ACCEPTED VERSION

Ayub Qassim, Emmanuelle Souzeau, Owen M. Siggs, Mark M. Hassall, Xikun Han, Helen L. Griffiths, N. Andrew Frost, Neeru A. Vallabh, James F. Kirwan, Geeta Menon, Angela J. Cree, Anna Galanopoulos, Ashish Agar, Paul R. Healey, Stuart L. Graham, John Landers, Robert J. Casson, Puya Gharahkhani, Colin E. Willoughby, Alex W. Hewitt, Andrew J. Lotery, Stuart MacGregor, Jamie E. Craig

An intraocular pressure polygenic risk score stratifies multiple primary open-angle glaucoma parameters including treatment intensity

Ophthalmology, 2020; 127(7):901-907

© 2020 by the American Academy of Ophthalmology. Published by Elsevier Inc.

This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>

Final publication at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2019.12.025

PERMISSIONS

https://www.elsevier.com/about/policies/sharing

Accepted Manuscript

Authors can share their accepted manuscript:

12 Month Embargo

After the embargo period

- via non-commercial hosting platforms such as their institutional repository
- via commercial sites with which Elsevier has an agreement

In all cases <u>accepted manuscripts</u> should:

- link to the formal publication via its DOI
- bear a CC-BY-NC-ND license this is easy to do
- if aggregated with other manuscripts, for example in a repository or other site, be shared in alignment with our <u>hosting policy</u>
- not be added to or enhanced in any way to appear more like, or to substitute for, the published journal article

22 November 2021

1 An intraocular pressure polygenic risk score stratifies multiple primary open 2 angle glaucoma parameters including treatment intensity

3

4 Ayub Qassim MBBS ⁺¹, Emmanuelle Souzeau PhD,¹ Owen M Siggs MD DPhil,¹ Mark M Hassall MBBS DPhil,¹ Xikun Han MSc,² Helen L Griffiths,³ Andrew J Frost FRCOphth PhD,⁴ Neeru A 5 Vallabh MBBS,⁵ James F Kirwan FRCOphth,⁶ Geeta Menon MBBS FRCOphth,⁷ Angela J 6 Cree MSc,³ Anna Galanopoulos MBBS,⁸ Ashish Agar MBBS PhD,⁹ Paul R Healey MMed 7 8 PhD,¹⁰ Stuart L Graham MBBS PhD,¹¹ John Landers MBBS PhD,¹ Robert J Casson MBBS 9 DPhil,¹² Puya Gharahkhani PhD,² Colin E Willoughby MBChB MD,^{13,14} Alex W Hewitt MBBS 10 PhD,¹⁵ Andrew J Lotery MD FRCOphth^{*},³ Stuart MacGregor PhD^{*},² Jamie E Craig MBBS 11 DPhil*1 12 13 Affiliations: 14 1. Department of Ophthalmology, Flinders University, Flinders Medical Centre, Bedford 15 Park, Australia. 16 2. QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Australia. 17 3. Clinical and Experimental Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, 18 Southampton, SO16 6YD, UK 19 4. Department of Ophthalmology, Torbay Hospital, Torguay, Devon, TQ2 7AA UK 20 5. Department of Eye and Vision Science, Institute of Ageing and Chronic Disease, 21 University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK 22 6. Department of Ophthalmology, Portsmouth Hospitals, Portsmouth, UK 23 7. Department of Ophthalmology, Frimley Park Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Frimley 24 GU16 7UJ, UK 25 8. South Australian Institute of Ophthalmology, Royal Adelaide Hospital, Adelaide, 26 Australia 27 9. Department of Ophthalmology, Prince of Wales Hospital, Randwick, Australia 28 10. Centre for Vision Research, Westmead Institute for Medical Research, University of 29 Sydney, Australia 30 11. Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Macquarie University, Australia 31 12. South Australian Institute of Ophthalmology, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia 32 13. Biomedical Sciences Research Institute, Ulster University, Coleraine, BT52 1SA, 33 Northern Ireland, UK 34 14. Royal Victoria Hospital, Belfast Health and Social Care Trust, BT12 6BA, Belfast,

- 35 Northern Ireland, UK
- 36 15. Menzies Institute for Medical Research, University of Tasmania, Australia.

37

38 * Joint senior authors

39 †Corresponding author

40 Dr Ayub Qassim, Department of Ophthalmology, Flinders University, Bedford Park, Australia.

41 E-mail: ayub.qassim@flinders.edu.au

42 Level 2 Car Park Tenancies, 1 Flinders Drive, Bedford Park, SA, 5042, Australia

43

Financial support: This work was supported by grants from the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) of Australia (#1107098; 1116360, 1116495, 1023911), the Ophthalmic Research Institute of Australia, the BrightFocus Foundation, International Glaucoma Association, UK and Eire Glaucoma Society and the Mason Medical Research Foundation. SM, JEC and AWH are supported by NHMRC Fellowships. The funding organization had no role in the design or conduct of this research.

50

51 **Conflict of Interest:** no conflicting relationship exists for any author.

52

53 **Running head:** POAG phenotype stratified by an IOP polygenic risk score

54

55 Abbreviations/Acronyms:

IOP: intraocular pressure; POAG: primary open angle glaucoma; PRS: polygenic risk score; ANZRAG: Australian and New Zealand Registry of Advanced Glaucoma; SD: standard deviation; MYOC: myocilin; OPTN: optineurin; TBK1: TANK-binding kinase 1; CI: confidence interval; GWAS: genome wide association study; SNP: single nucleotide polymorphism; OR: odds ratio; MD: mean deviation; VCDR: vertical cup-to-disc ratio; PROGRESSA: Progression Risk Of Glaucoma; RElevant SNPs with Significant Association; SLT: selective laser trabeculoplasty; IGGC: International Glaucoma Genetics Consortium.

64 Abstract

65 **Objective:** To examine the combined effects of common genetic variants associated with

66 intraocular pressure (IOP) on primary open angle glaucoma (POAG) phenotype using a

67 polygenic risk score (PRS) stratification.

68 **Design**: Cross-sectional study.

69 **Participants:** For the primary analysis, we examined the glaucoma phenotype of 2,154

70 POAG patients enrolled in the Australian and New Zealand Registry of Advanced Glaucoma

71 (ANZRAG) including cases recruited from the UK. For replication, we examined an

72 independent cohort of 624 early POAG patients.

73 Methods: Using IOP genome-wide association study summary statistics, we developed a

74 PRS derived solely from IOP associated variants and stratified POAG patients into three risk

tiers. The lowest and highest quintiles of the score were set as the low and high risk groups

respectively and the other quintiles as the intermediate risk group.

77 Main Outcome Measures: Clinical glaucoma phenotype including maximum recorded IOP,

age of diagnosis, number of family members affected by glaucoma, cup-to-disc ratio, visual

field mean deviation, and treatment intensity.

80 **<u>Results</u>**: There was a dose-response relationship between the IOP PRS and the maximum

recorded IOP, with the high genetic risk group having a higher maximum IOP by 1.7 (SD

82 0.62) mmHg than the low genetic risk group (P = 0.006). Compared to the low genetic risk

83 group, the high genetic risk group had a younger age of diagnosis by 3.7 (1.0) years (P <

84 0.001), more family members affected by 0.46 (0.11) members (P < 0.001), and higher rates of

85 incisional surgery (odds ratio 1.5; 95% confidence interval 1.1 - 2.0; P = 0.007). There was no

86 statistically significant difference in mean deviation. We further replicated the maximum IOP,

- 87 number of family members affected by glaucoma and treatment intensity (number of
- 88 medications) results in the early POAG cohort ($P \le 0.01$).
- 89 **Conclusions**: The IOP polygenic risk score was positively correlated with maximum IOP,
- 90 disease severity, need for surgery and number of family members. Genes acting via IOP
- 91 mediated pathways, when considered in aggregate have clinically important and
- 92 reproducible implications for glaucoma patients and their close family members.

94 Glaucoma refers to a group of progressive optic neuropathies with a characteristic pattern of 95 retinal ganglion cell death and visual field loss.¹ Intraocular pressure (IOP) is currently the only proven modifiable risk factor for primary open angle glaucoma (POAG), in which the 96 iridocorneal angle is open and there is no secondary cause of IOP elevation.² Despite this, 97 98 elevated IOP is not essential for the diagnosis of POAG, nor is it effective for screening for alaucoma.^{1,3} The current methods of IOP assessment are limited to the time of measurement 99 100 and are a poor measure of an individual's IOP profile, maximum and fluctuations. Additional 101 IOP measurements are more informative for glaucoma management as both diurnal and longterm IOP fluctuations have been reportedly associated with glaucoma progression.^{4,5} 102

103

104 Glaucoma is highly heritable and several genes with a Mendelian pattern of inheritance have 105 been associated with POAG.⁶ Monogenic variants causing glaucoma are relatively rare but carry a high risk of developing the disease. Family-based genetic linkage analysis has 106 107 identified three genes associated with Mendelian glaucoma; myocilin (MYOC), optineurin (OPTN) and TANK-binding kinase 1 (TBK1) genes.⁷⁻¹⁰ Pathogenic variants in the MYOC gene 108 109 account for 2-4% of adult-onset POAG.¹⁰ The most common pathogenic variant in the MYOC 110 gene in individuals of European ancestry (p.Gln368Ter) has a minor allele frequency of 0.13%, 111 yet carries a significant risk of glaucoma with high IOP in those who carry it (in a population 112 based setting odds ratio [OR] = 6.76 with 95% confidence interval [CI] of 4.05-11.29).¹¹ In 113 family-based studies, the penetrance of p.Gln368Ter to manifest POAG is reported at 114 approximately 80% by the seventh decade of life.¹¹

115

116 IOP in the normal population is a polygenic trait, with recent large genome-wide association 117 studies (GWAS) discovering more than one hundred common loci associated with IOP, 118 accounting for 40% of the heritability.^{12–14} Khawaja *et al.* reported that these single nucleotide 119 polymorphisms (SNPs) explained 17% of IOP variance in an independent clinical study, and 120 9% in the UK biobank study which likely reflects the difference in IOP measurement methods.¹⁴ 121 In contrast to the aforementioned monogenic variants, each SNP contributes a very small effect size. For instance, variants in or near the genes *TMCO1* and *CAV2*, two of the most strongly associated loci with IOP and glaucoma, are present in 10-15% of the population but account for a modest risk of glaucoma individually (OR 1.1 - 1.4).¹²⁻¹⁴ However, the combined effects of these common SNPs significantly affect the observed clinical phenotype.¹²

126

127 To understand the impact of these common variants, we consider the total number of variants 128 an individual is carrying multiplied by their effect size, to generate a weighted polygenic risk score (PRS).¹⁵ A genetic risk stratification may then be done by calculating an aggregate score 129 130 of all the SNPs an individual has associated with a trait. For instance, a person with the 131 majority of the discovered IOP variants (a high IOP PRS) is hypothesised to have a higher 132 IOP than someone who has only a few. The PRS model of risk prediction has been used to 133 stratify individualised disease risk in several medical conditions such as coronary artery disease, atrial fibrillation and breast cancer.^{16–18} Recently, a PRS derived from the known IOP 134 variants has been reported to account for a higher risk of developing glaucoma;¹² however, 135 136 the influence of the IOP PRS on a wider range of glaucoma-related phenotypes has not been 137 described. In this study, we aimed to characterise the clinical features of glaucoma patients 138 with a high burden of IOP associated variants in a large national Australian glaucoma registry 139 along with ethnically similar cases from the UK.

140 Methods

141 Study participants

The study adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and followed the National Health
and Medical Research Council statement of ethical conduct in research involving humans.
Informed consent was obtained from all participants, and the study was approved by the
Southern Adelaide Clinical Human Research Ethics Committee.

147 The study participants were enrolled in the Australian and New Zealand Registry of Advanced 148 Glaucoma (ANZRAG).¹⁹ The study includes both advanced and non-advanced glaucoma 149 cases. Advanced glaucoma was defined by a Humphrey 24-2 visual field mean deviation (MD) 150 < -15 dB in the worse eye, or loss of at least two of the central visual field points on the pattern 151 deviation map.¹⁹ Non-advanced glaucoma was defined by optic nerve head changes with 152 corresponding visual field defects consistent with glaucoma, but not fitting the aforementioned 153 criteria. The study sample included additional ethnically matched advanced glaucoma cases 154 recruited from the UK.²⁰ Only patients of European ancestry with POAG were included to utilise 155 the currently published IOP SNPs. Patients with variants in the known POAG genes (MYOC. OPTN and TBK1) were excluded. The highest IOP measurement recorded with Goldmann 156 157 applanation tonometry by an experienced clinician before treatment of either eye for each 158 participant was recorded. High tension glaucoma was defined as a maximum recorded IOP > 159 21 mmHg. Other data recorded included age at diagnosis, vertical cup-to-disc ratio (VCDR), 160 and glaucoma surgery. Family history was self-reported and recorded for affected relatives up 161 to the fourth degree.

162

163 An independent cohort of early glaucoma patients enrolled in the Progression Risk Of 164 Glaucoma; RElevant SNPs with Significant Association (PROGRESSA) study were then used 165 for replication. Only participants with established perimetric glaucoma, defined by two 166 consecutive reliable visual field examinations with Glaucoma Hemifield Test "Outside Normal 167 Limits", pattern standard deviation <5%, or a cluster of 3 contiguous points depressed <5% in 168 the pattern standard deviation map, at least one of which is <1%, were included. Data 169 recorded included self-reported family history of glaucoma, maximum IOP recorded, VCDR, 170 number of topical glaucoma medications and previous selective laser trabeculoplasty (SLT). 171

172 Polygenic risk score

The IOP derived PRS was comprised of 146 statistically independent genome-wide-significant 173 SNPs (P value threshold at 5×10^{-8} and LD-clumping at r2 = 0.1) as reported previously 174 (Supplementary Table 1).¹² Briefly, SNPs influencing IOP were discovered by a GWAS of 175 176 cornea-compensated IOP measured by Ocular Response Analyzer in participants of the UK Biobank study (N = 103,914).^{12,21} This was meta-analysed with GWAS results from the 177 International Glaucoma Genetics Consortium (IGGC, N = 29,578) using the inverse variance 178 weighted method (METAL software).²² A weighted PRS was then derived for each individual 179 in the ANZRAG study cohort using PLINK (version 1.90 beta).²³ taking into account the effect 180 181 size of each SNP using the UK Biobank GWAS summary statistics. None of the study 182 participants in ANZRAG or PROGRESSA were part of the discovery cohort. A percentile score 183 was then derived within the ANZRAG and the PROGRESSA cohorts. We classified patients 184 into three risk groups; the top 20% of the genetic risk score were classified as the high risk 185 group; the middle 60% as the intermediate risk group; and the bottom 20% as the low risk 186 group. Genotyping was done in several phases on either Illumina Omni1M, OmniExpress or HumanCoreExome arrays (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) as described previously.¹² 187

188 Statistical analysis

The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to assess for normality. Analysis of variance of continuous variables by PRS groups was done using Kruskal–Wallis test. Count and categorical variables were compared using Pearson's chi-squared test. For two-group comparisons, the Mann-Whitney U test was used. Logistic regression models were fitted for binary outcomes and negative binomial regression was used for count data (number of family members affected). All analysis was done using R (version 3.5.1, RCore Team, Austria).²⁴ The significance level (alpha) was set at 0.05.

196 **Results**

A total of 2,154 eligible POAG patients from ANZRAG with mean age at recruitment of 77.4 (SD 13.2) years were included. The majority of the study cohort (N = 1,664; 77%) had advanced glaucoma as defined above. This included 381 cases recruited from the UK (N = 200 from Southampton and N = 91 Liverpool) who were ethnically matched to the rest of the cohort. A summary of the glaucoma phenotype across the three genetic risk groups is summarised in Table 1.

203

204 The high IOP genetic risk group had a significantly higher maximum IOP by 1.3 mmHg (95%CI: 205 0.32 - 2.7 mmHg: P = 5.5x10⁻³) compared to the intermediate and low genetic risk groups. The 206 maximum IOP was not statistically significantly different in the intermediate group relative to 207 the low risk group (mean difference of 0.54 mmHg, 95% CI -1.5 - 0.47 mmHg; P = 0.08). 208 Similarly, the high genetic risk group was more likely to present as high tension glaucoma, 209 defined by a maximum IOP above 21 mmHg (OR = 1.9; 95% CI 1.3 - 2.8; P = 7.9×10^{-4} relative 210 to the low-risk group). Further analysis by decile groups of the IOP PRS shows a continuous 211 variant dose-response relationship between higher IOP PRS and maximum IOP, signifying 212 the cumulative effects of the common IOP variants (Figure 1A).

213

214 The mean age of glaucoma diagnosis was significantly different across the genetic risk groups 215 $(P = 1.3 \times 10^{-4})$. The high genetic risk group were diagnosed with glaucoma on average 2.2 (SD 216 0.80) years earlier than the intermediate group ($P = 5.5 \times 10^{-3}$) and 3.7 (SD 1.0) years than the 217 low genetic risk group (2.4×10^{-4}) . The high risk group were more likely to have family members 218 affected by glaucoma relative to the low risk group (OR = 1.6, 95% CI 1.2 - 2.1. P = 1.1×10^{-3}). 219 The number of self-reported family members affected by glaucoma was also higher in the high 220 IOP PRS group compared to the intermediate (mean 0.29, SD 0.1, P = 5.2x10⁻³) and low risk 221 groups (mean 0.46, SD 0.11, P = 1.8×10^{-4}). Furthermore, there was a linear relationship 222 between the IOP PRS and the number of family members affected by glaucoma which highlights the importance of these variants and their impact on the development of glaucoma(Figure 1B).

225

There was no significant difference between the Humphrey visual field mean deviation between the IOP PRS groups P = 0.18). However, the high genetic risk group were more likely to require an incisional surgery for the management of their glaucoma relative to the intermediate and low risk groups (OR = 1.3, 95% CI = 1.0 - 1.6; P = 0.049 and OR = 1.5; 95% CI = 1.1 - 2.0; P = 7.9×10^{-3} respectively). Further, the high IOP PRS group were more likely to require bilateral incisional surgeries than the intermediate and low risk groups (OR = 1.4, 95% CI = 1.0 - 1.8; P = 0.02).

233

For replication, we stratified an independent cohort of early perimetric POAG patients (N = 624), with an average age of 69.5 (10) years, into three risk groups based on the same absolute numerical IOP PRS cut-off used above. There was a similar association of increasing maximum IOP, number of family members affected, and treatment intensity (Table 2 and Figure 2). The high risk group had more than twice as many family members affected as the low risk group, and were more likely to require more intensive medical therapy to control their disease ($P \le 0.01$).

241 Discussion

Common genetic variants associated with both glaucoma and IOP have been identified via genome-wide association studies. Genetic risk score stratification can be used to estimate the combined effect size of these variants on the patient. In this study, glaucoma patients in the high IOP genetic risk group had a higher maximum (pre-treatment) IOP, younger age of glaucoma diagnosis, and were more likely to require incisional surgery to control their disease than those in the intermediate or low IOP genetic risk groups. We have further replicated these
results in an independent cohort of early glaucoma patients and observed a similar association
with the higher genetic risk group requiring more intensive medical therapy for glaucoma
management.

251

252 Interestingly, despite the clinically modest difference in the maximum IOP between the high 253 and low IOP genetic risk groups (between 1-2 mmHg in two independent cohorts), we 254 observed a stronger relationship in treatment intensity. In the ANZRAG cohort, the incisional 255 surgery rate was 50% in the high genetic risk group compared to 38% in the low risk group. 256 Similarly, in the early glaucoma cohort, 38% of the high genetic risk group required 2 or more 257 medications or SLT for glaucoma management compared to 23% in the low genetic risk group. 258 Thus, IOP genetic risk variants and stratification may offer further insight into an individual's 259 chronic exposure to higher IOP than sporadic clinic measurements. Further, these risk variants confer increased risk of developing POAG in carriers,¹² thus patients with higher polygenic risk 260 261 scores had significantly more family members affected by glaucoma.

262

263 Previous studies of common genetic variants in glaucoma have focused on individual SNPs 264 only. TMCO1 was one of the earliest reported genes to be associated with POAG in common 265 variant studies, and remains one of the most strongly associated variants with IOP and POAG.^{12,14,25} A variant in *TMCO1* gene is reportedly associated with conversion from ocular 266 267 hypertension to glaucoma in non-Hispanic whites²⁶ In another study, individuals homozygous for a variant near *TMCO1* were reported to have a younger age of POAG onset.²⁷ However, 268 269 the clinical utility of genetic risk scores is expanding due to the accelerated discovery of 270 disease-associated loci as larger genome-wide association studies are conducted. While early 271 studies on using genetic risk scores for POAG were limited,^{28,29} Macgregor *et al.* have recently 272 reported an IOP based genetic risk score accounting for a significant risk of developing glaucoma (OR = 5.6 in the highest decile of the score relative to the lowest).¹² 273

275 Conversely, the effects of Mendelian variants on glaucoma phenotype have been well 276 described. Pathogenic variants in the MYOC gene are most commonly associated with high IOP and more advanced disease.³⁰ In contrast, duplications and triplications involving *TBK1* 277 278 and missense variants in OPTN cause familial normal tension glaucoma, and are typically not 279 found in high tension glaucoma.^{7–9} While these genes are important in familial glaucoma and 280 highly predictive of disease risk, they are a relatively rare cause of POAG in the general 281 population. Thus, genetic risk stratification using common variants of IOP is more widely applicable to most POAG patients. Our results show that the cumulative effect of IOP-282 283 associated genetic variants may predict an individual's lifetime IOP exposure, and support the utility of genetic risk scores in POAG monitoring. Further, PRS risk stratification can be done 284 285 before the clinical presentation of the disease, and therefore may be useful for identifying high-286 risk individuals for screening. To our knowledge, this is the first study to detail the clinical 287 glaucoma phenotype based on the combined effect of common IOP variants.

288

289 This study has several strengths. We utilised the large UK Biobank cohort to derive a genetic 290 risk score of corneal compensated IOP. Our study cohort was also independent allowing 291 validation of the discovered variants. We have further replicated our findings in another 292 independent POAG cohort with mild glaucoma allowing further generalisability across the 293 glaucoma severity spectrum. Our study has also some limitations. Genetic risk scores are 294 limited by the genetic pool of the discovery cohort. Our results are limited to the ethnicities of 295 the European ancestry individuals of the UK Biobank study which matched our prediction 296 target cohort. Validation is needed in other ethnicities. We have only used SNPs that reached 297 genome-wide significance in the GWAS to calculate the PRS. While the inclusion of additional 298 SNPs would include further low-impact susceptibility SNPs, it would also introduce further 299 'noise' to the PRS and may not improve risk stratification.³¹

- 301 In conclusion, our IOP PRS correlates with the maximum recorded IOP and glaucoma
- 302 severity of POAG patients in a national glaucoma registry. Our result supports the clinical
- 303 utility of PRS in POAG risk stratification.

305 Acknowledgments:

This work was conducted using the UK Biobank Resource (application number 25331) and publicly available data from the International Glaucoma Genetics Consortium.

308

309 Figure legends:

Figure 1. A continuous variant dose-response relationship between IOP PRS and (A) the maximum recorded IOP in the ANZRAG cohort ($P = 1.9x10^{-3}$ for linear model trend); (B) the mean number of family members affected by glaucoma ($P = 1.3x10^{-5}$ for negative binomial generalised linear model trend). The squares represent the mean values for each PRS decile group, and the error bars represent the 95% confidence interval of the mean. The grey line is the line of best fit with the 95% confidence interval lightly shaded around the line.

316 IOP: intraocular pressure; PRS: polygenic risk score.

317

Figure 2. Replication of the (A) maximum IOP recorded ($P = 5.0x10^{-4}$ for one-way analysis of variance) and (B) the number of family members affected by glaucoma ($P = 1.0x10^{-3}$ for oneway analysis of variance) in an independent cohort of early POAG patients (N = 624). The squares represent the mean values for each PRS group, and the error bars represent the 95% confidence interval of the mean.

323 IOP: intraocular pressure; PRS: polygenic risk score; POAG: primary open angle glaucoma.

325 **References**

- 1. Casson RJ, Chidlow G, Wood JP, et al. Definition of glaucoma: clinical and experimental
- 327 concepts. Clinical & Experimental Ophthalmology 2012;40:341–349.
- 328 2. Conlon R, Saheb H, Ahmed IIK. Glaucoma treatment trends: a review. Canadian Journal
 329 of Ophthalmology 2017;52:114–124.
- 330 3. Tielsch JM, Katz J, Singh K, et al. A Population-based Evaluation of Glaucoma Screening:
- The Baltimore Eye Survey. American Journal of Epidemiology 1991;134:1102–1110.
- 4. Caprioli J, Coleman AL. Intraocular pressure fluctuation a risk factor for visual field
- 333 progression at low intraocular pressures in the advanced glaucoma intervention study.
- 334 Ophthalmology 2008;115:1123-1129.e3.
- 335 5. Asrani S, Zeimer R, Wilensky J, et al. Large diurnal fluctuations in intraocular pressure are
- an independent risk factor in patients with glaucoma. J Glaucoma 2000;9:134–142.
- 337 6. Wang K, Gaitsch H, Poon H, et al. Classification of common human diseases derived from
- shared genetic and environmental determinants. Nat Genet 2017;49:1319–1325.
- 339 7. Wiggs JL, Pasquale LR. Genetics of glaucoma. Hum Mol Genet 2017;26:R21–R27.
- 340 8. Aung T, Rezaie T, Okada K, et al. Clinical features and course of patients with glaucoma
- 341 with the E50K mutation in the optineurin gene. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2005;46:2816–

342 2822.

- 343 9. Awadalla MS, Fingert JH, Roos BE, et al. Copy number variations of TBK1 in Australian
- patients with primary open-angle glaucoma. Am J Ophthalmol 2015;159:124-130.e1.
- 10. Fingert JH, Héon E, Liebmann JM, et al. Analysis of Myocilin Mutations in 1703
- 346 Glaucoma Patients From Five Different Populations. Hum Mol Genet 1999;8:899–905.
- 11. Han X, Souzeau E, Ong J-S, et al. Myocilin Gene Gln368Ter Variant Penetrance and
- 348 Association With Glaucoma in Population-Based and Registry-Based Studies. JAMA
- Ophthalmol 2018.
- 350 12. MacGregor S, Ong J-S, An J, et al. Genome-wide association study of intraocular
- 351 pressure uncovers new pathways to glaucoma. Nature Genetics 2018;50:1067.

- 352 13. Gao XR, Huang H, Nannini DR, et al. Genome-wide association analyses identify new
- 353 loci influencing intraocular pressure. Hum Mol Genet 2018;27:2205–2213.
- 14. Khawaja AP, Cooke JB, Wareham NJ, et al. Genome-wide analyses identify 68 new loci
- associated with intraocular pressure and improve risk prediction for primary open-angle
- 356 glaucoma. Nat Genet 2018;50:778–782.
- 357 15. Torkamani A, Wineinger NE, Topol EJ. The personal and clinical utility of polygenic risk
 358 scores. Nature Reviews Genetics 2018:1.
- 16. Mavaddat N, Michailidou K, Dennis J, et al. Polygenic Risk Scores for Prediction of
- 360 Breast Cancer and Breast Cancer Subtypes. The American Journal of Human Genetics
- 361 2019;104:21–34.
- 17. Inouye M, Abraham G, Nelson CP, et al. Genomic Risk Prediction of Coronary Artery
- 363 Disease in 480,000 Adults. J Am Coll Cardiol 2018;72:1883–1893.
- 18. Khera AV, Chaffin M, Aragam KG, et al. Genome-wide polygenic scores for common
- diseases identify individuals with risk equivalent to monogenic mutations. Nature Genetics
 2018;50:1219–1224.
- 367 19. Souzeau E, Goldberg I, Healey PR, et al. Australian and New Zealand Registry of
- 368 Advanced Glaucoma: methodology and recruitment. Clinical & Experimental Ophthalmology
 369 2012;40:569–575.
- 20. Ennis S, Gibson J, Griffiths H, et al. Prevalence of myocilin gene mutations in a novel UK
 cohort of POAG patients. Eye 2010;24:328–333.
- 372 21. Sudlow C, Gallacher J, Allen N, et al. UK Biobank: An Open Access Resource for
- 373 Identifying the Causes of a Wide Range of Complex Diseases of Middle and Old Age. PLOS
- 374 Medicine 2015;12:e1001779.
- 22. Loh P-R, Tucker G, Bulik-Sullivan BK, et al. Efficient Bayesian mixed-model analysis
- increases association power in large cohorts. Nature Genetics 2015;47:284–290.
- 377 23. Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, et al. PLINK: A Tool Set for Whole-Genome
- 378 Association and Population-Based Linkage Analyses. Am J Hum Genet 2007;81:559–575.
- 379 24. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna,

- 380 Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2018. Available at: https://www.R-
- 381 project.org/.
- 382 25. Burdon KP, Macgregor S, Hewitt AW, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies
- 383 susceptibility loci for open angle glaucoma at TMCO1 and CDKN2B-AS1. Nature Genetics
- 384 2011;43:574–578.
- 385 26. Scheetz TE, Faga B, Ortega L, et al. Glaucoma Risk Alleles in the Ocular Hypertension
- 386 Treatment Study. Ophthalmology 2016;123:2527–2536.
- 387 27. Sharma S, Burdon KP, Chidlow G, et al. Association of genetic variants in the TMCO1
- 388 gene with clinical parameters related to glaucoma and characterization of the protein in the
- 389 eye. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2012;53:4917–4925.
- 390 28. Mabuchi F, Mabuchi N, Sakurada Y, et al. Additive effects of genetic variants associated
- 391 with intraocular pressure in primary open-angle glaucoma. PLoS One 2017;12. Available at:
- 392 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5568337/ [Accessed February 5, 2019].
- 393 29. Tham Y-C, Liao J, Vithana EN, et al. Aggregate Effects of Intraocular Pressure and Cup-
- to-Disc Ratio Genetic Variants on Glaucoma in a Multiethnic Asian Population.
- 395 Ophthalmology 2015;122:1149–1157.
- 396 30. Souzeau E, Tram KH, Witney M, et al. Myocilin Predictive Genetic Testing for Primary
- 397 Open-Angle Glaucoma Leads to Early Identification of At-Risk Individuals. Ophthalmology
 398 2017;124:303–309.
- 399 31. Chatterjee N, Shi J, García-Closas M. Developing and evaluating polygenic risk
- 400 prediction models for stratified disease prevention. Nat Rev Genet 2016;17:392–406.