | 1.25E-56 | 4.16E-55 |
| 897 | ALOXE3 | -1.08352 | 2.913425 | 36.00663 | 1.97E-09 | 9.07E-09 |
| 898 | CAMK2D | -1.55716 | 2.911014 | 80.60872 | 2.75E-19 | 2.58E-18 |
| 899 | ZNF551 | -1.54863 | 2.898383 | 62.83916 | $2.24 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $1.66 \mathrm{E}-14$ |
| 900 | CENPK | -3.0927 | 2.918185 | 154.9931 | $1.41 \mathrm{E}-35$ | 2.58E-34 |
| 901 | ALG10B | -1.09698 | 2.904789 | 31.75831 | 1.75E-08 | 7.35E-08 |
| 902 | SORL1 | -1.73064 | 2.907479 | 82.575 | 1.02E-19 | 9.78E-19 |
| 903 | AZGP1P1 | 1.403957 | 2.87277 | 58.04092 | 2.57E-14 | $1.75 \mathrm{E}-13$ |
| 904 | DOK3 | -1.19857 | 2.904108 | 26.44425 | $2.71 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $1.01 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| 905 | ZNF850 | -1.43981 | 2.877071 | 58.69405 | 1.84E-14 | 1.27E-13 |
| 906 | HIST1H2BG | 1.137454 | 2.850955 | 14.86339 | 0.000116 | 0.000304 |
| 907 | PAG1 | -1.88583 | 2.895752 | 81.31123 | 1.93E-19 | 1.82E-18 |
| 908 | FAM40B | -1.56009 | 2.899425 | 66.90838 | $2.84 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 2.23E-15 |
| 909 | POLE2 | -2.15642 | 2.88688 | 67.75599 | 1.85E-16 | $1.46 \mathrm{E}-15$ |
| 910 | C16orf7 | 1.233663 | 2.858755 | 45.09093 | 1.88E-11 | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| 911 | SCML2 | -2.04179 | 2.881585 | 117.5708 | 2.15E-27 | 2.97E-26 |
| 912 | ADAM22 | -1.36209 | 2.873116 | 45.25893 | 1.73E-11 | 9.55E-11 |
| 913 | CEP57L1 | -1.37994 | 2.870672 | 46.51872 | 9.07E-12 | 5.11E-11 |
| 914 | TMCC3 | 2.885206 | 2.843765 | 167.1876 | 3.04E-38 | 6.09E-37 |
| 915 | LIN9 | -2.98293 | 2.863285 | 172.5152 | 2.09E-39 | 4.35E-38 |
| 916 | AUTS2 | -1.69129 | 2.864932 | 86.86803 | 1.16E-20 | 1.18E-19 |
| 917 | SORBS2 | -1.56091 | 2.85289 | 78.51926 | 7.92E-19 | 7.25E-18 |
| 918 | LOC730101 | -1.37135 | 2.832468 | 75.19295 | 4.27E-18 | $3.72 \mathrm{E}-17$ |
| 919 | SNX16 | 1.058401 | 2.809404 | 25.65605 | 4.08E-07 | $1.48 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| 920 | SOX8 | -1.52318 | 2.821924 | 63.5696 | $1.55 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $1.16 \mathrm{E}-14$ |
| 921 | CENPQ | -2.06973 | 2.832032 | 102.4547 | 4.41E-24 | 5.27E-23 |
| 922 | PLXDC2 | 1.159774 | 2.807117 | 47.19046 | 6.44E-12 | 3.68E-11 |
| 923 | KIF18A | -2.58675 | 2.830089 | 132.4496 | 1.19E-30 | 1.86E-29 |
| 924 | BRIP1 | -3.62238 | 2.817232 | 209.6381 | 1.65E-47 | 4.34E-46 |
| 925 | KDELC1 | -1.19361 | 2.78665 | 47.68966 | 4.99E-12 | 2.89E-11 |
| 926 | HSD17B11 | 1.36911 | 2.747794 | 53.85517 | 2.16E-13 | 1.38E-12 |
| 927 | PTPRCAP | 1.180093 | 2.765529 | 15.88675 | 6.72E-05 | 0.000183 |
| 928 | ZMYM1 | -1.20525 | 2.77071 | 26.19817 | 3.08E-07 | $1.13 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| 929 | SERPINI1 | -1.30872 | 2.778014 | 44.85772 | 2.12E-11 | 1.16E-10 |
| 930 | LOC100288637 | -2.51647 | 2.787301 | 95.18491 | 1.73E-22 | $1.92 \mathrm{E}-21$ |
| 931 | FAM86B1 | -1.08404 | 2.747808 | 39.62318 | 3.08E-10 | 1.53E-09 |


| 932 | DPYSL5 | -1.27905 | 2.748075 | 30.95906 | $2.64 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 1.09E-07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 933 | NANP | -1.35702 | 2.750439 | 40.8687 | $1.63 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 8.28E-10 |
| 934 | ZNF530 | -1.69082 | 2.748085 | 75.36687 | $3.91 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 3.42E-17 |
| 935 | FAM69A | 1.22512 | 2.717053 | 32.20534 | $1.39 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 5.89E-08 |
| 936 | KLF11 | -1.54491 | 2.741484 | 46.76429 | $8.01 \mathrm{E}-12$ | 4.55E-11 |
| 937 | AMACR | 2.005658 | 2.704537 | 66.28415 | $3.90 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 3.03E-15 |
| 938 | SRCIN1 | -1.58181 | 2.725143 | 84.74002 | 3.40E-20 | 3.37E-19 |
| 939 | ZNF124 | -1.45314 | 2.735325 | 47.93472 | $4.41 \mathrm{E}-12$ | 2.56E-11 |
| 940 | ENO2 | -1.49626 | 2.725995 | 49.35197 | $2.14 \mathrm{E}-12$ | 1.28E-11 |
| 941 | LOC100506469 | -1.02567 | 2.717116 | 28.40661 | 9.83E-08 | 3.83E-07 |
| 942 | RELT | -2.53494 | 2.732905 | 65.19319 | 6.79E-16 | 5.20E-15 |
| 943 | RTKN2 | -2.06478 | 2.716191 | 94.11113 | $2.98 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 3.26E-21 |
| 944 | RNF219 | -1.00003 | 2.694821 | 23.15978 | $1.49 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 5.07E-06 |
| 945 | DPF1 | -1.24215 | 2.71074 | 47.67369 | 5.03E-12 | 2.91E-11 |
| 946 | GLDC | -1.13095 | 2.691858 | 39.18088 | 3.86E-10 | 1.90E-09 |
| 947 | NUAK2 | -1.70525 | 2.692936 | 62.80441 | $2.28 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $1.69 \mathrm{E}-14$ |
| 948 | DENND5B | -1.17903 | 2.673064 | 37.88917 | 7.49E-10 | 3.60E-09 |
| 949 | PLK3 | -1.45445 | 2.672837 | 73.33306 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 9.30E-17 |
| 950 | SLMO1 | -1.08521 | 2.67575 | 37.99684 | 7.09E-10 | 3.42E-09 |
| 951 | ZNF93 | -1.11103 | 2.671161 | 34.28057 | 4.77E-09 | 2.12E-08 |
| 952 | KHDRBS3 | -1.15034 | 2.672515 | 25.4356 | $4.57 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 1.65E-06 |
| 953 | LIMD2 | 1.271281 | 2.63981 | 49.16096 | $2.36 \mathrm{E}-12$ | 1.41E-11 |
| 954 | CEP97 | -1.05388 | 2.653911 | 24.20318 | 8.67E-07 | 3.04E-06 |
| 955 | RTTN | -1.63953 | 2.656886 | 71.61399 | $2.62 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 2.18E-16 |
| 956 | ESPN | -1.08073 | 2.646307 | 32.31347 | $1.31 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 5.59E-08 |
| 957 | IER5 | -1.89334 | 2.65326 | 84.15907 | 4.57E-20 | 4.47E-19 |
| 958 | TAF4B | -1.32777 | 2.630413 | 39.20987 | 3.81E-10 | 1.87E-09 |
| 959 | C17orf53 | -2.47448 | 2.658987 | 124.9219 | 5.29E-29 | 7.74E-28 |
| 960 | HLF | -1.60576 | 2.636684 | 95.70296 | $1.33 \mathrm{E}-22$ | $1.49 \mathrm{E}-21$ |
| 961 | EPS8L1 | 2.620432 | 2.587459 | 90.74023 | $1.64 \mathrm{E}-21$ | $1.75 \mathrm{E}-20$ |
| 962 | CBLN2 | -1.92761 | 2.632524 | 96.20168 | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-22$ | $1.16 \mathrm{E}-21$ |
| 963 | CPEB3 | 1.213971 | 2.58833 | 36.86618 | $1.27 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 5.92E-09 |
| 964 | FCHSD1 | 1.253142 | 2.608096 | 33.80884 | 6.08E-09 | 2.67E-08 |
| 965 | ZNF136 | -1.01757 | 2.620838 | 21.04429 | 4.49E-06 | $1.44 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 966 | NPPC | 2.723005 | 2.599821 | 139.9337 | $2.75 \mathrm{E}-32$ | $4.58 \mathrm{E}-31$ |
| 967 | THBS1 | -1.83254 | 2.637855 | 52.05617 | 5.39E-13 | 3.37E-12 |
| 968 | RASSF5 | -1.12099 | 2.597728 | 43.365 | $4.54 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 2.41E-10 |
| 969 | EGLN3 | -1.57213 | 2.609625 | 68.63082 | $1.19 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 9.53E-16 |
| 970 | SFTPA2 | -1.00607 | 2.601936 | 39.58828 | $3.14 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $1.56 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 971 | FERMT2 | 1.690235 | 2.570251 | 39.15683 | 3.91E-10 | 1.92E-09 |
| 972 | WLS | -1.03426 | 2.598078 | 25.16743 | 5.26E-07 | 1.88E-06 |
| 973 | MYBPC1 | 1.523943 | 2.566469 | 58.62965 | $1.90 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 1.31E-13 |


| 974 | NOVA1 | -1.0091 | 2.59571 | 32.33856 | 1.30E-08 | 5.53E-08 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 975 | IL1RN | -2.1615 | 2.568722 | 102.6374 | 4.02E-24 | 4.83E-23 |
| 976 | ZNF738 | -1.45842 | 2.59568 | 50.2456 | $1.36 \mathrm{E}-12$ | 8.27E-12 |
| 977 | PPARGC1B | -1.6331 | 2.565518 | 42.67018 | 6.48E-11 | 3.41E-10 |
| 978 | FAM72D | -2.61545 | 2.584525 | 112.6984 | $2.51 \mathrm{E}-26$ | 3.32E-25 |
| 979 | CDH15 | 1.391528 | 2.543718 | 48.69188 | 3.00E-12 | 1.77E-11 |
| 980 | KCNH2 | 1.106511 | 2.54331 | 23.42814 | 1.30E-06 | 4.44E-06 |
| 981 | LOC100289019 | -1.28144 | 2.565203 | 39.2761 | 3.68E-10 | 1.81E-09 |
| 982 | GSN | 1.332322 | 2.527229 | 59.26114 | 1.38E-14 | 9.64E-14 |
| 983 | DOK4 | 1.13866 | 2.530696 | 47.32529 | 6.01E-12 | 3.45E-11 |
| 984 | MYL9 | 2.356212 | 2.49094 | 176.7636 | $2.47 \mathrm{E}-40$ | 5.25E-39 |
| 985 | PBX1 | -1.37297 | 2.539773 | 32.30585 | 1.32E-08 | 5.61E-08 |
| 986 | DMBX1 | -3.31864 | 2.55599 | 187.633 | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-42$ | 2.38E-41 |
| 987 | C4orf21 | -2.70675 | 2.540547 | 118.6437 | $1.25 \mathrm{E}-27$ | 1.74E-26 |
| 988 | SFR1 | -1.56724 | 2.538136 | 47.53869 | 5.39E-12 | 3.11E-11 |
| 989 | SPIN4 | -1.75798 | 2.517004 | 53.11968 | $3.14 \mathrm{E}-13$ | 1.98E-12 |
| 990 | PMAIP1 | -2.07863 | 2.526016 | 99.02954 | $2.49 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 2.87E-22 |
| 991 | PPP1R14C | -1.86232 | 2.519561 | 66.34634 | 3.78E-16 | 2.94E-15 |
| 992 | SLC16A10 | -1.49963 | 2.5063 | 54.31323 | $1.71 \mathrm{E}-13$ | 1.10E-12 |
| 993 | PRSS53 | -1.1251 | 2.485032 | 28.66805 | 8.59E-08 | 3.37E-07 |
| 994 | STON2 | -2.096 | 2.486208 | 91.17675 | 1.31E-21 | 1.40E-20 |
| 995 | HES2 | 1.223881 | 2.445505 | 52.51301 | 4.27E-13 | 2.68E-12 |
| 996 | KAZN | -1.26518 | 2.447172 | 37.58481 | 8.75E-10 | 4.18E-09 |
| 997 | MAP1A | -1.09005 | 2.453251 | 23.67543 | 1.14E-06 | 3.94E-06 |
| 998 | DGKA | 1.866233 | 2.423003 | 94.02393 | 3.12E-22 | 3.41E-21 |
| 999 | LPCAT4 | -1.10384 | 2.449361 | 40.44437 | 2.02E-10 | 1.02E-09 |
| 1000 | EPS8 | -1.72946 | 2.417679 | 74.86677 | 5.04E-18 | 4.36E-17 |
| 1001 | GCFC1-AS1 | 1.288144 | 2.399184 | 50.20985 | $1.38 \mathrm{E}-12$ | 8.39E-12 |
| 1002 | CEP72 | -1.9532 | 2.416237 | 79.32761 | 5.26E-19 | 4.85E-18 |
| 1003 | ARPM1 | -1.6938 | 2.400956 | 61.32243 | $4.85 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 3.49E-14 |
| 1004 | SLITRK6 | 1.716986 | 2.375405 | 56.18784 | 6.59E-14 | $4.36 \mathrm{E}-13$ |
| 1005 | SPOCK1 | 1.862883 | 2.378154 | 75.15071 | $4.36 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 3.79E-17 |
| 1006 | PAX1 | -1.15955 | 2.383393 | 25.69356 | 4.00E-07 | $1.45 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| 1007 | LOC388588 | 1.114402 | 2.351305 | 22.85323 | $1.75 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 5.90E-06 |
| 1008 | FLJ43663 | 2.383105 | 2.350449 | 103.4788 | 2.63E-24 | 3.19E-23 |
| 1009 | PCDH11Y | -1.0438 | 2.346546 | 15.69972 | 7.42E-05 | 0.000201 |
| 1010 | ANK1 | 1.231433 | 2.307912 | 32.85622 | 9.92E-09 | 4.28E-08 |
| 1011 | KANK1 | 1.113171 | 2.305604 | 26.79755 | $2.26 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 8.44E-07 |
| 1012 | FAM54A | -2.9195 | 2.328249 | 126.3087 | $2.63 \mathrm{E}-29$ | $3.90 \mathrm{E}-28$ |
| 1013 | C1orf135 | -3.51417 | 2.327583 | 195.0289 | $2.54 \mathrm{E}-44$ | 6.09E-43 |
| 1014 | FAM72B | -3.14189 | 2.327372 | 182.0249 | $1.75 \mathrm{E}-41$ | 3.86E-40 |
| 1015 | NAV3 | -2.87294 | 2.307982 | 173.5452 | $1.24 \mathrm{E}-39$ | $2.60 \mathrm{E}-38$ |


| 1016 | RPP25 | -2.247 | 2.319348 | 73.56585 | 9.73E-18 | 8.28E-17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1017 | FAM110C | 1.266511 | 2.277315 | 36.74183 | $1.35 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 6.30E-09 |
| 1018 | FERMT1 | -1.62606 | 2.296089 | 37.68478 | 8.32E-10 | 3.98E-09 |
| 1019 | CCPG1 | 1.103146 | 2.264724 | 16.39262 | 5.15E-05 | 0.000143 |
| 1020 | TLN2 | -1.32066 | 2.295772 | 41.96012 | 9.32E-11 | 4.83E-10 |
| 1021 | NUP62CL | -1.23328 | 2.266863 | 52.39818 | $4.53 \mathrm{E}-13$ | 2.84E-12 |
| 1022 | ATAD5 | -3.02111 | 2.251379 | 163.2787 | 2.17E-37 | 4.20E-36 |
| 1023 | C18orf54 | -3.36421 | 2.27838 | 120.4654 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-28$ | 7.07E-27 |
| 1024 | LRIG3 | -1.68613 | 2.253936 | 63.85011 | $1.34 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 1.01E-14 |
| 1025 | TUBA3E | 1.549677 | 2.242307 | 34.19097 | 5.00E-09 | 2.22E-08 |
| 1026 | S1PR3 | -2.1669 | 2.257376 | 86.43685 | $1.44 \mathrm{E}-20$ | $1.46 \mathrm{E}-19$ |
| 1027 | RGS16 | 1.144013 | 2.23219 | 22.22318 | $2.43 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 8.05E-06 |
| 1028 | FLJ27352 | 1.440643 | 2.215473 | 43.63146 | 3.96E-11 | 2.13E-10 |
| 1029 | RDH12 | 1.17123 | 2.198395 | 28.03445 | $1.19 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 4.58E-07 |
| 1030 | WWTR1 | 1.395273 | 2.20958 | 40.19173 | $2.30 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 1.16E-09 |
| 1031 | SPRY1 | -1.39013 | 2.229203 | 40.37813 | $2.09 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 1.05E-09 |
| 1032 | HIST1H3E | 1.072551 | 2.199202 | 24.40843 | 7.79E-07 | 2.75E-06 |
| 1033 | C19orf57 | -1.48688 | 2.232643 | 39.45573 | 3.36E-10 | 1.66E-09 |
| 1034 | ATG16L2 | -1.04803 | 2.229414 | 18.44324 | $1.75 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 5.17E-05 |
| 1035 | ABCA12 | -2.10961 | 2.211496 | 79.61031 | $4.56 \mathrm{E}-19$ | $4.22 \mathrm{E}-18$ |
| 1036 | FAM81A | -2.04924 | 2.201616 | 74.27069 | 6.81E-18 | 5.86E-17 |
| 1037 | BAI2 | -2.08205 | 2.210137 | 67.83247 | $1.78 \mathrm{E}-16$ | $1.41 \mathrm{E}-15$ |
| 1038 | SLC35G1 | -1.1792 | 2.189683 | 30.6818 | 3.04E-08 | 1.25E-07 |
| 1039 | DOC2A | -1.18475 | 2.18977 | 23.712 | $1.12 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 3.87E-06 |
| 1040 | CEL | -1.57992 | 2.20338 | 55.45546 | 9.56E-14 | 6.25E-13 |
| 1041 | TNFAIP3 | 1.815204 | 2.169242 | 49.47055 | 2.01E-12 | 1.21E-11 |
| 1042 | MIR210HG | 1.020706 | 2.177146 | 19.53759 | 9.86E-06 | 3.02E-05 |
| 1043 | ARL6IP6 | -1.51766 | 2.183627 | 40.6177 | $1.85 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 9.37E-10 |
| 1044 | ACSM1 | 1.127692 | 2.158517 | 34.81551 | 3.62E-09 | $1.63 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1045 | EML5 | -1.33987 | 2.166066 | 30.82732 | 2.82E-08 | 1.17E-07 |
| 1046 | SPTLC3 | -1.13605 | 2.163615 | 23.00166 | $1.62 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 5.48E-06 |
| 1047 | SPTB | 3.032926 | 2.147878 | 135.5347 | 2.52E-31 | $4.05 \mathrm{E}-30$ |
| 1048 | NTNG1 | 1.119156 | 2.142932 | 19.52801 | 9.91E-06 | 3.03E-05 |
| 1049 | ACTA2 | 2.44597 | 2.1205 | 156.0955 | 8.07E-36 | $1.49 \mathrm{E}-34$ |
| 1050 | C3orf67 | -1.29347 | 2.155856 | 37.66297 | 8.41E-10 | 4.03E-09 |
| 1051 | BORA | -1.72587 | 2.176648 | 37.17712 | $1.08 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 5.09E-09 |
| 1052 | B4GALT6 | -1.2244 | 2.154657 | 25.74113 | 3.90E-07 | $1.42 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| 1053 | ALG10 | -1.39232 | 2.136098 | 24.05594 | 9.36E-07 | 3.27E-06 |
| 1054 | RDM1 | -1.56178 | 2.141119 | 45.98921 | $1.19 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 6.63E-11 |
| 1055 | RPS6KA5 | -1.07954 | 2.128145 | 21.25958 | 4.01E-06 | $1.29 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 1056 | LOC100128361 | -2.17419 | 2.14019 | 44.19524 | 2.97E-11 | $1.61 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| 1057 | MAP2K6 | -1.53591 | 2.121205 | 42.30775 | 7.80E-11 | 4.07E-10 |


| 1058 | DGKH | -1.62531 | 2.105578 | 48.41111 | 3.46E-12 | 2.03E-11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1059 | TMEM198 | 1.231294 | 2.101973 | 25.52855 | $4.36 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 1.57E-06 |
| 1060 | IL36RN | -1.1089 | 2.081946 | 20.08638 | 7.40E-06 | $2.31 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 1061 | DOCK8 | 1.663145 | 2.079418 | 32.76555 | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $4.48 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1062 | FHOD3 | -1.31769 | 2.096363 | 37.30608 | $1.01 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 4.79E-09 |
| 1063 | C1orf95 | -1.35075 | 2.078048 | 41.48846 | $1.19 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 6.08E-10 |
| 1064 | C5orf34 | -2.76075 | 2.086564 | 114.4972 | $1.01 \mathrm{E}-26$ | 1.37E-25 |
| 1065 | CARTPT | 1.052406 | 2.075935 | 21.50327 | 3.53E-06 | 1.15E-05 |
| 1066 | OSBPL3 | -2.34789 | 2.079187 | 85.02476 | $2.95 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2.92E-19 |
| 1067 | FAM83E | 2.570063 | 2.024604 | 107.6969 | $3.13 \mathrm{E}-25$ | 3.99E-24 |
| 1068 | CENPP | -1.77688 | 2.063449 | 54.67616 | $1.42 \mathrm{E}-13$ | 9.20E-13 |
| 1069 | CEP135 | -1.27049 | 2.048791 | 29.50725 | 5.57E-08 | 2.24E-07 |
| 1070 | HIST1H3D | 1.690032 | 1.974339 | 49.53411 | 1.95E-12 | 1.17E-11 |
| 1071 | KDELR3 | 1.558803 | 1.967166 | 28.79817 | 8.03E-08 | 3.17E-07 |
| 1072 | FRK | 1.02276 | 1.974574 | 15.75645 | 7.20E-05 | 0.000195 |
| 1073 | SLCO5A1 | -1.09875 | 1.979989 | 19.63936 | $9.35 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 2.87E-05 |
| 1074 | FAM72A | -2.5642 | 1.984398 | 93.7363 | 3.60E-22 | 3.93E-21 |
| 1075 | KCNRG | 1.069423 | 1.965047 | 21.55398 | 3.44E-06 | 1.12E-05 |
| 1076 | NKD1 | -1.47093 | 1.974114 | 37.45952 | 9.33E-10 | 4.44E-09 |
| 1077 | TERT | -4.1561 | 1.971424 | 246.2368 | $1.72 \mathrm{E}-55$ | 5.55E-54 |
| 1078 | NDUFA4L2 | 1.904308 | 1.937276 | 41.22357 | $1.36 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 6.93E-10 |
| 1079 | GLS2 | -1.38334 | 1.960414 | 36.6204 | $1.44 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 6.68E-09 |
| 1080 | C8orf37 | -1.2345 | 1.947375 | 25.65078 | 4.09E-07 | $1.48 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| 1081 | VASH2 | -1.26784 | 1.942977 | 31.99667 | $1.54 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 6.53E-08 |
| 1082 | FAM184A | -1.31369 | 1.932584 | 23.43671 | 1.29E-06 | 4.43E-06 |
| 1083 | SPRY4 | 1.809711 | 1.907563 | 58.10853 | $2.48 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 1.70E-13 |
| 1084 | SNORD96A | -1.09602 | 1.933839 | 15.86783 | 6.79E-05 | 0.000185 |
| 1085 | FOXN4 | -2.57939 | 1.923733 | 80.97104 | $2.29 \mathrm{E}-19$ | $2.15 \mathrm{E}-18$ |
| 1086 | LRFN2 | -1.52234 | 1.906427 | 34.05736 | 5.35E-09 | 2.37E-08 |
| 1087 | FAM86HP | -1.49703 | 1.901182 | 24.93247 | 5.94E-07 | 2.12E-06 |
| 1088 | ZPLD1 | -1.30848 | 1.89286 | 23.56633 | $1.21 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 4.16E-06 |
| 1089 | TACSTD2 | 1.237194 | 1.870662 | 28.80697 | 8.00E-08 | 3.16E-07 |
| 1090 | TLL1 | -2.60858 | 1.876395 | 108.2262 | $2.40 \mathrm{E}-25$ | 3.06E-24 |
| 1091 | SYP | 1.090691 | 1.866526 | 23.87587 | 1.03E-06 | 3.57E-06 |
| 1092 | CHAC2 | -1.83655 | 1.881431 | 41.94793 | 9.37E-11 | 4.86E-10 |
| 1093 | IL1RAP | -1.20648 | 1.875948 | 21.87642 | 2.91E-06 | 9.55E-06 |
| 1094 | TNS4 | 2.242506 | 1.830132 | 62.23311 | 3.05E-15 | 2.23E-14 |
| 1095 | BMX | -2.1776 | 1.871175 | 64.00359 | $1.24 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 9.34E-15 |
| 1096 | CNKSR2 | -1.27943 | 1.850409 | 21.95448 | $2.79 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 9.20E-06 |
| 1097 | LOC399815 | -1.48621 | 1.850908 | 43.12363 | $5.14 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 2.72E-10 |
| 1098 | PLS1 | -1.06651 | 1.836253 | 22.50702 | 2.09E-06 | 7.01E-06 |
| 1099 | CYP2E1 | 1.235881 | 1.832136 | 23.47648 | $1.26 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 4.34E-06 |


| 1100 | RPL36A | -1.00276 | 1.831481 | 19.38397 | 1.07E-05 | 3.25E-05 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1101 | GRID2IP | 1.011762 | 1.81248 | 21.0586 | $4.45 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 1.43E-05 |
| 1102 | ZNF670 | -1.21212 | 1.826519 | 24.04651 | 9.40E-07 | 3.29E-06 |
| 1103 | PDE4A | -1.3815 | 1.818773 | 31.52318 | $1.97 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 8.26E-08 |
| 1104 | MREG | -1.03493 | 1.818472 | 22.39408 | 2.22E-06 | 7.40E-06 |
| 1105 | ZNF273 | -1.77619 | 1.823732 | 43.52925 | 4.18E-11 | 2.23E-10 |
| 1106 | RIMS4 | -1.81132 | 1.806549 | 67.9084 | 1.71E-16 | 1.36E-15 |
| 1107 | SP4 | -1.38909 | 1.795308 | 19.90012 | 8.16E-06 | 2.53E-05 |
| 1108 | SOBP | -1.4504 | 1.793005 | 28.3486 | $1.01 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 3.94E-07 |
| 1109 | SCARA3 | -2.28258 | 1.78656 | 64.34631 | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 7.90E-15 |
| 1110 | SSTR5 | -2.0986 | 1.760856 | 78.42893 | 8.29E-19 | 7.58E-18 |
| 1111 | LTB4R2 | -1.07409 | 1.765178 | 14.40708 | 0.000147 | 0.000382 |
| 1112 | LOC100505633 | -1.34983 | 1.774233 | 20.58555 | 5.70E-06 | 1.80E-05 |
| 1113 | SNCG | 2.141418 | 1.739804 | 86.96288 | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 1.12E-19 |
| 1114 | FHIT | 1.638182 | 1.726672 | 42.47578 | 7.16E-11 | 3.75E-10 |
| 1115 | APCDD1 | -1.0052 | 1.741211 | 19.11834 | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 3.71E-05 |
| 1116 | IRX5 | -1.26884 | 1.752793 | 23.92784 | 1.00E-06 | 3.48E-06 |
| 1117 | TMOD2 | -1.15865 | 1.73486 | 21.77992 | 3.06E-06 | 1.00E-05 |
| 1118 | ASPHD2 | 1.504459 | 1.715996 | 35.25951 | 2.89E-09 | 1.31E-08 |
| 1119 | PTCRA | 1.930257 | 1.688935 | 46.68008 | 8.36E-12 | 4.73E-11 |
| 1120 | CNIH2 | -1.21141 | 1.724738 | 18.62461 | 1.59E-05 | $4.73 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 1121 | BEST1 | -2.16933 | 1.710968 | 46.46788 | 9.31E-12 | 5.25E-11 |
| 1122 | MTBP | -2.65029 | 1.698324 | 89.12618 | 3.70E-21 | 3.88E-20 |
| 1123 | TNNT1 | 1.092825 | 1.675933 | 10.44822 | 0.001228 | 0.002736 |
| 1124 | ZNF695 | -2.35394 | 1.697929 | 60.84913 | 6.16E-15 | 4.41E-14 |
| 1125 | TG | 4.1646 | 1.638793 | 192.4292 | 9.38E-44 | 2.22E-42 |
| 1126 | CCDC75 | -1.1721 | 1.673007 | 20.37489 | 6.37E-06 | 2.00E-05 |
| 1127 | SMYD2 | -1.28818 | 1.677318 | 19.0658 | 1.26E-05 | 3.81E-05 |
| 1128 | LOC100335030 | -1.32428 | 1.667135 | 22.52934 | 2.07E-06 | 6.93E-06 |
| 1129 | CADM2 | 1.0948 | 1.648612 | 21.68954 | 3.21E-06 | 1.05E-05 |
| 1130 | LINC00176 | -1.03309 | 1.656477 | 9.771892 | 0.001772 | 0.003834 |
| 1131 | GJC1 | -1.68402 | 1.651347 | 42.66762 | 6.49E-11 | 3.41E-10 |
| 1132 | ITIH4 | -1.02405 | 1.640978 | 17.01973 | 3.70E-05 | 0.000104 |
| 1133 | FAM161A | -1.11544 | 1.621317 | 20.49142 | 5.99E-06 | 1.89E-05 |
| 1134 | PLCH1 | -2.49368 | 1.627385 | 79.40895 | 5.05E-19 | 4.66E-18 |
| 1135 | LOC100507424 | -1.33874 | 1.618079 | 23.18715 | 1.47E-06 | 5.01E-06 |
| 1136 | C14orf28 | 1.065 | 1.604954 | 17.60773 | $2.71 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 7.83E-05 |
| 1137 | TMEM51 | -1.10643 | 1.60531 | 13.86745 | 0.000196 | 0.0005 |
| 1138 | GLI3 | -1.10048 | 1.602994 | 16.66514 | $4.46 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.000125 |
| 1139 | LRP4 | 1.181704 | 1.564273 | 24.0128 | 9.57E-07 | 3.34E-06 |
| 1140 | SLC38A4 | 1.956431 | 1.574071 | 45.79123 | 1.32E-11 | 7.32E-11 |
| 1141 | LOC100130522 | -1.32239 | 1.573387 | 23.25106 | 1.42E-06 | 4.85E-06 |


| 1142 | RIN2 | -1.08761 | 1.548226 | 17.88452 | $2.35 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 6.83E-05 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1143 | SNORA61 | -1.62578 | 1.560059 | 37.53262 | 8.99E-10 | 4.29E-09 |
| 1144 | FGD1 | -1.40586 | 1.558773 | 23.68611 | 1.13E-06 | 3.91E-06 |
| 1145 | RUNX1 | 2.734274 | 1.545251 | 95.17885 | $1.74 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 1.92E-21 |
| 1146 | CDKN1C | 1.32803 | 1.533424 | 30.07559 | 4.16E-08 | 1.69E-07 |
| 1147 | SLC22A1 | 1.779197 | 1.531084 | 57.15464 | 4.03E-14 | 2.72E-13 |
| 1148 | SERPINB8 | 1.398553 | 1.529914 | 30.32696 | 3.65E-08 | 1.49E-07 |
| 1149 | CHRNB1 | 1.758455 | 1.526782 | 32.44972 | $1.22 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 5.23E-08 |
| 1150 | SLA2 | 2.549826 | 1.518812 | 62.54033 | $2.61 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 1.92E-14 |
| 1151 | FAS | -1.18092 | 1.527157 | 12.94238 | 0.000321 | 0.000794 |
| 1152 | MIR17HG | -1.13688 | 1.521143 | 17.41567 | 3.00E-05 | 8.60E-05 |
| 1153 | ZC3HAV1L | -1.12874 | 1.515464 | 13.74072 | 0.00021 | 0.000532 |
| 1154 | FAM18B2 | 1.1073 | 1.510544 | 23.12508 | 1.52E-06 | 5.16E-06 |
| 1155 | RELB | 1.22223 | 1.485984 | 32.33023 | 1.30E-08 | 5.55E-08 |
| 1156 | NINL | -2.23201 | 1.505842 | 72.21464 | 1.93E-17 | 1.62E-16 |
| 1157 | KSR2 | -1.78497 | 1.505379 | 53.33565 | $2.81 \mathrm{E}-13$ | 1.78E-12 |
| 1158 | FMNL3 | -1.70245 | 1.509285 | 46.8384 | 7.71E-12 | $4.39 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
| 1159 | RUNX2 | -1.42959 | 1.497007 | 26.20327 | 3.07E-07 | 1.13E-06 |
| 1160 | MAP3K8 | -1.39547 | 1.48672 | 34.76635 | 3.72E-09 | 1.67E-08 |
| 1161 | LOC646862 | 1.650769 | 1.44402 | 33.6782 | 6.50E-09 | 2.85E-08 |
| 1162 | SYCE2 | -1.60112 | 1.472849 | 29.53277 | 5.50E-08 | 2.21E-07 |
| 1163 | MYB | -2.79468 | 1.464304 | 81.6063 | $1.66 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 1.58E-18 |
| 1164 | TMEM92 | 2.267773 | 1.424565 | 72.64822 | $1.55 \mathrm{E}-17$ | $1.31 \mathrm{E}-16$ |
| 1165 | FAM49A | -1.01656 | 1.446769 | 12.24935 | 0.000465 | 0.00112 |
| 1166 | OSMR | 1.089576 | 1.42196 | 9.5673 | 0.001981 | 0.004243 |
| 1167 | KLHL11 | -1.75409 | 1.424296 | 39.23119 | 3.76E-10 | 1.85E-09 |
| 1168 | CERS1 | -1.31818 | 1.430794 | 18.26135 | $1.93 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 5.66E-05 |
| 1169 | C1QTNF6 | -1.15064 | 1.413993 | 12.90532 | 0.000328 | 0.000807 |
| 1170 | NWD1 | -1.18629 | 1.414917 | 14.98437 | 0.000108 | 0.000286 |
| 1171 | HIST1H1E | 2.96548 | 1.355928 | 68.5934 | $1.21 \mathrm{E}-16$ | $9.71 \mathrm{E}-16$ |
| 1172 | DNALI1 | 1.244847 | 1.363986 | 26.14563 | 3.17E-07 | 1.16E-06 |
| 1173 | ELOVL2 | 3.171632 | 1.352361 | 88.97125 | $4.01 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 4.18E-20 |
| 1174 | LOC100505815 | -1.07858 | 1.359125 | 11.0402 | 0.000892 | 0.002031 |
| 1175 | C21orf63 | -1.19031 | 1.366243 | 12.63672 | 0.000378 | 0.000924 |
| 1176 | LOC728558 | -1.17439 | 1.361073 | 13.9122 | 0.000192 | 0.000489 |
| 1177 | FAM189A2 | 2.41436 | 1.351339 | 55.57986 | 8.97E-14 | 5.88E-13 |
| 1178 | PBX4 | -1.25146 | 1.340035 | 18.90357 | $1.37 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 4.12E-05 |
| 1179 | KCNK5 | -2.61271 | 1.321363 | 80.26566 | 3.27E-19 | 3.05E-18 |
| 1180 | CDC14A | -1.28691 | 1.317279 | 17.64996 | $2.66 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 7.67E-05 |
| 1181 | SCNN1G | 1.762162 | 1.303017 | 45.01709 | $1.95 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 1.07E-10 |
| 1182 | DSE | -1.10457 | 1.327406 | 13.21516 | 0.000278 | 0.000693 |
| 1183 | LOC100507634 | 1.303284 | 1.305097 | 22.51538 | $2.08 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 6.98E-06 |


| 1184 | ZNF726 | -1.79213 | 1.314377 | 51.1638 | 8.50E-13 | 5.25E-12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1185 | CCDC150 | -2.97812 | 1.32368 | 69.58955 | 7.30E-17 | 5.92E-16 |
| 1186 | COL5A2 | -1.40336 | 1.313311 | 22.99794 | 1.62E-06 | 5.49E-06 |
| 1187 | C1QL1 | -1.28244 | 1.30253 | 24.14731 | 8.92E-07 | 3.13E-06 |
| 1188 | SIX1 | -1.78416 | 1.29539 | 46.08767 | 1.13E-11 | 6.33E-11 |
| 1189 | STARD9 | -1.82016 | 1.287747 | 33.36004 | 7.66E-09 | 3.34E-08 |
| 1190 | ODAM | -1.1372 | 1.276016 | 18.70473 | 1.53E-05 | 4.55E-05 |
| 1191 | FLT4 | -2.63701 | 1.275186 | 85.31737 | 2.54E-20 | 2.53E-19 |
| 1192 | GAS2L3 | -2.46385 | 1.266427 | 57.97387 | 2.66E-14 | 1.81E-13 |
| 1193 | LOC144481 | 3.840201 | 1.240202 | 91.85196 | 9.34E-22 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-20$ |
| 1194 | FANCB | -3.29328 | 1.266418 | 118.7223 | 1.20E-27 | 1.68E-26 |
| 1195 | LOC100507266 | -1.69659 | 1.252319 | 26.94533 | 2.09E-07 | 7.85E-07 |
| 1196 | ZNF519 | -1.76136 | 1.252172 | 46.55266 | 8.92E-12 | 5.04E-11 |
| 1197 | BRDT | -2.04241 | 1.227013 | 40.47631 | 1.99E-10 | 1.00E-09 |
| 1198 | EDN2 | -1.14199 | 1.204857 | 10.46637 | 0.001216 | 0.002712 |
| 1199 | REP15 | 3.918908 | 1.16051 | 171.0062 | 4.46E-39 | 9.22E-38 |
| 1200 | FRMPD2 | -1.79538 | 1.173007 | 30.37788 | 3.56E-08 | 1.46E-07 |
| 1201 | HEY1 | -1.22813 | 1.167951 | 12.96696 | 0.000317 | 0.000784 |
| 1202 | RADIL | -2.09897 | 1.161073 | 56.7567 | 4.93E-14 | 3.30E-13 |
| 1203 | MYBL1 | -2.58499 | 1.132487 | 53.42557 | 2.69E-13 | 1.70E-12 |
| 1204 | ANTXR1 | -1.86435 | 1.103571 | 34.02184 | 5.45E-09 | $2.41 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 1205 | BCL2 | -2.22535 | 1.09301 | 36.63148 | 1.43E-09 | 6.65E-09 |
| 1206 | KCNG3 | 1.628505 | 1.072403 | 34.5463 | 4.16E-09 | 1.86E-08 |
| 1207 | ANGPT2 | 2.571655 | 1.058679 | 78.00937 | 1.03E-18 | $9.34 \mathrm{E}-18$ |
| 1208 | NLRC5 | -1.43576 | 1.079631 | 23.12287 | 1.52E-06 | 5.16E-06 |
| 1209 | TGM3 | -3.30533 | 1.063226 | 96.72651 | 7.96E-23 | 8.97E-22 |
| 1210 | OXTR | -1.74428 | 1.004629 | 27.00498 | 2.03E-07 | 7.62E-07 |
| 1211 | LGI2 | -4.03209 | 0.985462 | 101.5434 | 6.99E-24 | 8.27E-23 |
| 1212 | HHIPL2 | 2.978962 | 0.623952 | 98.79176 | $2.80 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 3.22E-22 |

## B) DHT vs Vehicle

| No | gene_id | logFC | logCPM | LR | PValue | FDR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | KRT8 | 1.045876 | 10.91338 | 226.5447 | 3.38E-51 | 1.48E-48 |
| 2 | TMPRSS2 | 1.034806 | 10.07856 | 270.9375 | 7.09E-61 | 4.74E-58 |
| 3 | FKBP5 | 1.332703 | 9.752285 | 334.1801 | 1.18E-74 | 4.48E-71 |
| 4 | ACSL3 | 1.3599 | 9.582629 | 306.3878 | 1.34E-68 | $1.69 \mathrm{E}-65$ |
| 5 | SLC41A1 | 1.300349 | 8.666302 | 342.749 | $1.61 \mathrm{E}-76$ | 9.14E-73 |
| 6 | NDRG1 | 1.023857 | 8.62771 | 244.7676 | 3.59E-55 | $1.94 \mathrm{E}-52$ |
| 7 | SMS | 1.157277 | 8.558071 | 330.8652 | 6.23E-74 | $1.77 \mathrm{E}-70$ |
| 8 | H2AFX | -1.03003 | 8.459112 | 154.8721 | $1.49 \mathrm{E}-35$ | 2.00E-33 |
| 9 | MCM7 | -1.06535 | 8.226906 | 286.1939 | 3.36E-64 | $2.54 \mathrm{E}-61$ |
| 10 | MICAL1 | 1.500391 | 8.011749 | 399.6633 | 6.52E-89 | 7.41E-85 |
| 11 | MKI67 | -1.19786 | 7.768959 | 161.078 | 6.58E-37 | 9.97E-35 |
| 12 | CBWD1 | 1.060669 | 7.492296 | 184.4621 | 5.14E-42 | $1.22 \mathrm{E}-39$ |
| 13 | HMGB2 | -1.16852 | 7.56376 | 214.2192 | 1.65E-48 | 5.68E-46 |
| 14 | MYBL2 | -1.03923 | 7.505904 | 181.4944 | 2.29E-41 | 5.09E-39 |
| 15 | TPX2 | -1.21605 | 7.409799 | 309.4635 | 2.86E-69 | 4.64E-66 |
| 16 | SPAG5 | -1.01596 | 7.378592 | 295.3601 | 3.38E-66 | 3.20E-63 |
| 17 | MCM2 | -1.21199 | 7.370048 | 223.1899 | 1.82E-50 | 7.14E-48 |
| 18 | PCNA | -1.04151 | 7.316584 | 177.9997 | $1.32 \mathrm{E}-40$ | $2.64 \mathrm{E}-38$ |
| 19 | TK1 | -1.06191 | 7.319267 | 151.2888 | 9.06E-35 | 1.20E-32 |
| 20 | CHRNA2 | 1.328367 | 7.192927 | 246.8557 | $1.26 \mathrm{E}-55$ | 7.15E-53 |
| 21 | PLK1 | -1.02222 | 7.175124 | 213.2254 | 2.72E-48 | 9.08E-46 |
| 22 | CENPF | -1.15946 | 7.146804 | 144.8989 | 2.26E-33 | 2.85E-31 |
| 23 | MCM4 | -1.20808 | 7.062613 | 290.5878 | 3.70E-65 | 3.24E-62 |
| 24 | LMNB1 | -1.0847 | 7.04996 | 229.8125 | 6.55E-52 | 3.10E-49 |
| 25 | CDC20 | -1.14647 | 7.066853 | 267.8045 | 3.42E-60 | 2.16E-57 |
| 26 | MCM3 | -1.03623 | 7.015346 | 194.0438 | 4.17E-44 | 1.13E-41 |
| 27 | RRM2 | -1.27669 | 7.018513 | 315.908 | 1.13E-70 | 2.14E-67 |
| 28 | F5 | 1.193323 | 6.905314 | 174.4316 | 7.97E-40 | $1.44 \mathrm{E}-37$ |
| 29 | NUSAP1 | -1.26706 | 6.984579 | 321.8234 | 5.80E-72 | 1.32E-68 |
| 30 | TCOF1 | -1.06926 | 6.936038 | 171.7099 | 3.13E-39 | 5.56E-37 |
| 31 | PRC1 | -1.1647 | 6.878806 | 234.0694 | 7.73E-53 | 3.82E-50 |
| 32 | TYMS | -1.18347 | 6.875414 | 306.6155 | 1.19E-68 | 1.69E-65 |
| 33 | FEN1 | -1.16776 | 6.856174 | 302.1943 | 1.10E-67 | 1.25E-64 |
| 34 | CDK1 | -1.1056 | 6.77637 | 178.0335 | 1.30E-40 | $2.64 \mathrm{E}-38$ |
| 35 | MCM5 | -1.07627 | 6.75905 | 149.1362 | $2.68 \mathrm{E}-34$ | 3.50E-32 |


| 36 | TMPO | -1.12552 | 6.683045 | 164.5448 | 1.15E-37 | 1.84E-35 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | FANCI | -1.08779 | 6.62416 | 224.3811 | 1.00E-50 | 4.07E-48 |
| 38 | ST6GALNAC1 | 1.035249 | 6.465627 | 183.1555 | 9.92E-42 | 2.25E-39 |
| 39 | TONSL | -1.04372 | 6.54392 | 125.7227 | 3.54E-29 | $3.46 \mathrm{E}-27$ |
| 40 | CHAF1A | -1.00718 | 6.47708 | 146.5049 | $1.01 \mathrm{E}-33$ | $1.29 \mathrm{E}-31$ |
| 41 | KIF20A | -1.16897 | 6.495192 | 260.3395 | 1.45E-58 | 8.66E-56 |
| 42 | SMC4 | -1.09011 | 6.403634 | 123.7541 | 9.54E-29 | $9.18 \mathrm{E}-27$ |
| 43 | GNMT | 1.219482 | 6.163692 | 167.4938 | $2.61 \mathrm{E}-38$ | 4.36E-36 |
| 44 | UGT2B11 | 1.518936 | 6.119025 | 186.6543 | $1.71 \mathrm{E}-42$ | 4.22E-40 |
| 45 | RECQL4 | -1.0609 | 6.257349 | 161.6995 | 4.81E-37 | $7.49 \mathrm{E}-35$ |
| 46 | TCF19 | -1.29178 | 6.252116 | 228.2471 | $1.44 \mathrm{E}-51$ | 6.54E-49 |
| 47 | CDCA5 | -1.28337 | 6.238124 | 277.8941 | 2.16E-62 | $1.53 \mathrm{E}-59$ |
| 48 | TOP2A | -1.40947 | 6.159168 | 161.6144 | 5.02E-37 | 7.71E-35 |
| 49 | UBE2C | -1.25634 | 6.167261 | 177.2288 | 1.95E-40 | 3.76E-38 |
| 50 | CCNB2 | -1.08107 | 6.098181 | 179.9488 | 4.97E-41 | $1.07 \mathrm{E}-38$ |
| 51 | RACGAP1 | -1.06619 | 6.047303 | 160.3922 | 9.29E-37 | $1.34 \mathrm{E}-34$ |
| 52 | CCNF | -1.04616 | 6.00093 | 158.4234 | 2.50E-36 | 3.47E-34 |
| 53 | KIFC1 | -1.3823 | 6.000929 | 297.1907 | 1.35E-66 | $1.39 \mathrm{E}-63$ |
| 54 | E2F1 | -1.17503 | 5.996343 | 220.3052 | 7.76E-50 | 2.94E-47 |
| 55 | KIF2C | -1.24228 | 5.981083 | 236.2581 | 2.57E-53 | $1.33 \mathrm{E}-50$ |
| 56 | PKMYT1 | -1.16667 | 5.970537 | 123.9963 | 8.44E-29 | 8.20E-27 |
| 57 | CDC6 | -1.12952 | 5.945206 | 202.602 | 5.65E-46 | $1.69 \mathrm{E}-43$ |
| 58 | HMMR | -1.07546 | 5.923096 | 108.5231 | 2.06E-25 | $1.59 \mathrm{E}-23$ |
| 59 | NCAPG | -1.16227 | 5.917118 | 185.3473 | 3.30E-42 | 7.97E-40 |
| 60 | CDCA3 | -1.09271 | 5.893867 | 118.705 | 1.22E-27 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-25$ |
| 61 | MLF1IP | -1.12605 | 5.883664 | 175.5714 | 4.49E-40 | 8.37E-38 |
| 62 | HJURP | -1.32201 | 5.888758 | 217.7788 | 2.76E-49 | $1.01 \mathrm{E}-46$ |
| 63 | POLA2 | -1.07986 | 5.857153 | 174.5567 | 7.48E-40 | 1.37E-37 |
| 64 | FAM83D | -1.20972 | 5.838418 | 204.0482 | 2.73E-46 | 8.39E-44 |
| 65 | AURKA | -1.05393 | 5.788678 | 123.5456 | 1.06E-28 | $1.01 \mathrm{E}-26$ |
| 66 | BUB1B | -1.24551 | 5.738795 | 157.6552 | 3.68E-36 | 5.04E-34 |
| 67 | CADPS2 | 1.363656 | 5.627089 | 156.1036 | 8.04E-36 | $1.09 \mathrm{E}-33$ |
| 68 | DLGAP5 | -1.12252 | 5.726486 | 93.95554 | 3.23E-22 | 2.03E-20 |
| 69 | ASF1B | -1.25257 | 5.735445 | 197.7686 | 6.41E-45 | 1.87E-42 |
| 70 | MAF | 1.508879 | 5.575168 | 137.4348 | 9.69E-32 | 1.06E-29 |
| 71 | FANCD2 | -1.16644 | 5.646963 | 164.0542 | 1.47E-37 | 2.32E-35 |
| 72 | CCNA2 | -1.22092 | 5.643516 | 143.9444 | 3.65E-33 | 4.51E-31 |
| 73 | CAMK2N1 | -1.13657 | 5.616474 | 143.1641 | 5.41E-33 | 6.61E-31 |
| 74 | ANLN | -1.15084 | 5.608306 | 98.83844 | 2.74E-23 | $1.90 \mathrm{E}-21$ |
| 75 | CDT1 | -1.08162 | 5.617058 | 115.3649 | 6.55E-27 | 5.55E-25 |
| 76 | WDR62 | -1.05034 | 5.586252 | 85.81977 | 1.97E-20 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-18$ |
| 77 | MELK | -1.21792 | 5.576693 | 158.7707 | 2.10E-36 | 2.95E-34 |


| 78 | NDC80 | -1.35382 | 5.558103 | 194.5612 | 3.21E-44 | 8.90E-42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | AURKB | -1.10044 | 5.525203 | 97.75995 | 4.72E-23 | 3.20E-21 |
| 80 | ESPL1 | -1.37699 | 5.494887 | 183.9924 | 6.51E-42 | $1.51 \mathrm{E}-39$ |
| 81 | PRKCA | 1.122294 | 5.384767 | 103.5093 | 2.59E-24 | $1.89 \mathrm{E}-22$ |
| 82 | WIPI1 | 1.393275 | 5.320718 | 140.8564 | 1.73E-32 | $1.99 \mathrm{E}-30$ |
| 83 | CENPE | -1.15521 | 5.416865 | 94.29366 | 2.72E-22 | $1.73 \mathrm{E}-20$ |
| 84 | CDCA8 | -1.147 | 5.409472 | 179.8694 | 5.18E-41 | $1.09 \mathrm{E}-38$ |
| 85 | PBK | -1.1895 | 5.352495 | 131.122 | 2.33E-30 | 2.38E-28 |
| 86 | NCAPH | -1.1707 | 5.350564 | 176.9506 | 2.25E-40 | 4.25E-38 |
| 87 | RFC4 | -1.03248 | 5.343251 | 117.288 | $2.48 \mathrm{E}-27$ | 2.17E-25 |
| 88 | ADAM7 | 1.02902 | 5.265882 | 159.1141 | 1.77E-36 | 2.51E-34 |
| 89 | CDC45 | -1.26503 | 5.344925 | 138.8939 | 4.65E-32 | 5.23E-30 |
| 90 | BUB1 | -1.08608 | 5.320551 | 108.5805 | $2.01 \mathrm{E}-25$ | $1.56 \mathrm{E}-23$ |
| 91 | GTSE1 | -1.00121 | 5.299098 | 138.2256 | 6.50E-32 | 7.25E-30 |
| 92 | BRCA1 | -1.32938 | 5.210567 | 118.8537 | 1.13E-27 | $1.03 \mathrm{E}-25$ |
| 93 | AFF3 | 1.025491 | 5.101864 | 74.22527 | 6.97E-18 | 3.25E-16 |
| 94 | KIF11 | -1.24269 | 5.181224 | 91.5732 | 1.08E-21 | 6.57E-20 |
| 95 | PGC | 1.437474 | 5.097379 | 160.5865 | 8.42E-37 | 1.23E-34 |
| 96 | PSRC1 | -1.00039 | 5.139266 | 112.9466 | 2.22E-26 | 1.85E-24 |
| 97 | UGT2B15 | -1.0213 | 5.06843 | 89.53838 | 3.01E-21 | 1.78E-19 |
| 98 | DEPDC1 | -1.08531 | 5.061649 | 66.14444 | 4.19E-16 | 1.62E-14 |
| 99 | ARHGAP11A | -1.09129 | 5.052337 | 61.27484 | 4.96E-15 | 1.73E-13 |
| 100 | CIT | -1.30978 | 5.062757 | 194.8121 | 2.83E-44 | 8.04E-42 |
| 101 | GINS1 | -1.21617 | 5.043659 | 180.5777 | 3.62E-41 | 7.92E-39 |
| 102 | RAD54L | -1.33744 | 5.045544 | 144.1264 | 3.33E-33 | 4.16E-31 |
| 103 | DDB2 | -1.1311 | 5.021673 | 125.9661 | 3.13E-29 | 3.12E-27 |
| 104 | CDKN2C | -1.02241 | 5.018923 | 88.19348 | 5.94E-21 | 3.41E-19 |
| 105 | CEP55 | -1.16941 | 4.997699 | 111.4567 | 4.70E-26 | 3.87E-24 |
| 106 | CLSPN | -1.32154 | 4.974504 | 129.0052 | 6.76E-30 | 6.86E-28 |
| 107 | KNTC1 | -1.20081 | 4.965225 | 142.1741 | 8.91E-33 | 1.05E-30 |
| 108 | RFC5 | -1.06755 | 4.949173 | 86.16157 | 1.66E-20 | 9.33E-19 |
| 109 | BCHE | -1.00441 | 4.92801 | 81.65234 | 1.62E-19 | 8.50E-18 |
| 110 | CDCA7L | -1.05264 | 4.924996 | 141.3639 | 1.34E-32 | 1.55E-30 |
| 111 | C9orf100 | -1.11235 | 4.944562 | 114.9189 | 8.20E-27 | 6.90E-25 |
| 112 | GINS2 | -1.02608 | 4.922295 | 79.16132 | 5.72E-19 | 2.87E-17 |
| 113 | STEAP4 | 3.740503 | 4.757507 | 286.9926 | 2.25E-64 | 1.83E-61 |
| 114 | SOCS2 | 1.298868 | 4.823498 | 90.77711 | 1.61E-21 | 9.72E-20 |
| 115 | UGT2B28 | 2.151729 | 4.738447 | 224.7092 | 8.50E-51 | 3.58E-48 |
| 116 | MCM10 | -1.39635 | 4.855 | 117.8871 | 1.84E-27 | $1.63 \mathrm{E}-25$ |
| 117 | UHRF1 | -1.24869 | 4.827836 | 127.3368 | 1.57E-29 | $1.58 \mathrm{E}-27$ |
| 118 | INPP4B | 1.037968 | 4.737244 | 69.23793 | 8.73E-17 | $3.58 \mathrm{E}-15$ |
| 119 | KIF18B | -1.24701 | 4.830277 | 94.5038 | $2.45 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 1.57E-20 |


| 120 | CDCA2 | -1.19934 | 4.817123 | 102.1822 | 5.06E-24 | 3.64E-22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 121 | C21orf58 | -1.02692 | 4.785925 | 116.885 | 3.04E-27 | 2.64E-25 |
| 122 | WDHD1 | -1.09878 | 4.763399 | 137.4265 | 9.73E-32 | $1.06 \mathrm{E}-29$ |
| 123 | ZNF812 | 1.188918 | 4.648766 | 118.1696 | 1.59E-27 | $1.42 \mathrm{E}-25$ |
| 124 | SHCBP1 | -1.21918 | 4.72046 | 101.3271 | 7.80E-24 | 5.57E-22 |
| 125 | KIF23 | -1.15958 | 4.685966 | 133.2985 | 7.78E-31 | 8.11E-29 |
| 126 | ORC6 | -1.41043 | 4.683425 | 164.9222 | 9.51E-38 | $1.54 \mathrm{E}-35$ |
| 127 | POLQ | -1.45569 | 4.655492 | 95.53963 | 1.45E-22 | 9.52E-21 |
| 128 | NUF2 | -1.2725 | 4.651253 | 96.92885 | 7.19E-23 | 4.78E-21 |
| 129 | NEK2 | -1.2653 | 4.648609 | 104.8892 | 1.29E-24 | $9.66 \mathrm{E}-23$ |
| 130 | PSMC3IP | -1.06282 | 4.631968 | 79.07517 | 5.98E-19 | $2.98 \mathrm{E}-17$ |
| 131 | DTL | -1.46799 | 4.61236 | 167.9618 | 2.06E-38 | 3.50E-36 |
| 132 | CDCA4 | -1.0423 | 4.610723 | 78.2652 | 9.01E-19 | 4.43E-17 |
| 133 | CDC7 | -1.00613 | 4.595561 | 82.09577 | 1.30E-19 | 6.90E-18 |
| 134 | TUBA3D | 1.154626 | 4.537442 | 86.83625 | 1.18E-20 | 6.70E-19 |
| 135 | SKA3 | -1.19007 | 4.585329 | 101.1734 | 8.43E-24 | 5.99E-22 |
| 136 | TMEM194A | -1.07667 | 4.547988 | 81.32644 | 1.91E-19 | $9.88 \mathrm{E}-18$ |
| 137 | PAQR6 | 1.09006 | 4.495225 | 66.83885 | 2.95E-16 | 1.16E-14 |
| 138 | TARP | 1.388336 | 4.394865 | 135.0885 | 3.16E-31 | 3.35E-29 |
| 139 | GINS4 | -1.29491 | 4.410119 | 95.70459 | 1.33E-22 | 8.81E-21 |
| 140 | CCDC141 | 1.189939 | 4.324552 | 32.11229 | 1.46E-08 | $2.44 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| 141 | DEPDC1B | -1.1672 | 4.373182 | 79.50803 | 4.80E-19 | 2.42E-17 |
| 142 | ORC1 | -1.19596 | 4.352869 | 81.79847 | 1.51E-19 | 7.97E-18 |
| 143 | SPC24 | -1.05033 | 4.336883 | 74.50119 | 6.06E-18 | 2.85E-16 |
| 144 | POLD3 | -1.01666 | 4.315872 | 65.33273 | 6.33E-16 | 2.40E-14 |
| 145 | NRM | -1.03433 | 4.31834 | 63.31645 | 1.76E-15 | 6.45E-14 |
| 146 | EME1 | -1.26083 | 4.314727 | 75.8949 | 2.99E-18 | 1.42E-16 |
| 147 | RFC3 | -1.18466 | 4.302175 | 67.80551 | 1.80E-16 | 7.27E-15 |
| 148 | FIGNL1 | -1.01857 | 4.277732 | 43.21601 | 4.90E-11 | 1.13E-09 |
| 149 | ASPM | -1.4306 | 4.279402 | 58.03575 | 2.57E-14 | 8.31E-13 |
| 150 | CDC25C | -1.12305 | 4.290442 | 75.37873 | 3.89E-18 | $1.84 \mathrm{E}-16$ |
| 151 | DIAPH3 | -1.24199 | 4.25633 | 132.5798 | 1.12E-30 | 1.15E-28 |
| 152 | TTK | -1.24649 | 4.256631 | 70.54799 | 4.49E-17 | 1.90E-15 |
| 153 | ANO7 | 1.119116 | 4.185993 | 53.57236 | $2.49 \mathrm{E}-13$ | 7.23E-12 |
| 154 | C1orf112 | -1.11931 | 4.174699 | 76.51285 | 2.19E-18 | 1.05E-16 |
| 155 | GPSM2 | -1.13496 | 4.158148 | 67.0086 | $2.70 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 1.07E-14 |
| 156 | SGOL2 | -1.1287 | 4.126562 | 73.64056 | 9.37E-18 | 4.31E-16 |
| 157 | CEP78 | -1.16272 | 4.117113 | 71.18209 | 3.26E-17 | $1.41 \mathrm{E}-15$ |
| 158 | KIF20B | -1.15605 | 4.10426 | 71.55151 | 2.70E-17 | 1.19E-15 |
| 159 | EXO1 | -1.36995 | 4.094591 | 109.6295 | 1.18E-25 | 9.45E-24 |
| 160 | SKA1 | -1.24075 | 4.036338 | 79.7189 | 4.32E-19 | 2.18E-17 |
| 161 | C15orf42 | -1.24883 | 4.010447 | 67.63497 | 1.97E-16 | 7.90E-15 |


| 162 | SLITRK3 | -1.02532 | 3.984061 | 62.39063 | 2.82E-15 | 1.01E-13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 163 | PLK4 | -1.37775 | 3.956852 | 71.42752 | $2.88 \mathrm{E}-17$ | $1.26 \mathrm{E}-15$ |
| 164 | KIF15 | -1.54313 | 3.955213 | 122.2659 | $2.02 \mathrm{E}-28$ | 1.85E-26 |
| 165 | FBXO5 | -1.19666 | 3.919201 | 64.46982 | 9.80E-16 | 3.69E-14 |
| 166 | PRIM1 | -1.21125 | 3.862068 | 63.49777 | $1.61 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 5.92E-14 |
| 167 | RAD51AP1 | -1.15768 | 3.858318 | 58.05566 | $2.55 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 8.25E-13 |
| 168 | KIF24 | -1.36447 | 3.858929 | 81.48532 | $1.77 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 9.20E-18 |
| 169 | OIP5 | -1.14958 | 3.853208 | 69.36202 | 8.20E-17 | $3.41 \mathrm{E}-15$ |
| 170 | HAUS8 | -1.02802 | 3.827204 | 56.10902 | 6.86E-14 | $2.11 \mathrm{E}-12$ |
| 171 | TRAIP | -1.07542 | 3.817425 | 50.27131 | $1.34 \mathrm{E}-12$ | 3.64E-11 |
| 172 | TTN | 1.077946 | 3.775705 | 38.66438 | 5.03E-10 | $1.01 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 173 | B3GALT4 | 1.182619 | 3.720288 | 50.97522 | 9.35E-13 | 2.57E-11 |
| 174 | RAD51 | -1.07935 | 3.756982 | 72.57113 | $1.61 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 7.21E-16 |
| 175 | MNS1 | -1.09502 | 3.749475 | 43.99635 | 3.29E-11 | 7.73E-10 |
| 176 | E2F2 | -1.23445 | 3.755456 | 85.18558 | 2.72E-20 | $1.49 \mathrm{E}-18$ |
| 177 | SH3D21 | 1.282619 | 3.671158 | 51.82665 | 6.06E-13 | 1.69E-11 |
| 178 | SPC25 | -1.07155 | 3.737694 | 46.94391 | 7.30E-12 | 1.84E-10 |
| 179 | GSTCD | -1.03503 | 3.706872 | 42.86711 | 5.86E-11 | 1.32E-09 |
| 180 | KIF14 | -1.36197 | 3.700978 | 68.26926 | 1.43E-16 | 5.79E-15 |
| 181 | ESCO2 | -1.45165 | 3.678045 | 55.81356 | 7.97E-14 | $2.44 \mathrm{E}-12$ |
| 182 | ORM1 | 2.68578 | 3.584169 | 160.9633 | 6.97E-37 | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-34$ |
| 183 | CASC5 | -1.26326 | 3.668182 | 48.37091 | 3.53E-12 | 9.28E-11 |
| 184 | CCNE2 | -1.11895 | 3.647541 | 39.64711 | $3.04 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 6.31E-09 |
| 185 | CSGALNACT1 | 1.467457 | 3.570962 | 59.99358 | 9.52E-15 | 3.23E-13 |
| 186 | HPGD | 1.189323 | 3.546501 | 44.59851 | $2.42 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 5.74E-10 |
| 187 | PARPBP | -1.0267 | 3.618575 | 32.07735 | 1.48E-08 | 2.47E-07 |
| 188 | LAT2 | 1.808413 | 3.494342 | 81.74352 | $1.55 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 8.15E-18 |
| 189 | ORM2 | 2.277915 | 3.458973 | 103.6533 | $2.41 \mathrm{E}-24$ | $1.78 \mathrm{E}-22$ |
| 190 | CKAP2L | -1.37663 | 3.497197 | 66.26921 | 3.93E-16 | 1.53E-14 |
| 191 | LOC100128191 | -1.10586 | 3.508863 | 50.82687 | 1.01E-12 | 2.76E-11 |
| 192 | SGOL1 | -1.285 | 3.475341 | 71.60043 | $2.63 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 1.17E-15 |
| 193 | CENPA | -1.16333 | 3.460974 | 63.32866 | $1.75 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 6.43E-14 |
| 194 | DNA2 | -1.07637 | 3.405734 | 27.57142 | $1.51 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 2.12E-06 |
| 195 | E2F8 | -1.45672 | 3.392733 | 66.72104 | 3.13E-16 | 1.22E-14 |
| 196 | ZNF367 | -1.26754 | 3.380485 | 38.95944 | $4.33 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 8.69E-09 |
| 197 | BLM | -1.44642 | 3.366816 | 68.87758 | 1.05E-16 | 4.27E-15 |
| 198 | GSG2 | -1.15996 | 3.364504 | 60.92601 | 5.93E-15 | $2.04 \mathrm{E}-13$ |
| 199 | MND1 | -1.27578 | 3.307658 | 44.33562 | 2.77E-11 | 6.55E-10 |
| 200 | SLC2A3 | 1.635052 | 3.21207 | 43.81152 | 3.62E-11 | 8.47E-10 |
| 201 | NEIL3 | -1.23068 | 3.264715 | 57.13893 | 4.06E-14 | $1.28 \mathrm{E}-12$ |
| 202 | C4orf46 | -1.09017 | 3.184868 | 29.63386 | 5.22E-08 | 8.04E-07 |
| 203 | WDR76 | -1.40378 | 3.182243 | 74.41954 | 6.32E-18 | 2.95E-16 |


| 204 | RBL1 | -1.17563 | 3.150147 | 26.45176 | 2.70E-07 | 3.67E-06 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 205 | ARMC12 | 1.379683 | 3.118034 | 55.38136 | 9.93E-14 | 3.00E-12 |
| 206 | XRCC2 | -1.3023 | 3.10956 | 59.86127 | $1.02 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 3.42E-13 |
| 207 | BRCA2 | -1.41478 | 3.112857 | 66.44681 | 3.59E-16 | $1.40 \mathrm{E}-14$ |
| 208 | HELLS | -1.53223 | 3.100935 | 42.13719 | 8.51E-11 | 1.89E-09 |
| 209 | CEP128 | -1.24395 | 3.035504 | 43.14315 | 5.09E-11 | 1.17E-09 |
| 210 | MMS22L | -1.29335 | 3.000201 | 39.26095 | 3.71E-10 | 7.57E-09 |
| 211 | C1QTNF9B-AS1 | 1.100726 | 2.922719 | 27.94559 | $1.25 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 1.78E-06 |
| 212 | E2F7 | -1.58824 | 2.942007 | 43.0215 | 5.41E-11 | 1.23E-09 |
| 213 | AKAP12 | 1.100638 | 2.863866 | 21.66297 | 3.25E-06 | 3.62E-05 |
| 214 | CENPI | -1.10423 | 2.932829 | 46.9114 | 7.43E-12 | 1.86E-10 |
| 215 | CENPK | -1.01082 | 2.918185 | 22.05818 | 2.65E-06 | 3.01E-05 |
| 216 | POLE2 | -1.12344 | 2.88688 | 20.44972 | 6.12E-06 | 6.47E-05 |
| 217 | LIN9 | -1.27168 | 2.863285 | 40.22044 | 2.27E-10 | 4.75E-09 |
| 218 | CENPQ | -1.09655 | 2.832032 | 32.19487 | 1.39E-08 | $2.34 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| 219 | KIF18A | -1.30277 | 2.830089 | 40.64214 | 1.83E-10 | 3.88E-09 |
| 220 | BRIP1 | -1.41776 | 2.817232 | 44.91719 | 2.06E-11 | 4.94E-10 |
| 221 | LOC100288637 | -1.25103 | 2.787301 | 27.35663 | 1.69E-07 | 2.36E-06 |
| 222 | AMACR | 1.097843 | 2.704537 | 19.25968 | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.000114 |
| 223 | RTKN2 | -1.08436 | 2.716191 | 29.59898 | 5.31E-08 | 8.17E-07 |
| 224 | RTTN | -1.08787 | 2.656886 | 33.65603 | 6.58E-09 | 1.16E-07 |
| 225 | C17orf53 | -1.05357 | 2.658987 | 29.12903 | 6.77E-08 | 1.02E-06 |
| 226 | EPS8L1 | 1.487662 | 2.587459 | 28.84377 | 7.85E-08 | 1.16E-06 |
| 227 | NPPC | 1.455035 | 2.599821 | 36.19989 | 1.78E-09 | 3.35E-08 |
| 228 | MYBPC1 | 1.509729 | 2.566469 | 56.84312 | 4.72E-14 | $1.48 \mathrm{E}-12$ |
| 229 | MYL9 | 1.044808 | 2.49094 | 29.31107 | 6.16E-08 | 9.37E-07 |
| 230 | DMBX1 | -1.30436 | 2.55599 | 42.3223 | $7.74 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 1.73E-09 |
| 231 | C4orf21 | -1.11661 | 2.540547 | 25.10183 | 5.44E-07 | 7.01E-06 |
| 232 | SPOCK1 | 1.424415 | 2.378154 | 41.58222 | 1.13E-10 | 2.47E-09 |
| 233 | FAM54A | -1.55824 | 2.328249 | 44.20212 | $2.96 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 7.00E-10 |
| 234 | C1orf135 | -1.33165 | 2.327583 | 42.16507 | 8.39E-11 | 1.86E-09 |
| 235 | FAM72B | -1.00685 | 2.327372 | 27.98112 | 1.23E-07 | $1.76 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| 236 | NAV3 | -1.30885 | 2.307982 | 47.05214 | 6.91E-12 | $1.75 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| 237 | ATAD5 | -1.30778 | 2.251379 | 40.39695 | 2.07E-10 | $4.36 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 238 | C18orf54 | -1.37064 | 2.27838 | 27.43676 | 1.62E-07 | 2.27E-06 |
| 239 | TUBA3E | 1.058685 | 2.242307 | 15.49707 | 8.26E-05 | 0.000678 |
| 240 | WWTR1 | 1.058971 | 2.20958 | 22.2506 | 2.39E-06 | $2.74 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 241 | FAM81A | -1.05907 | 2.201616 | 22.58194 | 2.01E-06 | $2.34 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 242 | BAI2 | -1.04187 | 2.210137 | 19.65704 | 9.27E-06 | 9.44E-05 |
| 243 | SPTB | 1.789417 | 2.147878 | 42.50964 | 7.03E-11 | 1.58E-09 |
| 244 | BORA | -1.08328 | 2.176648 | 15.66585 | 7.56E-05 | 0.000627 |
| 245 | RDM1 | -1.23917 | 2.141119 | 29.91662 | $4.51 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 7.02E-07 |


| 246 | LOC100128361 | -1.14282 | 2.14019 | 13.99811 | 0.000183 | 0.001348 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 247 | DOCK8 | 1.214777 | 2.079418 | 17.03475 | 3.67E-05 | 0.000327 |
| 248 | C5orf34 | -1.24434 | 2.086564 | 30.32367 | 3.66E-08 | 5.77E-07 |
| 249 | FAM83E | 1.045876 | 2.024604 | 15.80158 | 7.03E-05 | 0.000588 |
| 250 | FAM72A | -1.14168 | 1.984398 | 23.18369 | $1.47 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.75 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 251 | TERT | -1.04564 | 1.971424 | 29.50947 | 5.56E-08 | 8.52E-07 |
| 252 | TLL1 | -1.43159 | 1.876395 | 39.11432 | $4.00 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 8.08E-09 |
| 253 | TNS4 | 1.09081 | 1.830132 | 13.78198 | 0.000205 | 0.001496 |
| 254 | DKFZP586I1420 | -1.20672 | 1.767396 | 27.97644 | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $1.76 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| 255 | SCARA3 | -1.17827 | 1.78656 | 20.50021 | 5.96E-06 | 6.33E-05 |
| 256 | SNCG | 1.540067 | 1.739804 | 40.4059 | $2.06 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 4.35E-09 |
| 257 | CNIH2 | -1.14487 | 1.724738 | 16.27522 | 5.48E-05 | 0.000471 |
| 258 | BEST1 | -1.11194 | 1.710968 | 14.15187 | 0.000169 | 0.001258 |
| 259 | MTBP | -1.01201 | 1.698324 | 17.09664 | 3.55E-05 | 0.000319 |
| 260 | TG | 1.618921 | 1.638793 | 20.00753 | 7.71E-06 | 8.00E-05 |
| 261 | PLCH1 | -1.60649 | 1.627385 | 37.55753 | 8.88E-10 | $1.73 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 262 | SLC38A4 | 1.052922 | 1.574071 | 12.12558 | 0.000497 | 0.003258 |
| 263 | RIN2 | -1.02774 | 1.548226 | 15.7213 | $7.34 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.00061 |
| 264 | SLC22A1 | 1.201327 | 1.531084 | 23.64649 | 1.16E-06 | $1.41 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 265 | MIR29C | 1.252847 | 1.517626 | 14.419 | 0.000146 | 0.001116 |
| 266 | TMEM92 | 1.375662 | 1.424565 | 24.06239 | $9.33 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $1.15 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 267 | HIST1H1E | 1.763121 | 1.355928 | 23.05116 | 1.58E-06 | 1.87E-05 |
| 268 | ELOVL2 | 1.376338 | 1.352361 | 14.32076 | 0.000154 | 0.001166 |
| 269 | FAM189A2 | 1.085025 | 1.351339 | 9.386104 | 0.002186 | 0.011536 |
| 270 | LOC100507634 | 1.070315 | 1.305097 | 14.40869 | 0.000147 | 0.00112 |
| 271 | ZNF726 | -1.51561 | 1.314377 | 37.2321 | $1.05 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $2.01 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 272 | CCDC150 | -1.68177 | 1.32368 | 27.76753 | 1.37E-07 | 1.93E-06 |
| 273 | FLT4 | -1.7364 | 1.275186 | 43.6101 | $4.01 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 9.31E-10 |
| 274 | LOC144481 | 2.239074 | 1.240202 | 28.52418 | 9.25E-08 | 1.36E-06 |
| 275 | FANCB | -1.22628 | 1.266418 | 24.93974 | 5.92E-07 | 7.58E-06 |
| 276 | ZNF519 | -1.09469 | 1.252172 | 19.82199 | 8.50E-06 | $8.71 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 277 | BRDT | -1.30824 | 1.227013 | 18.47476 | $1.72 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.000166 |
| 278 | REP15 | 1.131996 | 1.16051 | 8.149773 | 0.004307 | 0.020351 |
| 279 | MYBL1 | -1.18515 | 1.132487 | 14.21853 | 0.000163 | 0.001221 |
| 280 | ANTXR1 | -1.00703 | 1.103571 | 11.32855 | 0.000763 | 0.004684 |
| 281 | BCL2 | -1.31481 | 1.09301 | 14.37067 | 0.00015 | 0.001139 |
| 282 | KCNG3 | 1.095702 | 1.072403 | 14.55977 | 0.000136 | 0.00105 |
| 283 | LEF1 | -1.31681 | 1.076696 | 17.32408 | 3.15E-05 | 0.000286 |
| 284 | TGM3 | -1.13365 | 1.063226 | 17.29202 | 3.21E-05 | 0.00029 |
| 285 | LGI2 | -1.23654 | 0.985462 | 17.02595 | 3.69E-05 | 0.000329 |

C) MeT vs DHT

| No | gene_id | $\begin{gathered} \text { logFC } \\ \text { (DHT_vs_Vehicle) } \end{gathered}$ | ```Direction of change (TRUE= Up- reg/FALSE=Down- reg)``` | $\begin{gathered} \text { logFC } \\ \text { (MeT_vs_Vehicle) } \end{gathered}$ | Direction of change <br> (TRUE= Up-reg/FALSE=Downreg) | Direction of change for DHT and MeT (TRUE= similar/FALSE=diferent) | Potency of MeT vs DHT (TRUE= Yes /FALSE=No) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | KRT8 | 1.045876342 | TRUE | 2.755781922 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 2 | TMPRSS2 | 1.034805517 | TRUE | 1.913299628 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 3 | FKBP5 | 1.332702783 | TRUE | 2.826146249 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 4 | ACSL3 | 1.359899873 | TRUE | 2.530338335 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 5 | SLC41A1 | 1.300348613 | TRUE | 2.693377322 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 6 | NDRG1 | 1.023857137 | TRUE | 3.390736204 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 7 | SMS | 1.1572766 | TRUE | 2.166352624 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 8 | H2AFX | -1.030028221 | FALSE | -2.202710294 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 9 | MCM7 | -1.065352573 | FALSE | -2.197546713 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 10 | MICAL1 | 1.500391067 | TRUE | 3.059148889 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 11 | MKI67 | -1.197863921 | FALSE | -2.675758539 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 12 | CBWD1 | 1.060669375 | TRUE | 1.778386773 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 13 | HMGB2 | -1.168522187 | FALSE | -1.92991569 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 14 | MYBL2 | -1.039232839 | FALSE | -2.268501325 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 15 | TPX2 | -1.216047638 | FALSE | -2.550768441 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 16 | SPAG5 | -1.015957159 | FALSE | -1.965860952 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 17 | MCM2 | -1.211990237 | FALSE | -3.254253329 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 18 | PCNA | -1.04151328 | FALSE | -2.290764524 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 19 | TK1 | -1.061913876 | FALSE | -2.402434733 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 20 | CHRNA2 | 1.32836714 | TRUE | 1.634172626 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 21 | PLK1 | -1.022220803 | FALSE | -2.342325954 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 22 | CENPF | -1.159461023 | FALSE | -2.65802473 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 23 | MCM4 | -1.208081784 | FALSE | -3.260585881 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 24 | LMNB1 | -1.084695629 | FALSE | -2.848586399 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |


| 25 | CDC20 | -1.14647327 | FALSE | -2.512996723 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | MCM3 | -1.036232211 | FALSE | -2.568954992 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 27 | RRM2 | -1.276686341 | FALSE | -2.974381993 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 28 | F5 | 1.193322934 | TRUE | 2.045851997 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 29 | NUSAP1 | -1.267055087 | FALSE | -2.614549279 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 30 | TCOF1 | -1.069263668 | FALSE | -2.34301276 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 31 | PRC1 | -1.164698178 | FALSE | -2.384901748 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 32 | TYMS | -1.183465165 | FALSE | -2.708440284 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 33 | FEN1 | -1.167763126 | FALSE | -2.365989503 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 34 | CDK1 | -1.105601811 | FALSE | -2.718216424 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 35 | MCM5 | -1.076269501 | FALSE | -2.677088667 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 36 | TMPO | -1.125515723 | FALSE | -2.521116016 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 37 | FANCI | -1.087788642 | FALSE | -2.151618351 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 38 | $\begin{gathered} \text { ST6GALNA } \\ \text { C1 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1.035248874 | TRUE | 1.77890807 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 39 | TONSL | -1.043724519 | FALSE | -2.799302478 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 40 | CHAF1A | -1.007177729 | FALSE | -2.076061462 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 41 | KIF20A | -1.168971285 | FALSE | -2.477091628 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 42 | SMC4 | -1.090109412 | FALSE | -2.106454983 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 43 | GNMT | 1.219481919 | TRUE | 2.439455122 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 44 | UGT2B11 | 1.518935699 | TRUE | 3.381715294 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 45 | RECQL4 | -1.060902593 | FALSE | -2.445665156 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 46 | TCF19 | -1.291775954 | FALSE | -3.172965797 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 47 | CDCA5 | -1.283365986 | FALSE | -3.102866954 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 48 | TOP2A | -1.409466435 | FALSE | -3.272675299 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 49 | UBE2C | -1.256344145 | FALSE | -2.709209166 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 50 | CCNB2 | -1.081067384 | FALSE | -2.379299888 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 51 | RACGAP1 | -1.066187692 | FALSE | -2.253279945 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 52 | CCNF | -1.04615908 | FALSE | -2.250083003 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |


| 53 | KIFC1 | -1.382295762 | FALSE | -2.971603879 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54 | E2F1 | -1.175034137 | FALSE | -3.036178507 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 55 | KIF2C | -1.242277497 | FALSE | -2.924284205 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 56 | PKMYT1 | -1.16667173 | FALSE | -3.178917271 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 57 | CDC6 | -1.129516281 | FALSE | -2.71456379 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 58 | HMMR | -1.075463041 | FALSE | -2.13970401 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 59 | NCAPG | -1.162266101 | FALSE | -2.756747891 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 60 | CDCA3 | -1.09270978 | FALSE | -2.438049997 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 61 | MLF1IP | -1.12604774 | FALSE | -2.595021465 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 62 | HJURP | -1.322013259 | FALSE | -2.828667295 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 63 | POLA2 | -1.079861112 | FALSE | -2.164926123 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 64 | FAM83D | -1.209719625 | FALSE | -2.453405967 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 65 | AURKA | -1.05393423 | FALSE | -2.26681424 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 66 | BUB1B | -1.245511491 | FALSE | -3.036462965 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 67 | CADPS2 | 1.363656093 | TRUE | 2.250906321 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 68 | DLGAP5 | -1.122519594 | FALSE | -2.210231158 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 69 | ASF1B | -1.252574853 | FALSE | -3.830201677 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 70 | MAF | 1.50887869 | TRUE | 2.93216193 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 71 | FANCD2 | -1.166438719 | FALSE | -2.133415414 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 72 | CCNA2 | -1.220920171 | FALSE | -2.989407496 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 73 | CAMK2N1 | -1.136569859 | FALSE | -2.143932843 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 74 | ANLN | -1.150842319 | FALSE | -2.727502835 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 75 | CDT1 | -1.081618517 | FALSE | -2.689396398 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 76 | WDR62 | -1.050337128 | FALSE | -2.891531589 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 77 | MELK | -1.217921829 | FALSE | -2.759500497 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 78 | NDC80 | -1.353819497 | FALSE | -2.660586973 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 79 | AURKB | -1.100437683 | FALSE | -2.990827496 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 80 | ESPL1 | -1.376994473 | FALSE | -3.355118415 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 81 | PRKCA | 1.122294464 | TRUE | 2.027002763 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |


| 82 | WIPI1 | 1.393274809 | TRUE | 3.085674519 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 83 | CENPE | -1.15520954 | FALSE | -2.555391363 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 84 | CDCA8 | -1.1470038 | FALSE | -2.759158596 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 85 | PBK | -1.189499367 | FALSE | -2.072426546 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 86 | NCAPH | -1.170698346 | FALSE | -3.25505236 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 87 | RFC4 | -1.03248118 | FALSE | -1.934632068 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 88 | ADAM7 | 1.029019992 | TRUE | 1.202613578 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 89 | CDC45 | -1.265032209 | FALSE | -3.024323883 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 90 | BUB1 | -1.086081406 | FALSE | -2.404529709 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 91 | GTSE1 | -1.001211741 | FALSE | -2.466957332 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 92 | BRCA1 | -1.329380022 | FALSE | -3.095206837 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 93 | AFF3 | 1.02549085 | TRUE | 1.782135488 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 94 | KIF11 | -1.24269003 | FALSE | -2.75344164 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 95 | PGC | 1.437473724 | TRUE | 2.199557138 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 96 | PSRC1 | -1.000392831 | FALSE | -2.307361535 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 97 | UGT2B15 | -1.021296841 | FALSE | -1.583153089 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 98 | DEPDC1 | -1.085305957 | FALSE | -2.58639383 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 99 | $\begin{gathered} \text { ARHGAP11 } \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | -1.091290913 | FALSE | -2.798800982 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 100 | CIT | -1.309782345 | FALSE | -3.021738838 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 101 | GINS1 | -1.216166047 | FALSE | -2.8470779 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 102 | RAD54L | -1.337438916 | FALSE | -3.462746647 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 103 | DDB2 | -1.131098339 | FALSE | -2.002790306 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 104 | CDKN2C | -1.022411823 | FALSE | -1.848961771 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 105 | CEP55 | -1.169409436 | FALSE | -2.712221536 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 106 | CLSPN | -1.321541214 | FALSE | -3.806210426 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 107 | KNTC1 | -1.200814389 | FALSE | -2.787840551 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 108 | RFC5 | -1.067554271 | FALSE | -2.383980383 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 109 | BCHE | -1.004408418 | FALSE | -2.256506198 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |


| 110 | CDCA7L | -1.052642899 | FALSE | -2.184383218 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 111 | C9orf100 | -1.112348742 | FALSE | -2.587372724 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 112 | GINS2 | -1.026078761 | FALSE | -2.260594946 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 113 | STEAP4 | 3.740503079 | TRUE | 6.927834265 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 114 | SOCS2 | 1.298868135 | TRUE | 2.464368219 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 115 | UGT2B28 | 2.151729029 | TRUE | 3.893654774 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 116 | MCM10 | -1.396353967 | FALSE | -4.324655992 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 117 | UHRF1 | -1.24868542 | FALSE | -3.611338214 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 118 | INPP4B | 1.037968163 | TRUE | 1.779542035 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 119 | KIF18B | -1.247012844 | FALSE | -3.756783914 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 120 | CDCA2 | -1.19933997 | FALSE | -2.754714744 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 121 | C21orf58 | -1.026924267 | FALSE | -2.359051929 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 122 | WDHD1 | -1.098778331 | FALSE | -2.5940982 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 123 | ZNF812 | 1.18891817 | TRUE | 2.544402562 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 124 | SHCBP1 | -1.219179322 | FALSE | -2.768879626 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 125 | KIF23 | -1.159581868 | FALSE | -2.973708564 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 126 | ORC6 | -1.410427813 | FALSE | -3.347110046 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 127 | POLQ | -1.45569072 | FALSE | -3.263259538 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 128 | NUF2 | -1.272495917 | FALSE | -2.406721166 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 129 | NEK2 | -1.265302279 | FALSE | -2.464440633 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 130 | PSMC3IP | -1.062822576 | FALSE | -2.447064317 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 131 | DTL | -1.467991792 | FALSE | -4.496685228 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 132 | CDCA4 | -1.042297429 | FALSE | -2.953304223 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 133 | CDC7 | -1.006128916 | FALSE | -2.086704676 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 134 | TUBA3D | 1.154626031 | TRUE | 2.335065764 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 135 | SKA3 | -1.190066862 | FALSE | -2.306758982 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 136 | TMEM194A | -1.076674222 | FALSE | -2.426610686 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 137 | PAQR6 | 1.090060223 | TRUE | 1.064693465 | TRUE | TRUE | FALSE |
| 138 | TARP | 1.388336182 | TRUE | 2.008199636 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |


| 139 | GINS4 | -1.294914823 | FALSE | -2.831174567 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 140 | CCDC141 | 1.189938719 | TRUE | 2.787907738 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 141 | DEPDC1B | -1.167203464 | FALSE | -2.693087157 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 142 | ORC1 | -1.195958423 | FALSE | -2.92148973 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 143 | SPC24 | -1.050331491 | FALSE | -2.634475094 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 144 | POLD3 | -1.016659061 | FALSE | -1.930249025 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 145 | NRM | -1.034326925 | FALSE | -1.933576918 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 146 | EME1 | -1.260826713 | FALSE | -3.065169405 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 147 | RFC3 | -1.184657999 | FALSE | -2.857837015 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 148 | FIGNL1 | -1.018572707 | FALSE | -2.516167238 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 149 | ASPM | -1.430598464 | FALSE | -3.05576722 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 150 | CDC25C | -1.123047229 | FALSE | -2.27892595 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 151 | DIAPH3 | -1.241987969 | FALSE | -2.229247879 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 152 | TTK | -1.2464924 | FALSE | -2.902844566 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 153 | ANO7 | 1.119115697 | TRUE | 1.582032662 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 154 | C1orf112 | -1.119308744 | FALSE | -1.626819576 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 155 | GPSM2 | -1.134960417 | FALSE | -2.344259362 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 156 | SGOL2 | -1.128698025 | FALSE | -2.272806317 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 157 | CEP78 | -1.162716799 | FALSE | -1.879714778 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 158 | KIF20B | -1.156046882 | FALSE | -2.91237426 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 159 | EXO1 | -1.369954807 | FALSE | -4.222356158 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 160 | SKA1 | -1.240749167 | FALSE | -2.957023834 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 161 | C15orf42 | -1.248834863 | FALSE | -3.645150154 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 162 | SLITRK3 | -1.02532286 | FALSE | -2.738033774 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 163 | PLK4 | -1.377753449 | FALSE | -2.601874761 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 164 | KIF15 | -1.543127387 | FALSE | -3.320802452 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 165 | FBXO5 | -1.196655444 | FALSE | -2.251912723 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 166 | PRIM1 | -1.211248231 | FALSE | -2.798704947 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 167 | RAD51AP1 | -1.157676361 | FALSE | -3.10514468 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |


| 168 | KIF24 | -1.364466375 | FALSE | -2.956187902 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 169 | OIP5 | -1.14958276 | FALSE | -2.262354289 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 170 | HAUS8 | -1.02802212 | FALSE | -2.794636226 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 171 | TRAIP | -1.075419141 | FALSE | -2.200671601 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 172 | TTN | 1.077945902 | TRUE | 2.614607718 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 173 | B3GALT4 | 1.182618545 | TRUE | 2.83244062 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 174 | RAD51 | -1.079353332 | FALSE | -2.983667145 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 175 | MNS1 | -1.095024374 | FALSE | -2.440617519 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 176 | E2F2 | -1.234452908 | FALSE | -3.574660124 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 177 | SH3D21 | 1.282618699 | TRUE | 2.647745216 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 178 | SPC25 | -1.071551589 | FALSE | -2.544504359 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 179 | GSTCD | -1.03502589 | FALSE | -1.996536631 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 180 | KIF14 | -1.361971458 | FALSE | -2.713772216 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 181 | ESCO2 | -1.451654145 | FALSE | -3.551278606 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 182 | ORM1 | 2.685780251 | TRUE | 4.646724223 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 183 | CASC5 | -1.263255053 | FALSE | -3.545964195 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 184 | CCNE2 | -1.118945868 | FALSE | -3.265852377 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 185 | $\begin{gathered} \text { CSGALNAC } \\ \text { T1 } \end{gathered}$ | 1.467457205 | TRUE | 3.400679736 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 186 | HPGD | 1.189322791 | TRUE | 2.10972209 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 187 | PARPBP | -1.026696093 | FALSE | -2.44749604 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 188 | LAT2 | 1.808412928 | TRUE | 2.427610918 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 189 | ORM2 | 2.277914574 | TRUE | 4.097867053 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 190 | CKAP2L | -1.376627724 | FALSE | -2.740723603 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 191 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { LOC100128 } \\ 191 \end{gathered}$ | -1.105860761 | FALSE | -2.648944929 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 192 | SGOL1 | -1.285003552 | FALSE | -2.766338523 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 193 | CENPA | -1.163331502 | FALSE | -2.929527833 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 194 | DNA2 | -1.076365642 | FALSE | -2.766893559 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |


| 195 | E2F8 | -1.456717266 | FALSE | -3.819975362 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 196 | ZNF367 | -1.267542181 | FALSE | -4.328131999 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 197 | BLM | -1.446418778 | FALSE | -3.413560967 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 198 | GSG2 | -1.159958704 | FALSE | -3.462901799 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 199 | MND1 | -1.275780661 | FALSE | -3.12209387 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 200 | SLC2A3 | 1.635051806 | TRUE | 4.014407892 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 201 | NEIL3 | -1.23067546 | FALSE | -3.046048434 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 202 | C4orf46 | -1.090166037 | FALSE | -3.120734289 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 203 | WDR76 | -1.403783484 | FALSE | -2.686839002 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 204 | RBL1 | -1.175630515 | FALSE | -2.581728216 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 205 | ARMC12 | 1.379682894 | TRUE | 2.123023084 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 206 | XRCC2 | -1.302298458 | FALSE | -3.573656338 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 207 | BRCA2 | -1.414784046 | FALSE | -3.54997446 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 208 | HELLS | -1.532231649 | FALSE | -3.389096455 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 209 | CEP128 | -1.243949596 | FALSE | -2.23337467 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 210 | MMS22L | -1.293353731 | FALSE | -2.381910095 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 211 | $\begin{gathered} \text { C1QTNF9B- } \\ \text { AS1 } \end{gathered}$ | 1.10072601 | TRUE | 2.154136878 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 212 | E2F7 | -1.588243565 | FALSE | -4.913846077 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 213 | AKAP12 | 1.100637771 | TRUE | 2.532328524 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 214 | CENPI | -1.104227625 | FALSE | -3.011729362 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 215 | CENPK | -1.010823327 | FALSE | -3.092695248 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 216 | POLE2 | -1.123439223 | FALSE | -2.156420233 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 217 | LIN9 | -1.271678646 | FALSE | -2.982933162 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 218 | CENPQ | -1.096545173 | FALSE | -2.069731859 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 219 | KIF18A | -1.30277165 | FALSE | -2.58675416 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 220 | BRIP1 | -1.41776079 | FALSE | -3.622375724 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 221 | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOC100288 } \\ 637 \end{gathered}$ | -1.251034885 | FALSE | -2.516472719 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |


| 222 | AMACR | 1.097842667 | TRUE | 2.005658133 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 223 | RTKN2 | -1.084364609 | FALSE | -2.064782461 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 224 | RTTN | -1.087868503 | FALSE | -1.639532367 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 225 | C17orf53 | -1.053568236 | FALSE | -2.474477853 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 226 | EPS8L1 | 1.487662116 | TRUE | 2.620431689 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 227 | NPPC | 1.455035342 | TRUE | 2.723004788 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 228 | MYBPC1 | 1.509729064 | TRUE | 1.523942741 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 229 | MYL9 | 1.044807814 | TRUE | 2.356211967 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 230 | DMBX1 | -1.304358586 | FALSE | -3.318635318 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 231 | C4orf21 | -1.116614217 | FALSE | -2.706746464 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 232 | SPOCK1 | 1.424415309 | TRUE | 1.86288276 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 233 | FAM54A | -1.558239219 | FALSE | -2.919502267 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 234 | C1orf135 | -1.331645648 | FALSE | -3.514170836 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 235 | FAM72B | -1.006851967 | FALSE | -3.141893991 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 236 | NAV3 | -1.308845358 | FALSE | -2.872938246 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 237 | ATAD5 | -1.307776291 | FALSE | -3.021105108 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 238 | C18orf54 | -1.370639646 | FALSE | -3.364205193 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 239 | TUBA3E | 1.058685319 | TRUE | 1.54967697 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 240 | WWTR1 | 1.058971357 | TRUE | 1.395273429 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 241 | FAM81A | -1.059074227 | FALSE | -2.049243476 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 242 | BAI2 | -1.041866526 | FALSE | -2.082050513 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 243 | SPTB | 1.789417358 | TRUE | 3.032925756 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 244 | BORA | -1.083276266 | FALSE | -1.725870742 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 245 | RDM1 | -1.239172797 | FALSE | -1.561782172 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 246 | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOC100128 } \\ 361 \end{gathered}$ | -1.14281644 | FALSE | -2.174185642 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 247 | DOCK8 | 1.214777253 | TRUE | 1.663145353 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 248 | C5orf34 | -1.244339471 | FALSE | -2.760752528 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 249 | FAM83E | 1.045875529 | TRUE | 2.570062662 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |


| 250 | FAM72A | -1.141683692 | FALSE | -2.564204856 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 251 | TERT | -1.045640638 | FALSE | -4.156096455 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 252 | TLL1 | -1.431590211 | FALSE | -2.608579968 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 253 | TNS4 | 1.090810064 | TRUE | 2.242506408 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 254 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { DKFZP586I } \\ 1420 \end{gathered}$ | -1.206721235 | FALSE | na | na | na | na |
| 255 | SCARA3 | -1.178270901 | FALSE | -2.282576928 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 256 | SNCG | 1.540067338 | TRUE | 2.141418164 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 257 | CNIH2 | -1.144865495 | FALSE | -1.211409805 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 258 | BEST1 | -1.111941307 | FALSE | -2.169326688 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 259 | MTBP | -1.012011262 | FALSE | -2.650291204 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 260 | TG | 1.618921061 | TRUE | 4.164600171 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 261 | PLCH1 | -1.606490775 | FALSE | -2.493679618 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 262 | SLC38A4 | 1.052921933 | TRUE | 1.956430774 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 263 | RIN2 | -1.027735094 | FALSE | -1.087612492 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 264 | SLC22A1 | 1.201327452 | TRUE | 1.779196776 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 265 | MIR29C | 1.252846758 | TRUE | na | na | na | na |
| 266 | TMEM92 | 1.375662294 | TRUE | 2.26777347 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 267 | HIST1H1E | 1.763121375 | TRUE | 2.965480185 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 268 | ELOVL2 | 1.376338484 | TRUE | 3.171632272 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 269 | FAM189A2 | 1.085025011 | TRUE | 2.414360391 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 270 | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOC100507 } \\ 634 \end{gathered}$ | 1.070314585 | TRUE | 1.30328445 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 271 | ZNF726 | -1.515607382 | FALSE | -1.792125284 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 272 | CCDC150 | -1.681765513 | FALSE | -2.978122952 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 273 | FLT4 | -1.736401302 | FALSE | -2.637010841 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 274 | LOC144481 | 2.239074443 | TRUE | 3.840201325 | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 275 | FANCB | -1.226284941 | FALSE | -3.293278214 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 276 | ZNF519 | -1.094688579 | FALSE | -1.761359961 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |


| 277 | BRDT | -1.308242704 | FALSE | -2.042411341 | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 278 | REP15 | 1.131995532 | TRUE | 3.918908125 | TRUE | TRUE |  |
| 279 | MYBL1 | -1.18514824 | FALSE | -2.584986562 | FALSE | TRUE |  |
| 280 | ANTXR1 | -1.007032067 | FALSE | -1.864352091 | FALSE | TRUE |  |
| 281 | BCL2 | -1.314809671 | FALSE | -2.225353599 | FALSE | TRUE |  |
| 282 | KCNG3 | 1.095702456 | TRUE | 1.628504918 | TRUE | TRUE |  |
| 283 | LEF1 | -1.316811997 | FALSE | na | TRUE |  |  |
| 284 | TGM3 | -1.133649271 | FALSE | -3.30532901 | TRUE |  |  |
| 285 | LGI2 | -1.236536649 | FALSE | -4.032093846 | FALSE | TRUE |  |

## Supplementary Table S1. Primer sequences for qRT-PCRs

| Primer | Sequence | Application |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STING-Fwd | AGCATTACAACAACCTGCTACG | qRT-PCR |
| STING-Rev | GTTGGGGTCAGCCATACTCAG | qRT-PCR |
| ERV3-env-Fwd | CCATGGGAAGCAAGGGAACT | qRT-PCR |
| ERV3-env-Rev | CTTTCCCCAGCGAGCAATAC | qRT-PCR |
| HERV-W-Fwd | TGAGTCAATTCTCATACCTG | qRT-PCR |
| HERV-W-Rev | AGTTAAGAGTTCTTGGGTGG | qRT-PCR |
| HERVE Fwd | GGTGTCACTACTCAATACAC | qRT-PCR |
| HERVE-Rev | GCAGCCTAGGTCTCTGG | qRT-PCR |
| HERV F-Fwd | CCTCCAGTCACAACAACTC | qRT-PCR |
| HERV F-Rev | TATTGAAGAAGGCGGCTGG | qRT-PCR |
| ERVL-Fwd | ATATCCTGCCTGGATGGGGT | qRT-PCR |
| ERVL-Rew | GAGCTTCTTAGTCCTCCTGTGT | qRT-PCR |
| HERV-F-Fwd | CCTCCAGTCACAACAACTC | qRT-PCR |
| HERV-F-Rev | TATTGAAGAAGGCGGCTGG | qRT-PCR |
| HERV-K-Fwd | ATTGGCAACACCGTATTCTGCT | qRT-PCR |
| HERV-K-Rev | CAGTCAAAATATGGACGGATGGT | qRT-PCR |
| DNMT1-Fwd | GCGTTCCGGCTGAACAAC | qRT-PCR |
| DNMT1-Rev | GCATCTCCACGTCTCCCT | qRT-PCR |
| EZH2--RT-fwd | GTGGAGAGATTATTTCTCAAGATG | qRT-PCR |
| EZH2-RT-Rev | CCGACATACTTCAGGGCATCAGCC | qRT-PCR |
| B2M-RT-fwd | TGACTTTGTCACAGCCCAAG | qRT-PCR |
| B2M-RT-Rev | AGCAAGCAAGCAGAATTTGG | qRT-PCR |
| HLA-A-RT-fwd | GGCCCTGACCCAGACCTG | qRT-PCR |
| HLA-A-RT-Rev | GCACGAACTGCGTGTCGTC | qRT-PCR |
| HLA-B-RT-fwd | ACTGAGCTTGTGGAGACCAGA | qRT-PCR |
| HLA-B-RT-Rev | GCAGCCCCTCATGCTGT | qRT-PCR |
| HLA-C-RT-fwd | CTGGCCCTGACCGAGACCTG | qRT-PCR |
| HLA-C-RT-Rev | CGCTTGTACTTCTGTGTCTCC | qRT-PCR |
| IFN- $\beta$-RT-fwd | GCCATCAGTCACTTAAACAGC | qRT-PCR |
| IFN- $\beta$-RT-Rev | GAAACTGAAGATCTCCTAGCCT | qRT-PCR |
| ISG15-RT-fwd | CCTTCAGCTCTGACACC | qRT-PCR |
| ISG15-RT-Rev | CGAACTCATCTTTGCCAGTACA | qRT-PCR |
| IRF7-RT-fwd | GTGGACTGAGGGCTTGTAG | qRT-PCR |
| IRF7-RT-Rev | TCAACACCTGTGACTTCATGT | qRT-PCR |
| MAVS-RT-fwd | AGGAGACAGATGGAGACACA | qRT-PCR |
| MAVS-RT-Rev | CAGAACTGGGCAGTACCC | qRT-PCR |
| RIG-I-RT-fwd | CCAGCATTACTAGTCAGAAGGAA | qRT-PCR |
| RIG-I-RT-Rev | CACAGTGCAATCTTGTCATCC | qRT-PCR |


| Mouse-IRF7-fwd | CCACACCCCCATCTTCGA | qRT-PCR |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mouse-IRF7-Rev | CCTCCGAGCCCGAAACTC | qRT-PCR |
| Mouse-psmb9-fwd | TAGTAGCTGGCTGGGACCAA | qRT-PCR |
| Mouse-psmb9-Rev | GATGGTAAAGGGCTGTCGAA | qRT-PCR |
| Mouse-HPRT-fwd | GGCCAGACTTTGTTGGATTT | qRT-PCR |
| Mouse-HPRT-Rev | ACTGGCAACATCAACAGGACT | qRT-PCR |
| Mouse-STING-Fwd | GGTCACCGCTCCAAATATGTAG | qRT-PCR |
| Mouse-STING-Rev | CAGTAGTCCAAGTTCGTGCGA | qRT-PCR |
| Mouse-DDX58(RIG-I)-Fwd | AAGAGCCAGAGTGTCAGAATCT | qRT-PCR |
| Mouse-DDX58(RIG-I)-Rev | AGCTCCAGTTGGTAATTTCTTGG | qRT-PCR |
| Mouse-DNMT1-Fwd | CCAGGCATTTCGGCTGAA | qRT-PCR |
| Mouse-DNMT1-Rev | CGTTGCAGTCCTCTGTGAACA | qRT-PCR |
| Mouse-LINE1-fwd | GGACCAGAAAAGAAATTCCTCCCG | qRT-PCR |
| Mouse-LINE1-rev | CTCTTCTGGCTTTCATAGTCTCTGG | qRT-PCR |
| Mouse-ERV-MTA-fwd | TCTGTGGGATGTTGTGTAGGAG | qRT-PCR |
| Mouse-ERV-MTA-Rev | CCACAGATCTTCACAATCCAAA | qRT-PCR |
| Mouse-ERV-RLTR1B-fwd | GGTCCACACAAACACCTACCTT | qRT-PCR |
| Mouse-ERV-RLTR1B-Rev | TTTGAGATACACCCTTCGAGGT | qRT-PCR |
| Mouse-ERV-RLTR45-fwd | ACCTTGGACCTTTCTCAATACAT | qRT-PCR |
| Mouse-ERV-RLTR45-Rev | GACCTCCTCCTAATAACCAAATG | qRT-PCR |
| Mouse-ERV-IAPEZ-fwd | AAATCAATCTGTTGTGTTTCCAC | qRT-PCR |
| Mouse-ERV-IAPEZ-Rev | ACCACATAACAGGAATCTGACAC | qRT-PCR |

Supplementary Data2. GSEA report for top enriched Hallmark genesets ( $\mathbf{p} \leq 0.05$ ) with a positive NES

|  | Name | NES | FDR q-val |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | HALLMARK_ANDROGEN_RESPONSE | 2.8952408 | 0 |
| 2 | HALLMARK_PROTEIN_SECRETION | 2.3557687 | 0 |
| 3 | HALLMARK_APICAL_JUNCTION | 1.8830771 | 0.02535794 |
| 4 | HALLMARK_CHOLESTEROL_HOMEOSTASIS | 1.7936019 | 0.03255346 |
| 5 | HALLMARK_ESTROGEN_RESPONSE_EARLY | 1.788912 | 0.02765674 |
| 6 | HALLMARK_XENOBIOTIC_METABOLISM | 1.7781266 | 0.02561309 |
| 7 | HALLMARK_COAGULATION | 1.7351228 | 0.02783313 |
| 8 | HALLMARK_FATTY_ACID_METABOLISM | 1.7211664 | 0.02772199 |
| 9 | HALLMARK_EPITHELIAL_MESENCHYMAL_TRANSITION | 1.6315349 | 0.0438025 |

Supplementary Data3. GSEA report for top enriched Hallmark genesets ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) with a Negative NES

|  | Name | NES | FDR q-val |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | HALLMARK_E2F_TARGETS | -3.283671 | 0 |
| 2 | HALLMARK_G2M_CHECKPOINT | -3.0368714 | 0 |
| 3 | HALLMARK_MYC_TARGETS_V1 | -2.536929 | 0 |
| 4 | HALLMARK_MITOTIC_SPINDLE | -2.4192386 | 0 |
| 5 | HALLMARK_MYC_TARGETS_V2 | -2.110342 | 0 |
| 6 | HALLMARK_DNA_REPAIR | -1.8421776 | $8.29 E-04$ |
| 7 | HALLMARK_SPERMATOGENESIS | -1.8274815 | $8.81 E-04$ |
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# Chapter 4: Modulation of the histone methyltransferase EZH2 by methyltestosterone in prostate cancer cells 

### 4.1. Introduction

Enhancer of Zeste Homolog 2 (EZH2) is a histone methyl-transferase that forms the catalytic subunit of Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 (PRC2). As part of the canonical function of PRC2, EZH2 mediates tri-methylation of histone H3 lysine 27 (H3K27me3), which causes compaction of chromatin and transcriptional silencing of target genes (Jiao, Shubbar et al. 2020). In proliferative cells, the interplay between Rb and E2Fs govern EZH2 function and thereby regulate the inheritance of H 3 K 27 me 3 patterns during DNA replication and cell proliferation (Bracken, Pasini et al. 2003, Lanzuolo, Sardo et al. 2011, Mu, Starmer et al. 2018). For example, it has been shown that in skeletal muscle cells, Rb-mediated EZH2 binding to cell cycle genes leads to irreversible cell cycle exit and cell differentiation (Blais, van Oevelen et al. 2007). Additionally, it has been reported that RB protein can recruit PRC2 complex to repress the expression of genes associated with repetitive elements (Ishak, Marshall et al. 2016) and pluripotency (Kareta, Gorges et al. 2015) by tri-methylation of histone H3 at lysine 27. EZH2 can also regulate the expression of genes involved in stem cell differentiation and tumour immunogenicity by altering the ratio of H 3 K 27 me 3 to H 3 K 4 me 3 ; these are referred to as bivalent genes because their promoters harbour histone marks associated with both positive (H3K4me3) and negative (H3K27me3) transcriptional outcomes (Ezhkova, Pasolli et al. 2009, Blanco, González-Ramírez et al. 2020). In short, the canonical function of EZH2 and subsequently the level of H 3 K 27 me 3 is an important determinant of the balance between cell differentiation and proliferation (Ezponda and Licht 2014).

Dysregulation in the expression and function of EZH2 can lead to carcinogenesis and cancer progression in multiple tumour types. For example, overexpression of EZH2 in prostate cancer tumours is associated with the progression of clinically localized solid tumours to a lethal, therapy-resistant state (Varambally, Dhanasekaran et al. 2002, Yu, Yu et al. 2007). Mechanistically, several mechanisms can cause dysregulation of both the expression and function of EZH2 in prostate cancer: TMPRSS2-ERG fusion genes can cause the overexpression of EZH2, inducing a stem-cell-like dedifferentiation program (Yu, Yu et al. 2010), while SOX4 overexpression in cancer cells can directly upregulate the expression of EZH2, which subsequently leads to re-distribution of H3K27me3 and de-repression of genes required for epithelial-mesenchymal transition, a key process in tumour metastasis (Tiwari, Tiwari et al. 2013). Interestingly, overexpression of EZH2 in castration-resistant prostate cancer has been associated with lower global levels of H3K27me3 suggesting that EZH2 has activity beyond histone modification; indeed, it was found that EZH2 interacts with AR and in this context can act as a transcriptional activator ( $\mathrm{Xu}, \mathrm{Wu}$ et al. 2012). Consistent with this notion, phosphorylation of partially disordered transactivation domain (TAD) in EZH2 causes the recruitment of P300, leading to gene activation rather than repression (Jiao, Shubbar et al. 2020). However, these findings were not supported by mass spectrometry-based analysis of the EZH2 interactome, which recovered all PRC2 related components but did not identify an interaction with AR (Wassef, Luscan et al. 2019). In short, the precise function of EZH2 in PCa cells remains to be fully elucidated.

Other studies of EZH2 have potentially important implications for this project and the results presented in Chapter 3. First, a CRISPR/CAS9 screen revealed that EZH2 depletion can make prostate cancer cells sensitive to high-dose androgen therapy (Nyquist, Corella et al. 2019), suggesting that it has a role in mediating resistance to this therapeutic strategy. Moreover, it has been shown that EZH2 inhibition can lead to the enhanced immunogenicity of tumours through de-repression of $E R V s$ and activation of a viral mimicry response (Deblois, Tonekaboni et al. 2020, Janin and Esteller 2020, Ishiguro, Kitajima et al. 2021). EZH2 inhibition can also directly increase tumour immunogenicity by enhancing the expression and presentation of major MHC-I molecules (Burr, Sparbier et al. 2019, Zhou, Mudianto et al. 2020). Consistent with this idea, we showed in Chapter 3 that Decitabine-induced dsRNAs are less strong than MeT 1 nM , suggesting that dsRNA induction by MeT is also amplified by another mechanism. With this background in mind, I hypothesised that the viral mimicry response elicited by MeT (as described in Chapter 3) could at least in part be mediated via reduced expression and/or activity of EZH2. In this Chapter, I tested this hypothesis by characterizing the expression and activity of EZH2 in response to MeT treatment.

### 4.2. Materials and Methods

Details of cell lines, Western blotting, q-RT-PCR and RNA-seq are described in Chapters 2 and 3.

### 4.2.1. Histone extraction

Total nucleoplasmic histones were extracted using the acid extraction protocol recommended by Abcam. Briefly, after harvesting the cells, they were washed twice with icecold PBS and cell pellets were re-suspended in Triton Extraction Buffer (TEB) (please see Chapter 2 ), at a cell density of $10^{7}$ cells per ml for 10 min with gentle stirring on ice. Then, the lysate was centrifuged at $6,500 \times g$ for 10 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to spin down the nuclei. After discarding the supernatant, cell pellets were washed in half the volume of TEB and centrifuged at 6,500 $\times \mathrm{g}$ for 10 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Subsequently, cell pellets were re-suspended in 0.2 N HCl at a density of $4 \times 10^{7}$ nuclei per ml overnight. Samples were centrifuged at $6,500 \times g$ for 10 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the supernatant containing total nucleoplasmic H3K27me3 was moved into a new tube. Finally, HCl in samples was neutralised with 2 M NaOH at $1 / 10$ of the volume of the supernatant. Protein concentration was measured using the Bradford assay described in Chapter 2 and samples were kept at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 4.2.2. H3K27me3 ChIP-seq experiment, analysis and DATA

H3K27me3 ChIP-seq experiment was carried out as described in Chapter 2. Peak calling in Galaxy was performed essentially as described in Chapter 2, except that the "broad" peak parameter was used, with a cutoff for the broad region of 0.1. H3K27me3 ChIP-seq data are
from Augello, M. A et al. (Augello, Liu et al. 2019): GEO accession GSE117430, and Xu K et al. (Xu, Wu et al. 2012): GEO accession GSM969571.

### 4.2.3. Integrating RNA-seq and H3K27me3 ChIP-Seq data

Integration of H3K27me3 ChIP-seq data with transcriptomic analyses was carried out using the CisGenome software system (Ji, Jiang et al. 2008). The CisGenome software and hg19 genome build were used to annotate H3K27me3 peaks to proximal (+/- 10kb from TSS) genes. Genes marked with H3K27me3 were compared with the list of log normalized counts of genes, generated from the RNA-seq experiment described in Chapter 3.

### 4.2.4. GO analysis

The PANTHER online platform version 16.0 (Released 2020-12-01) (http://geneontology.org/) was used for gene ontology (GO) analysis (Mi, Muruganujan et al. 2019).

### 4.2.5. Tag density analysis using HOMER software.

BAM files from the ChIP-seq experiment were converted to bed files using bedtools (version 2.18 (Quinlan and Hall 2010)); "bamToBed." Bed files were converted to tag directories using HOMER (version 4.11 (Heinz, Benner et al. 2010)); "makeTagDirectory.pl." Tag density plots were generated using HOMER "annotatePeaks.pl." (-size 5000 - hist 20).

### 4.2.6. DiffReps

DiffReps (version 1.55.4) (Shen, Shao et al. 2013) was run according to the developer's protocol using bed files from the androgen-treated samples as the --treatment group and bed files from the vehicle-treated samples as the --control group. The G-test method was used for differential analysis (--meth gt).

### 4.2.7. H3K27me3 deposition on repetitive elements

To measure H 3 K 27 me 3 at repetitive elements, genomic coordinates of these elements were directly downloaded from The UCSC Table Browser (Karolchik, Hinrichs et al. 2004). More specifically, after specifying the February 2009 human reference sequence (GRCh37) as a reference genome, RepeatMasker was applied in the annotation track to filter the coordinates of different families (repFamily) of repetitive elements. HOMER (Heinz, Benner et al. 2010) was used to generate histograms of tag density at repetitive elements.

### 4.2.8. Visualisation of H3K27me3 deposition

The density of H3K27me3 deposition at previously reported coordinates associated with H3K27me3 modification was visualised using deepTools2 (Ramírez, Ryan et al. 2016). Reported gene sets in LNCaP was from Hawkins RD et al. (Hawkins, Hon et al. 2010) and Xu K. et al (Xu, Wu et al. 2012). ComputeMatrix was used to prepare an intermediate Matrix file containing the scores for the signal distribution associated with the centre of genomic regions (reference point). The generated Matrix file was used with plotProfile to plot the signal distributions across genomic regions.

### 4.2.9. Venn diagram generation for comparing the intervals of two datasets

To compare the overlapping of H3K27me3 ChIP-seq peaks, The Galaxy/Cistrome Venn diagram (version 1.0.0) tool (Liu, Ortiz et al. 2011) was used to compare the overlapping of peaks.

### 4.2.10. Upset plots

UpSet diagram tool (Galaxy Version 0.6.4) (Conway, Lex et al. 2017) was used to illustrate the unique intersection of genomic regions between different treatment groups as an upset plot based on the order of intersections frequency.

### 4.2.11. Pairwise intervention analysis

Pairwise intersection tool (Galaxy Version 0.6.4) was used to compute and visualize intersections of multiple sets of genomic regions (Khan and Mathelier 2017). Calculation of overlapping fraction was applied as the metric for the generated heatmap containing the overlapping fraction number. Coordinates associated with H3K27me3 peaks were generated by DiffReps and TSS-associated coordinates were downloaded from UCSC table browser (Karolchik, Hinrichs et al. 2004) as follows: "February 2009 human reference sequence (GRCh37)" was specified as a reference genome, "Regulation" was applied in the group, "SwitchGear TSS" was applied in track, and BED file was generated after adding 100, 1000, and 10,000bp to the upstream. CpG islands coordinates were similarly downloaded from the UCSC Table Browser (26).

### 4.2.12. Number and location of H3K27me3 deposition

CisGenome software system (Ji, Jiang et al. 2008) was used to assess the genome-wide location of H3K27me3 peaks after specifying the hg19 as a reference genome build.

### 4.3. Results

### 4.3.1. Potent activation of AR repressed EZH2 expression in prostate cancer cells

To assess the hypothesis that antiproliferative effects of high-dose androgens could be mediated by altered EZH2 expression and/or activity, we first checked the expression status of PRC2 subunits including EZH2/1, SUZ12, EED, and RBBP4/7 in our RNA-seq data (described in Chapter 3). The analysis of differentially expressed genes in LNCaP cells shows that EZH2, EED, SUZ12, and RBBP4/7 were all significantly repressed by both androgens, whereas EZH1 was not changed significantly (Figure 4.1 A to F ). This suggests that androgens modulate the function of the PRC2 complex. EZH2 downregulation in RNA-seq analysis was confirmed using qRT-PCR (Figure 4.2A). Consistent with PRC2 downregulation, GSEA analysis shows that expression of EZH2 target genes (Liao, Chen et al. 2020) was downregulated by MeT (1 nM) and DHT (1 nM) (Figure 4.2B). Also, RNA-seq results showed that the expression of genes associated with growth inhibitory effects of EZH2 inhibitor was repressed by MeT (Figure 4.2C), indicating that MeT caused the repression of genes activated by EZH2.

Mechanistically, overexpression of EZH2 is reported to be caused by the transcription factors E2F1 or SOX4 (Bracken, Pasini et al. 2003, Tiwari, Tiwari et al. 2013, Mu, Starmer et al. 2018). To test whether these known associations could explain EZH2 downregulation by high-dose
androgens in our experiments, we examined our RNA-seq data. This revealed that MeT 1 nM and DHT 1nM significantly repressed the expression E2F1; however, significant repression of SOX4 was only mediated after MeT 1 nM treatment (Figure 4.2D). These observations suggest that E2F1 repression may explain androgen-mediated down-regulation of EZH2.


Figure 4.1. Androgens repress the expression of the PRC2 complex in LNCaP cells. A. The expression level of PRC2 complex subunits was assessed based on normalised read counts generated from the RNA-seq experiment described in Chapter 3; in this experiment, the expression of $E Z H 2$ (A), SUZ12 (B), EED (C), RBBP4 (D), RBBP7 (E), and EZH1 (F) were examined 24 hours after treatment. ANOVA with Dunnett multiple comparison test was used to determine significant changes in expression ( $* \mathrm{p}<0.05$; $* * \mathrm{p}<0.01 ; * * * \mathrm{p}<0.001 ; * * * * \mathrm{p}<0.0001$ ).

To validate the repressive effects of MeT on the expression of $E Z H 2$ in other prostate cancer models, a panel of prostate cancer cell lines (LNCaP, VCaP, C42B, MR49F, and V16D) were treated with different doses of MeT and DHT and expression of EZH2 was examined at the protein level. We found that potent activation of AR using MeT (1 and 100 nM ) or high-dose DHT (100 nM) caused down-regulation of EZH2 in the LNCaP, VCaP and V16D models, but not C4-2B or MR49F (Figure 4.3), revealing that androgen-mediated repression of EZH2 is contextdependent.
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Figure 4.2. Hyper-activation of AR leads to the suppression of EZH2 in LNCaP cells. A. A. Validation of EZH2 suppression using qRT-PCR in LNCaP cells treated with MeT or DHT. RNA was extracted 24 hours after treatment. $p$ values were determined using ANOVA with Dunnett multiple comparison tests ( $* \mathrm{p}<0.05 ; * * \mathrm{p}<0.01 ; * * * \mathrm{p}<0.001 ; * * * * \mathrm{p}<0.0001$ ); B. MeT and DHT treatments caused significant repression of EZH2 target genes in LNCaP cells as determined by GSEA analysis, using a published EZH2-regulated gene set (Kamminga, Bystrykh et al. 2006). C. MeT repressed the expression of genes crucial for growth inhibitory effects of EZH2 inhibitor. Heatmap generated based on normalised read counts from RNA-seq experiments described in Chapter 3 ( 24 h time-point). Gene set has been reported previously by Liao, Yiji, et al. (Liao, Chen et al. 2020); D. The expression level of SOX2 and E2F1 were assessed based on normalised read counts generated from RNA-seq experiment described in Chapter 3; in this experiment, gene expression was examined 24 hours after treatment. ANOVA with Dunnett multiple comparison test was used to determine significant changes in expression ( $* \mathrm{p}<0.05$; $* * \mathrm{p}<0.01 ; * * * \mathrm{p}<0.001 ; * * * * \mathrm{p}<0.0001$ ).


Figure 4.3. Potent activation of AR represses the expression of $E Z H 2$ in prostate cancer cell lines. A. Assessment of EZH2 expression was carried out using Western blotting in a panel of prostate cancer models including LNCaP, VCaP, C42B, MR49F, and V16D, which were treated with different doses of MeT or DHT doses; each sample was pooled from two replicates. B. Quantification of detected bands was carried out using the ImageLab software. Signal intensity in the Vehicle sample at 24 h time-point was set to 1 .

### 4.3.2. Androgen treatment alters H3K27me3 distribution in LNCaP cells

Current evidence suggests that the oncogenic activity of EZH2, either through canonical and non-canonical functions, can lead to prostate cancer progression (Kim, Lee et al. 2018, Liao, Chen et al. 2020). To identify the consequence of EZH2 repression by androgens, we first assessed H3K27me3 protein levels - as a read-out for the canonical activity of EZH2-by Western blotting in LNCaP cells. Consistent with decreased EZH2, androgens reduced the global level of H3K27me3 at 24 and 72 hours post-treatment (Figure 4.4 A and B ). These findings suggest that the canonical activity of EZH2 is affected by high-dose androgens in LNCaP cells. Subsequently, to evaluate H3K27me3 status at specific loci, we undertook ChIPseq as a means to examine the genome-wide deposition of this histone mark. The LNCaP cell line, which was the most sensitive model to $\mathrm{MeT} /$ high-dose DHT in terms of growth-inhibitory effects, repression of EZH2, and viral mimicry response (Chapter 3), was chosen for this experiment. A 72-hour treatment of LNCaP cells cultured in 10\% FBS supplemented RPMI1640 was chosen for the ChIP-seq experiment since MeT-induced loss of H3K27me3 (Figure 4.4) and viral mimicry response (Chapter 3) was detected at this time-point. Following the preparation of nuclear lysate for the ChIP experiment, we checked the status of EZH2 expression and H3K27me3 in the nuclear lysates that were to be used for ChIP, which confirmed repression of $E Z H 2$ and a decrease in H 3 K 27 me 3 level by MeT and high-dose DHT (100 nM) (Figure 4.4C). We subsequently performed H3K27me3 immunoprecipitation and sequenced the co-precipitating DNA.


Figure 4.4. Androgen treatments alter the global level of H3K27me3 in LNCaP cells. A. Total nucleoplasmic level of H3K27me3 histone modification and histone H3 24 hours and 72 hours after treatment with MeT or DHT; each sample was pooled from two replicates. B. Quantification of detected bands detected in the assessment of global H3K27me3 level using the western blotting. Quantification was carried out using ImageLab software. Quantification of detected bands was carried out using the ImageLab software. Signal intensity in the Vehicle sample at 24h time-point was set to 1. C. Western blotting-based assessment of EZH2 expression and H3K27me3 histone modification status using the nuclear lysates that were to be used for ChIP experiment 72h after androgen treatments.

In vehicle-treated LNCaP cells, 40,367 consensus H3K27me3 peaks were identified. Surprisingly given the loss of bulk H3K27me3, treatment with DHT 1 nM led to an increase in the number of peaks to 46,288 . To confirm whether our data was consistent with other reported studies, we first compared the number and locations of H3K27me3 peaks in vehicle and DHT 1 nM treatment groups with two publicly available H3K27me3 ChIP-seq data, GSM969571 and GSE117430. The GSM969571 dataset was generated from LNCaP cells treated with DHT 10 nM (for 24h) in Charcoal DCC-FBS-supplemented media and GSE117430 data was generated from LNCaP cells treated with DHT 10 nM (for 3 h ) in FBS-supplemented media (Table 4.1). Overall, our H3K27me3 cistrome was more similar to that from GSE117430 in terms of the number of peaks (Table 4.1) but overlapped more strongly with GSM969571 (Table 4.1; Figure 4.5). Notably, the overlap between GSM969571 and GSE117430 was minimal (Table 4.1; Figure 4.5). Collectively, these studies suggest that our H3K27me3 data is robust and can be used to evaluate the effects of high dose androgen therapy on this histone mark.

Table 4.1. Comparison of H3K27me3 distribution in LNCaP cells

| H3K27me3 peaks | Vehicle | DHT 1 nM | GSE117430 <br> (Augello, Liu et al. 2019) | GSM969571 <br> (Xu, Wu et al. 2012) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of identified peaks | 40,367 | 46,288 | 51,556 | 16,459 |
| Media | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10\% } \\ \text { FBS/RPMI } \end{gathered}$ | 10\% FBS/RPMI | 5\% FBS/RPMI | 10\% DCC-FBS/RPMI |
| Treatment | - | DHT 1 nM (72h) | DHT 1 nM (3h) | DHT 1 nM (24h) |
| Overlapped peaks with Vehicle | - | $\begin{gathered} 31,233 \\ (68.66 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8,873 \\ (19.55 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5,586 \\ (45.88 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Overlapped peaks with DHT 1nM | $\begin{gathered} 31,233 \\ (81.12 \%) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 10,338 \\ (23.76 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 6,043 } \\ (51.77 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Intergenic (\%) | 61.58 | 61.88 | 65.93 | 57.58 |
| Intragenic (\%) | 38.41 | 38.11 | 34.06 | 42.41 |
| Exon (\%) | 6.43 | 5.83 | 2.78 | 7.49 |
| Intron (\%) | 32.60 | 32.82 | 31.47 | 35.65 |
| CDS (\%) | 4.17 | 3.76 | 1.92 | 5.31 |
| UTR (\%) | 2.34 | 2.13 | 0.89 | 2.30 |
| 5'UTR (\%) | 1.49 | 1.29 | 0.26 | 1.40 |
| 3'UTR (\%) | 0.86 | 0.84 | 0.63 | 0.89 |



Figure 4.5. Cell growth condition can change the deposition pattern and distribution of H3k27me3 histone modification. Venn diagrams illustrating the overlap of consensus H3K27me3 peaks with other LNCaP H3M27me3 ChIP-seq datasets ((Augello, Liu et al. 2019), GEO accession GSE117430; (Xu, Wu et al. 2012), GEO accession GSM969571). Peaks from GSM969571 were lifted over to the hg19 reference genome.

Having used published data to provide confidence in our H3K27me3 ChIP-seq experiment, we next evaluated the effect of DHT and MeT treatments on this histone mark in LNCaP cells. Androgen treatment did not dramatically alter the level of chromatin-bound H3K27me3, as determined by a relatively consistent number of H3K27me3 peaks detected in each of the different treatment groups (Table 4.2). Figure 4.6 shows the deposition status of H3K27me3 on FOXC1 and LHX6 genes, two genes reported being regulated by EZH2 and H3K27me3 (Boshans, Factor et al. 2019, Zheng, Li et al. 2020). Evaluation of the H3K27me3 signal proximal to genes reported to be marker by this histone mark in LNCaP cells (Hawkins, Hon et al. 2010) and hESCs (Xu, Wu et al. 2012) (Figure 4.7) provided further evidence that androgen treatment had minimal effect on this histone mark. Although H3K27me3 did not dramatically change with any of the treatments, we did observe a slight decrease in terms of peak number and signal with the higher dose treatments (Table 4.2 and Table 4.3). In all treatment groups, the vast majority of peaks were in intergenic regions and introns, with only on average $\sim 37 \%$ found at intragenic regions (Table 4.2). Overall, these findings suggest that H3K27me3 is not significantly altered, either at a qualitative or quantitative level, by MeT/DHT, which was unexpected due to significant repression of EZH2 at RNA and protein level and bulk levels of H3K27me3 measured by Western blotting.

Table 4.2. Number and location of H3K27me3 deposition 72 hours after treatment with MeT and DHT

| Consensus H3K27me3 peaks* | Vehicle | MeT $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{n M}$ | MeT 100 nM | DHT $\mathbf{1 n M}$ | DHT $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{n M}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Peak number (FDR < 0.05) | 40367 | 43389 | 37351 | 46288 | 40138 |
| Intergenic (\%) | 61.5825 | 61.7161 | 62.1001 | 61.8821 | 63.2493 |
| Intragenic (\%) | 38.4175 | 38.2839 | 37.8999 | 38.1179 | 36.7507 |
| Exon (\%) | 6.4384 | 6.5961 | 6.3666 | 5.8309 | 4.2304 |
| Intron (\%) | 32.6058 | 32.3146 | 32.0928 | 32.8228 | 32.9513 |
| CDS (\%) | 4.1717 | 4.2522 | 4.1123 | 3.7634 | 2.4864 |
| UTR (\%) | 2.341 | 2.4223 | 2.3293 | 2.1345 | 1.7863 |
| 5'UTR (\%) | 1.4913 | 1.5672 | 1.5662 | 1.2984 | 1.293 |
| 3'UTR (\%) | 0.8646 | 0.8666 | 0.7711 | 0.8447 | 0.5008 |

* Peak locations were assessed using the CisGenome software.



## H3K27me3 peaks deposited at LHX6 gene



Figure 4.6. Androgen treatments did not attenuate the level of chromatin-bound H3K27me3 deposition at key EZH2 target genes. Genome browser images showing H3k27me3 ChIP-seq signals at binding sites associated with FOXC1 and LHX6 in two replicates of LNCaP cells treated with Vehicle, MeT (1 and 100nM) and DHT (1 and 100nM).
A. H3K27me3 status at a reported gene set associated with H3K27me3 in LNCaP cells

B. H3K27me3 status at a reported gene set associated with H3K27me3 in hESCs cells


Figure 4.7. Androgen treatments did not change the genome-wide binding profile of H3K27me3. Heatmaps show the enrichment of H3K27me3 around the TSS (+/-6kb) of previously reported gene sets associated with H3K27me3 in LNCaP (Hawkins, Hon et al. 2010) and hESCs cells (Xu, Wu et al. 2012).

Table 4.3. Overlapped H3K27me3 peaks after androgen treatments

| Consensus H3K27me3 peaks | Vehicle | MeT 1 nM | MeT 100 nM | DHT 1 nM | DHT 100 nM |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shared with Vehicle (\%) | 100 | 71.43 | 75.06 | 68.66 | 57.27 |
| Shared with MeT 1 nM (\%) | 75.83 | 100 | 78.57 | 66.22 | 57.36 |
| Shared with MeT 100 nM (\%) | 67.07 | 66.12 | 100 | 58.52 | 56.85 |
| Shared with DHT 1 nM (\%) | 81.12 | 73.16 | 76.80 | 100 | 61.73 |
| Shared with DHT 100 nM (\%) | 54.66 | 51.50 | 59.97 | 50.56 | 100 |

### 4.3.3. Functional analysis of genes associated with H3K27me3 histone mark

Although our ChIP-seq data did not identify any major quantitative change to genome-wide H3K27me3 in response to MeT or DHT, we did observe a certain level of re-distribution with androgen treatments (Figure 4.8). To measure loss and gain of H3K27me3 more quantitatively, we used the Diffreps (Shen, Shao et al. 2013), which identified 1,502, 2,031, 1,446, and 7,681 regions with differential levels of H3K27me3 after treatment with MeT 1 nM, MeT 100 nM , DHT 1 nM , and DHT 100 nM , respectively. Analysis of intersections between gained or lost H3K27me3 sites revealed that the vast majority were treatment-specific (Figure 4.9). We annotated the lost/gained sites to genomic regions known to be associated with H3K27me3: CpG islands, transcriptional start sites (TSSs), and promoters (100 to 10,000bp from TSS) (Deblois, Tonekaboni et al. 2020). This analysis reinforced that most H3K27me3 sites were distal from genes and only a small proportion was in CpG islands (Figure 4.10). More importantly, this analysis revealed that in cells treated with a low dose ( 1 nM ) of MeT or DHT, there were more gained H3K27me3 peaks relative to lost peaks at intergenic DNA regions, suggesting that low-dose androgens caused an enrichment of H3K27me3 peaks at regulatory regions of DNA. Inversely, treatment with a high-dose of androgens caused more lost H3K27me3 peaks relative to gained peaks at the same regions. Collectively, these findings suggest that androgens exerts a dose-dependent effect on re-distribution of H3K7me3 at intergenic regions.

We then annotated the differentially marked regions with proximal genes and determined whether specific gene ontology (GO) groups were particularly associated with re-distributed

H3K27me3 (Mi, Muruganujan et al. 2019). This functional analysis strategy showed significant enrichment of genes involved in development (the nervous system, differentiation, and embryogenesis) having "re-distributed" H3K27me3 (Figure 4.11), a finding consistent with other studies suggesting the role of H3K27me3 in the development of the nervous system and anatomical structures (Hawkins, Hon et al. 2010, Zeng, Zhang et al. 2019).
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Figure 4.8. Androgen treatments changed the genome-wide distribution of H3k27me3 histone modification. UpSet plots illustrated the overlap of consensus H3K27me3 peaks in LNCaP cells treated in vitro with Vehicle, MeT (1 and 100 nM ) or DHT (1 and 100 nM ).
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Figure 4.9. The difference in type and doses of androgens causes unique differentially modified DNA regions by H3K27me3. The overlapping of differentially gained or lost H3K27me3 by MeT and DHT was illustrated using the UpSet plots.

## Fraction of shared regions

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CpG islands | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.72 | 0.58 | 0.53 |  |
| DHT 100 nM (Gained) |  | 1 |  | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2 | 0.05 | . 03 |  |
| DHT 100 nM (Lost) | 0.28 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 0.06 |  |  | 0.45 | 0.22 | 0.17 | 0.8 |
| DHT 1 nM (Gained) |  | 0.23 |  | 1 |  |  | 0.02 |  | 0.02 | 0.27 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.7 |
| DHT 1 nM (Lost) |  |  | 0.08 |  | 1 | 0.12 | 0.03 |  | 0.08 | 0.19 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.6 |
| MeT 100 nM (Gained) |  |  |  |  | 0.07 | 1 |  |  | 0.02 | 0.19 | 0.06 | 0.04 |  |
| MeT 100 nM (Lost) | 0.14 |  | 0.31 |  | 0.02 |  | 1 |  | 0.16 | 0.4 | 0.16 | 0.11 |  |
| MeT1 nM (Gained) | 0.34 |  |  |  |  | 0.05 |  | 1 |  | 0.43 | 0.24 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| MeT 1 nM (Lost) |  |  | 0.12 | 0.02 | 0.06 |  | 0.19 |  | 1 | 0.27 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.3 |
| TSS + 10000bp | 0.39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.2 |
| TSS + 1000bp | 0.24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.1 |
| TSS + 100bp | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |

Figure 4.10. Treatment with high-dose androgens causes an increase in differentially lost peaks at intergenic regions. A pairwise plot was used to compare the overlapping of differentially gained or lost H3K27me3 peaks with each other and also with some regulatory regions including TSS, upstream of TSS, and CpG islands. The fraction of regions in the X -axis which is shared with regions in the Y -axis was shown as a fraction of 1, which is equal to $100 \%$ overlapping.
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Figure 4.11. Gene ontology enrichment analysis showed the significant enrichment of developmental pathways for regions marked by H3K27me3. The heatmap shows significantly overrepresented biological processes in different treatment groups, which was analysed using online PANTHER overrepresentation platform (Mi, Muruganujan et al. 2019). Enrichment test was performed using Fisher's exact test; FDR p-values were calculated as -log10 FDR.

### 4.3.4. No evidence for altered H3K27me3 at endogenous retrovirus elements

As shown in Chapter 3, MeT and high-dose androgens caused hypo-methylation of DNA and dysregulation of repetitive elements, resulting in activation of a viral mimicry response in prostate cancer cells. The repressive histone mark H3K27me3 has also been linked to repression of $E R V$ transcription (Walter, Teissandier et al. 2016). Therefore, we examined H3K27me3 at different repetitive elements. Surprisingly, the analysis of the different class of repetitive elements including DNA class, Long interspersed nuclear elements (LINEs), long terminal repeat (LTR), Short interspersed nuclear elements (SINEs), and SINE-VNTR-Alus (SVAs) revealed two distinct shapes of H3K27me3 signals (Figure 4.12). Interestingly, in DNA, LINE, and LTR classes, the peak densities were very low, exhibiting a peak-valley-peak signal pattern with depletion of H3K27me3 towards the centre of these elements. By contrast, in SVA and SINE classes, which are generally enriched with GC content (Gu, Jin et al. 2016), H3K27me3 formed sharp peaks at the centre. Similar to SINEs and SVAs, H3K27me3 was also enriched in CpG islands with sharp peaks at the centre, suggesting that the distribution pattern of H3K27me3 in LNCaP cells depends on the GC content of the targeted region. Interestingly, in the majority of regions with H3K27me3 peaks, the H3K27me3 signal from the MeT 1 nM treatment group was higher than other treatments, which is consistent with the results of pairwise analysis. Treatment with higher doses of MeT or DHT did not cause any substantial decrease to the level of H3K27me3 deposition at these elements, which is consistent with our earlier analyses but inconsistent with the hypothesis that altered distribution of this histone mark at repetitive elements results in changes to their transcription.
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## H3K27me3 deposition at HERVH-int



Figure 4.12. Deposition status of H3K27me3 at repetitive elements. HOMER was used to visualise the deposition status of H3K27me3 at repetitive elements in LNCaP cells treated with vehicle, MeT (1 nM and 100 nM ) or DHT ( 1 nM and 100 nM ) for 72 h . Average read density plots were generated for H3K27me3 binding to DNA class, LINE class, LTR class, SINE class, CpG islands, SVA family members, Alu family members (AluSq, AluSq, and AluYb8), ERVL, HERVK-int, HERV3-int (ERV3-1), and HERVH-int. The coordinates of repetitive elements were downloaded from the UCSC Table Browser (Karolchik, Hinrichs et al. 2004).

### 4.3.5. Deposition pattern of H3K27me3 was not associated with enhanced expression of MHC-I

 and MHC-IIAs demonstrated in Chapter 3, MeT and high-dose DHT increased the expression of MHC-I proteins. Previous studies demonstrated that the expression of HLA genes in colorectal cancer cells can be induced by decreasing the levels of H3K27me3 by pharmacological inhibition of EZH2 (Burr, Sparbier et al. 2019). Therefore, we speculated that androgen-mediated repression of EZH2 may be a reason for observed up-regulation of MHC-I and MHC-II genes (refer to Chapter 3, Figure 7A). Therefore, we examined the distribution of H3K27me3 at the promoter of gene sets associated with MHC-I and MHC-II (Reactome). However, as shown in Figure 4.13, we did not observe a loss of H3K27me3 signal at the promoters of $M H C-I$ and MHC-II genes in response to androgen treatments. Figure 4.14 shows an example of the deposition pattern of H3K27me3 at the HLA-B gene following androgen treatment.

## H3K27me3 deposition at MHC-I genes



H3K27me3 deposition at MHC-II genes


Figure 4.13. Promoter analysis showed that androgens did not change the deposition pattern of H3K27me3 at the promoter of genes associated with MHC-I and MHC-II. Average read density plots for H3K27me3 to genes associated with MHC-I and MHC-II in LNCaP cells treated with vehicle, MeT (1 nM and 100 nM ) or DHT ( 1 nM and 100 nM ) for 72 h .

## H3K27me3 deposition status on HLA-B gene



Figure 4.14. Androgen treatment did not change the deposition pattern of H3K27me3 at HLA-B in LNCaP cells. Genome browser image showing H3k27me3 ChIP-seq signals at binding sites associated with HLA-B in two replicates of LNCaP cells treated with Vehicle, MeT (1 and 100 nM ) and DHT (1 and $100 \mathrm{nM})$.

### 4.4. Discussion

Epigenetic modifications, including DNA methylation and histone modifications, regulate the accessibility of chromatin for gene expression (Adam and Fuchs 2016). One of the mechanisms underlying chromatin compaction is the deposition of H3K27me3 by the PRC2 complex, which has EZH2 and EZH1 as its catalytic subunits (Rizq, Mimura et al. 2017). Overexpressed in CRPC tumours, EZH2 is considered a bona fide oncogene in PCa and CRPC, with its primary oncogenic function thought to be silencing of tumour suppressor genes (Varambally, Dhanasekaran et al. 2002).

Given the notion that EZH2 inhibition can make prostate cancer cells vulnerable to high-dose androgens (Nyquist, Corella et al. 2019), we were therefore intrigued to understand how EZH2 suppression by androgens affects prostate cancer cell growth. In this study, we have shown that hyper-activation of AR causes down-regulation of EZH2 in different prostate cancer cell lines. Also, we found that down-regulation of $E Z H 2$ was associated with reduced total levels of nucleoplasmic H3K27me3 (as shown by Western blotting of histone acid extracts) but did not have a substantive effect on the level and distribution of chromatin-associated H3K27me3 (as shown by ChIP-seq). This finding indicates that EZH2 repression by high-dose androgens caused a reduced level of H3K27me3 in soluble nucleoplasmic fraction rather than insoluble chromatin compartments. We envision a number of possible explanations for this apparently contradictory finding. Given that methylation of pre-deposition H3 soluble histones has been described for H3K9 histones (Loyola, Bonaldi et al. 2006), one possibility is that in LNCaP cells,
non-DNA bound H3K27 histones may be targeted by EZH2. However, this hypothesis is not consistent with previous findings in mouse embryonic stem cells (Ferrari, Scelfo et al. 2014, Juan, Wang et al. 2016), indicating that H3K27 histones are not methylated before nucleosome assembly. Therefore, in future studies, I propose that high-dose androgens effects on methylation of H3K27 should be examined in cytoplasmic, nucleoplasmic, and chromatin-bound fractions of PCa cells.

Second, the disparity between total H3K27me3 and chromatin-bound H3K27me3 may relate to DNA methylation status. Mechanistically, it has been shown that in the absence of DNA methylation, the canonical activity of EZH2 underlies a compensation mechanism, reallocating H3K27me3 to maintain the repressive chromatin state (18). With this background in mind, we showed in Chapter 3 that androgens affect the global methylation level of DNA, so it is possible that this leads to a re-distribution of H3K27me3 to compensate for DNA hypomethylation. Demonstrating the importance of this compensation mechanism in sustaining the repression of repetitive elements, Deblois G, et al., (Deblois, Tonekaboni et al. 2020) showed that in taxane-resistant triple-negative breast cancer cells, inhibiting H3K27me3 deposition through pharmacologic inhibition of EZH2 can re-activate the expression of hypo-methylated transposon elements through viral mimicry response. Supporting this possibility, we found that H3K27me3 was gained at GC rich regions such as CpG islands and SVA elements, which can be potentially related to their low DNA methylation level. In future studies, the deposition pattern of H3K27me3 should be examined after DNA hypomethylation with DNA demethylating agents in the presence and/or absence of EZH2,
which would determine how the canonical activity of EZH2 changes in hypo-methylated DNA condition. Also, genome-wide DNA methylation should be investigated to more precisely determine the interplay between H3K27me3 deposition pattern and DNA methylation status.

Finally, the simplest explanation for the apparent disparity between H3K27me3 levels in soluble nuclear fractions versus chromatin-bound could relate to experimental conditions i.e. time-point and growth conditions. It is known that the interaction of PRC2 with chromatin and deposition of H3K27me3 is a highly dynamic process that is influenced by growth conditions (Adriaens, Prickaerts et al. 2016). To overcome this issue, in future work I propose to carefully tailor the experimental conditions to decrease variability resulting from the mechanism of action of EZH2/PRC2.

The basis for the work in this chapter was that modulation of EZH2 and H3K27me3 could explain the induction of ERVs. This hypothesis was based on previous studies in other cancer models showing that this histone mark plays a key role in repressing ERV transcription (Deblois, Tonekaboni et al. 2020). Unexpectedly, we found that $E R V$ s in this model were not marked by H3K27me3. This suggests that DNA methylation could be the key mechanism controlling the expression of ERVS, as described in Chapter 3. Other reports are consistent with this hypothesis: for example, low-resolution analysis of chromosome 17 in a mouse model revealed that H 3 K 27 me 3 is depleted at $E R V \mathrm{~s}$, but enriched at broad localized regions termed BLOCs that were found primarily within SINEs (Pauler, Sloane et al. 2009). This study also revealed that repressive H3K27me3 BLOCs were distributed over genes and intergenic
regions and H3K27me3 peaks rarely marked the gene promoters, accounting for only 10\%$15 \%$ of the promoters, which is also consistent with our findings. Another study also demonstrated that PRC2 complex / H3K27me3 is not involved in silencing repetitive elements in spermatocytes (Mu, Starmer et al. 2014) showed. Taken together, our findings do not support H3K27me3 playing a major role in ERV transcription in PCa cells. Notably, other histone modifications, such as H 3 K 9 me 3 and/or H4K2Ome3, have been reported to play a role in the transcription of repetitive elements (Mikkelsen, Ku et al. 2007); I propose that a more comprehensive analysis of the epigenome is required to accurately determine how MeT leads to de-repression of $E R V s$ and other repetitive elements (e.g. LINEs).

It is important to note that both catalytic (canonical) and non-catalytic (non-canonical; i.e. collaboration with $A R$ ) activities of EZH2 have been identified in PCa cells (Kim, Lee et al. 2018). Given that MeT repressed the expression of genes that are activated by EZH2; this supports the hypothesis that high-dose androgens may primarily disrupt the non-canonical activity of EZH2, as opposed to the canonical function. To test this hypothesis, I propose that assessing EZH2 interaction with AR and also its genome-wide DNA binding profile (i.e. using ChIP-seq) could be examined in response to MeT treatment.

Gene ontology analysis suggested a significant overrepresentation of biological processes involved in development, differentiation, and lineage-specification process, which are consistent with the proposed role of H 3 K 27 me 3 in regulating the expression of genes involved in of nervous system and anatomical structures (Hawkins, Hon et al. 2010, Zeng, Zhang et al.
2019). However, in future studies, to examine any association between H3K27me3 redistribution and alteration in the function of a pathway, matched transcriptomic data is needed. Unfortunately, the RNA-seq we carried out (see Chapter 3) was done at a much earlier time-point compared to the H3K27me3 ChIP-seq, which precluded integration of these datasets.

Overall, our study showed that potent activation of AR caused down-regulation of EZH2 and a concomitant decrease in the global H3K27me3 level. However, the lack of substantial impact on the level and distribution of chromatin-associated H3K27me3 means that it is not possible to know whether repression of EZH2 has any role in mediating the growth-suppressive or immunomodulatory effects of MeT. Since EZH2 inhibitors are being developed to treat PCa (Morel, Sheahan et al. 2021), this remains an important question to definitively answer.

## Chapter 5: General Discussion

## 5. General Discussion

Prostate cancer is the second most common cancer worldwide in men and has one of the highest age-standardized incidence and mortality rates of all cancers in Australian men (Organization 2012). Current treatment strategies for patients with localized prostate cancer, including radical prostatectomy and radiotherapy, are curative in a substantial proportion of men; however, approximately $30 \%$ experience recurrence with metastatic disease (Singh, Febbo et al. 2002). As described in chapter 1, ADT is a standard-of-care treatment for metastatic PCa, which aims to inhibit the activity of a major oncogenic driver of this disease, AR. However, ADT is never curative, with all patients eventually acquiring resistance to therapy and relapsing with lethal castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC). Enigmatically, recent studies have suggested that potent activation of AR using high doses of androgens can, similarly to AR suppression, also inhibit the growth of CRPC (Schweizer, Antonarakis et al. 2015). However, the exact tumour suppressive effect(s) of high-dose androgen therapy remain largely uncharacterised. This study aimed to investigate the mechanisms underlying PCa growth suppression in response to hyperactivation of AR by a potent androgen, methyltestosterone (MeT).

### 5.1. MeT potently suppresses the growth of PCa cells

Investigation into the effects of MeT on prostate cancer cell growth revealed that MeT suppressed the growth of AR-positive PCa cell line models more potently than the physiological androgen DHT. Consistent with this finding, transcriptional activation assays showed that MeT is a more potent transcriptional activator of AR, an observation supported
by earlier studies (Sonneveld, Jansen et al. 2005, Wolf, Diel et al. 2011, Wang, Lawless et al. 2020), indicating that MeT shows more AR agonistic effects in comparison with DHT. Given the higher AR binding affinity of DHT relative to MeT (Liao, Liang et al. 1973, Saartok, Dahlberg et al. 1984, Fang, Tong et al. 2003), this was an unexpected result. However, it has been reported that MeT cannot be metabolised by Glucuronyl-transferase enzymes, which are the major mediators of androgen inactivation in prostate cancer cells (Smith, Ballard et al. 1994, Kuuranne, Kurkela et al. 2003, Chouinard, Barbier et al. 2007). Thus, I propose that MeT's greater androgenic potency is largely related to its increased stability in the models used in my project. However, in future studies, I propose that MeT and DHT should be measured in PCa cells to validate this hypothesis. Toward this end, the androgen levels can be measured in cell culture media by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MSMS), which is considered the gold standard method (Matsumoto and Bremner 2004, Harwood and Handelsman 2009).

Using an integrated genomic approach that includes ChIP-seq and RNA-seq, I found that MeT regulates a canonical set of AR-regulated genes and leads to a similar genome-wide AR DNA binding profile, but it does so much more potently than DHT. Molecularly, extreme activation of AR with MeT is linked to the repression of previously reported AR target genes that are associated with cell cycle, DNA replication, and DNA repair (Gao, Gao et al. 2016, Chatterjee, Schweizer et al. 2019, Lam, Nguyen et al. 2020). Supporting the idea that these are direct target genes, I found that MeT-activated AR was strongly recruited to the promoter of these genes. Mechanistically, repression of genes associated with cell cycle and DNA replication is
linked to cell cycle arrest (Engeland 2018), which we confirmed with FACS analysis following treatment of cells with MeT.

Preclinical studies have proposed that AR-mediated repression of genes associated with DNA damage response is linked to the therapeutic effects of high-dose androgens (Chatterjee, Schweizer et al. 2019, Lam, Nguyen et al. 2020). Consistent with this idea, some clinical studies also suggested that patients with deficiency in DNA repair genes may exhibit a better response to high-dose androgen therapies (Teply, Kachhap et al. 2017). Indeed, a prevailing dogma posits that AR-induced DNA damage is the major therapeutic mediator of high-dose androgen treatment and hence that deficiency in the DNA repair system can enhance therapeutic benefit (Chatterjee, Schweizer et al. 2019, Nyquist, Corella et al. 2019). However, we did not observe an increased level of $\mathrm{\gamma H} 2 \mathrm{AX}$ in response to MeT treatment. This observation aligns with other studies indicating that AR activation by androgens cannot induce persistent dsDNA breaks (Lin, Yang et al. 2009, Polkinghorn, Parker et al. 2013, Nyquist, Corella et al. 2017). Moreover, genomic analysis of circulating-tumour DNA isolated from CRPC patients who were treated with high-dose androgen therapy revealed that there was no significant association between baseline AR and DNA repair alterations with PSA response (Chatterjee, Schweizer et al. 2019, Moses, Koksal et al. 2020). Regarding the relevance of ARinduced DNA damage to BAT response in CRPC patients, next-generation sequencing of samples from patients who received BAT revealed an enormous variation among patients with different genomic aberrations (Schweizer, Antonarakis et al. 2019). Overall, the question as to whether AR-induced DNA damage is the key mechanism exerting anti-proliferative
effects in prostate cancer cells remains unanswered. Therefore, in future studies, DNA repair defects should be measured in much larger cohorts of men treated with BAT to provide definitive evidence that such defects could be used to predict response. Also, DNA damage should be examined more directly (eg using a COMET assay) in both cells and patient tumours treated with high-dose androgens.

### 5.2. Hyper-activation of AR triggers viral mimicry response in PCa cells

Prostate cancer is immunologically characterised as a "cold tumour", indicating that tumour cells cannot be effectively killed by immune cells. Mechanistically, the immunological "coldness" of PCa tumours, which mediates resistance to immunotherapies, can be induced by a network of different but intertwined factors including the presence of immunosuppressive cells in the tumour microenvironment, low expression of tumourassociated antigens, and dysfunctional antigen presentation system in cancer cells (Bronte, Kasic et al. 2005). Immunosuppressive cells that are enriched in prostate tumours include cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs), regulatory T cells, tumour-associated macrophages (TAMs), and myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), all of which can induce immune evasion phenotypes by impeding the differentiation, activation, and interaction of cytotoxic T cells against tumour cells (Lu, Rong et al. 2019, Zhao, Lehrer et al. 2019, Li, Jiang et al. 2020). Another important immune evasion mechanisms in prostate cancer cells is loss of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) expression, which can be potentially impaired either by tumour microenvironment or intrinsic factors such as genetic/epigenetic aberrations (Sanda, Restifo et al. 1995, Bander, Yao et al. 1997, Dhatchinamoorthy, Colbert et al. 2021). It has
been reported that in primary prostate cancer tumours, low expression of MHC-I genes was associated with high Gleason score, bone metastasis, and short cancer-specific survival (Ylitalo, Thysell et al. 2017). Expression of MHC-I genes can be induced by different mechanisms including IFN signalling, which can cause a robust immune response by CD8+ T cells (Martini, Testi et al. 2010). However, it has been demonstrated that in metastatic prostate cancer cells tumour-intrinsic type I IFN and associated immune signalling is epigenetically suppressed (Owen, Gearing et al. 2020).

In my PhD studies, RNA-seq data revealed that MeT treatment induced the expression of interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs), suggesting that extreme activation of AR leads to the activation of IFN signalling pathways. Indeed, I found in multiple in vitro prostate cancer models that potent activation of AR induced expression of IFN- $\beta$ and ISGs concomitantly with dysregulation in the expression pattern of repetitive elements. More specifically, my PhD work demonstrated that potent activation of AR triggers the activation of a viral mimicry response in prostate cancer cells, which was characterised by upregulation of ERVs, accumulation of viral dsRNAs in cells, activation of interferon signalling, upregulation of MHC Class I and activation of T cells (Figure 5.1).


Figure 5.1. Schematic depicting the role of AR in immunosensitizing of PCa cells

Mechanistically, the expression of repetitive elements is primarily regulated by epigenetic modifications, and DNA methylation is a key mechanism conferring long-term epigenetic silencing of transposons (Yoder, Walsh et al. 1997, Reik 2007). We were therefore intrigued to discern whether high-dose androgen-mediated ERVs expression is associated with epigenetic changes in prostate cancer cells. In my PhD studies, I showed that treatment with MeT repressed the expression of key enzymes involved in the maintenance (DNMT1) and de novo methylation (DNMT3b) of DNA. Following this finding, we also demonstrated that treatment with androgens leads to DNA hypomethylation. Although to the best of my knowledge this is the first report of androgen-mediated hypomethylation, it should be noted
that a negative correlation between AR activity and DNA methylation status has been reported previously (Dhiman, Attwood et al. 2015). Therefore, we postulate that androgeninduced hypomethylation of DNA is the key mechanism dysregulating the expression of repetitive elements, leading to activation of viral mimicry response in prostate cancer cells. However, with current data, we cannot conclude the exact mechanism of epigenetic alterations by AR. To verify the role of DNMTs in the MeT-mediated viral mimicry response, a powerful experiment would be to treat prostate cancer cells over-expressing DNMTs with MeT and see whether ERV induction and viral mimicry response is lost or weakened. Additionally, examining whether MeT can synergise with DNA de-methylating agents such as Decitabine could provide more evidence of the importance of DNMTs in these processes. Understanding how androgens suppress DNMTs could also shed light on these questions. DNMT1 is an E2F1 target genes and our transcriptomic data showed that E2F1 and its target genes were strongly repressed by $\operatorname{MeT}(33,34)$. Demonstrating loss of E2F1 binding to the DNMT1 gene (e.g. by ChIP) would provide further evidence for its role in MeT-mediated alterations to DNA methylation. Importantly, if this hypothesis could be confirmed, it would suggest that MeT could be applied in combination with CDK4/6 inhibitors, which also inactivate E2F1 and can cause a viral mimicry response (Goel, DeCristo et al. 2017).

As reported in previous studies, detection of viral dsRNA by intracellular dsRNA sensors (TLR3, RIG-I and MDA5) or dsRNA/dsDNA sensor (STING) is the trigger of innate immune response activation (Liu, Ohtani et al. 2016, Goel, DeCristo et al. 2017, Liu, Thomas et al. 2018). In prostate cancer cells, we showed that that potent activation of AR leads to the induction of

RIG-I and STING, which potentially detect the androgen-induced dsRNAs leading to activation of viral mimicry response. However, proving that dsRNA sensing is required for MeT-mediated viral mimicry is a crucial mechanistic experiment that I was unable to complete during my PhD. In the future, I propose to use genetic methods (i.e. siRNA, CRISPR) or pharmacological methods (i.e. STING inhibitor) for inhibition of STING/RIG-I to determine whether these factors are required for induction of innate immune responses by MeT .

Activation of innate interferon signalling in tumours cells can enhance the expression of MHCI expression and cause the infiltration of tumour-specific cytotoxic $\mathrm{CD}^{+} \mathrm{T}$ cells (Corrales, Matson et al. 2017). My PhD studies identified a similar mechanism whereby potent activation of AR in cancer cells caused induction of MHC-I molecules (i.e. HLA-B and HLA-C), led to enhanced tumour cell immunogenicity as demonstrated by enhanced recognition of cancer cells by tumour-specific CD $8+\mathrm{T}$ cells. This is an important finding suggesting potential clinical implications, which was supported by a case report study suggesting that bipolar androgen therapy may have immune activation effects on prostate cancer tumours (Markowski, Shenderov et al. 2020). To confirm that immunomodulatory effects of MeT are mediated by activation of IFN signalling, I propose that future studies should conduct equivalent studies using genetically engineered models that are deficient for IFN signalling (e.g C57BL/6 Ifnar1 \% (Owen, Gearing et al. 2020)). Specifically, loss of immune response in such a model can confirm the role of the proposed mechanism for IFN signalling. More broadly, the tumour microenvironment's role in immune evasion is critical and the lack of in vivo studies in my study was a major limitation. Therefore, to determine whether high-dose
androgen therapy can exert the proposed immunomodulatory effects in the presence of immunosuppressive prostate tumour microenvironment in vivo studies (e.g B6-Hi-MYC model (Morel, Sheahan et al. 2021)) are essential. Finally, given the reported anticancer and immunomodulatory effects of IFN- $\gamma$ on tumour cells and also on tumour microenvironment, it would be worth considering whether a combination treatment comprising MeT and IFN- $\gamma$ may cause an additive benefit in terms of immune surveillance (Selleck, Canfield et al. 2003, Cheon, Borden et al. 2014, Galon and Bruni 2019, Jorgovanovic, Song et al. 2020).

### 5.3 Androgen treatments modulate EZH2 function and re-distribute H3K27me3

In Chapter 4, I reported that potent activation of AR caused repression of the histone methyltransferase EZH2 in prostate cancer cells, suggesting that EZH2 repression by highdose androgens could induce epigenetic alterations beyond DNA hypomethylation. Interestingly, genome-wide studies have shown that there is an anti-correlated relationship between DNA methylation level and H3K27 methylation enrichment (Fu, Bonora et al. 2020). Given that potent activation of AR with androgens induces a global DNA hypomethylation (please see Chapter 3), we were therefore intrigued to examine the consequence of EZH2 repression by androgens on the deposition of H3K27me3. Interestingly, H3K27me3 ChIP-seq showed a minor re-distribution of H3K27me3 by MeT and DHT but not an overall loss of chromatin-bound H3K27me3. Possible explanations for this unexpected finding were described in Section 4.4.

As shown in Chapter 3, androgen-induced DNA hypomethylation causes the activation of the viral mimicry response in prostate cancer cells. More interestingly, we showed that Decitabine-induced dsRNA are less strong than MeT 1nM, suggesting that dsRNA formation by MeT is also amplified by another mechanism. We postulated that the strong induction of dsRNAs by MeT could be potentially related to the modulation of the EZH2-associated compensation mechanism. Analysis of H3K27me3 deposition at different classes of repetitive elements, however, revealed that androgen (MeT or DHT) did not cause a significant difference in H3K27me3 profile. Despite this result, I propose that future work should evaluate how a DNA de-methylating agent (e.g. Decitabine) influences H3K27me3 to determine if a compensation mechanism is active in prostate cancer and influences expression of transposable elements.

### 5.4. Overall conclusion

Collectively, the work described in this thesis sheds new light on the anti-tumour mechanism of action of high dose androgens in prostate cancer cells. Most importantly, the concept for immunosensitisation of prostate tumours using MeT is pioneering and warrants further preclinical and clinical investigation.
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