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## ABSTRACT

Multiple myeloma (MM) is a haematological malignancy characterised by the uncontrolled clonal proliferation of neoplastic plasma cells (PCs) within the bone marrow (BM). Our group previously identified a homozygous deletion of Samsn1, a gene encoding a putative adaptor protein, in the genome of the MM-prone C57BL/KaLwRij mouse strain. In addition, the re-expression of Samsn1 in the C57BL/KaLwRij-derived 5TGM1 MM PC line was shown to inhibit tumour growth in vivo. Furthermore, SAMSN1 expression was found to be down-regulated in the PCs of MM patients compared to healthy controls. Collectively, these data suggested that $S A M S N 1$ may be a novel tumour suppressor gene in MM.

The fact that Samsn1 ${ }^{-/}$C57BL/KaLwRij mice develop MM with late onset and incomplete penetrance suggested that Samsn1 loss may co-operate with another lesion to drive disease development. In this thesis, bioinformatic analysis revealed that the down-regulation of the tumour suppressor GLIPR1 was significantly associated with reduced SAMSN1 expression in the PCs of MM patients. Gliprl expression was found to be absent in 5TGM1 cells and its re-introduction reduced tumour growth in vivo, although this did not reach statistical significance. In addition, Samsnl and Gliprl double knockout mice were generated and monitored for clonal PC expansions for one year. These mice were not found to display enhanced PC abnormalities compared to wildtype mice, suggesting that the concomitant loss of Samsn1 and Gliprl is insufficient to promote MM development within this timeframe.

Although Samsn1 was previously shown to negatively regulate the proliferation and cytoskeletal remodelling of activated B cells, the mechanism(s) by which it inhibited 5TGM1 tumour formation was yet to be determined. In this thesis, Samsn1 was found to inhibit the growth of metastatic, but not primary, 5TGM1 tumours following intratibial injection into C57BL/KaLwRij mice but had no effect on the BM homing of 5TGM1 cells in vivo. These data suggest that Samsn1 may promote the action of anti-tumour factors from within the BM microenvironment. In addition, Samsn1 was found to not inhibit 5TGM1 tumour growth in immunodeficient mice and to promote the cytotoxicity of C57BL/KaLwRij-derived CD8 ${ }^{+}$T cells towards 5TGM1 cells. These findings suggest that Samsn1 may enhance immune system-mediated targeting of MM PCs. Notably, Samsn1 was found to inhibit 5TGM1 tumour growth in immunocompetent C57BL/Samsn1-/ mice, but not wildtype C57BL/6 mice, and anti-Samsn1 antibodies were detected in the serum of a Samsn1 ${ }^{-/}$mouse. These data suggest that the increased immunogenicity of Samsn1-
expressing 5TGM1 cells in C57BL/KaLwRij mice may be due to the presence of adaptive immune cells that recognise Samsn1 as a foreign antigen in this Samsnl $1^{-/}$mouse strain. As SAMSN1-specific immune cells will be deleted by tolerance processes in patients, the findings from the $5 \mathrm{TGM} 1 / \mathrm{KaLwRij}$ model no longer support a potential tumour suppressor role for SAMSN1 in human MM. Given that SAMSN1 levels were found to have no effect on the growth of human MM cell lines in vitro or in vivo, the current weight of evidence suggests that SAMSN1 is unlikely to be an important tumour suppressor gene in MM.
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## 1 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Multiple myeloma: Clinical description

Multiple myeloma (MM) is a haematological malignancy of plasma cells (PCs), the terminally-differentiated and antibody-producing cells of the B cell lineage. This cancer is characterised by the clonal expansion of neoplastic PCs within the bone marrow (BM), which produce large amounts of a non-functional monoclonal immunoglobulin, also known as a paraprotein/M protein, that can be detected in blood serum and urine (light chain only) ${ }^{1}$. MM displays a high degree of heterogeneity both biologically (underlying genetic abnormalities) and clinically (response to treatment and outcome) ${ }^{2}$. There are premalignant PC proliferative disorders associated with MM and the disease can also progress to more advanced stages with inferior prognosis. Although recent treatment advances have improved the outcomes for MM patients, the majority of patients relapse with refractory disease and thus MM remains largely incurable ${ }^{3}$.

### 1.1.1 Epidemiology

MM accounts for $\sim 10 \%$ of haematological malignancies and $\sim 1 \%$ of all cancers ${ }^{1,4}$. In Australia, 1,885 new cases of MM were diagnosed in 2015, with an age standardised incidence rate of 6.9 cases per $100,000^{5}$. The incidence of MM is higher in developed countries, such as Australia, the United States of America (USA) and the United Kingdom, which is likely due to increased awareness and better diagnostic capabilities ${ }^{1}$. MM is primarily a disease of older adults, with a median age at diagnosis of 71.5 years in Australia ${ }^{5}$. The adjusted prevalence rates of MM among males are $\sim 50 \%$ higher than those among females ${ }^{5,6}$. There are also disparities in the incidence of MM according to ethnicity, with MM found to occur twice as frequently in people with African heritage compared to Caucasians ${ }^{6}$. The median overall survival (OS) for MM is $\sim 6$ years ${ }^{7}$, which is consistent with the most recently reported Australian five-year relative survival rate of 50.7\% (2011-2015) ${ }^{5}$.

### 1.1.2 Clinical manifestations

The major clinical manifestations of MM are due to either the direct effects of expanded clonal PCs within the BM or indirect effects of their by-products on other organs. The major cause of morbidity for MM is bone disease, which causes pain and pathologic fractures in $\sim 60 \%$ of cases $^{8}$. The bone disease results from lytic lesions, which are generated due to the increased number and activity of bone-resorptive osteoclasts and the decreased number and activity of bone-forming osteoblasts within the $\mathrm{BM}^{8}$. These abnormalities can be attributed
to the altered production of key signalling molecules involved in bone homeostasis, including receptor activator of nuclear factor- $\kappa \mathrm{B}$ ligand (RANKL) ${ }^{9-11}$, osteoprotegerin (OPG) ${ }^{10,11}$, stromal-derived factor- $1 \alpha$ (SDF- $\left.1 \alpha / \mathrm{CXCL} 12\right)^{12}$ and dickkopf-related protein 1 (DKK1) ${ }^{13}$, by MM PCs and/or BM stromal cells (BMSCs). A related clinical feature of MM is high levels of calcium in the blood (hypercalcemia), which occurs as a result of osteolysis ${ }^{14}$. This complication arises in approximately one third of MM cases and can cause a range of symptoms, including nausea and confusion ${ }^{14}$. Hypercalcemia and the large amount of MM PC-derived free monoclonal immunoglobulin light chains (FLC) in the blood promotes the development of renal insufficiency, which occurs in $\sim 20-40 \%$ of MM patients ${ }^{15}$. In addition, anaemia occurs in almost all MM patients, which can cause debilitating fatigue ${ }^{16}$. The reduction in red blood cell number has been attributed to a number of factors, including marrow replacement by tumour cells, decreased erythropoietin production from impaired kidneys and/or cytokine-mediated marrow suppression ${ }^{16}$. Together hypercalcemia, renal insufficiency, anaemia and bone lesions constitute the common set of clinical manifestations of MM known as the CRAB features ${ }^{17}$. Furthermore, another major cause of morbidity and mortality in MM is infection, which is attributable to the immune suppression present in almost all MM patients due to underlying disease biology and/or therapy ${ }^{18}$.

### 1.1.3 Disease stages

### 1.1.3.1 Monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance

All cases of malignant MM are preceded by the premalignant, asymptomatic condition monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS), characterised by a clonal proliferation of PCs in the $\mathrm{BM}^{19,20}$. For a diagnosis of MGUS three criteria must be met: (1) serum monoclonal protein $<3 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dL}$, (2) clonal BM PCs $<10 \%$ and (3) absence of end-organ damage, such as the CRAB features, that is related to the PC dyscrasia (Table 1.1) ${ }^{21}$. MGUS is a common disorder in older individuals, with an estimated prevalence of $3-4 \%$ in people over 50 years of age ${ }^{22,23}$. The risk of progression from MGUS to overt MM is a persistent $1 \%$ per year, but the time to progression (TTP) is highly variable, with the majority of MGUS cases never progressing to symptomatic disease ${ }^{24,25}$. Currently, it is not possible to accurately predict if, or when, MGUS will progress on an individual level, but markers of increased abnormal PC bulk ( M protein $\geq 1.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dL}$ and serum FLC ratio $>1.65$ ) have been associated with an increased risk of progression ${ }^{25,26}$. A recent study found that the lifetime risk of progression to MM was $30 \%$ for MGUS patients who have both elevated M protein and an

Table 1.1: International Myeloma Working Group diagnostic criteria for MM and related PC disorders ${ }^{\mathbf{2 1 , 2 7}}$.

| Monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS) | All 3 criteria must be met: <br> - Serum monoclonal protein (non-IgM type) $<3 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dL}$ <br> - Clonal BM PCs $<10 \%$ <br> - Absence of end-organ damage such as hypercalcemia, renal insufficiency, anaemia, and bone lesions (CRAB) that can be attributed to the PC proliferative disorder |
| :---: | :---: |
| Smouldering multiple myeloma (SMM) | Both criteria must be met: <br> - Serum monoclonal protein $\geq 3 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dL}$, or urinary monoclonal protein $>500 \mathrm{mg}$ per 24 hours and/or clonal BM PCs $10 \%-60 \%$ <br> - Absence of myeloma defining event (MDEs) or amyloidosis |
| Multiple myeloma (MM) | Both criteria must be met: <br> - Clonal $\mathrm{BM} \mathrm{PCs} \geq 10 \%$ or biopsy-proven bony or extramedullary plasmacytoma <br> - Any one or more of the following MDEs: <br> - Evidence of end organ damage that can be attributed to the underlying PC proliferative disorder, specifically: <br> - Hypercalcemia: serum calcium $>0.25 \mathrm{mM}$ ( $>1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ) higher than the upper limit of normal or $>2.75 \mathrm{mM}$ ( $>11 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ) <br> - Renal insufficiency: creatinine clearance $<40 \mathrm{~mL}$ per minute or serum creatinine $>2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ <br> - Anaemia: haemoglobin value of $>2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dL}$ below the lower limit of normal or a haemoglobin value $<10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dL}$ <br> - Bone lesions: one or more osteolytic lesions on skeletal radiography, computed tomography, or positron emission tomography-CT <br> - Clonal BM PCs $\geq 60 \%$ <br> - Involved:uninvolved serum FLC ratio $\geq 100$ (involved <br> FLC level must be $\geq 100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) <br> - $\geq 2$ focal lesions on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies (at least 5 mm in size) |
| Secondary plasma cell leukaemia (PCL) | Both criteria must be met: <br> - Meet the criteria for a diagnosis of MM <br> - Peripheral blood PC count of $2 \times 10^{9} / \mathrm{L}$ or $>20 \%$ of the differential white blood cell count |

abnormal FLC ratio, 20\% for those who have one of these factors and only $7 \%$ for those who have neither risk factor ${ }^{25}$. The recommended management of MGUS patients is ongoing monitoring for disease progression, the frequency of which depends on their risk stratification ${ }^{28,29}$.

### 1.1.3.2 Smouldering MM

Smouldering MM (SMM) is an intermediate disease stage between MGUS and MM that is still an asymptomatic PC proliferative disorder but is more advanced than MGUS (Table $1.1)^{21,30}$. SMM is a clinically-defined entity that includes patients with asymptomatic, early MM, who rapidly progress to end organ damage, and more indolent MGUS-like cases, who have a much lower rate of disease progression ${ }^{31}$. Consistent with this, the risk of progression for SMM patients is $10 \%$ per year for the first five years post-diagnosis but decreases to $3 \%$ per year over the next five years and $1.5 \%$ per year thereafter ${ }^{30}$. This means that $50 \%$ of SMM cases, probably those with asymptomatic malignancy, progress to MM in the first five years post-diagnosis, while one third of SMM cases, most likely those with biological premalignancy, do not progress within the first 10 years ${ }^{30}$. Several factors have been found to predict for a high risk of progression in SMM patients, including BM PCs $>20 \%{ }^{30}$, evolving M protein levels ${ }^{32,33}$, an abnormal PC immunophenotype ${ }^{34}$, serum FLC ratio $\geq 8^{35}$ and suppression of $\geq 2$ uninvolved immunoglobulins (immunoparesis) ${ }^{30,34}$.

Studies showed that SMM patients with $\geq 60 \%$ clonal BM PCs ${ }^{36,37}$, a serum FLC ratio $\geq 100^{37,38}$ and $\geq 2$ focal lesions on $\mathrm{MRI}^{39,40}$ had an $\sim 80 \%$ risk of progressing to symptomatic MM within two years. In addition, the first randomised trial in which early treatment was assessed in high-risk SMM patients found that it increased the TTP and OS of these patients ${ }^{41,42}$. Consequently, the $10-15 \%$ of SMM patients that had one or more of these biomarkers, and thus an ultra-high-risk of progression, were reclassified as having MM requiring treatment in $2014^{21}$. For the remaining SMM patients, a recent study found that BM PCs $>20 \%$, M protein $>2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dL}$ and a serum FLC ratio $>20$ were independent predictors of progression to MM, which led to the development of the Mayo "20-2-20" risk stratification system ${ }^{43}$. SMM patients with two or more of the "20-2-20" risk factors ( $\sim 36 \%$ ) were found to have an $\sim 50 \%$ risk of progressing to MM within two years and thus were classified as high-risk ${ }^{43}$. The current standard of care for SMM involves the close monitoring of patients for disease progression ${ }^{29}$. Interestingly, this diligent follow-up may be responsible
for the finding that the OS of MM patients with a prior diagnosis of SMM was higher compared to those with a prior diagnosis of MGUS ${ }^{44}$.

### 1.1.3.3 Multiple myeloma

The updated diagnostic criteria for MM stipulate that patients must have $\geq 10 \%$ clonal BM PCs and at least one myeloma-defining event (MDE), which consist of characteristic endorgan damage (CRAB features, section 1.1.2) and the three new biomarkers of progressive asymptomatic disease (section 1.1.3.2; Table 1.1) ${ }^{21}$. Notably, the current description of MM does not include a measure of M protein, as $15-20 \%$ of MM cases only produce immunoglobulin light chains and $3 \%$ of MM patients have non-secretory disease ${ }^{16,45}$. The risk stratification of newly diagnosed MM patients was previously based on the International Staging System (ISS), which used two measures of tumour burden, $\beta 2$-microglobulin and serum albumin levels, to generate three risk groups (Table 1.2) ${ }^{46}$. A Revised ISS (RISS) was subsequently proposed that had improved prognostic power due to the addition of two factors related to disease biology, serum lactate dehydrogenase levels and high-risk cytogenetic abnormalities (sections 1.2.3 and 1.2.4.3; Table 1.2) ${ }^{47}$. In addition, there is the Mayo Stratification of Myeloma and Risk-Adapted Therapy (mSMART) staging system, which takes into account additional cytogenetic/genetic factors and gene expression profiling (GEP, section 1.2.5; Table 1.2) ${ }^{48,49}$.

### 1.1.3.4 Plasma cell leukaemia and extramedullary disease

MM continues to progress post diagnosis, as is evidenced by the inevitable relapse of symptomatic and refractory disease following treatment ${ }^{1}$. In the later stages of MM, the malignant PCs can lose their dependence on the BM microenvironment and can be found growing at other sites in the body ${ }^{50}$. MM PCs can undergo a leukemic progression, resulting in many tumour cells being present in the peripheral circulation, which is known as plasma cell leukaemia (PCL) ${ }^{51,52}$. The current diagnostic criteria for PCL are $\geq 20 \%$ circulating PCs and/or $>2 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{PC} / \mathrm{L}$ in the peripheral blood, which occurs in $1-2 \%$ of MM patients (Table $1.1)^{27}$. The median TTP from a diagnosis of MM to PCL is 31 months ${ }^{53}$ and PCL is associated with a very inferior prognosis ${ }^{54,55}$. Recent studies have found that cases with circulating PCs $\geq 5 \%$ have a similarly poor prognosis compared to cases with circulating PCs $\geq 20 \%$, suggesting that the diagnostic criteria for PCL should be revised ${ }^{55-57}$. MM PCs can also infiltrate other anatomical sites distant to the BM and adjacent soft tissue, which is known as extramedullary disease (EMD) ${ }^{58}$. The frequency of EMD in relapsed MM patients

Table 1.2: Common risk stratification systems for MM patients.

| System | Variables | Stages |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| International <br> Staging <br> System (ISS) ${ }^{46}$ | Serum albumin and $\beta 2 \mathrm{~m}$ levels | $\bullet$ I: serum albumin $\geq 3.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dL}$ and $\beta 2 \mathrm{~m}$ $<3.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ <br> - II: neither stage I nor III <br> - III: $\beta 2 \mathrm{~m}>5.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ |
| Revised <br> International <br> Staging System $(\text { RISS })^{47}$ | Serum albumin, $\beta 2 \mathrm{~m}$, LDH levels and high-risk cytogenetics (del(17p), $t(4 ; 14)$ or $t(14 ; 16))$ | $\bullet$ I: ISS stage I, LDH normal, and no high-risk cytogenetics <br> $\bullet$ II: neither stage I nor stage III <br> $\bullet$ III: ISS stage III plus abnormal LDH or high-risk cytogenetics |
| mSMART risk staging ${ }^{48,49}$ | RISS, high-risk genetic abnormalities, GEP | -Standard risk: no high-risk factors <br> $\bullet$ High risk: RISS III, t(4;14), t(14;16), $\mathrm{t}(14 ; 20)$, del $(17 \mathrm{p})$, TP53 mutation, gain 1q, GEP high-risk signature |

$\beta 2 \mathrm{~m}=\beta 2$-microglobulin, del $=$ deletion, $\mathrm{LDH}=$ lactate dehydrogenase, GEP = gene expression profiling, mSMART = Mayo Stratification of Myeloma and Risk-Adapted Therapy.
is $6-16 \%$ and the most common extramedullary sites of MM PC growth include the lung, pleura, liver and central nervous system ${ }^{59-63}$. Relapsed patients with EMD also have a significantly poorer prognosis compared to other relapsed patients, with a median survival of 5-16 months from EMD diagnosis ${ }^{61-63}$. Notably, most human myeloma cell lines (HMCLs) are derived from relapsed MM patients with PCL or EMD and thus grow independently of BM-derived factors in vitro ${ }^{64}$.

### 1.1.4 Treatment

The outcomes for MM patients have improved significantly over the past 15 years and can largely be attributed to the increased use of autologous stem cell transplants (ASCT) and the introduction of new classes of drugs, particularly proteasome inhibitors and immunomodulatory imide drugs (IMiDs) ${ }^{65,66}$. Proteasome inhibitors, including firstgeneration bortezomib (Velcade), directly target MM PCs through enhancing the existing high levels of endoplasmic reticulum stress in these secretory cells, which induces a terminal unfolded protein response and apoptosis ${ }^{67}$. Conversely, IMiDs, including first generation thalidomide and second generation lenalidomide (Revlimid), primarily act indirectly by promoting anti-myeloma activity of T cells and natural killer (NK) cells ${ }^{68,69}$. This is achieved through the binding of IMiDs to the E3 ligase Cereblon in immune cells, which causes rapid ubiquitination and degradation of the transcription factors Ikaros and Aiolos ${ }^{70,71}$.

The initial induction phase of treatment for newly diagnosed MM patients involves 4-6 rounds of therapy, which is typically a triplet regimen consisting of Velcade, Revlimid and the corticosteroid dexamethasone (VRD) in the USA ${ }^{4}$. However, the most commonly used induction regimen in Australia contains Velcade, cyclophosphamide (chemotherapy) and dexamethasone (VCD), as the Australian Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme does not allow the concurrent use of a proteasome inhibitor and an $\mathrm{IMiD}^{72}$. Eligible patients are then recommended to receive high dose therapy (HDT) coupled with ASCT, which has been shown to significantly improve OS $^{73-75}$. Finally, following HDT-ASCT, or additional cycles of therapy for transplant-ineligible patients, maintenance therapy with an IMiD is recommended, as it has been shown to improve progression-free survival (PFS) and OS ${ }^{76,77}$. Lenalidomide is the standard of care for maintenance therapy in the USA, whereas thalidomide is the only IMiD listed for this purpose in Australia ${ }^{4,72}$.

Although the TTP greatly varies, MM patients almost invariably relapse and the salvage treatment employed is dependent upon factors related to treatment (prior response, resistance), disease (risk group stratification) and the patient (age, co-morbidities and performance status) ${ }^{78}$. Several new drugs have recently been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of relapsed MM and promise to further improve patient outcomes ${ }^{78}$. These include the next-generation proteasome inhibitors carfilzomib ${ }^{79,80}$ and ixazomib ${ }^{81,82}$, the third generation IMiD pomalidomide ${ }^{83,84}$, the histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor panobinostat ${ }^{85,86}$, and the monoclonal antibodies daratumumab ${ }^{87,88}$ and elotuzumab ${ }^{89}$. Furthermore, there are many emerging options for the treatment of MM currently in clinical trials, including chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cell therapies targeting B cell maturation antigen (BCMA) ${ }^{90}$ and the B cell lymphoma 2 ( $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ ) inhibitor venetoclax ${ }^{91,92}$.

### 1.2 Genetic aetiology of MM

### 1.2.1 Normal PC development

Early B cells are derived from haematopoietic stem cells in the BM and undergo rearrangement of their immunoglobulin genes to produce a functional B cell receptor $(\mathrm{BCR})^{93}$. Mature B cells expressing a BCR on their surface then migrate to secondary lymphoid organs, including lymph nodes and spleen ${ }^{94}$. Upon encountering their cognate antigen in the periphery, mature B cells are activated and proliferate rapidly within a germinal centre ${ }^{95}$. Here activated B cells undergo several processes, including affinity maturation and class-switch recombination, that produce B cells expressing a high affinity BCR with different effector functions. These processes involve the generation of DNA double-stranded breaks at the immunoglobulin heavy chain $(\mathrm{IgH})$ locus, which are generated by activation-induced cytidine deaminase (AID) ${ }^{96}$. The resultant B cells can then differentiate into either memory B cells or antibody-secreting PCs, which is mediated by the altered expression of a few key transcription factors, including interferon regulatory factor 4 (IRF4) and PR domain zinc finger protein 1 (PRDM1) ${ }^{97}$. Following differentiation, PCs migrate to the BM and establish themselves in specific niches that support their long-term quiescence and survival ${ }^{97}$.

### 1.2.2 Inherited genetic variation

Familial aggregation of PC disorders, including MM and MGUS, has been reported over several decades ${ }^{98-100}$. In addition, large scale case-control studies found that there was a two to three-fold increased risk of PC disorders in first degree relatives of MGUS/MM patients ${ }^{101-103}$. Furthermore, the increased risk of MGUS/MM in people with African heritage compared to Caucasians was maintained when socioeconomic status was considered ${ }^{6}$. Together, these data suggested that there was inheritable susceptibility to developing MGUS and MM. Large-scale genome-wide association studies (GWAS) provided the first unambiguous evidence that this was the case by identifying common genetic variants that were enriched in MM patients compared with healthy controls ${ }^{104-108}$. To date 23 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) have been identified that are independent risk loci for $\mathrm{MM}^{104-108}$. Most of these SNPs are in non-coding regions of the genome, which suggests that they affect the regulation of gene expression ${ }^{107}$. Investigations of the candidate causal genes associated with these SNPs found that most were linked to four functions: chromatin remodelling (SP3, ABCF2, CBX7), regulation of cell cycle and genomic stability (CEP120, POT1, CDKN2A), B cell development (ELL2, PRDM1, TNFRSF13B) and apoptosis/autophagy ( $C D C A 7 L, W A C, R F W D 3, K L F 2)^{107}$. Hence, dysregulation of these processes may be involved in the pathogenesis of PC proliferative disorders, however, functional validation is required. Notably, a recent study identified lysine-specific demethylase $1(K D M 1 A)$ as an autosomal dominant MM germline predisposition gene, suggesting that rare inherited variants also contribute to the development of MGUS/MM ${ }^{109}$.

### 1.2.3 Primary genetic events

The development of MM is a multi-step process that involves the accumulation of acquired genetic lesions within PCs (Figure 1.1) ${ }^{110}$. The majority of MM patients have one of two types of cytogenetic abnormality that, in most cases, are mutually exclusive and present in the entire population of clonal PCs: hyperdiploidy ( $\geq 48$ chromosomes) and translocations involving the $\operatorname{IgH}$ locus on chromosome $14(14 q 32)^{111,112}$. The fact that these genomic lesions are found at a similar frequency in MGUS patients compared to MM patients suggests that they are primary genetic events that initiate PC immortalisation ${ }^{113-115}$. However, as hyperdiploidy and IgH translocations are present in MGUS patients that never progress to MM, these lesions are likely to be necessary, but not sufficient, for the development of overt malignancy ${ }^{116}$. Despite this, primary genetic events were found to have prognostic significance for newly diagnosed MM patients ${ }^{117-119}$.


Figure 1.1: Initiation and progression of MM. The initiation of MM development is caused by a primary genetic event in a post-germinal centre B cell, which differentiates into a PC that resides in the BM. The benign clonal proliferation of this abnormal PC within the BM results in MGUS. The subsequent progression of disease to malignant MM is due to the acquisition of secondary genetic lesions and changes to the tumour microenvironment. As the disease progresses further, the neoplastic PCs can become independent of the BM, leading to PCL or EMD. Adapted from Kumar et al., 2017 ${ }^{1}$. MGUS $=$ monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance, PCL $=$ plasma cell leukaemia, EMD $=$ extramedullary disease.

The primary cytogenetic event in $\sim 40 \%$ of MM patients is a translocation between the $\operatorname{IgH}$ locus and one of five recurrent partner chromosomes ( $4,6,11,14$ and 20) ${ }^{120-122}$. The breakpoints of these translocations indicate that most are caused by an error in chromosomal reassembly following the AID-mediated generation of DNA double stranded breaks at the IgH locus during class switch recombination ${ }^{123,124}$. The translocations juxtapose a protooncogene(s) on the partner chromosome to the powerful $\operatorname{IgH}$ enhancer, resulting in its overexpression ${ }^{93}$. The most common IgH translocation is $\mathrm{t}(11 ; 14)$ ( $\mathrm{q} 13 ; \mathrm{q} 32$ ), which is found in $\sim 18 \%{ }^{125-127}$ of MM patients at diagnosis and is considered to be of standard risk ${ }^{49}$. This chromosomal rearrangement results in the overexpression of the cyclin D1 (CCND1) gene, which, along with other D-group cyclins, is required for progression through G1 phase of the cell cycle ${ }^{123,124}$.

The second most prevalent translocation is $\mathrm{t}(4 ; 14)(\mathrm{p} 15 ; \mathrm{q} 32)$, which is detected in $\sim 13 \%$ of newly diagnosed MM cases ${ }^{125-127}$. This rearrangement results in the overexpression of the nuclear receptor binding SET domain protein 2 (NSD2) gene, which encodes a histone methyltransferase, in all cases and the fibroblast growth factor receptor 3 (FGFR3) gene, which encodes a tyrosine kinase, in $\sim 70 \%$ of cases ${ }^{118,128}$. The up-regulation of NSD2 causes epigenetic reprogramming and thus gene expression changes that alter the adhesion, increase the proliferation and enhance the survival of PCs, including increasing the expression of the cyclin D 2 (CCND2) gene ${ }^{129,130}$. While $\mathrm{t}(4 ; 14)$ was initially considered to be a high-risk feature ${ }^{117-119}$, the emergence of clinical trial results showing that bortezomib treatment negated/attenuated the poor patient outcomes associated with $\mathrm{t}(4 ; 14)^{131-134}$ led to its reclassification as an intermediate-risk factor ${ }^{48,135}$. However, in the latest version (v3.0) of the mSMART risk stratification guidelines, $\mathrm{t}(4 ; 14)$ was reinstated as a high-risk abnormality ${ }^{49}$. This may be due to the fact that $\mathrm{t}(4 ; 14)$ was found to be an independent predictor of poor prognosis in recent large clinical trials in which MM patients were treated with novel therapies ${ }^{121,127}$.

Another two recurrent primary $\operatorname{IgH}$ translocations are $t(14 ; 16)(q 32 ; q 23)$ and $t(14 ; 20)$ (q32;q11), which are found in $\sim 4 \%$ and $\sim 1 \%$ of MM patients, respectively ${ }^{125-127}$. Both $\mathrm{t}(14 ; 16)$ and $\mathrm{t}(14 ; 20)$ result in the overexpression of an oncogenic MAF transcription factor (MAF or MAFB, respectively), which causes up-regulation of a range of genes, including CCND $2^{129,136-139}$. The MAFs were also found to increase the expression of cytidine deaminase apolipoprotein B mRNA editing enzyme catalytic subunit (APOBEC) genes,
resulting in an increased mutational burden that may contribute to the poor prognosis associated these translocations in $\mathrm{MM}^{121,125}$. Finally, the standard risk translocation $\mathfrak{t}(6 ; 14)$ ( $\mathrm{p} 21 ;$ q32) is present in $\sim 1 \%{ }^{125-127}$ of MM cases and causes direct overexpression of the cyclin D3 (CCND3) gene ${ }^{129,140}$.

The primary genetic event in $\sim 55 \%$ of MM patients is hyperdiploidy ${ }^{120-122}$, which is caused by multiple trisomies of the odd-numbered chromosomes $3,5,7,9,11,15,19$ and/or $21^{111,115,141-143}$. The mechanism by which these trisomies occur remains to be elucidated, but it has been suggested that they are gained in a single catastrophic event involving the missegregation of chromosomes at mitosis ${ }^{144}$. In the absence of high-risk secondary genetic events, patients with hyperdiploidy are of standard risk ${ }^{49,121}$. Similar to the recurrent IgH translocations, hyperdiploidy also results in increased expression of D group cyclins, specifically cyclin D1 and cyclin D2 ${ }^{121,129}$. Notably, hyperdiploidy and recurrent $\operatorname{IgH}$ translocations converge to directly, or indirectly, upregulate D-group cyclins (Figure 1.2). Therefore, deregulation of the G1-S cell cycle transition appears to be an early and unifying pathogenic event that drives the development of MGUS ${ }^{129}$.

### 1.2.4 Secondary genetic events

Secondary genetic events are thought to promote the transition from MGUS/SMM to MM and the progression from newly diagnosed MM to refractory MM/EMD/PCL ${ }^{110}$. Genetic lesions that have a higher frequency in MM patients compared to MGUS patients are generally considered to be secondary events ${ }^{2}$. Several different types of secondary genetic events are thought to contribute to malignant transformation in MM, including DNA mutations, translocations and copy number alterations (CNAs) ${ }^{145}$.

### 1.2.4.1 DNA mutations

The advent of massively parallel next-generation sequencing (NGS) of DNA has enabled the mutational spectrum of MM PCs to be assessed in large cohorts of patients ${ }^{146}$. Genes that are found to be affected by non-synonymous mutations more frequently than predicted from the background mutation rate are classified as being recurrently mutated, suggesting that they are drivers of MM development ${ }^{147,148}$. The NGS studies of newly diagnosed MM have revealed the absence of a universal driver mutation and instead demonstrated a high degree of interpatient heterogeneity ${ }^{120,122,147-149}$. Only a few recurrently mutated genes were found to be affected in greater than $10 \%$ of MM patients, but there was a "long tail" of genes that


Figure 1.2: Primary cytogenetic events converge to dysregulate the G1/S cell cycle checkpoint. MM initiating events, translocations involving the IgH locus and hyperdiploidy (HRD), either directly (indicated by solid lines) or indirectly (indicated by dashed lines) lead to the up-regulation of cyclin D1, cyclin D2 or cyclin D3. These D-group cyclins can all form complexes with cyclin-dependent kinase 4 (CDK4) and CDK6, which phosphorylate the retinoblastoma protein (RB), leading to its dissociation from the transcription factor E2F. This enables E2F to drive the transcription of genes required for progression through the G1/S cell cycle checkpoint. Adapted from Pawlyn et al., $2017^{50}$.
were recurrently mutated at a frequency below $5 \%{ }^{120,122,147-149}$. Up until recently, 16 genes had been identified as recurrently mutated in at least one whole exome sequencing (WES) study of MM patients (Figure 1.3) ${ }^{120,148,149}$. However, in the largest WES study of newly diagnosed MM patients to date $(\mathrm{n}=1,273)^{122}$, a combination of frequency and functionbased approaches led to the identification of an additional 47 mutated driver genes ${ }^{122}$.

The MM mutated driver genes cluster in particular pathways, suggesting that the dysregulation of these functions promotes disease progression ${ }^{120,122,150}$. Approximately $50 \%$ of MM patients have an oncogenic mutation in a gene involved in the pro-proliferative MEK/ERK signalling pathway, including $\operatorname{KRAS}(\sim 20 \%), N R A S(\sim 20 \%)$ and BRAF $(\sim 7 \%)^{120,122,148-150}$. Two MM driver genes with a relatively high mutation frequency are the RNA exonuclease DIS3 ( $\sim 10 \%$ ) and the non-canonical poly(A) polymerase FAM46C ( $\sim 9 \%$ ), which are both involved in RNA processing ${ }^{120,148,149}$. FAM46C was demonstrated to be a tumour suppressor that inhibits the proliferation and survival of MM PCs ${ }^{151,152}$, but the role of DIS3 in MM PCs remains to be determined ${ }^{122}$. In addition, negative regulators of the nuclear factor $\kappa$-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-кB) signalling pathway are affected by inactivating mutations in $\sim 11 \%$ of MM patients, including $\operatorname{TRAF3}(\sim 5 \%)$ and CYLD ( $\sim 3 \%)^{120,122,148-150}$. This leads to aberrant activation of the NF-кB pathway, which promotes the survival of MM PCs ${ }^{153,154}$. Furthermore, $\sim 24 \%$ of MM cases harbour a mutation in an epigenetic regulator, including HIST1HIE ( $\sim 4 \%$ ), ARID1A ( $\sim 2 \%$ ) and KMT2B ( $\sim 2 \%$ ), and $\sim 5 \%$ have a mutation in a gene involved in B cell development, including IRF4 ( $\sim 3 \%$ ) and PRDM1 ( $\sim 2 \%)^{122}$. DNA mutations were found to be of little prognostic value in $M^{127,150}$ with the notable exception of mutations in the crucial tumour suppressor gene TP53 ( $\sim 5 \%$ ), which have consistently been associated with poor patient outcomes ${ }^{120,150,155}$. However, several studies have shown that having an increased number of driver mutations, irrespective of the genes involved, is associated with reduced survival in newly diagnosed MM patients ${ }^{127,150}$.

### 1.2.4.2 Secondary translocations: MYC abnormalities

Chromosomal translocations that are not associated with class switch recombination are another type of secondary genetic event that is present in the PCs of MM patients. Most secondary translocations involve the MYC oncogene at 8 q 24 and are found in $\sim 20 \%$ of newly diagnosed MM patients ${ }^{125,156-159}$. The immunoglobulin genes constitute $\sim 40 \%$ of MYC translocation partners and other recurrent partner genes include FAM46C (9.5\%), FOXO3


Figure 1.3: Recurrently mutated genes in patients with newly diagnosed MM. The frequency of DNA mutations in the 16 genes that were found to be significantly mutated in at least two of the four WES studies of newly diagnosed MM (NDMM) patients to date are listed ${ }^{120,122,148,149}$. The function of each gene is also shown and the average mutation frequency across all four studies is displayed by the bars (right). Adapted from Manier et al. $2017^{145}$.
(6\%) and BMP6 (3.5\%) $)^{125}$. These translocations invariably juxtapose the MYC locus to a superenhancer associated with the partner gene, resulting in significant overexpression of $M Y C^{156-158}$. Other chromosomal rearrangements, including gains and inversions, have been identified at the MYC locus, such that MYC rearrangements are found in $\sim 50 \%$ of MM patients ${ }^{158}$. In addition, two thirds of MM patients were found to have a MYC activation gene expression signature, suggesting that there are alternative mechanisms by which MYC is aberrantly activated in $\mathrm{MM}^{160}$. Regarding prognostic significance, translocation/gain of MYC was found to be associated with significantly reduced PFS and OS of MM patients ${ }^{125,127,150,157}$. While some studies also found MYC rearrangements to be an independent negative prognostic factor in $\mathrm{MM}^{125,157}$, the most recent studies have not confirmed this in multivariate analyses ${ }^{127,150}$. Interestingly, a recent study showed that $1.5 \%$ of MM patients have a non-MYC secondary translocation that generates a fusion gene predicted to cause activation of oncogenic kinases involved in the MEK/ERK or NF-кB signalling pathways ${ }^{161}$.

### 1.2.4.3 Copy number alterations

CNAs, which are gains or losses of genomic regions ranging from a few kilobases up to whole chromosome arms, are common secondary genetic events in $\mathrm{MM}^{145}$. Recurrent CNAs most likely constitute driver events and thus one or more of the genes in the minimally affected region may be important for the pathogenesis of $\mathrm{MM}^{145}$. Deletions are thought to aid in MM development by disrupting an allele of one or more tumour suppressor genes in the lost region, leading to their inactivation by haploinsufficiency or in combination with a mutation/deletion of the second allele ${ }^{145}$. A recent study found that loss of heterozygosity $>4.6 \%$ across the genome was found to be associated with a significantly poorer prognosis in MM patients, suggesting that increased CNA-mediated tumour suppressor disruption promotes disease progression ${ }^{127}$. Conversely, recurrent copy number gains are thought to contribute to the pathogenesis of MM by increasing the expression of important oncogenes that promote PC growth and survival ${ }^{145}$.

Deletion of all or part of the long arm of chromosome 13, del(13q), is present in the PCs of $\sim 45 \%$ of newly diagnosed MM patients ${ }^{19-122,162,163}$. It is likely that del(13q) disrupts multiple tumour suppressor genes, but there are several pieces of evidence to suggest that $R B 1$ is a key target: (1) it is located in a minimally deleted region at $13 \mathrm{q} 14.2^{122,162}$, (2) it is significantly under-expressed in $\operatorname{del}(13 q)$ cases ${ }^{162}$ and (3) it is recurrently mutated in $\sim 2 \%$
of patients ${ }^{120,122,148}$. Other putative tumour suppressor genes affected by $\operatorname{del}(13 q)$ include DIS3 and metabolism-associated TGDS, which were also found to be recurrently mutated in $\mathrm{MM}^{122}$. While del(13q) was originally found to confer a poor prognosis ${ }^{177,119}$, subsequent studies found that this adverse impact on MM patient outcome was nullified when the association of del(13q) with high-risk cytogenetic lesions, such as $t(4 ; 14)$, were taken into account ${ }^{120,127,162}$.

Other recurrent chromosomal deletions in MM involve the long arm of chromosomes 14 and 16 , which are present in $\sim 14 \%$ and $\sim 19 \%$ of patients, respectively ${ }^{120-122}$. The negative regulators of the NF-kB pathway TRAF3 and CYLD are likely to be the critical tumour suppressor genes targeted by $\operatorname{del}(14 \mathrm{q})$ and $\operatorname{del}(16 \mathrm{q})$, respectively, as they are affected by recurrent mutations and homozygous deletions ${ }^{120,122,148,162}$. Biallelic inactivation of TRAF3 and CYLD has been found to occur in $5.2 \%$ and $2.6 \%$ of MM cases, respectively ${ }^{122}$, but was not found to impact the prognosis of MM patients ${ }^{120,127,162}$. Another commonly deleted region in MM is the short arm of chromosome 1, some portion of which is lost in $\sim 25 \%$ of patients ${ }^{120,122,162}$. Commonly deleted regions of 1 p include 1 p32.3 and 1 p 12 , which are lost in $\sim 9 \%$ and $\sim 15 \%$ of newly diagnosed MM cases, respectively ${ }^{120,122,162,164}$. Pro-apoptotic FAF1 (1p32.3), negative cell cycle regulator CDKN2C (1p32.3) and recurrently mutated FAM46C (1p12) were found to be affected by homozygous deletions, suggesting that these are at least some of the target tumour suppressor genes on $1 p^{162}$. Deletions of 1 p 32 and 1 p 12 have been associated with impaired OS $^{120,121,162,164,165}$ but were not found to be independent negative prognostic factors ${ }^{49,127}$.

There are two recurrent CNAs that have been identified as robust independent negative prognostic factors for OS in newly diagnosed $\mathrm{MM}^{145}$. One is the gain of the long arm of chromosome 1 , which is present in $\sim 35 \%$ of MM patients ${ }^{120-122,162}$ and was shown to be an independent predictor of inferior outcome ${ }^{120,127,162,166}$. However, recent studies found that only amplification of 1 q21 ( $\geq 4$ copies) was significantly associated with inferior OS, suggesting that quantifying the copy number of 1 q21 is key for identifying a very high-risk group of MM patients ${ }^{120,127}$. The minimally gained region at 1 q 21 contains several candidate oncogenes ${ }^{162}$, including the cyclin dependent kinase activator $C K S 1 B$ that has been shown to promote the growth and survival of human MM cell lines in vitro ${ }^{166-168}$. In a recent study, an RNA interference screen of 78 genes at 1q21 showed that the down-regulation of known MM survival factor MCL1 and five other genes (UBAP2L, INTS3, LASS2, KRTCAP2, and

ILF2) impaired HMCL proliferation and survival in vitro ${ }^{169}$. Of these genes, 1 q21 amplification-driven overexpression of ILF2 (interleukin enhancer binding factor 2) was found to promote HMCL survival in vivo by enhancing DNA damage repair and thus tolerance of genomic instability, suggesting that ILF2 overexpression may also be involved in the pathogenesis of 1 q 21 gains ${ }^{169}$.

The second recurrent CNA that is consistently found to be an independent adverse prognostic factor for MM patients is deletion of the short arm of chromosome 17, $\operatorname{del}(17 \mathrm{p})^{117,119-121,162}$. This deletion is present in $\sim 9 \%$ of MM patients and the main target is thought to be $T P 53^{120,122,162}$. A significant negative correlation between the percentage of PCs harbouring $\operatorname{del}(17 \mathrm{p})$ and the OS of MM patients has been shown, but there is a lack of consensus on the optimum percentage cut-off that should be used to identify high-risk patients ${ }^{170-173}$. Importantly, recent studies have found that the inferior prognosis associated with del(17p) is attributable to those patients that have biallelic inactivation of TP53, which occurs in $\sim 40 \%$ of cases with $\operatorname{del}(17 \mathrm{p})^{127,170}$. Hence, it has been suggested that the mutational, as well as the copy number, status of TP53 must be determined in order to accurately identify MM patients with truly high-risk disease ${ }^{127}$.

### 1.2.5 Gene expression changes

Global gene expression profiling (GEP), initially using microarray and later RNA sequencing technology, revealed that the transcriptome of MM PCs is significantly different to normal and MGUS PCs ${ }^{174-177}$. Interestingly, the difference in the transcriptome between normal and MGUS PCs was found to be greater than the difference between MGUS and MM PCs, suggesting that primary genetic events have a greater impact on gene expression than secondary events ${ }^{175,177}$. Genes differentially expressed in MM PCs were found to cluster in pathways relevant to disease, including cell cycle, apoptosis and MYC activation ${ }^{160,174,178}$. Hierarchical clustering of MM cases based on their transcriptome identified up to 11 different subgroups, which reflects the molecular heterogeneity evident in $\mathrm{MM}^{179,180}$. Most of the subgroups are strongly correlated with primary cytogenetic abnormalities but some are also associated with clinical features ${ }^{179,180}$. For example, the low bone lesion subgroup is characterised by low or no incidence of bone lesions, which is likely due to reduced expression of $D K K I{ }^{179,180}$. Notably, a number of these subgroups were found to be associated with high-risk disease, including the $t(4 ; 14)$-associated NSD2 subgroup and the $t(14 ; 16)$ and $t(14 ; 20)$-associated MAF/MAFB subgroups ${ }^{179-181}$. Further to this, GEP has been used to
identify certain gene expression signatures, consisting of between 4 and 92 genes, which are independent prognostic factors in $\mathrm{MM}^{182-189}$. While there is minimal overlap between the genes included in these signatures, a recent study found that simply averaging two of the existing signatures could reliably predict MM patient outcome ${ }^{190}$. Despite the predictive power of these signatures, GEP is not currently performed in general clinical practice due to issues with standardisation and unknown relevance to different therapeutic regimens ${ }^{191}$.

### 1.2.6 Epigenetic aberrations

Although the aberrant gene expression pattern in MM has been well characterised, the dysregulation of epigenetic mechanisms, including DNA methylation, histone modifications and microRNA expression, that contribute to these changes remains poorly understood. The fact that approximately a quarter of newly diagnosed MM patients have a driver mutation in an epigenetic gene that functions in histone acetylation (CREBBP, EP300), histone methylation (KDM6A, KMT2B, KMT2C, SETD2), DNA methylation (DNMT3A, IDH1, IDH2, TET2), or chromatin remodelling (ARID1A, ARID2) highlights the importance of epigenetic aberrations to the pathogenesis of $\mathrm{MM}^{122,192}$. Regarding DNA methylation, studies comparing the DNA methylome of normal or MGUS PCs to MM PCs have consistently shown that MM is characterised by widespread hypomethylation ${ }^{193-196}$, which is associated with genomic instability in cancer ${ }^{197}$. In addition, MM cases were found to have focal regions of gene-specific DNA hypermethylation embedded within the hypomethylation pattern ${ }^{194-196}$. Hypermethylation of CpG sites was found in the promoters of tumour suppressor genes, leading to their decreased expression, and was associated with adverse outcomes for some genes ${ }^{194,198}$. Another study found that hypermethylation of intronic enhancer regions was a feature of MM, resulting in reduced expression of the cognate genes ${ }^{196}$. Notably, the levels and patterns of DNA methylation in MM were found to be highly heterogeneous, which may be associated with the different primary cytogenetic lesions ${ }^{194,196}$.

Another layer of epigenetic regulation of gene expression is the post-translational modification of histones, which modulates the structure of chromatin. The relevance of aberrant histone modification in the development of MM is highlighted by the pathogenic nature of up-regulating the H3K36 methyltransferase NSD2 in $\mathrm{t}(4 ; 14)$ cases, which has been shown to significantly alter the histone methylation pattern and transcriptome of MM PCs ${ }^{130}$. Similar to the poor prognosis associated with NSD2 overexpression, up-regulation of
another histone methyltransferase, enhancer of zeste homologue 2 (EZH2), was also found to be associated with worse outcomes for MM patients ${ }^{199,200}$. EZH2 inhibitors were shown to cause cell cycle arrest and apoptosis of MM PCs in vitro, indicating that the maintenance of epigenetic deregulation is crucial for the growth and survival of tumour cells ${ }^{200}$. In addition, the expression of class I HDACs has been found to be increased in MM PCs compared to normal PCs and higher expression of HDAC1 in MM was shown to be associated with significantly shorter PFS and $\mathrm{OS}^{201}$. The HDAC inhibitor panobinostat was demonstrated to have anti-MM activity in vitro ${ }^{202}$ and significantly prolong the PFS of relapsed/refractory patients in combination with bortezomib and dexamethasone ${ }^{203}$, suggesting that aberrant HDAC activity is a key contributor to the growth and survival of MM PCs. Furthermore, a recent study found that there is widespread conversion of normally heterochromatic regions to active euchromatin in MM PCs, suggesting that chromatin decondensation contributes to the development of $\mathrm{MM}^{204}$.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small (18-22 nucleotides) endogenous non-coding RNAs that post-transcriptionally regulate gene expression in a sequence-specific manner. An important role for miRNA-mediated regulation of gene expression in the development of MM is suggested by the finding that MM PCs have a miRNA signature that is distinct from MGUS PCs and normal PCs ${ }^{205-208}$. For example, the oncogenic miR17-92 cluster was found to be significantly up-regulated and the tumour suppressor miRNAs mir-15a and mir-16 were found to be significantly down-regulated in $\mathrm{MM} \mathrm{PCs}^{205-208}$. The oncogenic/tumour suppressor role of these miRNAs was confirmed by the finding that $m i R-19 a / b$ antagonists and mir15-a or mir-16 mimics inhibit the growth of HMCLs in vivo ${ }^{205,206}$. In addition, the tumour suppressor let-7 family of miRNAs, the targets of which include MYC, KRAS and CCND1, was found to be down-regulated in MM, and a let-7b mimic reduced MM tumour growth in vivo ${ }^{209}$. The activity of the key tumour suppressor TP53 may also be regulated by miRNAs, as the expression of $m i R-192,-194$, and -215 were found to be reduced in MM compared to MGUS, leading to increased levels of the TP53 inhibitor MDM2 ${ }^{210}$. Notably, the miRNA expression profile in circulating exosomes was shown to be significantly different in MM patients compared to healthy controls ${ }^{211}$. For example, let- $7 b$ levels were significantly reduced in the MM exosomes, and low let-7b was associated with an adverse outcome in MM patients ${ }^{211}$. Hence, profiling circulating exosomal miRNAs may be novel non-invasive risk stratification approach for newly diagnosed MM patients.

### 1.2.7 Clonal heterogeneity and evolution

In addition to the considerable interpatient heterogeneity of genetic driver events in MM, NGS studies have revealed that there is also significant intrapatient heterogeneity ${ }^{148,149,212-}$ ${ }^{216}$. MM tumours were shown to be composed of multiple genetically heterogeneous subpopulations of cells called subclones, with a median of six subclones present at diagnosis per patient ${ }^{217}$. These subclones share a common pool of clonal mutations, which likely represent lesions that occurred early in disease development, but also harbour distinct subclonal mutations, which likely occurred later in MM progression ${ }^{148,149,212-216}$. Many secondary genetic events that are considered key drivers of MM development can be clonal or subclonal, including $K R A S$ and $N R A S$ mutations, suggesting that they can occur at various stages of disease ${ }^{148,149}$. In addition, NGS analysis of MM tumour samples collected from separate sites within a patient at the same timepoint has revealed that $75 \%$ of patients display spatial heterogeneity ${ }^{218}$. Some MM patients were found to have subclones harbouring highrisk genetic drivers, such as biallelic inactivation of TP53, in some BM sites but not others ${ }^{218}$. Hence, the current practice of performing genetic analyses on BM samples from a single site may lead to inaccurate risk classification, and thus sub-optimal clinical management, of MM patients.

The subclonal architecture of a tumour can evolve over time and this is thought to occur in a Darwinian manner in which subclones compete with one another for growth and survival under selective pressures, such as immune surveillance and treatment ${ }^{219}$. Analyses of the subclonal structure of paired tumour samples collected from the same MM patient prior to treatment and at relapse has revealed several patterns of clonal evolution ${ }^{149,214,217,220,221}$. Approximately two thirds of MM patients exhibit branching evolution, which is characterised by both the loss of some subclones and the gain of other subclones with novel mutations ${ }^{217}$. A pattern of linear evolution is observed in $\sim 20 \%$ of cases in which genetic lesions are gained but there is no apparent loss of clones ${ }^{217}$. Both of these patterns of evolution are most commonly seen in patients who achieve a deep response to treatment and result from the emergence of minor, pre-existing resistant subclones ${ }^{217}$. In the remaining $\sim 15 \%$ of patients there is no change in the subclones at relapse, which is termed a stable evolutionary pattern ${ }^{217}$. In a recent study, stable evolution was only observed in cases that did not achieve a complete response to therapy, suggesting that a dominant, innatelyresistant clone was present at diagnosis ${ }^{217}$. In those patients with branching or linear evolution, an increase in mutational load was observed at relapse due to the emergence of
novel clones that had additional acquired lesions, including gain of 1q, biallelic inactivation of TP53 and MYC translocations ${ }^{217,220,221}$. Notably, studies in which MM patients were treated uniformly showed that there was no unifying mutation that emerged, suggesting that resistance/relapse occurs through multiple mechanisms ${ }^{217,220}$. Hence, in order to effectively achieve long-term control of MM and prevent relapse, therapies will need to target clonal drivers or be used in combination.

### 1.2.8 Oncogene dependencies

The increasing numbers of newly diagnosed MM patients undergoing in-depth genetic analysis has enabled the relationships, or oncogenic dependencies, between driver events to be comprehensively assessed ${ }^{120,122,150}$. Recent studies have revealed patterns of co-occurring and mutually exclusive cytogenetic events, CNAs and mutations in MM patients, with primary IgH translocations and hyperdiploidy events found to have positive and negative associations with distinct secondary genetic hits (Table 1.3) ${ }^{120,122,150}$. These data suggest that the selective advantage of emergent genetic hits is predetermined by existing lesions in clonal PCs ${ }^{122}$. In most MM tumours, KRAS and NRAS mutations were found to exhibit mutual exclusivity ${ }^{120,148,150}$ and, when they did co-occur in a patient, they were shown to be within separate subclones of the tumour ${ }^{216}$. This is consistent with mutations in either of these genes causing activation of the MAPK/ERK pathway and thus being functionally redundant ${ }^{148,216}$.

Some of the most significant positive associations were found to be between primary IgH translocations and mutations within the partner oncogene on derivative chromosome $14^{120,122,125}$. While the mutational signature suggests that the partner genes were altered by AID, the mutations were non-randomly distributed in the genes, suggesting that they are likely to be co-operative driver, not passenger, events ${ }^{125}$. Another common type of positive association was the co-occurrence of a CNA and a mutation that collaborated to cause the biallelic inactivation of a tumour suppressor gene, including del(17p) and TP53 mutation, $\operatorname{del}(13 \mathrm{q})$ and $D I S 3$ mutation and $\operatorname{del}(16 \mathrm{q})$ and $C Y L D$ mutation ${ }^{122,150}$. The potential mechanism of co-operativity between other significantly co-occurring lesions, such as hyperdiploidy and FAM46C mutations, are not immediately apparent and require further investigation ${ }^{122}$. Furthermore, specific mutations within a gene were shown to have a variable frequency between cytogenetic subgroups, for example, $B R A F^{\mathrm{D} 594 \mathrm{~N}}$ was uniquely

Table 1.3: Oncogenic dependencies between primary and secondary genetic events in MM. The secondary translocations, gene mutations (indicated by gene names) and CNAs that were found to significantly co-occur (positive association) or be mutually exclusive (negative association) with primary cytogenetic events in newly diagnosed MM patients. Data from Walker et al., 2018 ${ }^{122}$.

| Primary event | Secondary events |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Positive association | Negative association |
| Hyperdiploidy | t(MYC), FAM46C, +6p | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IRF4, PRKD2, CCND1, } \\ & \text { DIS3, MAX, -4p, }-13 \mathrm{q}, \\ & -14 \mathrm{q} \end{aligned}$ |
| t(4;14) | $\begin{gathered} \text { FGFR } 3, \text { DIS3, PRKD } 2, \\ -1 \mathrm{p},-4 \mathrm{p},-11 \mathrm{q},-12 \mathrm{p},-13 \mathrm{q}, \\ -14 \mathrm{q},+1 \mathrm{q} \end{gathered}$ | NRAS, $\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{MYC}),-16 \mathrm{q}$ |
| $\mathrm{t}(11 ; 14)$ | CCND1, IRF4 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{t}(M Y C),-1 \mathrm{p},-4 \mathrm{p},-8 \mathrm{p}, \\ -13 \mathrm{q},-14 \mathrm{q},-16 \mathrm{q},+1 \mathrm{q},+6 \mathrm{p} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{t}(14 ; 16)$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { BRAF, DIS3, TRAF2, } \\ -13 \mathrm{q},+1 \mathrm{q} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - |
| $\mathrm{t}(14 ; 20)$ | - | - |
| t(6;14) | $-14 \mathrm{q},-16 \mathrm{q}$ | - |

predominant in the $\mathrm{t}(14 ; 16)$ subgroup, whereas $B R A F^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ was the most common variant in the other subgroups ${ }^{122}$. This suggests that distinct mutations within the same gene may vary in their mechanism of action and thus the selective advantage they confer on different genetic backgrounds.

### 1.2.9 Progression from MGUS/SMM to MM

Understanding the mechanisms by which disease progresses from asymptomatic MGUS and SMM to overt MM is crucial for identifying key therapeutic targets that promote malignancy. With respect to primary cytogenetic events, both MGUS and SMM patients with $t(4 ; 14)$ or hyperdiploidy were found to have an increased risk of disease progression, despite the fact that hyperdiploid MM is considered to be of standard risk ${ }^{43,222-224}$. Notably, many of the recurrent mutations, CNAs and cytogenetic rearrangements in MM that are generally considered to be secondary events are also found at the MGUS/SMM stages, albeit at a lower frequency ${ }^{144,225-228}$. Such lesions, including gain $1 q$ and del(13q) $)^{228}$, may further prime immortalised PCs for malignant transformation but are unlikely to drive disease progression to overt $\mathrm{MM}^{229}$. Conversely, there are some lesions that are absent or found at a very low frequency in MGUS patients, such as TP53 mutations/deletions and MYC rearrangements, which likely constitute genuine drivers of progression to overt $\mathrm{MM}^{226,228}$. A small number of NGS studies have examined paired MGUS/SMM and MM samples from the same patient, showing that the transition to overt malignancy is associated with an altered pattern, but not load, of mutations and $\mathrm{CNAs}^{215,230-232}$. There was no gene or pathway found to be universally disrupted during the progression from asymptomatic to symptomatic disease, suggesting that there are varied molecular mechanisms by which the transition from MGUS to MM occurs ${ }^{231,232}$. Mutations in several genes that are recurrently affected in MM were found to be associated with the MGUS/SMM to MM transition, including KRAS, DIS3, SP140, TRAF3, PRDM1 and IRF4, suggesting that these lesions may be key drivers of disease progression ${ }^{232}$. However, the potential role of $K R A S$ mutations in driving malignant transformation is undermined by the fact that they were also found in MGUS patients ${ }^{232}$ and were not associated with reduced TTP ${ }^{228}$.

NGS studies of PCs from MGUS/SMM patients have shown that there is a similar degree of clonal heterogeneity present compared to MM PCs, suggesting that disease evolution is already occurring at early stages of the disease ${ }^{215,230-232}$. Paired MGUS/SMM and MM analyses have revealed that stable or branching patterns of clonal evolution are present
during the transition from MGUS/SMM to $\mathrm{MM}^{231,232}$. The evidence of a stable evolutionary pattern in some patients indicates that the clones capable of causing symptomatic disease are already present at pre-symptomatic stages of disease ${ }^{231,232}$. This finding suggests that PCextrinsic factors, such as inhibition of microenvironment-mediated growth control, may play an important role in promoting malignant transformation. Consistent with this, primary MGUS PCs were shown to be capable of progressive expansion in the BM of a humanised mouse strain, with different minor subclones reproducibly emerging in the xenotransplant compared to the baseline tumour sample ${ }^{233}$. However, branching evolution was also observed in the MGUS/SMM to MM transition, which was associated with a longer TTP compared to stable evolution ${ }^{231}$. This suggests that the acquisition of additional genetic drivers is required to enable malignant transformation in some patients. Overall, it is likely that the progression from asymptomatic MGUS/SMM to overt MM requires the accumulation of specific PC-intrinsic driver lesions, as well as changes to PC-extrinsic factors in the BM microenvironment.

### 1.2.10 Transgenic mouse models of MM

Transgenic mouse models are important tools for demonstrating the driver status of recurrent genetic abnormalities in malignancy. However, many of the transgenic mice that were generated to model MM have been of limited relevance because the transgenes investigated were not observed to be mutated or dysregulated in the PCs of MM patients ${ }^{234,235}$, and/or the transgenes were expressed in early B cells, resulting in lymphomas and extra-medullary plasmacytomas rather than an MM-like disease ${ }^{236,237}$. For example, overexpression of Maf, in the B cell lineage resulted in the development of B cell lymphomas, not MM, in aged mice ${ }^{238}$. That said, a proportion of the Maf mice did display some clinical features of MM, such as increased M protein levels and a plasmablastic phenotype ${ }^{238}$. While the phenotype of these mice suggested that MAF overexpression promotes B cell tumorigenesis, its exact role in promoting the development of MM remains to be completely elucidated.

A transgenic mouse model that faithfully recapitulates the key aspects of MM disease is the Vk*MYC model. In C57BL/6 mice harbouring the $\mathrm{Vk}^{*} \mathrm{MYC}$ transgene, MYC overexpression is sporadically activated by somatic hypermutation and thus only occurs in post-germinal centre B cells ${ }^{239}$. The resulting PC proliferative disorder has an indolent disease course and shares many of the biological and clinical features of human MM, including BM localisation of tumour, progressive increases in M protein, kidney damage
and bone lesions ${ }^{239}$. The malignant nature of the expanded PCs was confirmed by their ability to be successfully transplanted into syngeneic mice ${ }^{239}$. Crucially, overexpression of MYC by the Vk*MYC transgene did not cause MM in the Balb/c mouse strain, which, unlike the C57BL/6 strain, are not prone to developing MGUS ${ }^{158}$. These data strongly suggest that the up-regulation of MYC can cause the progression from MGUS to MM, as was hypothesised from the prevalence of MYC activation in MM PCs but not MGUS PCs ${ }^{160}$. To continue improving the understanding of MM disease biology, further in vivo exploration of the role played by one, or a combination of co-occurring, putative driver mutations in the development of MM is warranted.

### 1.3 SAMSN1

### 1.3.1 Gene, mRNA and protein

SAM domain, $\underline{\text { SH3 }}$ domain and nuclear localization signals 1 (SAMSN1), also known as SASH2/NASH1/HACS1/SLy2, was first identified in a study of genes expressed in MM and is localised on human chromosome 21 ( q 11.2$)^{240}$. There are three verified transcript variants of SAMSN1 and the canonical sequence encodes a 373 amino acid protein isoform ${ }^{241}$. The SAMSN1 protein contains an N-terminal nuclear localisation sequence, a src homology 3 (SH3) domain in the middle of the protein and a sterile alpha motif (SAM) domain toward the C-terminus of the protein ${ }^{240,242}$. Both SH 3 and SAM domains are protein interaction modules, with SH3 binding to proline-rich regions and SAM binding to both SAM and nonSAM domains ${ }^{240,242}$. The co-occurrence of SH3 and SAM domains in a protein like SAMSN1 often indicates that it has an adaptor or scaffolding function ${ }^{241}$. SAMSN1 is expressed highly in the haematopoietic compartment, including peripheral blood lymphocytes, immune tissues and the BM, and to a lesser extent in other tissues, including the heart, lung and brain ${ }^{240,242}$. Despite the presence of a nuclear localisation signal, SAMSN1 was found to primarily localise to the cytoplasm ${ }^{240}$. SAMSN1 belongs to the SH3 domain protein expressed in the lymphocyte (SLy) family of proteins that also contains SASH3 (SLY/HACS2), which is highly homologous to SAMSN1, and SASH1, which contains an additional SAM domain (Figure 1.4) ${ }^{240,242}$. SASH3 is located on the X chromosome and is expressed exclusively in lymphocytes ${ }^{243}$, whereas $\mathrm{SASH1}$ is ubiquitously expressed and found on the long arm of chromosome $6^{244}$. The genes encoding this family of proteins have orthologues in rodents, birds, reptiles and ray-finned fish, suggesting that they are highly evolutionarily conserved and thus have important functions ${ }^{245}$.


Figure 1.4: SLY family of proteins. A schematic representation of the $\mathrm{SASH} 3, \mathrm{SASH1}$ and SAMSN1 mRNA transcripts and the SAMSN1 protein. The location of the conserved SH3 and SAM domains are highlighted. Adapted from Weidmann, $2015^{246}$.

### 1.3.2 Functions in normal cells

SAMSN1 was shown to be significantly up-regulated in human and mouse splenic B cells following treatment with IL-4 and other B cell activators, including anti-IgM and anti$\mathrm{CD} 40^{241}$. This induction of SAMSN1 was found to involve multiple signalling molecules, including signal transducer and activator of transcription 6 (STAT6), phosphoinositide 3kinase (PI3K), protein kinase $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{PKC})$, and NF- $\mathrm{KB}^{241}$. In addition, in primary murine B cells, Samsn 1 mRNA expression was found to be increased in activated germinal centre B cells in comparison to resting mature B cells ${ }^{247}$. Notably, the overexpression of Samsn1 in murine B cells was found to inhibit proliferation in response to activating stimuli ${ }^{241}$. Together, these data suggest that SAMSN1 is induced by BCR stimulation and negatively regulates the resultant activation of B cells. In keeping with this observation, Samsn1 was found to affect the function of B and T cells from Samsnl knockout/transgenic mice compared to wildtype (WT) mice, despite the size of B and T cell populations in the BM and spleen not being affected ${ }^{245,248,249}$. Increased B cell and T cell proliferation in vitro and enhanced humoral immune responses in vivo were observed in Samsnl $1^{-/}$mice compared to WT mice ${ }^{245}$. In contrast, Samsn 1 transgenic mice were found to have reduced serum IgM levels at baseline, and following immunisation, compared to WT mice ${ }^{248}$. Together, these data suggest that SAMSN1 is an immunoinhibitory adaptor in normal B and T lymphocytes. Interestingly, functional deletion of homologous Sash3 in mice resulted in decreased lymphocyte function, suggesting that, in contrast to SAMSN1, SASH3 promotes activation of adaptive immunity.

In relation to the mechanism by which SAMSN1 attenuates B cell responses, SAMSN1 was found to associate with tyrosine phosphorylated proteins in the BJAB B cell lymphoma cell line following BCR stimulation ${ }^{241}$. In addition, a yeast two-hybrid screen identified paired immunoglobulin-like receptor B (PIR-B), a negative regulator of B cell activation that is phosphorylated following BCR engagement ${ }^{250}$, as a putative interaction partner of SAMSN1 ${ }^{241}$. This was supported by the finding that the overexpressed cytoplasmic tail of PIR-B bound to endogenous SAMSN1 in BJAB cells, although an association between endogenous PIR-B and Samsn1 in primary murine B cells was not detected ${ }^{241}$. These data suggest that SAMSN1's inhibition of B cell activation may be mediated by binding to PIRB and enhancing negative regulation of BCR signalling. In addition, SAMSN1 has been implicated in the epigenetic control of gene expression ${ }^{251}$. When overexpressed in Jurkat acute T cell lymphoma cells and human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293T cells, SAMSN1, while found predominantly in the cytoplasm, was also present in the nucleus, with the
subcellular localisation shown to be controlled by interactions with 14-3-3 proteins ${ }^{251}$. In the nuclei of these cells, overexpressed SAMSN1 co-immunoprecipitated with overexpressed Sin3-associated polypeptide 30 (SAP30) and HDAC1 ${ }^{251}$, which are both members of the Sin3 transcriptional co-repressor complex ${ }^{252}$. In addition, the presence of overexpressed SAMSN1 in lysates from HEK293T cells increased the deacetylase activity of overexpressed HDAC1 in vitro ${ }^{251}$. Although there are no reports of these findings being replicated with endogenously expressed proteins in normal B cells, these data suggest that SAMSN1 may be capable of directly modulating gene expression in B cells, resulting in the inhibition of adaptive immune responses.

Another mechanism by which SAMSN1 may mediate its immunoinhibitory effects has also been proposed. Overexpression of SAMSN1 in HeLa cervical adenocarcinoma cells was found to cause morphological changes, including increased formation of actin-rich membrane ruffles in which SAMSN1 co-localised with polymerised F-actin ${ }^{249}$. This SAMSN1-mediated remodelling of the actin cytoskeleton was demonstrated to be dependent on the SH3 domain of SAMSN1 and the activity of the small Rho GTPase Rac ${ }^{249}$, which is known to control the formation of branched actin structures in membrane ruffles ${ }^{253,254}$. In addition, SAMSN1 was found to interact in an SH3-dependent manner with cortactin, an activator of Arp2/3 complex-mediated actin polymerization ${ }^{255}$, suggesting that SAMSN1 may affect cytoskeletal remodelling by modulating the activity of cortactin ${ }^{249}$. Furthermore, SAMSN1 was shown to enhance the spreading of HeLa cells on a gelatin-coated surface ${ }^{249}$. In contrast, B cells from Samsn1 transgenic mice exhibited significantly reduced cell spreading on an anti-IgM-coated surface compared to B cells from WT mice ${ }^{249}$. In the primary B cells from the transgenic mice, Samsn1 was demonstrated to bind endogenous Hs1, the lymphocyte-specific homologue of cortactin ${ }^{256}$. The difference in the effect of Samsn1 on cell spreading between HeLa and murine B cells may relate to the contrasting properties of normal motile B lymphocytes and transformed epithelial HeLa cells, including the cell type-specific differences in the proteins that interact with SAMSN1/Samsn1. Notably, cell spreading is crucial for maximising the interaction of lymphocytes with antigen, which promotes immune cell activation ${ }^{257}$. Hence, these data suggest that SAMSN1 may negatively regulate adaptive immune responses by inhibiting cytoskeletal remodellingdependent immune synapse formation. Notably, fellow SLY family member SASH1 has also been found to interact with cortactin and modulate actin cytoskeletal remodelling, resulting in increased cell adhesion and decreased cell migration ${ }^{258,259}$.

### 1.3.3 Role in cancer

### 1.3.3.1 Identification as a putative tumour suppressor in MM

SAMSN1 has recently been implicated as having a tumour suppressor role in MM. The first piece of evidence suggesting this came from a comparative study of the closely-related C57BL/KaLwRij (KaLwRij) and C57BL/6 mouse strains ${ }^{260,261}$. Both the C57BL/6 and KaLwRij strains develop an MGUS-like benign clonal PC proliferative disorder at the same rate of $\sim 60-70 \%$ by two years old ${ }^{262}$, but KaLwRij mice are unique in their ability to spontaneously develop MM, albeit at a low frequency of $\sim 0.5 \%$ in mice over two years old $^{263,264}$. Hence, any genetic differences between the two strains of mice may contribute to the predisposition of KaLwRij mice to develop MM, which may also be relevant to the pathogenesis of human MM. Transcriptomic analysis of the BM from both mouse strains revealed that one of the most differentially expressed genes was Samsn1, which was found to be 52 -fold down-regulated in the BM of KaLwRij mice compared to WT C57BL/6 mice ${ }^{260}$. In fact, the expression of Samsnl was shown to be completely lost in the BM of KaLwRij mice, including normal PCs, and in all the other cells/tissues tested ${ }^{260}$. This loss of Samsnl expression was found to be caused by a 180 kb homozygous deletion on chromosome 16 in the KaLwRij genome, which entirely encompasses Samsnl but not any other genes ${ }^{260}$. This finding was confirmed in a subsequent study, which through SNP array profiling found that the Samsnl deletion was the most striking structural variant in the genome of KaLwRij mice compared to WT mice ${ }^{261}$. These findings suggested that the loss of Samsn1 may contribute to the capability of KaLwRij mice to progress from MGUS to MM.

The potential role of Samsn1 as a tumour suppressor gene in murine MM was assessed using an immunocompetent 5 T murine model of MM (5TMM). The 5TMM model is based on the finding that the transplantation of diseased BM from aged KaLwRij mice intravenously (i.v.) into young syngeneic recipients resulted in the development of MM, which recapitulated many features of human MM, including PC tumour growth in the BM, paraprotein production and lytic bone disease ${ }^{263,264}$. Subsequently, distinct MM cell lines were generated from different KaLwRij donor mice, including the $5 \mathrm{~T}^{263-266}, 5 \mathrm{~T} 33 \mathrm{vv}^{264}, 5 \mathrm{~T} 33 \mathrm{vt}^{267}$ and 5TGM1 (5T33 subclone) ${ }^{268}$ lines. The 5T2 and 5T33vv lines can only be passaged in vivo, while the 5 T 33 vt and 5TGM1 cell lines are readily cultivated in vitro, enabling them to be genetically modified and maximising their utility for in vivo studies ${ }^{269,270}$. Using the 5TGM1/KaLwRij MM model, the enforced re-expression of Samsn1 in 5TGM1 cells was
found to significantly inhibit MM tumour development and associated bone disease compared to control 5TGM1 cells in vivo ${ }^{260}$. This suggested that the loss of Samsn1 promotes MM development in the KaLwRij model of murine MM. In relation to human MM, in silico analysis of microarray gene expression data from PCs revealed that the expression of SAMSN1 was significantly lower in MM patients compared to PCs from MGUS patients and normal controls ${ }^{260}$. In addition, reduced SAMSN1 expression was found to be significantly associated with adverse clinical parameters in MM patients, including increased tumour burden and lower $\mathrm{OS}^{260}$. Together, these data suggested that SAMSN1 may also be a tumour suppressor gene in human MM and a reduction in its expression may promote the transition from MGUS to MM.

### 1.3.3.2 Role in other malignancies

SAMSN1 was found to have reduced expression, and was thus implicated as a tumour suppressor gene, in several malignancies besides MM. The first description of reduced SAMSN1 expression was in lung cancer, in which loss of heterozygosity at 21 q 21 is a common abnormality ${ }^{271}$. SAMSN1 was one of eight genes located within a homozygously deleted region on 21 q 21 in a lung cancer cell line, but was the only gene with expression levels that were decreased in all the lung cancer cell lines tested compared to normal lung tissue ${ }^{271}$. This suggested that SAMSN1 may be a key target of the 21 q 21 deletion and thus a tumour suppressor gene in lung cancer ${ }^{271}$. In addition, ulcerative colitis patients with colon cancer were found to have significantly lower expression of SAMSN1 compared to those patients without cancer, suggesting that SAMSN1 may inhibit the transition from preneoplastic lesions to overt malignancy in colorectal cancer ${ }^{272}$. Furthermore, SAMSN1 mRNA expression was found to be lower in cancerous tissues compared to normal adjacent tissue from gastric cancer and hepatocellular carcinoma patients ${ }^{273,274}$. Low SAMSN1 expression in these cancers was found to be associated with increased tumour size and decreased OS, suggesting that SAMSN1 may also be a tumour suppressor gene in gastric cancer and hepatocellular carcinoma ${ }^{273,274}$. In contrast, another study found that the expression of SAMSN1 was increased in glioma compared to normal brain tissue and high expression of SAMSN1 was associated with reduced survival for patients with glioblastoma multiforme ${ }^{275}$. This suggests that the role of SAMSN1 in malignancy may be cell-type specific. Notably, fellow SLY protein family member SASH1 was also shown to be down-regulated and associated with adverse clinical features in a range of cancers, including breast cancer ${ }^{244}$, colon cancer ${ }^{276}$ and osteosarcoma ${ }^{277}$.

### 1.3.3.3 Mechanism of disruption in cancer

In relation to the mechanism by which the expression of SAMSN1 is reduced in cancers, $\sim 30 \%$ of human lung cancers have a 21 q deletion, suggesting that deletion may be at least one way by which the expression of SAMSN1 is reduced in this malignancy ${ }^{278}$. However, gain, not loss, of the long arm of chromosome 21 is a recurrent CNA in MM patients and thus the down-regulation of SAMSN1 expression is likely to occur by another mechanism ${ }^{162}$. In addition, DNA mutation is unlikely to be the cause of reduced SAMSN1 expression in MM PCs, as only one SAMSN1 mutation has been identified in NGS studies of cancers, which was a nonsense mutation in a patient with angioimmunoblastic T cell lymphoma ${ }^{279}$. However, DNA methylation at specific CpG sites in the SAMSN1 promoter were found to correspond with SAMSN1 expression levels in most human MM cell lines ${ }^{260}$. In addition, treatment with the DNA de-methylating agent 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine was able to significantly increase SAMSN1 expression in many of the HMCLs with SAMSN1 promoter hypermethylation ${ }^{260}$. Hypermethylation of the SAMSN1 promoter and associated reduced expression of SAMSN1 was also observed in hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines ${ }^{273}$. This suggests that aberrant hypermethylation of the SAMSN1 promoter may be a key mechanism by which SAMSN1 expression is reduced in MM and other malignancies ${ }^{260,273}$. While a correlation between SAMSN1 methylation and expression is yet to be established in MM patient samples, the potential down-regulation of SAMSN1 by promoter hypermethylation is consistent with the known hypermethylation of tumour suppressor genes in MM PCs ${ }^{194,198}$.

### 1.3.3.4 Mechanism of action in cancer

To date there has been limited investigation of the potential mechanisms by which SAMSN1 suppresses cancers, including MM. Given that SAMSN1 has been shown to inhibit the proliferation of normal B cells following BCR stimulation ${ }^{241,245}$ it is conceivable that SAMSN1 may limit the proliferation of MM PCs. While another group found that Samsn1 significantly reduced the proliferation of 5TGM1 cells under basal conditions ${ }^{261}$, our group observed reduced proliferation of Samsn1-expressing 5TGM1 cells only when they were cocultured with KaLwRij-derived BMSCs in vitro ${ }^{260}$. Our group also showed that Samsn1expressing 5TGM1 cells and SAMSN1-expressing HMCLs display increased adhesion to BM stroma in vitro ${ }^{260}$. Interestingly, overexpression of SAMSN1 in human lung cancer cell lines was not found to affect cell proliferation in vitro ${ }^{271}$. Collectively, these data suggest that SAMSN1's suppression of MM development may be mediated, at least in part, by
limiting the proliferation of MM PCs, potentially in a BM microenvironment-dependent manner. Notably, SASH1 has been shown to have a range of tumour suppressor effects in epithelial cancer cells, including inhibiting the proliferation, viability and migration/invasion of malignant cells ${ }^{277,280,281}$. This suggests that there may be mechanisms beyond attenuating proliferation that contribute to the tumour suppressor effect of SAMSN1 in MM. Importantly, despite KaLwRij mice being Samsn1--, they only develop spontaneous MM with a long latency and low penetrance ${ }^{263,264}$. This suggests that Samsn1 loss is necessary, but not sufficient, to cause MM in KaLwRij mice. Hence, it is likely that SAMSNI downregulation co-operates with other genetic/epigenetic "hits" to drive the development of malignant PCs.

### 1.4 Summary and aims

MM is the second most common haematological malignancy in adults, which is characterized by the clonal expansion of malignant PCs in the $\mathrm{BM}^{1}$. Recent genomic studies have revealed that MM is a genetically heterogenous disease and the genetic aberrations that drive MM development and progression are incompletely understood ${ }^{120,122,232}$. It was previously revealed that Samsn1 is homozygously deleted in the KaLwRij mouse strain ${ }^{260}$, which can develop an MM-like malignancy in old age ${ }^{263,264}$. In addition, the re-expression of Samsn1 in the KaLwRij-derived 5TGM1 MM PC line significantly inhibited tumour development following i.v. inoculation into syngeneic KaLwRij mice ${ }^{260}$. This suggests that Samsn1, a putative adaptor protein that has been shown to negatively regulate B cell responses ${ }^{241,245}$, is a tumour suppressor in the context of murine MM. However, the fact that MM only develops in Samsn1 $1^{-/}$KaLwRij mice with late onset and incomplete penetrance ${ }^{264}$ suggests that Samsn1 loss must co-operate with other acquired genetic changes to promote the development of MM. In relation to human MM, SAMSN1 expression was found to be significantly reduced in the PCs from MM patients compared to healthy controls and SAMSN1 down-regulation was shown to be significantly associated with increased PC burden and reduced $\mathrm{OS}^{260,261}$. These data are consistent with SAMSN1 also potentially having a tumour suppressor role in the context of human MM. However, the functional role of SAMSN1 in human MM cells has not yet been empirically investigated. Furthermore, the underlying molecular mechanism(s) by which Samsn1/SAMSN1 suppresses MM remains to be determined.

The studies in this thesis were designed to address the following aims:

1. Identify and investigate potential genetic aberrations that may co-operate with the downregulation of SAMSN1 to promote the development and/or progression of MM.
2. Determine the mechanism(s) by which Samsn1 inhibits 5TGM1 tumour growth in vivo. 3. Investigate the functional role of SAMSN1 in human MM cells.

## 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Molecular biology

### 2.1.1 RNA techniques

### 2.1.1.1 RNA-sequencing

Total RNA was extracted from 5TGM1 cells using the RNeasy Mini Kit and the RNase-Free DNase Set (QIAGEN), according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA quantity was determined using the Qubit ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ RNA BR Assay Kit and Qubit ${ }^{\text {TM }} 2$ Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific), according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA quality was determined using the RNA 6000 Nano kit on the Bioanalyzer 2200 (Agilent) and all samples had an RNA integrity number $>8$. Library construction and RNA-sequencing with the NextSeq ${ }^{\circledR} 500$ (Illumina) were performed by the David Gunn Genomics Facility (SAHMRI, Adelaide). RNA-seq libraries were prepared using NEXTflex ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Rapid Directional mRNASeq Kit Bundle with RNA-Seq Barcodes and poly(A) beads (BIOO Scientific), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Initial raw read processing was performed using an in-house pipeline developed at SAHMRI. Briefly, raw single-end FASTQ reads were aligned to the GRCh38/mm10 version of the mouse genome using the transcriptome algorithm STAR. After alignment, mapped sequence reads were summarised to the mm10 gene intervals using the tool featureCounts, available through the package RSubread. Quality control assessment was performed using FastQC, followed by data filtering for low counts. Differential gene expression analysis was then undertaken using limma-voom in R v3.5.1. Gene ontology analysis was performed using the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID ) v6.8 available at [https://david.ncifcrf.gov/home.jsp](https://david.ncifcrf.gov/home.jsp) ${ }^{282}$.

### 2.1.1.2 Total RNA isolation

Total RNA was isolated from purified primary mouse CD138 ${ }^{+}$PCs using the All Prep DNA/RNA Micro Kit (QIAGEN). Total RNA from cell lines was extracted from 5-10 x $10^{6}$ cells using TRIzol ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Reagent (Invitrogen). Briefly, cells were lysed in 1 mL TRIzol ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ and 0.2 mL of chloroform was added. The TRIzol ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ and chloroform were mixed by vigorous shaking and incubated for 3 minutes at room temperature. Samples were centrifuged at $12,000 \mathrm{xg}$ and $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes to separate phases, and the RNA-containing aqueous phase was collected. Total RNA was precipitated by the addition of 0.5 mL isopropanol and $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ $(20 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ ribonuclease-free glycogen (Roche) and incubated at room temperature for 10 minutes. The RNA was pelleted by centrifugation at $12,000 \mathrm{xg}$ and $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes and then washed with $75 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ ethanol. The RNA was resuspended in UltraPure ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ DNase/RNase-Free Distilled Water (nuclease-free (NF) water; Invitrogen) and incubated at
$60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes to facilitate solubilisation. The concentration of RNA in solution was determined by measuring the absorption at 260 nm on a NanoDrop ${ }^{\text {TM }} 8000$ Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). RNA was stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.1.1.3 Reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction

To qualitatively assess messenger RNA (mRNA) levels, reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) was performed. Firstly, total RNA ( $2 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) was reverse transcribed into single-stranded complementary DNA (cDNA) using SuperScript ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ IV Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen). The RNA sample was resuspended in a total volume of $11 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ with NF water and $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ each of random hexamers $(50 \mu \mathrm{M})$, oligo $(\mathrm{dT})_{20}(50 \mu \mathrm{M})$ and deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate (dNTP) mix ( 10 mM ) were added. The solution was incubated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes and immediately chilled on ice for at least 2 minutes. A mix containing $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 5 x RT buffer, $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 0.1 M DTT and $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of SuperScript ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ IV enzyme ( 200 U ) was then added to the denatured RNA. This reaction mixture was incubated for 10 minutes at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10$ minutes at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 10 minutes at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. It was then diluted to a total volume of 0.1 mL with NF water and either used immediately for downstream applications or stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Negative control minus reverse transcriptase reactions were performed concurrently for all samples.

PCR was then performed using AmpliTaq Gold ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ DNA Polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific), with each $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ reaction containing $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of cDNA, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 1.5 mM $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ forward primer, $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ reverse primer, 1 x PCR Buffer II and 1.25 U DNA polymerase in NF water. No template control (NTC) reactions were performed for each target gene and primer sequences are listed in Table 2.1. Reactions were performed on a Veriti ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Thermal Cycler (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using the following cycling parameters: $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes; 35 cycles of $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 seconds, $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 seconds and $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 minute; and $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes. The PCR products were then visualised by agarose gel electrophoresis. A gel was cast containing $2 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ agarose in TAE buffer ( 40 mM Tris base, 20 mM acetic acid and 1 mM ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA)) and 1:10,000 GelRed $^{\circledR}$ (Biotium) for DNA visualisation. The PCR products ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) were mixed with 6 x Gel Loading Dye (New England BioLabs), loaded into the gel, resolved by electrophoresis and visualised using a Gel Doc ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}} \mathrm{XR}+$ Imager (Bio-Rad).

### 2.1.1.4 Quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction

To quantitatively assess mRNA levels, quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) was performed. Firstly, RT of total RNA was performed as described in section 2.1.1.3. Secondly, $q$ PCR was performed, with each $15 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ reaction containing $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{cDNA}, 1 \mathrm{xRT}^{2} \mathrm{SYBR}^{\circledR}$ Green qPCR Mastermix (QIAGEN), $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ forward primer, $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ reverse primer in NF water in a 96-well clear PCR plate (Bio-Rad). Primer sequences are listed in Table 2.1. All cDNA samples were analysed in triplicate and minus reverse transcriptase and NTC reactions were included for each sample and target gene, respectively. Reactions were performed on the CFX Connect ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Real-Time PCR Detection System (BioRad) using the following cycling parameters: $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 minutes; $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes; 40 cycles of $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 seconds, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 25 seconds and $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 seconds; and $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 minutes. A melt curve was then performed in which there was an incremental increase of $0.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 5$ seconds from $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Standard curves were generated to determine the reaction efficiency of each primer pair. Normalisation and relative expression analysis were performed, with the reaction efficiency taken into account, using Q-Gene software ${ }^{283}$.

Table 2.1: RT-PCR and RT-qPCR primer sequences.

| Gene | Species | Forward/reverse primer sequences (5'- 3') |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Glipr1 ex1-2 | Mouse | TCACAACCAGCTTCGGTCAA/ <br> GTGAATGCAGCTGTGGGTTG |
| Glipr1 ex3-4 | Mouse | AGGTTGTTTGGGCAGACAGT/ <br> TTTTGGGCAATCACTGCACG |
| Actb/ACTB | Mouse/Human | TTGCTGACAGGATGCAGAAG/ <br> AAGGGTGTAAAACGCAGCTC |
| Samsn1 | Mouse | TTCACGCCAAGTCCCTATGAC/ <br> TTCCCATTGGTGTTTTGCAGATA |
| SAMSN1 | Human | TCCCTCAAAGCCAGTGACTC/ <br> GCCACAGAATGGTCCTGAAT |
| B2M | Human | AGGCTATCCAGCGTACTCCA/ <br> CGGCAGGCATACTCATCTTT |
| Tex101 | Mouse | ACTGCCAGGTGAGTCAAACC/ <br> GACGGTCCTGGTTCCATCTG |
| Negr1 | Mouse | ATGTGACGCAGGAGCACTT/ <br> CCATACTGGGCTGTACTTGGA |

### 2.1.2 DNA techniques

### 2.1.2.1 Restriction enzyme digest

Restriction digests of DNA were routinely performed by digesting $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of DNA with 10 units of restriction enzyme (New England BioLabs) in the supplied digestion buffer and in a total reaction volume of $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. The reaction was incubated at the optimum temperature
for 1 hour. The restriction enzyme was then inactivated by heat, where applicable, or the products were immediately resolved by agarose gel electrophoresis, as described in section 2.1.1.3, and gel purified using the UltraClean ${ }^{\circledR} 15$ DNA Purification Kit (MO BIO Laboratories), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

### 2.1.2.2 Ligation

Ligations were routinely carried out in a total volume of $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, containing insert and vector DNA at an insert:vector molar ratio of 3:1, 1x T4 DNA Ligase Reaction Buffer and $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ( 400 U) of T4 DNA ligase (New England BioLabs). The ligation reaction mix was incubated at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. A negative control reaction containing no insert was also performed to assess the levels of vector re-ligation.

### 2.1.2.3 Preparation of chemically competent $E$. coli JM109 cells

Frozen Escherichia coli JM109 cells were streaked onto a LB agar plate, made using Difco LB Broth Lennox and Bacto ${ }^{T M}$ Agar (BD Biosciences), and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. A single colony was inoculated into 10 mL of LB broth and grown in a $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ shaking incubator overnight. This starter culture was used to inoculate 200 mL of LB broth and was grown in a $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ shaking incubator until the culture reached $\mathrm{OD}_{600}=0.6$. The bacteria were incubated on ice for 30 minutes, then pelleted at $3,000 \mathrm{xg}$ and $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes. The cell pellet was then resuspended in 25 mL of ice-cold $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ and pelleted again. The bacteria were resuspended in 8 mL of ice-cold $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ and $15 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ glycerol and incubated on ice for 1 hour. Aliquots were frozen and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until required.

### 2.1.2.4 Transformation of competent cells

A $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ frozen aliquot of chemically competent $E$. coli JM109 cells per ligation were incubated on ice for 5 minutes. The ligation reaction was added to a $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquot of bacterial cells, mixed gently and incubated on ice for 30 minutes. The cells were then heatshocked at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 minutes and placed back on ice for 5 minutes. Following this, $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of LB broth was added to the cells and incubated for 30 minutes in a $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ shaking incubator. The cells were then spread onto a LB agar plate containing $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ampicillin (SigmaAldrich) and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Transformed colonies were picked and used to inoculate LB broth for subsequent plasmid purification.

### 2.1.2.5 Purification of plasmid DNA from bacteria

For small scale plasmid DNA extractions from bacteria, buffers P1, P2 and P3 (QIAGEN) were used to perform alkaline lysis-based mini-preps, according to the manufacturer's instructions. For medium scale plasmid DNA extractions from bacteria, the PureLink ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ HiPure Plasmid Filter Midiprep Kit (Invitrogen) and PureLink ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ HiPure Precipitator Modules (Invitrogen) were used to perform midi-preps, according to the manufacturer's instructions.

### 2.1.2.6 Sanger sequencing

Plasmids/linear DNA fragments and appropriate primers were provided to the Australian Genome Research Facility (AGRF), which undertook the Sanger sequencing reactions and generated sequencing chromatograms. Analysis of the sequencing data was performed using the publicly available chromatogram viewer Chromas v2.6.2 (Technelysium) and the multiple sequence alignment tool ClustalX v2.1 (Science Foundation Ireland).

### 2.1.2.7 Generation of expression vectors

## HA-tagged Samsn1 overexpression vector

A sequence encoding a HA-tag followed by a stop codon was inserted between the $N d e I$ and XhoI restriction enzyme sites in the retroviral pRUFimCH2 vector ${ }^{260}$. The murine Samsn1 coding sequence was then amplified from C57BL/6 splenocyte-derived cDNA by PCR such that the product contained the Samsnl open reading frame with the start codon forming part of one NdeI site and the stop codon replaced by a second NdeI site. The NdeI-flanked Samsn1 insert and the HA-tag-containing pRUFimCH2 vector were then NdeI digested and ligated to generate the pRUFimCH2.Samsn1-HA vector, which encodes Samsn1 with an in-frame C-terminal HA tag.

## Gliprl overexpression vector

To generate a Gliprl overexpression vector, the Gliprl coding sequence was amplified from C57BL/6 thymus-derived cDNA and subcloned into the pRUFimCH2 retroviral vector to generate pRUFimCH2.Glipr1, as previously described ${ }^{260}$.

## SAMSN1 overexpression vector

A retroviral overexpression vector for SAMSN1, pRUFiG2.SAMSN1, was previously generated ${ }^{260}$.

## SAMSN1 gRNA expression vectors

The MIT CRISPR design tool (http://crispr.mit.edu) was used to select two guide RNAs (gRNAs) targeting exon 4 of SAMSN1. The sequences of gRNA \#1 and \#2 were 5'-GGTCACTGTTTCTATATGGG-3' and 5 '-GAGACTATCCATGGAGTCAC-3', respectively. To clone the individual gRNAs, 24 bp complementary oligonucleotides containing the gRNA sequence and a 4-bp overhang (forward: TCCC and reverse: AAAC) were annealed and phosphorylated. The gRNA containing double-stranded DNA fragments were cloned into the BsmbI-digested pFH1tUTG lentiviral vector ${ }^{284}$, which was kindly provided by Assoc Prof Marco Herold (WEHI, Australia).

### 2.1.2.8 Heteroduplex mobility assay

DNA was extracted and purified from CRISPR-targeted cells using a DNeasy ${ }^{\circledR}$ kit (QIAGEN). PCR was performed to amplify a 1.1 kb region encompassing exon 4 of SAMSN1 using primers F: 5'-CTAGGTGGCAAGCATGGTATTAGATTTG-3' and R: 5’-AGAAAGAAAGAGACAGAGAATGGAGCAG-3'. The products were subjected to heteroduplex formation in which they were incubated at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes and the temperature was then reduced to $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at a ramp rate of $51 \%$, followed by a decrease to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at a ramp rate of $2.6 \%$. The products were resolved by gel electrophoresis within a $12 \%$ acrylamide gel in 1x TBE buffer ( 100 mM Tris base, 100 mM boric acid, 2 mM EDTA) and post-stained with GelRed ${ }^{\circledR}$ (Biotium) to enable DNA visualisation using a Gel Doc ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ XR+ Imager (Bio-Rad).

### 2.1.3 Protein techniques

### 2.1.3.1 Preparation of whole cell lysates

Cells were washed in ice-cold 1x phosphate buffered saline (PBS, Sigma-Aldrich) and then resuspended in an appropriate volume of radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer ( $1 \%$ NP-40 (v/v), 20 mM HEPES, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \%$ glycerol (v/v), $2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na} \mathrm{NO}_{4}, 10 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{Na}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}, 2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaF}$, and 1x cOmplete ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche)) by vortexing. Samples were incubated on ice for 30 minutes with occasional vortexing. The lysates were then centrifuged at $20,000 \mathrm{xg}$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 minutes and the supernatant was collected. The protein concentration in the cleared whole cell lysate was determined using the $R C D C^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Protein Assay Kit (Bio-Rad), according to manufacturer's instructions. Protein lysates were stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.1.3.2 Western blotting

An appropriate amount of protein lysate was mixed with reducing buffer ( 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 10\% glycerol (v/v), $2 \%$ sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) (w/v), $0.02 \%$ bromophenol blue ( $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ ) and $5 \% \beta$-mercaptoethanol ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ )) and denatured by boiling for 4 minutes. Proteins were loaded into $10 \%$ SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) gels in Tris-Glycine-SDS running buffer ( $0.3 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, 1.44 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ glycine and $0.1 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ SDS). To resolve the proteins, gel electrophoresis was performed using the MiniPROTEAN ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ III System (Bio-Rad). Proteins were transferred from the gel to a nitrocellulose $0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ membrane (Bio-Rad) using the Mini Trans-Blot ${ }^{\circledR}$ Electrophoretic Transfer Cell (Bio-Rad). The transfer was performed in transfer buffer ( 192 mM Tris, 25 mM glycine, $20 \%$ methanol ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) and $0.02 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}) \mathrm{SDS}$ ) at 100 V and $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. Following the transfer, the membrane was incubated with membrane blocking buffer ( $5 \%$ ( $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ ) skim milk powder in 1 x TBST buffer ( 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.5,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$ and $0.1 \%$ TWEEN 20)) at room temperature for 1 hour. The blocked membrane was then probed with primary antibody (Table 2.2) at an optimised concentration in membrane blocking buffer with rocking and at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. For blots expected to have low signal intensity, the primary antibody was diluted in Solution 1 from the SignalBoost ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Immunoreaction Enhancer Kit (Merck). Following 3 washes in TBST, the blot was incubated with an appropriate DyLight-680/800-conjugated secondary antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific) diluted $1: 10,000$ in TBST, or Solution 2 from the enhancer kit, with rocking and at room temperature in the dark for 1 hour. The blot was again washed 3 times in TBST and then imaged using the Odyssey ${ }^{\circledR}$ CLx Imager (LI-COR). Quantitative analysis of band intensity was performed using ImageJ software (http://fiji.sc).

Table 2.2: Primary antibodies used for Western blotting.

| Target | Source | Concentration | Company | Catalogue <br> no. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GLIPR1 | Polyclonal goat | $1: 250$ | R\&D Systems | AF4468 |
| HA-tag | Monoclonal mouse | $1: 1,000$ | Cell Signalling Technology | 2367 S |
| HS1 | Polyclonal rabbit | $1: 1,000$ | Cell Signalling Technology | 4557 |
| pHS1 | Polyclonal rabbit | $1: 1,000$ | Cell Signalling Technology | 4507 |
| SAMSN1 | Polyclonal rabbit | $1: 500$ | Sigma-Aldrich | HPA010645 |
| HSP90 | Polyclonal rabbit | $1: 2,500$ | Santa Cruz Biotechnology | 7947 |
| $\beta$-Actin | Monoclonal mouse | $1: 5,000$ | Sigma-Aldrich | A1978 |

### 2.1.3.3 Co-immunoprecipitation

5TGM1 cells ( $2 \times 10^{7}$ ) were washed twice in ice-cold $1 \times$ PBS and lysed in 1 mL of immunoprecipitation (IP) lysis buffer ( 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.4,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \%$ glycerol (v/v), $2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na} 3 \mathrm{VO}_{4}, 1 \mathrm{x}$ cOmplete ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche) and $1 \%$ NP-40/CHAPS ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) ) for 30 minutes under rotation at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The lysate was cleared by centrifugation, as described in section 2.1.3.1, added to $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ packed volume of anti-HA antibody-conjugated agarose (clone 3 F 10 , rat $\mathrm{IgG1}$; Roche) and incubated under rotation and at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours. The agarose was then washed 5 times in 1 mL of IP lysis buffer and the associated proteins were eluted by boiling with $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 2 x reducing buffer for 5 minutes. The supernatant was collected and split into two fractions ( $10 \%$ and $90 \%$ of the total volume), which were separately subjected to SDS-PAGE along with pre-IP and post-IP controls, as described in section 2.1.3.2. To assess the success of the IP, lanes of the gel containing pre-IP, post-IP and $10 \%$ of the eluates were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane and subjected to Western blotting using an anti-HA-tag primary antibody, as described in section 2.1.3.2. The remaining portion of the gel containing most of the co-IP eluates was fixed and stained with SYPRO ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Ruby Protein Gel Stain (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer's instructions, and then imaged using a Gel Doc ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}} \mathrm{XR}+$ Imager (BioRad).

### 2.2 Cell culture techniques

### 2.2.1 Maintenance of cells in culture

All cell lines were maintained in a humidified environment at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of $5 \%$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and were manipulated within a class II biological safety cabinet. Unless otherwise specified, all cell culture reagents were sourced from Sigma-Aldrich and all media were supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine, $100 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mL}$ penicillin, $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ streptomycin, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, and 10 mM HEPES buffer. All cell lines were tested for mycoplasma infection using a MycoAlert ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Mycoplasma Detection Kit (Lonza) prior to use and were maintained in culture for a maximum of 4 weeks.

### 2.2.1.1 Mouse myeloma 5TGM1 cell line

The murine MM 5TGM1 PC line was originally kindly provided by Assoc Prof Claire Edwards (University of Oxford, UK). 5TGM1 cells expressing both green fluorescent protein (GFP) and luciferase were previously generated using the retroviral expression vector NES-TGL ${ }^{285}$. To generate a basal 5TGM1 cell line with enhanced BM tropism,

5TGM1 cells were previously injected i.v. into C57BL/KaLwRij (KaLwRij) mice (section 2.3.5.1) and those present in the long bones of the hind limbs were purified and expanded. 5TGM1 cells were maintained in Iscove's Modified Dulbecco's Medium (IMDM) with 20\% fetal calf serum (FCS, Thermo Fisher Scientific), which is termed complete IMDM. The cells were sub-cultured every 2-3 days to maintain a concentration of $0.2-2 \times 10^{6}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$.

### 2.2.1.2 Human myeloma cell lines

Human myeloma cell line (HMCL) RPMI-8226 was purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), while the HMCLs LP-1, OPM2 and JJN3 were a kind gift from Prof Andrew Spencer (Monash University, Australia). All HMCLs were maintained in Roswell Park Memorial Institute 1640 (RPMI-1640) medium with $10 \%$ FCS (complete RPMI-1640 medium) and sub-cultured every 2-3 days to maintain a concentration of 0.2-1 x $10^{6}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$.

### 2.2.1.3 BM cell lines

The mouse BM stromal cell (BMSC) line OP9 was obtained from the ATCC and was maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) with 10\% FCS (complete DMEM). Medium was renewed every 2-3 days and confluent monolayers were split at a sub-cultivation ratio of $1: 5$. Briefly, cells were harvested by rinsing with sterile PBS and adding $0.05 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ trypsin-EDTA. Cells were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1-5$ minutes, depending on the time taken to detach from the culture flask. Trypsin activity was then neutralised by the addition of FCS-containing medium and detached cells were pelleted at 400 xg for 5 minutes. The cell pellet was resuspended in fresh complete DMEM and an appropriate aliquot of the cell suspension was added to a new culture flask.

A transformed human BM endothelial cell (TrHBMEC) ${ }^{286}$ line was kindly provided by Prof Babette Walker (Cornell University, USA). TrHBMECs were maintained in gelatin-coated flasks and Medium 199 with $20 \%$ FCS and supplements consisting of $0.1 \%$ sodium bicarbonate ( $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ ), 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 20 mM HEPES, $50 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mL}$ penicillin, $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ streptomycin, $1 \times$ non-essential amino acids, $15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ heparin and $15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ endothelial cell growth supplement (BD Biosciences). The medium was renewed every 2-3 days and confluent monolayers were harvested by trypsinisation and sub-cultured, as described above. All experiments were performed using cells between passages 17 and 25 .

### 2.2.1.4 Human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293T cell line

HEK293T cells were cultured in complete DMEM and cells were sub-cultured every 2-3 days by trypsinisation, as described in section 2.2.1.3.

### 2.2.2 Generating primary KaLwRij BMSC-conditioned medium

BMSCs were isolated by plastic adherence from bone chips of healthy adult KaLwRij mice and cryopreserved. Thawed BMSCs were seeded in Minimum Essential Medium Eagle, Alpha Modification ( $\alpha$-MEM) with $10 \%$ FCS and 100 mM L-ascorbate-2-phosphate. Medium was refreshed every 2-3 days until the BMSCs reached confluence. The regular medium was then replaced by serum-free $\alpha$-MEM and the confluent BMSCs were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ for 24 hours. The conditioned medium was then collected and passed through a $0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ filter to remove any cells. Concentration of the conditioned medium was achieved by centrifugation in Centriprep ${ }^{\circledR}$ Centrifugal Filter Units (Merck). Aliquots of concentrated conditioned medium were stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.2.3 Generating genetically modified cell lines

### 2.2.3.1 Generation of Samsn1-HA/Glipr1-overexpressing 5TGM1 cells

HEK293T cells ( $1.5 \times 10^{6}$ cells/transfection) were seeded in 6 cm culture dishes in complete DMEM 24 hours prior to transfection. The cells were then transfected with $5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of the geneencoding or empty pRUFimCH2 plasmid and $5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of the murine ecotropic packaging plasmid pEQECO ${ }^{287}$ using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer's instructions. After 48 hours, medium containing retrovirus was collected from the transfected HEK293T cells and added dropwise through a $0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ surfactant-free cellulose acetate membrane filter onto 5 TGM1 cells ( $4 \times 10^{5}$ cells/infection) in complete IMDM containing polybrene (final concentration of $8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) in a 6 -well plate. The 5TGM1 cell-virus mixture was centrifuged in the 6 -well plate at $1,000 \mathrm{xg}$ and room temperature for 1 hour and then incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ overnight. The cells were washed with complete IMDM and expanded in culture. Following another wash, the 5TGM1 cells underwent fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS) for mCherry protein expression, which indicated successful transduction of the pRUFimCH2 plasmid, on a FACSAria ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Fusion (BD Biosciences). Subsequent sorts were conducted, where appropriate, until a pooled cell line consisting of $>90 \%$ mCherry $^{+} 5 \mathrm{TGM} 1$ cells was obtained. The basal luciferase activity of the modified 5TGM1 cells compared to the paired empty vector (EV) control cells was assessed by seeding an equal number of cells in quadruplicate in a 96 -well
plate, adding $0.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ D-luciferin (Biosynth) and performing bioluminescence imaging using the IVIS ${ }^{\circledR}$ Spectrum (PerkinElmer). No significant differences in luciferase activity were observed between the modified 5TGM1 cell lines.

### 2.2.3.2 Generation of SAMSN1-overexpressing HMCLs

HEK293T cells were prepared, as described in section 2.2.3.1, and transfected with $5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of the SAMSN1-encoding or empty pRUFiG2 plasmid and $5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of the amphotropic packaging plasmid pEQPAM3 ${ }^{287}$. HMCLs were infected with the resultant retrovirus, as described in section 2.2.3.1, except the cells were not centrifuged after the addition of virus. Following washing and expansion, virus-exposed HMCLs were sorted for GFP expression, which indicated successful transduction of the pRUFiG2 plasmid, on a FACSAria ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Fusion (BD Biosciences) and pooled cell lines were established, as described in section 2.2.3.1.

### 2.2.3.3 Generation of SAMSN1 knockdown HMCLs using CRISPR-Cas9

Firstly RPMI-8226 and JJN3 HMCLs constitutively expressing Cas9 were generated by transducing the HMCLs with the FUCas9mCh lentiviral vector ${ }^{284}$, which was a kind gift from Assoc Prof Marco Herold (WEHI, Australia). Lentiviral particles were produced using the psPAX2 lentiviral packaging plasmid and the pVSVG envelope protein-expressing plasmid and mCherry ${ }^{+}$cell lines were established, as described in section 2.2.3.1. The Cas9expressing HMCLs were then transduced with an inducible gRNA-containing or empty pFH1tUTG vector, again using psPAX2 and pVSVG to produce lentivirus. Successfully transduced $\mathrm{GFP}^{+}{ }^{+}$Cherry ${ }^{+}$cells were isolated by FACS and gRNA expression was transiently induced by treating the HMCLs with doxycycline (Sigma-Aldrich) at a final concentration of $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for 72 hours. Following removal of doxycycline from the medium, the pools of treated cells were expanded to create SAMSN1 knockdown HMCLs.

### 2.2.4 In vitro assays

### 2.2.4.1 Co-culture luciferase proliferation assay

5TGM1 cells were seeded in triplicate at $1 \times 10^{5}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ in complete IMDM with, or without, a confluent layer of OP9 cells. After 72 hours of co-culture at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$, the 5TGM1 cells were enumerated by measuring luciferase activity. Briefly, cells were collected with the aid of trypsin, washed in PBS and lysed in 40 uL of 1x Luciferase Cell Culture Lysis Reagent (Promega). The lysates were vortexed for 10 seconds, centrifuged at $12,000 \mathrm{xg}$ and $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 minutes and then $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of supernatant was transferred into an
opaque 96 -well plate. Bioluminescence was measured by adding $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of luciferase reaction buffer ( $5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,30 \mathrm{mM}$ HEPES, $150 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ D-luciferin (Biosynth) and 150 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ATP) per well and reading the signal on a luminometer (Wallac 3000). A standard curve was produced for each cell line to determine the absolute number of cells present, which corrected for any differences in basal luciferase activity.

### 2.2.4.2 WST-1 proliferation assay

HMCLs were plated at $1 \times 10^{5}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ in triplicate in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of complete RPMI-1640 medium in 4 replicate 96 -well plates and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Every 24 hours from day 0 to $3,10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of WST-1 Reagent (Roche) was added to all the relevant wells of one plate, which was then returned to the incubator for 2 hours. During the incubation, the WST1 tetrazolium salt was cleaved by cellular mitochondrial dehydrogenases to produce a formazan dye (max absorbance at $\sim 440 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). Following the incubation, the absorbance of each well at 450 nm was measured using the iMark ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Microplate Absorbance Reader (BioRad) and the plate discarded. The background was subtracted from the absorbance values and the fold-change in absorbance was calculated relative to day 0 .

### 2.2.4.3 Colony formation assay

5TGM1 cells were seeded ( 200 cells per 35 mm dish) in duplicate in MethoCult ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ semisolid methylcellulose medium (StemCell Technologies), according to the manufacturer's instructions. After 12 days of culture at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$, colonies were manually counted using a light microscope.

### 2.2.4.4 Actin remodelling assay

To assess filamentous actin (F-actin) formation, 5TGM1 cells were washed twice in serumfree IMDM media and were stimulated with $200 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ (final concentration) recombinant mouse CXCL12 (R\&D Systems) in triplicate for the indicated times. 5TGM1 cells were immediately fixed in $2 \%$ paraformaldehyde ( pH 8.0 ) at room temperature for 15 minutes, washed 3 times in wash buffer ( $0.2 \%$ saponin (w/v) and $5 \%$ FCS in PBS) and stained with 1:20 Alexa Fluor ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}} 680$ phalloidin ( $200 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mL}$; Life Technologies) on ice and in the dark for 30 minutes. Cells were then washed 3 times with wash buffer and the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of phalloidin staining was quantitated on a LSRFortessa ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ X-20 flow cytometer using FACSDiva ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ software v8.0 (BD Biosciences).

### 2.2.4.5 Transwell and transendothelial migration assays

Transwell and transendothelial migration assays were performed in 24 -well plates with $8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ pore transwells (Corning). For transwell assays, $5 \times 10^{5} 5 \mathrm{TGM} 1$ cells in serum-free IMDM were seeded in transwells in triplicate. The cells were allowed to migrate towards the lower chamber containing serum-free IMDM plus $5 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ concentrated primary KaLwRij BMSC-conditioned medium for 24 hours. For transendothelial assays, $1 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{TrHBMECs}$ were plated on gelatin-coated transwells and were allowed to adhere for 24 hours. HMCLs ( $5 \times 10^{5}$ cells) in RPMI-1640 medium with $1 \%$ FCS were then seeded into the BMEC-coated transwells in triplicate. The cells were allowed to migrate towards the lower chamber containing RPMI-1640 medium with either $20 \%$ FCS or $1 \%$ FCS and $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ CXCL12 for 20 hours. Following the incubation period, the transwells were discarded and the numbers of migrated cells present in the plate were enumerated using an Olympus CKX41 inverted light microscope and ImageJ software (http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/).

### 2.2.4.6 Adhesion assay

TrHBMECs ( $1 \times 10^{4}$ cells/well) were plated in opaque-walled and clear-bottomed 96 -well plates and allowed to adhere overnight. 5TGM1 cells ( $1 \times 10^{5}$ cells/well) in complete IMDM were then overlaid onto the TrHBMECs in quadruplicate and allowed to adhere for 15 minutes at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Following this incubation, the wells were gently washed 3 times with complete IMDM to remove nonadherent cells. The number of adherent 5TGM1 cells in each well was enumerated by the addition of $0.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ D-luciferin (Biosynth) followed by bioluminescence imaging using the IVIS $^{\circledR}$ Spectrum (PerkinElmer). The bioluminescent signal from adhered 5TGM1 cells was normalised to the signal from the total cell input.

### 2.2.4.7 5TGM1 single-colour immunofluorescence staining and flow cytometry

5TGM1 cells were harvested from culture, washed and resuspended in ice-cold PFE buffer ( $2 \%$ FCS and 2 mM EDTA in PBS). 5TGM1 cells at $1 \times 10^{7}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ were incubated with flow cytometry (FC) blocking buffer (1:100 mouse gamma globulin (Jackson ImmunoResearch) in PFE buffer) on ice for 30 minutes. Aliquots of $1 \times 10^{6}$ cells in 0.1 mL of FC blocking buffer were then incubated with $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of primary antibody (Table 2.3) on ice for 1 hour. Cells were washed twice with 2 mL of chilled PFE buffer and then resuspended in 0.1 mL of 1:100 Streptavidin-BV421 secondary antibody (\#563259, BD Biosciences) in PFE buffer. Following a 30 -minute incubation on ice and in the dark, cells were washed
twice with 2 mL of chilled PFE buffer and resuspended in 0.2 mL of FACS fixation buffer ( $1 \%\left(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right.$ ) formalin, $2 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ D-glucose, and $0.02 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}) \mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ in PBS). The BV421 MFI was measured for 50,000 cells per sample on a LSRFortessa ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}} \mathrm{X}-20$ flow cytometer using FACSDiva ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ software v8.0 (BD Biosciences) and the data was analysed using FlowJo v10.0.8 software (FlowJo, LLC).

Table 2.3: Primary antibodies used for MHC immunostaining of 5TGM1 cells.

| Target | Source | Conjugate | Concentration | Company | Cat no. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MHC Class I <br> $\left(\mathrm{H}^{\text {2 }}{ }^{\text {b }}\right.$ ) | Monoclonal <br> mouse | Biotin | $1: 20$ | Thermo Fisher <br> Scientific | 13599982 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { MHC Class I } \\ \text { (H-2K }\end{array}\right)$ | Monoclonal <br> mouse | Biotin | $1: 20$ | Thermo Fisher <br> Scientific | 13595882 |
| Isotype <br> control | Mouse IgG2a <br> (kappa) | Biotin | $1: 20$ | Thermo Fisher <br> Scientific | 13472485 |

### 2.3 Animal techniques

### 2.3.1 Generating knockout mice

### 2.3.1.1 C57BL/Samsn $1^{-1 /}$ mice

C57BL/Samsn1 ${ }^{-/-}\left(\right.$Samsnl $\left.^{-/-}\right)$mice were generated by first backcrossing the 180 kb Samsnl deletion in the KaLwRij genome onto a C57BL/6 background for 10 generations. To genotype the backcrossed mice, genomic DNA samples were generated from ear notches and PCR was performed using AmpliTaq Gold ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ DNA Polymerase, as described in section 2.1.1.3. Separate primers were used to detect wildtype (WT) and deletion alleles of Samsn1 (Table 2.4). The resultant heterozygous (SamsnI ${ }^{+/}$) mice were intercrossed and then the progeny with a homozygous Samsn1 deletion were incrossed to generate a stock Samsnl $1^{-1}$ colony.

### 2.3.1.2 C57BL/Glipr1 ${ }^{-/}$mice

C57BL/Glipr $1^{-/}\left(\right.$Glipr $\left.^{-/-}\right)$mice were generated by the South Australian Genome Editing Facility (University of Adelaide, Australia) using CRISPR-Cas9. Briefly, gRNAs were designed that flanked the first exon of Glipr1 (gRNA 1: 5'-ATCAGCGGCTCTCGACCCGT-3' and gRNA 2: 5’-ATTGGTTCTTGCCAAATGGGC3 '). These gRNAs and Cas 9 mRNA were injected into C57BL/6 zygotes, which were then transferred to pseudopregnant recipients. Founder pups were genotyped by PCR using

Table 2.4: Sequences of primers for genotyping Samsn1 and Glipr1 knockout mice.

| Allele | Primer | Primer sequence (5' to $3^{\prime}$ ) | Primer position | Primer location (GRCm38) | Product size (bp) | $\underset{\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)}{\mathrm{Tm}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Samsn1 WT* | DEL $+55 \mathrm{~kb} . \mathrm{F}$ | GTCAACGCTGCTGTGTTTGT | Intergenic, within KaLwRij deletion ( 3 ' end) | 75,815,906-75,815,925 | 341 | 60 |
|  | DEL+55kb.R | CCGGAATGACAAGTGAGGCT | Intergenic, within KaLwRij deletion ( 3 ' end) | 75,816,227-75,816,246 |  |  |
| Samsn1 Deletion | SamDEL.F | GGAGGTGATGATCTATTGTC | Intergenic, outside KaLwRij deletion ( 3 ' end) | 75,816,109-75,816,128 | 178 | 60 |
|  | SamDEL.R | CCATGATCATACAAGAAGCC | Intergenic, outside KaLwRij deletion ( 5 ' end) | N/A <br> (KaLwRij-specific) |  |  |
| Glipr1 WT | P1 | TTGCATATTAGCCCTCAGAACCCTTAGT | Promoter, outside CRISPR- <br> Cas9 deletion (3' end) | 111,998,442-111,998,469 | 511 | 60 |
|  | P2 | TGTGTGCCTTTGTCTGAGGTC | Promoter, within CRISPR-Cas9 deletion | 111,997,959-111,997,979 |  |  |
| Glipr1 Deletion | P1 | As above | As above | As above | $353^{\#}$ | 60 |
|  | P4 | ACACGGTAGCTTTTGTATGAAGGAACAGT | Intron 1, outside CRISPR-Cas9 deletion ( 5 ' end) | 111,994,476-111,994,504 |  |  |

*This primer pair was previously described ${ }^{260}$.
\#The deletion allele produces a 353 bp product and the WT allele produces a 3994 bp product (only when using Phusion ${ }^{\circledR}$ DNA Polymerase).
separate primers to detect WT and deletion alleles of Gliprl (Table 2.4). This was performed according to section 2.3.1.1 except Phusion ${ }^{\circledR}$ High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (New England BioLabs) was used to detect deletion alleles in the founder mice. PCR products from potential deletion alleles were Sanger sequenced, as described in section 2.1.2.6. A male founder that harboured a Gliprl exon 1 deletion was crossed with female C57B1/6 (WT) mice and the resultant Glipr1 deletion heterozygotes (Glipr1 ${ }^{+/-}$) were intercrossed. The progeny that were homozygous for the Gliprl deletion were then incrossed to generate a stock Glipr1 $1^{-/}$colony.

### 2.3.1.3 C57BL/Samsn1 $1^{-/-}$Glipr1 ${ }^{-/-}$mice

C57BL/Samsn1 $1^{-/}$Glipr1 $1^{--}$(Samsn1 $1^{-/}$Glipr $1^{-/-}$) mice were generated by first crossing Samsn1 $1^{-/}$mice with Glipr1 ${ }^{-/}$mice, resulting in double heterozygous deletion mice (Samsn $1^{+/-}$Glipr $1^{+/-}$). The double heterozygotes were then intercrossed to generate progeny, which were genotyped for both Samsnl and Gliprl deletions, as described above. The resultant double knockout Samsn $1^{-/}$Glipr $1^{-/-}$mice were incrossed to produce a stock colony. The Samsn $1^{-/}$, Glipr $^{-/-}$, Samsn1 ${ }^{-/}$Glipr $1^{-/}$and C57BL/6 (WT) mice used for experiments were from separate stock colonies and were not littermates.

### 2.3.2 Peripheral blood counts

Peripheral blood (PB) samples were collected from mice by a tail bleed into EDTA-coated microvette tubes (Sarstedt). Complete blood counts were performed using a HEMAVET950 automated blood analyser (Drew Scientific), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

### 2.3.3 Multi-colour flow cytometry analyses of primary mouse cells

### 2.3.3.1 Preparing single cell suspensions from the $B M$, spleen and PB

BM was collected from cleaned femora and tibiae by repeatedly flushing the bones with 5 mL of chilled PFE buffer using a 10 mL syringe and 21 G needle or crushing the bones in PFE buffer using a mortar and pestle. The BM cell-containing solution was then homogenised and passed through a $70 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ filter. Spleens were excised and cleaned of any connective tissue prior to being pushed through a pre-wet $70 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ filter using the plunger of a 3 mL syringe. To generate a splenic single cell suspension, the filter was then washed with 5 mL of chilled PFE buffer. PB was collected by a terminal cardiac bleed using a 25 G needle and a 1 mL syringe containing 0.05 mL of 50 mM EDTA. The PB was then twice incubated with 9 mL of ACK red blood cell lysis buffer ( $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}, 10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KHCO}_{3}$ and 0.1 mM

EDTA in milli-Q water) at room temperature for 10 minutes, followed by washing in 10 mL of chilled PFE buffer.

### 2.3.3.2 Antibody staining and flow cytometry

A defined number of cells (1-2 million depending on the tissue source) was stained with Fixable Viability Stain 700 (BD Biosciences) or hydroxystilbamidine (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer's instructions. These cells were incubated in FC blocking buffer (section 2.2.4.7) on ice for 30 minutes and then stained with the relevant panel of fluorochrome-conjugated antibodies on ice and in the dark for 30 minutes (Table 2.5). Unstained, single-colour and fluorescence minus one (FMO) controls were also prepared for each panel to assist with gating cell populations. Following staining, the cells were washed twice with 2 mL of PFE buffer and stored in FACS fixation buffer prior to analysis. Typically, at least 100,000 events per sample were run on a LSRFortessa ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ X-20 flow cytometer using FACSDiva ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ software v8.0 (BD Biosciences) and the data was analysed, including calculating compensation, using FlowJo v10.0.8 software (FlowJo, LLC).

Table 2.5: Primary antibodies used in multi-colour flow cytometry panels for analysing primary mouse cells.

| Target | Panel | Source | Conjugate | Conc | Company | Cat no. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B220 | BM/spleen B <br> cells and PB | Rat monoclonal <br> (RA3-6B2) | FITC | $1: 200$ | Biolegend | 103206 |
| IgM | BM/spleen B <br> cells | Rat monoclonal <br> (R6-60.2) | PE-Cy7 | $1: 200$ | BD <br> Biosciences | 552867 |
| CD138 | BM/spleen B <br> cells | Rat monoclonal <br> (281-2) | BV421 | $1: 100$ | BD <br> Biosciences | 562610 |
| CD38 | PB, NK/T <br> cells and <br> CD8 MACS | Hamster <br> monoclonal <br> (145-2C11) | PE/FITC | $1: 100$ | Biolegend | $100308 /$ |
| 155604 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CD11b | PB | Rat monoclonal <br> (M1/70) | APC-Cy7 | $1: 100$ | BD <br> Biosciences | 561039 |
| Ly-6G | PB | Rat monoclonal <br> (1A8) | PE-Cy7 | $1: 80$ | Biolegend | 127618 |
| CD49b | NK/T cells | Rat monoclonal <br> (DX5) | PE-Cy7 | $1: 100$ | eBioscience | 25597182 |
| CD69 | NK/T cells | Hamster <br> monoclonal <br> (H1.2F3) | AF647 | $1: 100$ | Biolegend | 104518 |
| CD8a | CD8 MACS | Rat monoclonal <br> (53-6.7) | PE-Cy5 | $1: 200$ | Biolegend | 100710 |

$\mathrm{BM}=$ bone marrow, $\mathrm{PB}=$ peripheral blood, $\mathrm{NK}=$ natural killer, $\mathrm{MACS}=$ magnetic-activated cell sorting.

### 2.3.4 Isolation of primary murine PC

BM was collected by flushing the long bones of the hind limbs from C57BL/6 and KaLwRij mice, as described in section 2.3.3.1. PCs were isolated from the BM by staining with a rat anti-CD138 primary antibody (\#300506, R\&D Systems) and an anti-rat IgG-PE secondary antibody (\#3030-09, Southern Biotech), followed by FACS for $\mathrm{PE}^{+}$cells using the FACSAria ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Fusion (BD Biosciences).

### 2.3.5 In vivo models of MM tumour growth

KalwRij mice, originally kindly provided by Prof Andrew Spencer (Monash University, Australia) were rederived, bred and housed at the SAHMRI Bioresources Facility. NOD SCID gamma (NSG) and C57BL/6 mice were purchased from the SAHMRI Bioresources Facility. All procedures were performed with the approval of the SAHMRI, or University of Adelaide, Animal Ethics Committee. In all studies, the mice in different experimental groups were age- and sex-matched.

### 2.3.5.1 5TGM1 cells in KaLwRij/NSG mice intravenous and intratibial models

For i.v. delivery, 5TGM1 cells were washed and resuspended in sterile PBS at a concentration of $5 \times 10^{6}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$. KaLwRij or NSG mice between 6 and 8 weeks old were injected with 0.1 mL of 5 TGM 1 cell suspension ( $5 \times 10^{5}$ cells) via the tail vein. The injected mice underwent in vivo bioluminescence imaging (BLI, section 2.3.6) 2,3 and 4 weeks posttumour cell injection and were humanely euthanised after 4 weeks. For intratibial (i.t.) delivery, 5TGM1 cells were washed and resuspended in sterile PBS at a concentration of 1 x $10^{7}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$. KaLwRij or NSG mice between 5 and 6 weeks old were anaesthetised by isoflurane inhalation for the duration of the procedure. A gas-sterilised $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Hamilton syringe with a 27 -gauge needle and containing $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of cell suspension was inserted through the cortex of the anterior tuberosity of the left tibia. Once the bone cortex was traversed, the needle was inserted 3 to 5 mm down the diaphysis of the tibia, and the cell suspension ( 1 x $10^{5}$ cells per inoculum) was injected into the marrow space. The injected mice underwent weekly in vivo BLI beginning on day 9 post-tumour cell injection and were humanely euthanised after 23 days. Mice with extensive extramedullary tumour growth in the injected leg, which indicated that the injection was misdirected, were excluded from the experiment.

### 2.3.5.2 5TGM1 cells in C57BL/6 mice intravenous model

5TGM1 cells were prepared for injection, as described in section 2.3.5.1, and the same amount was injected i.v. into 6 -8-week-old C57BL/6 mice via the tail vein. The injected mice underwent in vivo BLI weekly or fortnightly starting 2 -weeks post-tumour cell injection and were humanely euthanised after 4 or 7 weeks.

### 2.3.5.3 HMCLs in NSG mice intratibial model

HMCLs were washed and resuspended in sterile PBS at a concentration of $5 \times 10^{7}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$. NSG mice between 5 and 6 weeks old received an i.t. injection of $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of cell suspension ( $5 \times 10^{5}$ cells per inoculum), as described in section 2.3.5.1. The endpoint of the experiment was determined based on the first sign of morbidity, which was dependent on the HMCL injected. The experimental endpoint for mice injected with OPM2, JJN3, RPMI-8226 or LP1 cells was 3 , 3 , 5 or 8 weeks, respectively. Mice with extensive extramedullary tumour growth in the injected leg, which indicated that the injection was misdirected, were excluded from the experiment.

### 2.3.6 In vivo bioluminescence imaging

Mice injected with luciferase-expressing 5TGM1 cells were shaved under anaesthesia prior to in vivo BLI. To measure tumour burden, the mice were administered firefly D-Luciferin substrate ( $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in PBS, Biosynth) by intraperitoneal injection at a concentration of 150 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. After 10 minutes, during which time the mice were anaesthetised by isoflurane inhalation, the dorsal, ventral and/or lateral aspects of the mice were scanned using the IVIS ${ }^{\circledR}$ Spectrum In Vivo Imaging System and Living Image ${ }^{\circledR}$ Software v4.5.5 (PerkinElmer), which was also used to quantitate the bioluminescence signal in the mice.

### 2.3.7 Serum protein electrophoresis

At the experimental endpoint, PB was collected from the 5TGM1-injected mice either by a tail bleed or terminal cardiac bleed. The blood was allowed to clot at room temperature and then centrifuged at $2,000 \mathrm{xg}$ and $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. The serum supernatant was collected and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Subsequently, the serum samples were thawed and the levels of M protein/paraprotein were assessed by performing serum protein electrophoresis (SPEP) using the Hydragel Protein(E) Kit (Sebia), according to the manufacturer's instructions. The stained SPEP gels were imaged on a Gel Doc ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ XR+ Imager (Bio-Rad), and the intensity of
the paraprotein band/M-spike was quantitated and normalised to the albumin band using Image Lab Software v6.0.1 (Bio-Rad).

### 2.3.8 Detection of GFP ${ }^{+}$tumour cells in mouse tissues by flow cytometry

Single cell suspensions of BM, spleen and PB from tumour cell-injected mice were obtained, as described in section 2.3.3.1. Cells from a mouse not injected with tumour cells were also analysed to act as a negative control for gating cell populations. The cells were pelleted, resuspended in PFE buffer and immediately analysed for the presence of GFP ${ }^{+}$tumour cells by flow cytometry on the FACSCanto ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ II (BD Biosciences) using FACSDiva ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ software v8.0 (BD Biosciences).

### 2.3.9 Immunohistochemistry

Tibiae that were directly injected with 5TGM1 cells were collected from KaLwRij mice at the experimental endpoint (day 23) and fixed in $10 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ buffered formalin. The bones were decalcified by incubation with decalcification solution ( 0.5 M EDTA and $0.5 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ paraformaldehyde in PBS at pH 8.0 ) at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the solution was refreshed twice weekly. The decalcified bones were then paraffin-embedded and $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ longitudinal sections were prepared. Sections were deparaffinised and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H\&E) or an anti-GFP antibody. For anti-GFP staining, endogenous peroxidase activity was neutralised by incubation with $0.5 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ in methanol for 30 minutes and the sections were then incubated with immunohistochemistry (IHC) blocking buffer ( $3 \%$ normal horse serum in PBS) at room temperature for 2 hours. The slides were incubated with a goat anti-GFP monoclonal antibody (\#A600-101-215, Rockland) at 1:5,000 in IHC blocking buffer at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. After washing in 1x PBS, the slides were incubated with a biotinylated rabbit antigoat IgG antibody (\#BA5000, Vector Lab) 1:250 in IHC blocking buffer at room temperature for 30 minutes. This was followed by incubation with a streptavidin-peroxidase conjugate at 1:100 in blocking buffer at room temperature for 1 hour. The bound antibody was then visualised by incubating the slides with 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (Sigma-Aldrich) at room temperature and in the dark for 10 minutes. Slides were briefly counterstained with haematoxylin solution and mounted with DePex. Slides were imaged on a BX53 microscope (Olympus).

### 2.3.10 In vivo BM homing assay

5TGM1 cells ( $5 \times 10^{6}$ in 0.2 mL of PBS) were injected i.v. into 6-8-week-old KaLwRij mice via the tail vein. After 1 or 21 days, the mice were culled and both femora and tibiae from each mouse were collected and cleaned. These bones were flushed with PFE buffer and the marrow was collected. While in chilled PFE buffer, the bones were cut longitudinally, scraped and minced with a scalpel blade. All the cells were then filtered through a $70-\mu \mathrm{m}$ cell strainer, pelleted and resuspended in PFE buffer. The samples were immediately analysed for the presence of GFP ${ }^{+}$tumour cells by flow cytometry on a FACSCanto ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ II (BD Biosciences) using FACSDiva ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ software v8.0 (BD Biosciences).

### 2.3.11 Ex vivo $\mathrm{CD8}^{+}$T cell cytotoxicity assay

To prevent proliferation of tumour cells, 5TGM1-Samsn1 and 5TGM1-EV cells were gamma-irradiated ( 30 Gy ) prior to being injected ( $1 \times 10^{6}$ in 0.1 mL of PBS) i.v. into 6-8-week-old KaLwRij mice on days 0 and 14 . On day 19 , the mice were humanely euthanised and single cell suspensions from the spleen were prepared, as described in section 2.3.3.1. $\mathrm{CD}^{+}$splenic T cells were purified by negative selection using the magnetic-activated cell sorting (MACS) CD8a ${ }^{+}$T Cell Isolation Kit (Miltenyi Biotec), according to the manufacturer's instructions. The purified T cells were re-stimulated by co-culture with irradiated 5TGM1-Samsn1/5TGM1-EV cells at a 10:1 ratio in T cell medium (complete RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with $0.05 \mathrm{mM} \beta$-mercaptoethanol, 1 x non-essential amino acids and $2 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ recombinant human IL-2 (R\&D Systems)). After 5 days of coculture, the T cells were harvested and seeded in T cell medium in triplicate with $1 \times 10^{4}$ irradiated 5TGM1-Samsn1/5TGM1-EV cells at a ratio of $4: 1$ or $2: 1$ in a 96 -well plate. Following 24 hours of incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$, the amount of tumour cell-specific lysis was measured using a lactate dehydrogenase release assay (CytoTox $96^{\circledR}$ NonRadioactive Cytotoxicity Assay, Promega), according to the manufacturer's instructions. The maximum LDH release control for each target was determined by adding $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 10 X Lysis Solution to target cell-only wells 45 minutes before adding the CytoTox $96^{\circledR}$ Reagent. The background absorbance was subtracted from the readings and the percentage of specific cytotoxicity was calculated using the equation below.

$$
\% \text { Cytotoxicity }=\frac{\text { Experimental }- \text { Effector spontaneous }- \text { Target spontaneous }}{\text { Target maximum }- \text { Target spontaneous }} \times 100
$$

### 2.3.12 Detection of anti-Samsn1 antibodies in serum

To potentially generate an anti-Samsn1 humoral immune response, 6-8-week-old KaLwRij, Samsn1 ${ }^{-/}$and C57BL/6 mice were injected i.v. with 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells or control 5TGM1-EV cells ( $5 \times 10^{5}$ in 0.1 mL of PBS) on days 0 and 14 . On day 19 , the mice underwent a terminal cardiac bleed and serum was collected, as described in section 2.3.7. To generate blots of size-resolved Samsn1 protein, IPs of Samsn1-HA from 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells were performed, as described in section 2.1.3.3. The only alteration was that $5 \times 10^{7}$ cells were lysed in 2 mL of 1\% NP-40 IP lysis buffer. Identical IPs were also performed for 5TGM1-EV cells to act as a negative control. The immunoprecipitated eluates were split into 3 fractions ( $10 \%, 45 \%$ and $45 \%$ ), which were subjected to SDS-PAGE in separate lanes of a $10 \%$ gel. The proteins in the gel were then transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane, which was divided into 3 sections. To confirm the successful IP of Samsn1 and indicate its position, the section of the membrane containing $10 \%$ of the IPs was probed with an antiHA antibody. The remaining sections of membrane were probed with fresh serum from mice inoculated with either 5TGM1-Samsn1 or 5TGM1-EV cells, which was diluted 1:10 in Solution 1 from the SignalBoost ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Immunoreaction Enhancer Kit (Merck), at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Following removal of unbound antibody, the blots were incubated with goat anti-mouse IgG $(\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{L})$ secondary antibody conjugated to DyLight ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}} 680$ (Thermo Fisher Scientific) diluted 1:10,000 in Solution 2 from the enhancer kit at room temperature for 1 hour. The blots were then scanned using the Odyssey ${ }^{\circledR}$ CLx Imager (LI-COR).

### 2.4 In silico analyses and statistics

### 2.4.1 Publicly available microarray data

For analysis of gene expression differences in CD138 ${ }^{+}$BM PCs from MM patients with low (<5 Affymetrix intensity value) vs normal/high levels (>5 Affymetrix intensity value) of SAMSN1 mRNA expression, a total of 1,191 patients from 3 independent publicly available microarray datasets were used: GSE19784 $(\mathrm{n}=320)^{180}$, GSE24080 $(\mathrm{n}=554)^{288}$ and GSE26760 $(\mathrm{n}=304)^{147}$. Gene expression was normalised with the robust multi-array average (RMA) algorithm and differential gene expression was performed using linear models for microarray data (LIMMA) with array weight using R packages. For analysis of mRNA expression levels in CD138 ${ }^{+}$BM PCs from MGUS patients, MM patients and normal controls, the publicly available microarray dataset GSE6477 was used (normal, $\mathrm{n}=15$; MGUS, $\mathrm{n}=22 ;$ MM, $\mathrm{n}=133)^{289}$. GSE19784, GSE24080 and GSE26760 were conducted on Affymetrix GeneChip ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Human Genome U133 plus 2.0 arrays, while GSE6477 was
conducted on Affymetrix GeneChip ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Human Genome U133A arrays. Raw microarray data (CEL files) were downloaded from Gene Expression Omnibus (NCBI) and were normalized by RMA using the Bioconductor package affy and R (version 3.03) and $\log 2$ transformed.

### 2.4.2 Statistics

Unless otherwise described, statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism v8.0.0 (GraphPad Software). The Fisher's exact test was used to determine whether the proportions of one categorical variable were different depending on the value of the other categorical variable. When three or more groups were being compared for a single variable, a parametric one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc multiple comparisons test or a non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test was used. For time-course experiments, groups were compared using a two-way ANOVA with Sidak's or Tukey's multiple comparisons test. When two groups were being compared for a single variable, a parametric paired $t$ test, a parametric unpaired $t$ test or a non-parametric Mann-Whitney $U$ test was used. Differences were statistically significant when $P<0.05$.

# 3 INVESTIGATION OF THE POTENTIAL CO-OPERATIVE TUMOUR SUPPRESSOR EFFECTS OF SAMSN1 AND GLIPR1 IN MULTIPLE MYELOMA 

### 3.1 Introduction

Multiple myeloma (MM) is an incurable haematological malignancy characterised by the uncontrolled proliferation of antibody-producing plasma cells (PCs) within the bone marrow (BM). MM is defined by the presence of $10 \%$ or more clonal PCs in the BM and one or more myeloma-defining events ${ }^{21}$. For example, evidence of end-organ damage that can be attributed to the malignant PC expansion, such as hypercalcemia, renal insufficiency, anaemia, and bone lesions. Almost all MM cases are preceded by the premalignant condition monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS), a benign clonal PC proliferation characterised by less than $10 \%$ PCs in the BM and the absence of end-organ damage ${ }^{19,20}$. Approximately 3-4\% of people over 50 years of age have MGUS and they have a $1 \%$ risk of progressing to MM per year, although the time to progression is variable ${ }^{24}$.

The development of MGUS is thought to be initiated in a post-germinal centre B cell by one of two types of primary cytogenetic event; hyperdiploidy or a chromosomal translocation involving the immunoglobulin heavy-chain gene ${ }^{110}$. Malignant transformation and MM disease progression is then thought to occur due to the accumulation of secondary genetic hits, including further chromosomal rearrangements, DNA mutations, as well as transcriptional and epigenetic changes ${ }^{110}$. In terms of the abnormalities present in MM tumours, recent studies have revealed that there is significant interpatient heterogeneity, with low recurrence rates for many mutations ${ }^{120,147-150}$. In addition, there is considerable intrapatient heterogeneity, with most patients displaying a complex subclonal architecture that is dynamic and can evolve over time ${ }^{148,149,212,214-216,221,231,232}$. Notably, many of the chromosomal abnormalities and genetic lesions identified in MM PCs are also found at the MGUS stage ${ }^{215,227,232}$, which highlights the possibility that novel epigenetic changes and/or PC-extrinsic factors are involved in driving the progression from asymptomatic MGUS to malignant MM.

In order to identify novel genetic changes that may be involved in promoting MM development, our group, and one other, compared the genetics of the closely related C57BL/6 and C57BL/KaLwRij (KaLwRij) mouse strains ${ }^{260,261}$. Both KaLwRij and C57BL/6 mice develop benign monoclonal gammopathy at a similarly high rate ( $60-70 \%$ by two years old), but the KaLwRij mice are unique in their ability to spontaneously develop an MM-like disease, albeit at a low frequency and with late onset $(0.5 \%$ in mice over two years old $)^{263,264}$. Whole genome and exome sequencing, as well as genome-wide
transcriptomic analyses, revealed many DNA sequence and gene expression differences between the two strains ${ }^{260,261}$. However, the most striking difference was a 180 kb homozygous genomic deletion on chromosome 16, which specifically encompasses Samsn ${ }^{260,261}$. Samsn1 encodes a putative adaptor protein, which is most highly expressed in the haematopoietic compartment, including the B cell lineage ${ }^{240}$. Notably, SAMSN1 expression was found to be lower in CD138 ${ }^{+}$PCs from MM patients compared to normal controls and MM patients with below median SAMSN1 expression were found to have reduced overall survival ${ }^{260,261}$. Hence, it was hypothesised that the down-regulation of SAMSN1 expression in PCs may promote MM development.

In order to test the functional effect of Samsnl loss in the context of MM, our group used the 5 TGM1/KaLwRij model of $\mathrm{MM}^{264,267}$. In this model, the $5 \mathrm{TGM1}$ murine MM cell line, which was established from a spontaneous KaLwRij PC tumour and thus is Samsn $1^{-/}$, is injected i.v. into syngeneic KaLwRij mice and the tumour cells home to the BM. Once there, the 5TGM1 cells rapidly expand to cause substantial tumour burden throughout the skeleton in just 4 weeks. As the 5TGM1 cells were engineered to express luciferase, tumour burden can be assessed non-invasively by bioluminescence imaging (BLI) ${ }^{260,290-292}$. Notably, the forced expression of Samsn1 in 5TGM1 cells was found to completely inhibit tumour development in KaLwRij mice, suggesting that Samsn1 may have a tumour suppressor role in $\mathrm{MM}^{260}$.

The development of cancer is a multistep process in which the gradual accumulation of genetic driver events within a cell leads to the deregulation of the normal restrictions on its growth and survival ${ }^{293}$. Next generation sequencing (NGS) studies of large cohorts of MM patients have revealed that specific pairs of genetic lesions recurrently co-occur within PC tumours ${ }^{120,122,150}$. In addition, convergent evolution of genetic lesions has been recurrently found in MM, whereby independent mutations in the same gene were present in different subclones of the same tumour ${ }^{150,216,232,294}$. Furthermore, transgenic mouse studies have demonstrated the importance of co-operation between genetic lesions in promoting the development of MM in vivo ${ }^{158,236,237,239,295}$. For example, the over-expression of MYC by the Vk*MYC transgene caused MM tumour development in MGUS-prone C57BL/6 mice but not MGUS-resistant BALB/c mice, suggesting that MYC activation must co-operate with other genetic lesions to drive the malignant transformation of $\mathrm{PCs}^{158,239}$. Together, these data suggest that co-operation between specific combinations of genetic driver events plays an
important role in promoting the development and progression of MM. However, the identity of co-operating lesions in MM and the mechanism by which they work together to drive disease development and/or progression is poorly understood.

As discussed above, Samsn1 was found to be a potent tumour suppressor in the 5TGM1/KaLwRij model of MM $^{260}$, but the KaLwRij mouse strain only rarely spontaneously develops PC tumours, despite being Samsn $1^{-1-264}$. This suggests that the loss of Samsn1 is necessary, but not sufficient, for the development of MM in KaLwRij mice. Hence, the down-regulation of SAMSN1 is likely to co-operate with another genetic lesion(s) to promote MM development, which remains to be identified. In this chapter, in silico analysis was used to identify reduced expression of GLIPR1, which has previously been described as a tumour suppressor gene ${ }^{296-298}$, in MM patients who display low SAMSN1 expression. In addition, GLIPR1 was found to be expressed at lower levels in the PCs of MM patients compared to normal controls and the $5 \mathrm{TGM1} / \mathrm{KaLwRij}$ model was used to investigate whether Gliprl possessed tumour suppressor activity in MM. Furthermore, Samsn1 and Gliprl double knockout mice were generated and the potential co-operative effect of losing both genes on MM disease development in vivo was examined.

### 3.2 Results

### 3.2.1 Reduced GLIPR1 expression is associated with reduced SAMSN1 expression in the PCs of MM patients

In order to identify genetic changes that may co-operate with reduced SAMSN1 expression levels to drive MM disease development, an in silico analysis was performed on 1,178 diagnostic human MM CD138 ${ }^{+}$PC samples from three independent publicly available microarray gene expression datasets (GSE24080, GSE26760 and GSE19784). Only two genes were found to have significantly different expression levels in the PCs of MM patients with low SAMSN1 expression (probe intensity < 5) compared to normal/high SAMSN1 expression (probe intensity $>5$ ) across all three datasets. The expression of the histone cluster 3 H 2 A (HIST3H2A) gene was found to be higher, and the expression of the glioma pathogenesis-related protein 1 (GLIPR1) gene was found to be lower, in MM PCs with low SAMSN1 mRNA levels versus normal/high SAMSN1 mRNA levels ( $P_{a d j}<0.05$, LIMMA; Figure 3.1).


Figure 3.1: The expression levels of HIST3H2A and GLIPR1 are altered in the PCs of MM patients with low SAMSN1 expression. (A\&B) In silico analysis was performed to identify genes with significantly different mRNA expression levels in CD138 ${ }^{+}$PCs from MM patients with low (probe intensity value $<5$ ) versus normal/high (probe intensity value > 5) SAMSN1 expression in three microarray datasets: GSE19784 (low n = 22, normal/high $\mathrm{n}=206$ ), GSE26760 (low $\mathrm{n}=57$, normal/high $\mathrm{n}=247$ ) and GSE24080 (low $\mathrm{n}=41$, normal/high $\mathrm{n}=518$ ). Across all three datasets, the mRNA expression of HIST3H2A was found to be significantly higher (A), and the mRNA expression of GLIPRI was found to be significantly lower (B), in MM tumours with low versus normal/high SAMSN1 expression. Scatter dot plots show the mean. ${ }^{*} P_{\text {adjusted }}<0.05$, LIMMA.

To determine whether the expression of HIST3H2A and/or GLIPR1 was deregulated in MM, in silico analysis of the mRNA levels of both genes in PCs from normal individuals ( $\mathrm{n}=15$ ), MGUS patients $(\mathrm{n}=22)$ and MM patients $(\mathrm{n}=69)$ was performed using the GSE6477 microarray dataset. HIST 3 H 2 A expression was found to not be aberrantly expressed in the PCs of MM patients compared to normal controls ( $P=0.5911$, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test; Figure 3.2A), while GLIPR1 mRNA expression was significantly reduced in the PCs of MM patients compared to normal controls ( $P<0.0001$, one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test; Figure 3.2B). In fact, $\sim 70 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=$ 48/69) of newly diagnosed MM patients were found to have GLIPR1 expression levels below the normal range in the PCs of normal individuals. Notably, GLIPR1 was previously found to have reduced expression in another PC malignancy, light-chain amyloidosis ${ }^{299}$, and its absence was shown to promote the development of localised PC tumours in mice ${ }^{298}$. Collectively, these data suggest that GLIPR1 may be a tumour suppressor gene in MM, the down-regulation of which may co-operate with reduced SAMSN1 expression to promote disease development.

### 3.2.2 Re-expression of Glipr1 in 5TGM1 cells reduces MM disease development in vivo

To investigate the potential role of Glipr1 in the 5TGM1/KaLwRij murine model of MM, the expression of Glipr 1 in normal and malignant PCs was assessed by reverse transcription (RT)-PCR. Glipr1 mRNA was found to be undetectable in the 5TGM1 MM PC line, while it was found to be present in normal PCs from KaLwRij mice and C57BL/6 mice (Figure 3.3A). This finding suggests that the loss of Glipr1 may co-operate with the absence of Samsn1 to drive MM development in KaLwRij mice. The next aim was to determine whether restoration of Glipr1 expression in 5TGM1 cells affects their growth in vitro and/or in vivo. 5TGM1 cells were transduced with a mCherry-labelled Glipr1 expression construct (5TGM1-Glipr1) or empty vector control (5TGM1-EV), and re-expression of Glipr1 in the 5TGM1-Glipr1 cells was confirmed by quantitative reverse transcription PCR (RT-qPCR; data not shown) and Western blot (Figure 3.3B). Glipr1 re-expression was not found to affect the proliferation of 5TGM1 cells following either mono-culture ( $P=0.910$, paired t test; Figure 3.3C) or co-culture with the OP9 murine BM stromal cell line ( $P=0.683$, paired ttest; Figure 3.3D). In addition, the number of colonies formed by 5TGM1-Glipr1 cells in semi-solid medium did not differ from that of 5TGM1-EV control cells after 12 days ( $P=$ 0.264, paired t test; Figure 3.3E).


Figure 3.2: GLIPR1 mRNA expression is down-regulated in PCs from MM patients. (A\&B) In silico analysis was performed on publicly available microarray dataset GSE6477 analysing HIST3H2A (A) and GLIPR1 (B) mRNA expression in CD138 ${ }^{+}$PCs isolated from normal controls $(\mathrm{n}=15)$, MGUS patients $(\mathrm{n}=22)$ and MM patients $(\mathrm{n}=69)$. Scatter dot plots show the mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}$. ${ }^{* * * * P}<0.0001$, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test.


Figure 3.3: Glipr1 expression is lost in 5TGM1 cells but its re-expression does not affect cell proliferation in vitro. (A) The expression of Gliprl mRNA was assessed in normal CD138 ${ }^{+}$PCs from C57B1/6 and KaLwRij mice and the KaLwRij-derived 5TGM1 MM PC line by RT-PCR. The products were run on a $2 \%$ agarose gel and stained with GelRed ${ }^{\circledR}$. Actb was used as a positive control. NTC = no template control. (B) The expression of Glipr1 protein in 5TGM1-Glipr1 (Glipr1) and control 5TGM1-EV (EV) cells was assessed by Western blot. Hsp90 was used as the loading control. The number of 5TGM1-Glipr1 cells in mono-culture (C) or co-culture with OP9 bone marrow stromal cells (D) was assessed by bioluminescence after 3 days. Cell number is expressed relative to the EV control cells. (E) Colony formation by 5TGM1-Glipr1 cells versus 5TGM1-EV cells was assessed in semisolid methylcellulose-containing medium after 12 days. Colony number is expressed relative to the EV control cells. Graphs depict the mean + SD of three independent experiments. $\mathrm{P}>$ 0.05 , paired t test.

To determine the effect of Glipr1 on MM tumour growth in vivo, the 5TGM1-Glipr1 and 5TGM1-EV cell lines were injected i.v. into KaLwRij mice and tumour burden was monitored at weekly intervals by BLI. There was a trend towards reduced tumour burden in the KaLwRij mice inoculated with 5TGM1-Glipr1 cells compared to mice inoculated with 5TGM1-EV cells at 4 weeks, but this decrease did not reach statistical significance ( $P=$ 0.2464, two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test; Figure 3.4A). Tumour burden was also independently assessed at 4 weeks by measuring monoclonal paraprotein (M-spike) levels using serum protein electrophoresis (SPEP). The M-spike intensity showed the same trend toward reduced tumour burden in the 5TGM1-Glipr1 compared to the 5TGM1-EV group, but it did not reach statistical significance ( $P=0.4509$, Mann-Whitney U test; Figure 3.4B). These data suggest that restoring Glipr1 levels in 5TGM1 cells does not affect murine MM PC proliferation in vitro but may have some inhibitory effect on tumour development in vivo.

### 3.2.3 Samsn1 expression in the BM microenvironment does not affect MM tumour growth in vivo

Given that GLIPR1 and SAMSN1 are concomitantly down-regulated in MM PCs and both genes have a tumour suppressor effect on 5TGM1 tumour growth in vivo ${ }^{260}$, it was hypothesised that these genes co-operate to inhibit the malignant transformation of PCs. In order to test this, the aim was to generate Gliprl and Samsnl double knockout mice (Glipr1 $1^{-/}$Samsn1 $1^{-/}$) that would be monitored for MM development as they aged. The C57BL/6 mouse strain was selected as the genetic background for these modifications, because it possesses high rates of age-related spontaneous MGUS, and thus is ideal for determining whether genetic alterations drive the malignant transformation of $\mathrm{PCs}^{262}$. Firstly, Samsnl knockout mice were produced by backcrossing the Samsnl deletion present in the genome of KaLwRij mice onto a C57BL/6 background for 10 generations. The absence of Samsn1 mRNA expression in the BM of the backcrossed C57BL/Samsn1-(Samsn1 ${ }^{-/}$) mice was confirmed by RT-PCR (Figure 3.5A).

A previous study showed that the injection of macrophages from Samsn1-/ mice versus wildtype (WT) C57BL/6 mice increased the growth of subcutaneous 5TGM1 tumours, suggesting that the loss of Samsn1 in PC-extrinsic cells of the BM microenvironment may promote the development of MM in KaLwRij mice ${ }^{261}$. To further investigate this hypothesis, the newly generated Samsnl ${ }^{-/}$mice and WT mice were injected i.v. with 5TGM1 cells and


Figure 3.4: Glipr1 overexpression in 5TGM1 cells reduces tumour growth in vivo. (A\&B) KaLwRij mice were injected i.v. with $5 \times 10^{5}$ 5TGM1-Glipr1 or 5TGM1-EV control cells. (A) Tumour burden in the mice was measured weekly from week 2 post-tumour cell inoculation by BLI and the signal from the ventral and dorsal scans were summed for each mouse. A graph of the total flux for the mice injected with 5TGM1-Glipr1 or 5TGM1-EV cells (left) and representative ventral scans of one mouse per cell line over time (right) are shown. (B) Serum was collected from the mice after four weeks and the M-spikes were measured by SPEP. M-spikes ( ${ }^{\wedge}$ ) on the SPEP gel (left) and the quantitated M-spike intensity (right), normalised to albumin and expressed relative to the EV control, are shown. Graphs depict the mean $\pm$ SEM of $\mathrm{n}=14-15$ mice per cell line from three independent experiments. $P>0.05$, two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test (A) or Mann-Whitney U test (B).


Figure 3.5: Samsn1 levels in the BM microenvironment do not affect 5TGM1 tumour growth in vivo. (A) The mRNA expression of Samsnl was assessed in the BM of Samsnl $1^{-1 /}$ mice and WT control mice by RT-PCR. The products were run on a $2 \%$ agarose gel and stained with GelRed ${ }^{\circledR}$. Actb was used as a positive control. Samsnl $1^{-1}$ and WT mice were injected i.v. with 5TGM1 cells and tumour burden was measured by BLI ( $\mathrm{n}=11-12$ mice per genotype from two independent experiments). (B) The number of Samsnl $1^{-/}$and WT mice with and without tumour development at 4 weeks post-5TGM1 inoculation are shown. (C) For the tumour-bearing mice, ventral and dorsal scans (left) and the total flux from the summed ventral and dorsal scans (right) at 4 weeks are shown. The graph depicts the mean $\pm$ SEM. P $>0.05$, Fisher's exact test (B) or Mann-Whitney U test (C).
tumour development in the BM was monitored by BLI for 4 weeks. Although the conventional wisdom is that 5TGM1 cells do not grow in mice with a C57BL/6 background ${ }^{264,300}$, tumour was detected in 9 of the 23 (39.1\%) Samsn1 $1^{-1}$ and WT mice in this experiment (Figure 3.5B). This may be attributable to the fact that our 5TGM1 cell line was established from BM-resident 5TGM1 cells passaged in mice, which may have greater BM tropism compared to the 5TGM1 cells used by others. Notably, $45.5 \%(n=5 / 11)$ and $33.3 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=4 / 12$ ) of WT and Samsn1 ${ }^{-/}$mice, respectively, developed 5TGM1 tumours, which does not constitute a significant difference in tumour penetrance between the strains $(P=0.6802$, Fisher's exact test; Figure 3.5B). In addition, of those mice that did develop tumour, there was no difference in tumour burden between the WT mice and Samsn1 ${ }^{-1}$ mice ( $P=0.9048$, Mann-Whitney U test; Figure 3.5C). This finding suggests that the loss of Samsn1 in PCextrinsic cells of the BM microenvironment does not affect the growth of MM tumours in KaLwRij mice.

### 3.2.4 Generation of Glipr1 knockout mice using CRIPSR-Cas9 genome editing

In order to produce Glipr $1^{-/}$Samsn $1^{-/}$double knockout mice, Glipr $1^{-/}$mice were generated using CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing technology. The strategy was to delete the first exon of the Gliprl gene using two guide RNAs (gRNAs), one upstream of the conserved promoter region and the other in the first intron of Gliprl (Figure 3.6A). WT mouse zygotes were injected with Cas9 mRNA and both gRNAs before being transferred to pseudopregnant recipients, which resulted in the birth of four founder pups. PCR genotyping coupled with Sanger sequencing revealed that three of the founders had at least one Gliprl allele in which the first exon was successfully deleted (Figure 3.6B). The Gliprl deletion allele of founder 3 , a $\sim 3.6 \mathrm{~kb}$ deletion encompassing exon 1 (Figure 3.6B), was selected for breeding to homozygosity because it did not involve any random insertions/deletions and belonged to the only male founder. This Gliprl deletion allele was backcrossed onto a C57BL/6 background for one generation and then bred to homozygosity to generate C57BL/Glipr1 ${ }^{-1}$ (Gliprl ${ }^{-/}$) mice.

To confirm successful gene knockout in the Glipr1 ${ }^{-/}$mice, RT-PCR for Gliprl mRNA was performed on RNA from BM and spleen cells. Two sets of PCR primers were used; one with primers in Gliprl exons 1 and 2 (ex1-2) and the other with primers in Gliprl exons 3 and 4 (ex3-4). As shown in Figure 73.7A, no PCR products were generated with the ex1-2 primers,
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Figure 3.6: Generating Glipr1 knockout mice using CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing. (A)
Schematic showing the location of the gRNAs used for CRISPR-Cas9-mediated deletion of Glipr1 exon 1 and the PCR primers ( $\mathrm{P} 1 \& \mathrm{P} 4$ ) used to screen founder mice for deletions. The direction of gene transcription is indicated by the arrow. (B) DNA samples from the four founder mice (F1-4) were screened for deletions of Glipr1 exon 1 by PCR using primers P1 and P4 and the products were run on a $1 \%$ agarose gel (left). Sanger sequencing of the highlighted deletion band in F3 showed a 3,641 bp deletion between the two gRNA sites, which removed Gliprl exon 1 (right). NTC = no template control.

Figure 3.7: Confirming Glipr1 knockout in CRISPR-Cas9-generated Glipr1 ${ }^{-/}$mice. (A) RT-PCR for Gliprl mRNA was performed on RNA from the BM and spleen of Glipr1 $1^{-/}$and WT control mice using either primers in exons 1 and 2 (ex1-2) or primers in exons 3 and 4 (ex3-4). PCR products were run on a $2 \%$ agarose gel and stained with GelRed ${ }^{\circledR}$. Actb was used as a positive control. (B) Gliprl primers ex3-4 were used to perform RT-qPCR on RNA from the BM and spleen of Glipr $1^{-/}$and WT mice. Glipr 1 expression levels were normalised to $A c t b$ and were expressed relative to WT mice. (C) The levels of Glipr1 protein in the BM and spleen of Glipr $1^{-/}$and WT mice was assessed by Western blot. Hsp90 was used as the loading control. The graph depicts the mean +SD of $\mathrm{n}=2$ mice per genotype. ${ }^{* * * * P<}$ 0.0001, unpaired $t$ test.
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which was expected, given that one of the primers binds within the Gliprl deletion region. However, products of the expected size were generated using the ex3-4 primers, suggesting that there was transcription of Glipr1 downstream of exon 1 (Figure 3.7A). However, RTqPCR using the ex3-4 primers showed that the expression of Glipr1 mRNA transcripts in the BM and spleen of Glipr $1^{--}$mice was significantly reduced compared to WT mice (Figure 3.7B). In addition, there was no full-length or truncated Glipr1 protein detected in the BM or spleen of the Glipr $1^{-/}$mice by Western blot using a polyclonal $\alpha$-Glipr1 antibody (Figure 3.7C). Hence, the truncated Glipr1 mRNA transcripts generated in the Glipr1 ${ }^{-/}$mice were not translated into protein, which is consistent with the absence of alternative start codons downstream of exon 1. Together, these data indicate that a Gliprl knockout mouse was successfully generated using CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing.

### 3.2.5 Analysis of B cell development in Glipr1 and/or Samsn1 knockout mice

In order to generate Glipr1 ${ }^{--}$Samsn1 ${ }^{-/}$double knockout mice, the Glipr1 ${ }^{-/}$mice and Samsn $1^{-/}$mice were crossed and the resultant heterozygous mice ( Glipr $^{+/-}{ }^{\text {Samsn }} 1^{+/}$) were crossed again. From these matings, $3.8 \%(n=4 / 105)$ of the progeny were found to be Glipr $1^{-/}$Samsn $1^{-/}$by PCR genotyping, which does not significantly differ from the expected Mendelian frequency of $6.25 \%\left(P=0.5377\right.$, Fisher's exact test). The Glipr $1^{--}$Samsn $1^{-/}$mice were viable, fertile and had no overt phenotypic traits. In order to examine the effect of Gliprl and/or Samsnl knockout on normal haematopoiesis in adult mice, peripheral blood (PB) from 12-week-old Glipr $1^{-/}$, Samsn $1^{-/}$, Glipr $1^{--}$Samsn $1^{-/}$and WT mice was assessed using a HEMAVET analyser. No differences in the number of white blood cells, the number of red blood cells, haemoglobin concentration, or other parameters were observed in the Gliprl and/or Samsnl knockout mice compared to the WT mice ( $P>0.05$, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test; Figure 3.8 and Table 3.1). In addition, flow cytometry analysis of B cells from the BM of 12-week-old Glipr1 ${ }^{-1}$, Samsn1 ${ }^{-1}$, Glipr1 $^{-1}$ Samsn $1^{-/}$and WT mice was performed. No differences in the populations of pre-pro B cells, immature B cells, mature B cells, total B cells and PCs were observed in the $\mathrm{Glipr}^{-1}$, Samsn $1^{-/}$, and Glipr1 ${ }^{-/}$Samsn1 $1^{-/}$mice compared to the WT mice $(P>0.05$, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test; Figure 3.9A-F). The knockout mice also demonstrated similar splenic populations of total B cells and PCs compared to WT mice ( $P$ $>0.05$, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test;

Figure 3.8: Glipr1 and/or Samsn1 knockout does not affect PB counts in adult mice. (A-F) PB was collected by tail bleed from 12-week-old Gliprl ${ }^{-1}$, Samsn $1^{-1}$, Glipr1 ${ }^{-/}$Samsnl $^{-/}$and WT mice and white blood cell (WBC) number (A), lymphocyte number (B), monocyte number (C), neutrophil number (D), red blood cell (RBC) number (E) and haemoglobin concentration (F) were assessed using a HEMAVET analyser. Graphs depict the mean $\pm$ SEM of $\mathrm{n}=7$ mice per genotype. $P>0.05$, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test.


Table 3.1: Haematological parameters in the PB of 12 -week-old Glipr1¹- Samsn $^{-1}$, Glipr $1^{-}$Samsn $1^{-/}$and WT mice. PB was collected by a tail bleed from 12-week-old Glipr1 ${ }^{-/}$, Samsn $1^{-/}$, Glipr $1^{-/}$Samsn1 $1^{-/}$and WT control mice and was analysed on a HEMAVET analyser ( $\mathrm{n}=7$ /genotype). $P>0.05$, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test.

|  |  | WT |  | Glipr1 |  | Samsn1 - |  | Glipr1 $/ \sim$ SamsnI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

$\mathrm{SD}=$ standard deviation, $\#=$ number, $\%=$ percentage, $\mathrm{WBC}=$ white blood cell, $\mathrm{NE}=$ neutrophil, $\mathrm{LY}=$ lymphocytes, $\mathrm{MO}=$ monocytes, $\mathrm{EO}=$ e esinophils, $\mathrm{BA}=$ basophils, $\mathrm{RBC}=$ red blood cell, $\mathrm{HB}=$ haemoglobin, $\mathrm{HCT}=$ haematocrit, $\mathrm{MCV}=$ mean corpuscular volume, $\mathrm{MCH}=$ mean corpuscular haemoglobin, $\mathrm{MCHC}=$ mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration, $\mathrm{RDW}=$ red blood cell distribution width, $\mathrm{PLT}=$ platelet, MPV $=$ mean platelet volume.

Figure 3.9: Glipr1 and/or Samsn1 knockout does not affect B cell populations in the BM or spleen of 12-week-old mice. Single cell suspensions from the BM (A-F) and spleen (G-I) were obtained from 12-week-old Glipr1 ${ }^{-/}$, Samsn $1^{-/}$, Glipr $1^{-/}$Samsn $1^{-/}$and WT control mice. The cells were stained with anti-B220, anti-IgM and anti-CD138 antibodies and analysed by flow cytometry. (A-F) BM cells were gated, as represented in (A), to show the percentage of total B cells $\left(\mathbf{B} ; \mathrm{B} 220^{+}\right)$, mature B cells $\left(\mathbf{C} ; \mathrm{B} 220^{\text {high }} \mathrm{IgM}^{\text {low }}\right)$, immature B cells (D; B220 ${ }^{\text {low }} \mathrm{IgM}^{+}$), pre-pro B cells ( $\mathbf{E} ; \mathrm{B}_{2} 20^{\text {low }} \mathrm{IgM}^{-}$), and PCs (F; B220 ${ }^{-} \mathrm{IgM}{ }^{-} \mathrm{CD} 138^{+}$) among total leukocytes. (G-I) Spleen cells were gated, as represented in (G), to show the percent of total B cells ( $\mathbf{H} ; \mathrm{B}_{2} 20^{+}$) and PCs ( $\mathbf{I} ; \mathrm{B} 220^{-} \mathrm{IgM}^{-} \mathrm{CD} 138^{+}$) among total leukocytes. Graphs depict the mean $\pm$ SEM of $\mathrm{n}=9-12$ mice per genotype. ${ }^{*} \mathrm{P}<0.05$, ${ }^{* *} \mathrm{P}<0.01$, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test.


Figure 3.9G-I). Collectively, these data suggest that the loss of Glipr1 and/or Samsn1 does not significantly affect B cell development, including PC production, in vivo.

### 3.2.6 Glipr1 and/or Samsn1 knockout does not result in MM disease development in vivo

KaLwRij mice, which are Samsnl $1^{-/}$, and Glipr1 $1^{-/}$mice are prone to developing PC dyscrasias, albeit with late onset and incomplete penetrance ${ }^{264,298}$. Hence, it was hypothesised that the concomitant loss of Glipr1 and Samsn1 would result in increased penetrance, decreased time to onset and/or increased progression of abnormal PC expansions in vivo. To test this, Glipr1 ${ }^{-/}$, Samsn1 $1^{-/}$, Glipr1 $1^{-/}$Samsn $1^{-/}$and WT mice were aged for one year and monitored for B cell/PC abnormalities using a HEMAVET analyser, SPEP and flow cytometry analyses (Figure 3.10A). Haematological parameters were measured in serial samples of PB from the Glipr1 ${ }^{-/}$, Samsn1 $1^{-/}$, Glipr1 $1^{-/}$Samsn1 $1^{-/}$and WT mice at 6, 9 and 12 months of age using a HEMAVET analyser (Figure 3.10B-E and Table 3.2). There was a significant increase in the number of lymphocytes at 9 months of age, and monocytes at 6 months of age, in PB from the Glipr1 $1^{--}$mice compared to the WT mice ( $P<0.05$, KruskalWallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test; Figure 3.10C\&D). In addition, the number of monocytes was significantly higher in the Glipr $1^{--}$Samsn $1^{-1}$ mice compared to the WT mice at 9 months of age (Figure 3.10D). These data suggested that Gliprl knockout may promote progressive expansion of lymphocyte and monocyte populations over time. However, increased lymphocyte and monocyte populations were not observed in the Glipr1 ${ }^{-/}$mice or Glipr1 $1^{-/}$Samsn $^{-/}$mice compared to the WT mice at 12 months of age (Figure 3.10B-E and Table 3.2). This was confirmed by flow cytometry analysis of white blood cell populations in PB from the 12-month-old mice, which showed similar lymphocyte ( B and T cell), monocyte and granulocyte populations in the Glipr1 $1^{-/}, \mathrm{Samsnl}^{-1}$ and Glipr $1^{-/}$Samsnl ${ }^{-/}$mice compared to the WT mice ( $P>0.05$, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test; Figure 3.11). These data suggest that Gliprl and/or Samsn1 knockout does not cause consistent or progressive changes to PB cell populations in ageing mice.

The ageing Glipr1 and/or Samsn1 knockout mice were specifically monitored for expansions of antibody-producing PCs relative to WT control mice by SPEP at 10 -week intervals. Across the genotypes, there were more M -spikes in the female mice compared to the male mice, as was seen previously for C57BL/6 mice ${ }^{262}$, but the majority were still relatively weak

Figure 3.10: Longitudinal analysis of PB counts in ageing Glipr1 ${ }^{-/}$, Samsn1 ${ }^{-/}$and Glipr1 ${ }^{-/}$Samsn1 $1^{-/}$mice. (A) Schematic illustrating the experimental design for monitoring Glipr1 ${ }^{-1}$, Samsn $1^{-/}$, Glipr $1^{--}$Samsn $1^{-1}$ and WT mice for B cell/PC abnormalities. (B-E) Blood samples were serially collected by a tail bleed from Glipr1-1, Samsn1 ${ }^{-1}$, Gliprl $l^{-/}$Samsnl ${ }^{-/}$and WT mice at 6 (left), 9 (middle) and 12 (right) months of age. Complete blood counts, including WBC (B), lymphocyte (C), monocyte (D) and neutrophil (E) numbers, were measured using a HEMAVET analyser. Graphs depict the mean $\pm$ SEM of $\mathrm{n}=10$ mice per genotype. $* P<0.05, * * P<0.01$, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test.


Table 3.2: Haematological parameters in the PB of ageing Glipr1 $1^{-\kappa}$, Samsn $1^{-}$and Glipr $^{1-}$ Samsn $1^{1 /}$ mice. PB was collected by a tail bleed from Glipr $1^{-/}$, Samsn $1^{-/}$, Glipr $1^{--}$Samsn $1^{-1}$ and WT control mice at 6, 9 and 12 months of age and was assessed using a HEMAVET analyser ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ /genotype). Data are shown as mean $\pm$ SD. ${ }^{*} P<0.05,{ }^{* *} P<0.01$ compared to WT, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test.

|  |  | 6 months |  |  |  | 9 months |  |  |  | 12 months |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | WT | Glipr1* | Samsn1 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Glipr1\% } \\ \text { Samsn1 }^{\%} \end{gathered}$ | WT | Glipr1 ${ }^{1 /}$ | Samsn1/ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Glipr1 }^{\%} \\ \text { Samsn1 } \end{gathered}$ | WT | Glipr1 ${ }^{\text {/ }}$ | Samsn ${ }^{1 /}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Glipr1 }^{\%} \\ \text { Samsn1 }^{\%} \end{gathered}$ |
| WBC | K/UL | $7.89 \pm 1.35$ | $10.9 \pm 2.55$ | $7.35 \pm 1.42$ | $9.27 \pm 3.37$ | $8.63 \pm 1.86$ | $11.7 \pm 3.51$ | $9.94 \pm 1.80$ | $9.97 \pm 1.68$ | $10.1 \pm 1.66$ | $10.4 \pm 4.00$ | $7.91 \pm 2.47$ | $8.82 \pm 3.08$ |
| NE\# | K/uL | $1.82 \pm 0.49$ | $1.96 \pm 0.37$ | $1.65 \pm 0.33$ | $2.14 \pm 0.83$ | $2.29 \pm 0.61$ | $2.29 \pm 0.68$ | $2.34 \pm 0.51$ | $2.16 \pm 0.32$ | $2.02 \pm 0.64$ | $2.25 \pm 0.81$ | $1.75 \pm 0.65$ | $1.83 \pm 0.68$ |
| LY\# | K/uL | $5.8 \pm 1.04$ | $8.47 \pm 2.11$ | $5.35 \pm 1.05$ | $6.75 \pm 3.20$ | $5.91 \pm 1.37$ | $8.87 \pm 2.89^{*}$ | $7.09 \pm 1.48$ | $7.27 \pm 1.45$ | $7.42 \pm 1.35$ | $7.72 \pm 3.22$ | $5.72 \pm 1.85$ | $6.4 \pm 2.45$ |
| MO\# | K/uL | $0.17 \pm 0.05$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 1} \pm 0.12^{\text {\# }}$ | $0.21 \pm 0.08$ | $0.29 \pm 0.14$ | $0.25 \pm 0.07$ | $0.37 \pm 0.14$ | $0.28 \pm 0.06$ | $0.37 \pm 0.08^{*}$ | $0.41 \pm 0.13$ | $0.24 \pm 0.12$ | $0.27 \pm 0.07$ | $0.37 \pm 0.19$ |
| EO\# | K/uL | $0.08 \pm 0.05$ | $0.09 \pm 0.05$ | $0.11 \pm 0.05$ | $0.07 \pm 0.06$ | $0.14 \pm 0.10$ | $0.16 \pm 0.09$ | $0.16 \pm 0.07$ | $0.13 \pm 0.10$ | $0.16 \pm 0.09$ | $0.13 \pm 0.09$ | $0.12 \pm 0.05$ | $0.16 \pm 0.08$ |
| BA\# | K/uL | $0.03 \pm 0.02$ | $0.03 \pm 0.01$ | $0.04 \pm 0.02$ | $0.02 \pm 0.02$ | $0.05 \pm 0.04$ | $0.05 \pm 0.03$ | $0.06 \pm 0.03$ | $0.05 \pm 0.04$ | $0.05 \pm 0.03$ | $0.04 \pm 0.03$ | $0.04 \pm 0.03$ | $0.05 \pm 0.02$ |
| NE\% | \% | $22.9 \pm 3.81$ | $18.4 \pm 3.30$ | $22.4 \pm 2.28$ | $24.8 \pm 10.6$ | $26.7 \pm 5.35$ | $20.0 \pm 4.29^{*}$ | $23.6 \pm 3.45$ | $21.9 \pm 3.77$ | $20.1 \pm 4.85$ | $22.5 \pm 4.92$ | $22.1 \pm 4.70$ | $21.5 \pm 6.32$ |
| LY\% | \% | $73.6 \pm 3.78$ | $77.2 \pm 3.04$ | $72.7 \pm 2.17$ | $70.9 \pm 10.5$ | $68.3 \pm 6.59$ | $75.1 \pm 5.16$ | $71.2 \pm 4.89$ | $72.7 \pm 4.52$ | $73.8 \pm 6.18$ | $73.5 \pm 5.49$ | $72.2 \pm 4.93$ | $71.7 \pm 5.76$ |
| MO\% | \% | $2.16 \pm 0.68$ | $3.2 \pm 1.60$ | $2.82 \pm 0.65$ | $3.12 \pm 0.78$ | $2.85 \pm 0.51$ | $3.19 \pm 0.73$ | $2.87 \pm 0.60$ | $3.68 \pm 0.52^{*}$ | $4.11 \pm 1.09$ | $2.38 \pm 0.86^{* *}$ | $3.56 \pm 0.85$ | $4.21 \pm 1.81$ |
| EO\% | \% | $1.08 \pm 0.78$ | $0.83 \pm 0.27$ | $1.52 \pm 0.71$ | $0.89 \pm 0.90$ | $1.56 \pm 1.00$ | $1.29 \pm 0.63$ | $1.69 \pm 0.86$ | $1.27 \pm 0.83$ | $1.57 \pm 0.84$ | $1.27 \pm 0.60$ | $1.55 \pm 0.33$ | $1.93 \pm 0.92$ |
| BA\% | \% | $0.34 \pm 0.34$ | $0.29 \pm 0.13$ | $0.53 \pm 0.27$ | $0.28 \pm 0.30$ | $0.538 \pm 0.27$ | $0.356 \pm 0.211$ | $0.643 \pm 0.366$ | $0.437 \pm 0.313$ | $0.462 \pm 0.31$ | $0.342 \pm 0.18$ | $0.564 \pm 0.34$ | $0.649 \pm 0.35$ |
| RBC | M/uL | $10.1 \pm 0.29$ | $10.3 \pm 0.51$ | $9.73 \pm 0.25$ | $10.1 \pm 0.33$ | $9.65 \pm 0.38$ | $9.49 \pm 1.20$ | $9.66 \pm 0.30$ | $9.85 \pm 0.51$ | $10.2 \pm 0.47$ | $10.2 \pm 0.82$ | $10.3 \pm 0.31$ | $10.1 \pm 1.22$ |
| HB | g/dL | $11.5 \pm 0.32$ | $11.7 \pm 0.49$ | $11.4 \pm 0.43$ | $11.5 \pm 0.68$ | $12.3 \pm 0.41$ | $12.1 \pm 1.20$ | $12.7 \pm 0.40$ | $12.3 \pm 0.54$ | $14.4 \pm 0.675$ | $14 \pm 0.90$ | $14.4 \pm 0.49$ | $13.8 \pm 1.77$ |
| HCT | \% | $44.5 \pm 1.15$ | $45.1 \pm 1.75$ | $44 \pm 1.05$ | $45.1 \pm 1.66$ | $44.1 \pm 1.48$ | $44 \pm 4.13$ | $45.4 \pm 1.23$ | $45.6 \pm 2.00$ | $47.2 \pm 2.62$ | $46.7 \pm 2.22$ | $48.1 \pm 1.98$ | $46.0 \pm 5.60$ |
| MCV | fL | $44.1 \pm 0.86$ | $43.9 \pm 0.94$ | $45.2 \pm 0.89$ | $44.8 \pm 0.86$ | $45.7 \pm 1.29$ | $46.7 \pm 2.46$ | $47.0 \pm 0.86$ | $46.3 \pm 1.21$ | $46.4 \pm 1.12$ | $45.8 \pm 2.11$ | $46.8 \pm 1.14$ | $45.7 \pm 1.13$ |
| MCH | Pg | $11.4 \pm 0.33$ | $11.4 \pm 0.48$ | $11.7 \pm 0.24$ | $11.4 \pm 0.64$ | $12.8 \pm 0.44$ | $12.8 \pm 0.64$ | $13.1 \pm 0.21$ | $12.5 \pm 0.41$ | $14.1 \pm 0.55$ | $13.8 \pm 0.58$ | $14.0 \pm 0.29$ | $13.7 \pm 0.33$ |
| MCHC | g/dL | $25.9 \pm 0.67$ | $26 \pm 1.08$ | $26 \pm 0.84$ | $25.5 \pm 1.51$ | $28.0 \pm 0.66$ | $27.4 \pm 0.59$ | $27.9 \pm 0.53$ | $26.9 \pm 0.60^{* *}$ | $30.5 \pm 0.91$ | $30.1 \pm 0.97$ | $30 \pm 1.04$ | $30.1 \pm 0.74$ |
| RDW | \% | $18.7 \pm 1.23$ | $18.4 \pm 0.66$ | $17.9 \pm 0.31$ | $17.9 \pm 0.53$ | $18.4 \pm 0.66$ | $19.7 \pm 1.81$ | $18.3 \pm 0.45$ | $18.7 \pm 0.83$ | $18.2 \pm 0.34$ | $18.4 \pm 0.66$ | $18.4 \pm 0.65$ | $18 \pm 0.51$ |
| PLT | K/uL | $842 \pm 85.4$ | $761 \pm 203$ | $725 \pm 96.7$ | $764 \pm 93.2$ | $914 \pm 98.3$ | $961 \pm 193$ | $852 \pm 121$ | $871 \pm 92$ | $1263 \pm 172$ | $1348 \pm 256$ | $1226 \pm 117$ | $1181 \pm 167$ |
| MPV | fl | $4.57 \pm 0.29$ | $4.49 \pm 0.28$ | $4.45 \pm 0.13$ | $4.42 \pm 0.25$ | $4.67 \pm 0.24$ | $4.77 \pm 0.27$ | $4.75 \pm 0.06$ | $4.66 \pm 0.07$ | $5.77 \pm 0.21$ | $5.82 \pm 0.31$ | $5.72 \pm 0.24$ | $5.53 \pm 0.16$ |

$\mathrm{WBC}=$ white blood cell, $\mathrm{NE}=$ neutrophil, $\mathrm{LY}=$ lymphocytes, $\mathrm{MO}=$ monocytes, $\mathrm{EO}=$ eosinophils, $\mathrm{BA}=$ basophils, $\mathrm{RBC}=$ red blood cell, $\mathrm{HB}=$ haemoglobin, $\mathrm{HCT}=$ haematocrit, $\mathrm{MCV}=$ mean corpuscular volume, $\mathrm{MCH}=$ mean corpuscular haemoglobin, $\mathrm{MCHC}=$ mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration, $\mathrm{RDW}=$ red blood cell distribution width, PLT $=$ platelet, MPV $=$ mean platelet volume.

Figure 3.11: Flow cytometry analysis of leukocyte populations in the PB of 12-monthold Glipr1 $1^{-/}$, Samsn1 $1^{-/}$and Glipr1 $1^{-/}$Samsn $1^{-/}$mice. (A-E) PB was collected from 12-month-old Gliprl $1^{-/}$, Samsnl $1^{-/}$, Gliprl $l^{-/}$Samsnl $^{-/}$and WT control mice and single cell leukocyte suspensions were prepared. The cells were stained with anti-B220, anti-CD3, antiCD11b and anti-Ly6G antibodies and analysed by flow cytometry. (A) Representative flow plots showing the gating strategy used to define B cells $\left(\mathrm{B} 220^{+}\right), \mathrm{T}$ cells $\left(\mathrm{CD}^{+}\right)$, monocytes (CD11b ${ }^{+}$Ly $6 \mathrm{G}^{-}$) and granulocytes (CD11b ${ }^{+}$Ly $6 \mathrm{G}^{+}$). The percentage of B cells (B), T cells (C), monocytes (D) and granulocytes (E) among total leukocytes in the PB are shown in the graphs. Graphs depict the mean $\pm$ SEM of $\mathrm{n}=10$ mice per genotype. $P>0.05$, KruskalWallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test.

compared to the albumin and other globulin bands in the 50 -week-old mice (Figure 3.12A). Although the M-spike incidence was higher in the Gliprl and/or Samsnl knockout mice compared to the WT mice at the earlier time points, this difference was not evident at 50 weeks of age (Figure 3.12B). In addition, flow cytometry analysis revealed that the B cell populations in the BM and spleen of the 12-month-old Glipr1 ${ }^{-1}$, Samsn1 $1^{-1}$ and Glipr $1^{-/}$Samsn $1^{-/}$mice were similar to those in the WT mice, except for a significant reduction in the BM immature B cell population in the Samsnl ${ }^{-1}$ mice ( $P=0.042$, KruskalWallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test; Figure 3.13A-D\&F). Notably, no differences were found in the BM and splenic PC populations of the Glipr $1^{-/}$, Samsn1 $1^{-/}$and Glipr $1^{--}$Samsn $1^{--}$mice compared to the WT mice ( $P>0.05$, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test; Figure 3.13E\&G). Together, these data suggest that the loss of Samsn1 and Glipr1 does not promote the development of abnormal clonal PC expansions, or other B cell abnormalities, in mice up to one year of age.

### 3.3 Discussion

Although Samsn1 was found to be a tumour suppressor in the $5 \mathrm{TGM1} / \mathrm{KaLwRij}$ murine model of myeloma ${ }^{260}$, Samsnl $1^{-/}$KaLwRij mice only spontaneously develop MM with late onset and very low penetrance ${ }^{264}$. This suggests that the loss of Samsn1 is not sufficient to drive malignant transformation and must co-operate with other genetic lesions to promote MM development. In this chapter, it was aimed to identify genetic aberrations that potentially co-operate with reduced SAMSN1 expression to promote MM. To achieve this, gene expression differences between MM PCs with low versus normal/high SAMSN1 levels were examined and only two changes in the $S A M S N 1^{\text {low }}$ tumours across multiple microarray datasets were found: up-regulation of HIST3H2A and down-regulation of GLIPR1. HIST3H2A encodes a replication-dependent H2A core histone, which is mainly expressed during S phase of the cell cycle ${ }^{301}$. The expression of this gene was found to not differ in MM PCs compared to normal PCs and HIST3H2A has not previously been described to have a role in cancer. As such, increased $H I S T 3 H 2 A$ expression was deemed unlikely to constitute a co-operative driver of MM development.

GLIPR1 is a ubiquitously expressed gene that encodes a member of the cysteine-rich secretory proteins, antigen 5 , and pathogenesis-related 1 proteins (CAP) superfamily with unspecified function ${ }^{302,303}$. GLIPR1 expression was found to be significantly decreased in the PCs of MM patients compared to normal controls, with most MM patients found to
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Figure 3.12: Glipr1 and/or Samsn1 knockout does not affect M-spike incidence in one-year-old mice. (A\&B) Serum was serially collected by tail bleed from ageing Glipr $^{-1}$, Samsn $1^{-/}$, Glipr $1^{-/}$Samsn $1^{-/}$and WT control mice every 10 weeks and the presence of Mspikes were detected using SPEP ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ mice per genotype). (A) SPEP gels for sera collected from 50-week-old mice are shown. The position of the albumin and the different globulin components of the serum are indicated by brackets. * denotes mice with an Mspike. (B) The graph depicts the incidence of M-spikes over time for each genotype.


Figure 3.13: Glipr1 and/or Samsn1 knockout does not affect PC populations in one-year-old mice. BM and spleen cells were collected from 12-month-old Glipr1 ${ }^{-1}$, Samsnl $^{-1}$, Gliprl ${ }^{-/}$Samsn $1^{-/}$and WT control mice and single cell suspensions were prepared. B cell populations were analysed by flow cytometry through staining cells with anti-B220, antiIgM and anti-CD138 antibodies. Stained cells were gated to show the percentage of total B cells $\left(\mathbf{A} \& \mathbf{F} ; \mathrm{B} 220^{+}\right)$, mature B cells $\left(\mathbf{B} ; \mathrm{B}_{2} 20^{\text {high }} \mathrm{IgM}^{\text {low }}\right)$, immature B cells ( $\mathbf{C}$; $\mathrm{B} 220^{\mathrm{low}} \mathrm{IgM}^{+}$), pre-pro B cells ( $\mathbf{D} ; \mathrm{B} 220^{\mathrm{low}} \mathrm{IgM}^{-}$), and PCs ( $\mathbf{E} \& \mathbf{G} ; \mathrm{B}_{2} 20^{-} \mathrm{IgM}^{-} \mathrm{CD} 138^{+}$) among total leukocytes. Graphs depict the mean $\pm$ SEM of $\mathrm{n}=10$ mice per genotype. $* P<$ 0.05 , Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test.
express GLIPR1 below the normal range. A reduction in GLIPR1 expression has also been observed in several solid cancers, including prostate cancer ${ }^{304}$, lung cancer ${ }^{305}$, sarcoma ${ }^{306}$ and bladder cancer ${ }^{307}$. Notably, down-regulation of GLIPRI was one of only 38 gene expression changes identified in PCs from patients with the MM-related malignancy lightchain amyloidosis ${ }^{299}$. Together, these findings suggested that GLIPR1 may be a novel tumour suppressor gene in MM, the down-regulation of which may co-operate with reduced expression of SAMSN1 to promote MM disease development.

In the context of murine MM, the expression of Gliprl was found to be lost in the KaLwRij tumour-derived 5TGM1 MM cell line compared to normal PCs from KaLwRij mice. This is consistent with the loss of Glipr1 being a change that co-operates with the pre-existing absence of Samsn1 in KaLwRij PCs to promote malignant transformation. To investigate whether loss of Gliprl expression is a common occurrence in the spontaneous MM tumours that arise in KaLwRij mice, other independently-established, KaLwRij PC tumour-derived MM cell lines, such as $5 \mathrm{~T}^{263-266}$, could be analysed. The mechanism(s) by which Gliprl/GLIPR1 expression is down-regulated in the context of murine/human MM is unknown. Reduced GLIPRI expression in prostate cancer was shown to be primarily caused by aberrant DNA hypermethylation ${ }^{304}$. In addition, hemizygous chromosomal deletions encompassing GLIPR1 have been reported in $9.4 \%$ of MM patients ${ }^{308}$. Hence, future studies should investigate DNA methylation and genomic deletion as potential mechanisms that cause down-regulation of GLIPR1 expression in MM PCs. Notably, the overexpression of Glipr1 in 5TGM1 cells was found to not affect cell proliferation in vitro but to reduce tumour burden in vivo, suggesting a possible tumour suppressor role for Glipr1 in MM. However, the reduction in 5TGM1-Glipr1 tumour burden did not reach statistical significance, which may have been due to the rapidly progressive nature of the 5 TGM1/KaLwRij MM model ${ }^{267}$. Hence, further exploration of the potential tumour suppressor role of GLIPR1 in MM using less aggressive in vivo disease models, such as the human myeloma cell line U266 in immunodeficient mice ${ }^{309}$, is warranted.

GLIPR1 has been shown to act as a tumour suppressor in several other malignancies, both in vitro and in vivo ${ }^{296-298,304-307}$. In addition, previously generated Glipr1 ${ }^{-/}$mice were shown to have reduced survival due to increased rates of spontaneous malignancy ${ }^{298}$. Notably, 40\% of the tumours in the Glipr $1^{-/}$mice were classified as plasmacytomas, which is a localised PC malignancy that frequently progresses to $\mathrm{MM}^{310,311}$. Furthermore, like Samsnl $1^{-/}$

KaLwRij mice, tumour development in Glipr $1^{-\gamma}$ mice was found to be of late onset (no mortality until 15 months of age) and incomplete penetrance ( $\sim 17 \%)^{239}$, which suggests that the loss of Glipr1 co-operates with additional genetic aberrations to promote malignancy. GLIPR1 has been found to mediate its tumour suppressor effects through several different mechanisms in prostate cancer cells ${ }^{298,312,313}$. For example, it was shown to promote apoptosis by increasing reactive oxygen species production ${ }^{298}$ and by modulating HSC70's regulation of apoptosis-related gene expression ${ }^{312}$. In addition, GLIPR1 was found to cause cell cycle arrest by decreasing expression of the oncogenic MYC transcription factor ${ }^{313}$. Whether GLIPR1 performs these tumour suppressor functions in PCs is unknown and warrants future investigation.

Samsn1 knockout mice and Glipr1 knockout mice on a MGUS-prone C57BL/6 background were generated by backcrossing the KaLwRij-derived Samsn1 deletion or CRISPRmediated genetic editing of Gliprl, respectively. These single knockout mice were then crossed to generate Glipr $1^{-/}$Samsnl $^{-/}$double knockout mice, which were used to examine whether the concomitant loss of Samsnl and Glipr1 induces MM development. After one year, the incidence of M-spikes in the WT mice was $30 \%$, which was in agreement with the previously reported M-spike frequency of $\sim 25-30 \%$ in one-year-old C57BL/6 mice ${ }^{239,262}$. Notably, the Glipr $1^{-/}$, Samsn1 $1^{-/}$and Glipr $1^{-/}$Samsnl $^{-/}$mice were found to have an M-spike frequency equal to or less than that of the WT mice. In addition, there was no significant difference in the proportion of PCs in the BM or spleen of the Glipr $^{-1}$, Samsnl $^{-/}$or Glipr $1^{-/}$Samsn $1^{-/}$mice compared to the WT mice at one year of age. Notably, the expression of putative myeloma-promoting transgenes in early B cells was previously shown to cause the development of lymphomas, not MM, in mice ${ }^{236-238}$. Hence, it was considered a possibility that the Glipr1 and/or Samsn1 knockout mice may develop B cell malignancies with a less-differentiated cell of origin. However, analyses of B cell populations in the blood, BM and spleen from the Glipr $1^{-/}$, Samsn 1 $1^{-/}$and Glipr1 $1^{-/}$Samsn $1^{-/}$mice showed that there were no expansions of any B cell subsets. Together, these data suggest that the loss of Glipr1 and/or Samsn1 did not promote the development of PC, or other B cell, proliferative disorders in C57BL/6 mice up to one year of age.

The finding that there was no difference in clonal PC expansions between Samsn1-/ ${ }^{-/}$mice and WT mice was consistent with a previous study that showed no evidence of increased tumorigenesis in Samsn1 $1^{-/}$mice up to one year of age. ${ }^{245}$. Whereas, the observation that the

Gliprl $^{-/}$mice also did not display increased development of PC dyscrasias compared to WT mice appears to conflict with the previous finding that $\mathrm{Gliprl}^{-/-}$mice had a greater propensity to develop plasmacytomas ${ }^{298}$. However, the low penetrance and late onset of the PC tumours observed in the previous Glipr1 ${ }^{-/-}$mice suggests that the cohort size $(\mathrm{n}=10)$ and length of monitoring (one year) of the Gliprl knockout mice in this study may have been insufficient to observe enhanced tumorigenesis. In addition, previous studies have shown that tumour penetrance and onset is increased in transgenic mice with a 129 Sv background compared to a C57BL/6 background ${ }^{314-317}$. Hence, as the previously described Glipr1 knockout mice were on a C57BL6/129Sv (1:1) hybrid background, they may have been more susceptible to developing PC malignancies compared to the Glipr $1^{-/-}$mice generated in this study, which were on a pure C57BL/6 background. Notably, the Samsnll $l^{-/}$Glipr $^{-/}$mice did not display evidence of enhanced PC expansions compared to WT mice up to one year of age, suggesting that the loss of both Samsn1 and Glipr1 do not co-operate to drive MM development in MGUS-prone C57BL/6 mice. However, the incidence of MGUS in C57BL/6 mice is known to increase from $25-30 \%$ at one year of age to $60-70 \%$ by two years of age ${ }^{239,262}$. This suggests that the Glipr $1^{-/}$Samsn $1^{-/}$mice may need to be aged for longer than one year in order to observe any potential increase in MM development due to the loss of both genes.

A previous study demonstrated that Glipr1 loss and MYC overexpression co-operated to induce invasive prostate carcinomas in mice ${ }^{313}$. Given that MYC activation has been demonstrated to drive MM development and progression ${ }^{158,160}$, it is a possibility that MYC overexpression and GLIPR1 down-regulation may co-operate to drive the malignant transformation of PCs. While this remains to be determined, generating Glipr $1^{--}$Samsn1 $1^{-1}$ knockout mice that also harbour the $\mathrm{Vk}^{*} \mathrm{MYC}$ transgene could enable the potential cooperative tumour suppressor effect of these genes in PCs to be assessed over a shorter timeframe in vivo. Furthermore, previous studies have shown that SAMSN1 and GLIPR1 expression are both down-regulated in other cancers, such as lung cancer ${ }^{271,305}$. In silico analysis revealed that GLIPR1 expression is significantly reduced in lung tumours that have SAMSN1 expression below the normal range (GSE19804, data not shown), which suggests that these genes may also co-operate to suppress lung cancer and potentially other malignancies. Hence, the effect of Gliprl and Samsnl knockout on the development of lung cancer should also be examined in future ageing studies of the Gliprl $1^{-1}$ Samsnl $1^{-/}$mice.

GLIPR1 has been shown to be highly expressed in normal BM and spleen ${ }^{318}$, but its function in normal lymphocytes, including B cells remains to be elucidated. Glipr1 and/or Samsn1 knockout was found to not affect PB counts or B cell populations, including PC, in the BM or spleen of adult 12-week-old mice. The similarity of B cell populations between the Samsn $1^{-/}$and WT mice is consistent with the previous finding that there were no defects in B cell development in Samsnl knockout ${ }^{245}$ or transgenic ${ }^{249}$ mice. This suggests that Glipr 1 and/or Samsn1 do not play significant roles in haematopoiesis, especially B cell development, in mice. However, it was previously shown that Samsnl knockout mice display enhanced B cell function, including increased proliferation in response to antigen stimulation ex vivo and increased antigen-specific antibody production in vivo ${ }^{245}$. Hence, despite Glipr1 knockout not affecting the relative size of B cell populations in mice, Glipr1 may have a role in regulating normal B cell function, which is an area for further investigation.

Previous studies have shown that genetic changes in stromal cells, including within the BM, can promote tumour development in surrounding cell types in a process called nichemediated oncogenesis ${ }^{319-324}$. In the context of MM , the importance of the BM microenvironment in regulating the growth and survival of malignant PCs is wellestablished ${ }^{325}$. A previous study found that the intratumoral injection of ex vivo M2-polarised macrophages from Samsnl ${ }^{-/}$mice versus WT mice significantly increased the growth of established sub-cutaneous 5TGM1 tumours in immunodeficient mice, suggesting that Samsnl expression in PC-extrinsic cells may promote MM development in KaLwRij mice ${ }^{261}$. However, a limitation of the previous study was that the proliferation of 5TGM1 cells in vivo was assessed by measuring tumour volume, which included both the 5TGM1 cells and the injected macrophages. Given that the study also showed that Samsnl ${ }^{--}$ macrophages proliferate faster than WT macrophages, it is possible that at least part of the increased tumour volume observed following injection of Samsn1 $1^{-/}$versus WT macrophages was due to differences in the growth of the macrophages, not the tumour cells. Hence, the effect of Samsn1 loss in PC-extrinsic cells of the BM microenvironment was further investigated in this study by comparing 5TGM1 tumour growth following i.v. inoculation in the newly-generated Samsnl ${ }^{-1}$ mice compared to the WT mice. No significant difference in 5TGM1 tumour development was observed in the Samsn1 ${ }^{-/}$mice compared to the WT mice, suggesting that the absence of Samsn1 in PC-extrinsic cells of the BM microenvironment, including macrophages, is unlikely to influence the development of MM in KaLwRij mice.

In summary, the key genetic abnormalities involved in promoting the transformation from benign MGUS to malignant MM, and how they are interdependent, remain incompletely understood. In this study, GLIPR1 was identified as a gene that is down-regulated in the clonal PCs of MM patients with low SAMSN1 expression and the PCs of most MM patients compared to normal controls. Future studies examining the mechanism(s) by which GLIPR1 expression is reduced and whether this is a cause, consequence or independent of SAMSN1 down-regulation in MM PCs are warranted. Gliprl expression was also found to be lost in the 5TGM1 murine MM cell line and restoration caused a trend towards reduced tumour growth in KaLwRij mice. Further investigation of the potential tumour suppressor role of GLIPR1, and its underlying molecular mechanism of action, in human MM is required. Gliprl and Samsnl knockout mice were generated and did not show a propensity for enhanced MM disease development up to one year of age. However, further analyses of these mice over a longer timeframe may yet uncover a co-operative tumour suppressor role for Samsn1 and Glipr1 in PCs. Given that SAMSN1 and GLIPR1 are concomitantly downregulated in other malignancies, an improved understanding of the functional relationship between these genes in MM could provide critical insight into the mechanisms of tumourigenesis, and potential treatment strategies, in a range of cancers.

## 4 INVESTIGATING THE TUMOUR SUPPRESSOR MECHANISM OF SAMSN1 <br> IN MURINE MULTIPLE MYELOMA

### 4.1 Introduction

The SAM domain, $\underline{\text { SH}} 3$ domain and nuclear localization signals 1 (SAMSN1) gene, also known as SASH2/NASH1/HACS1/SLy2, encodes a member of the SH3-domain protein expressed in the lymphocyte (SLy) family of evolutionarily conserved proteins, which also includes and SASH1 and SASH3 ${ }^{240,242}$. These proteins contain Src homology 3 (SH3) and sterile alpha motif (SAM) domains, both of which mediate protein-protein interactions, and thus have putative adaptor/scaffolding functions ${ }^{240,242}$. SAMSN1 also harbours an Nterminal nuclear localisation signal and a nuclear export signal but is predominantly localised to the cytoplasm ${ }^{240,241}$. The gene is most highly expressed in normal haematopoietic tissues, including BM, spleen, lymph nodes, thymus and $\mathrm{PB}^{240}$. SAMSN1 is also expressed at lower levels in the heart, brain, lung, muscle and placenta ${ }^{240}$. The gene is located on chromosome 21 (21q11.2) and there are three alternative transcripts, with the canonical sequence encoding a polypeptide that is 373 amino acids in length. The orthologous murine Samsn1 gene is located on chromosome 16 and is highly conserved, sharing $84 \%$ homology with human SAMSN1.

The molecular functions of SAMSN1 are poorly understood, but SAMSN1/Samsn1 protein was shown to be strongly up-regulated in primary human/mouse B cells following B cell receptor (BCR) engagement ${ }^{241}$. Notably, the overexpression of Samsn1 in primary murine splenic B cells resulted in decreased cellular activation and proliferation in response to BCR stimulation ${ }^{241}$. In addition, primary splenic B cells from Samsn $1^{-/}$mice were found to have increased proliferation upon BCR stimulation in vitro ${ }^{245}$. These Samsn1 $1^{-1}$ mice also displayed enhanced adaptive immunity in vivo, producing significantly higher levels of antigen-specific immunoglobulins following immunisation ${ }^{245}$. Taken together, these data suggest that SAMSN1 is an immunoinhibitory adaptor that has a role in regulating the development and moderating the immune response of B cells. This is consistent with the important role that a range of adaptor/scaffolding proteins, such as kinase suppressor of Ras (KSR) and discs large homolog 1 (DLG1), have been shown to play in regulating immune cell signalling ${ }^{326}$.

Investigation of the molecular mechanisms underlying the immunoinhibitory role of Samsn1 in B cells identified the paired Ig-like receptor B (PIR-B) protein, a receptor that negatively regulates BCR signalling, as a potential binding partner of SAMSN1 through a yeast-2hybrid screen ${ }^{241}$. PIR-B is phosphorylated following BCR stimulation, which results in the
recruitment of SHP-1 phosphatase and the attenuation of BCR signalling ${ }^{327}$. Hence, Samsn1 may inhibit B cell activation/proliferation by binding to PIR-B and amplifying its negative regulation of BCR signalling ${ }^{245}$. However, a physical association between Samsn1 and endogenous PIR-B was not detected in primary murine B cells ${ }^{241}$. The immunoinhibitory effect of Samsn1 has also been attributed to its demonstrated role in actin cytoskeletal remodelling ${ }^{249}$. Notably, the actin reorganisation-mediated spreading of lymphocytes, which is crucial for antigen gathering and subsequent cell activation ${ }^{257}$, was found to be drastically reduced for splenic B cells from Samsn1 transgenic mice compared to WT mice ${ }^{249}$. The lymphocyte-specific homolog of the actin regulator cortactin, Hs1, which is required for T cell spreading ${ }^{328}$, was found to interact with Samsn1 in B cells from the transgenic mice ${ }^{249}$. These findings suggest that Samsn1 may inhibit B cell responses by limiting Hs1-mediated B cell spreading. Furthermore, SAMSN1 has been implicated in epigenetic regulation of gene expression, as two members of the Sin3 co-repressor complex, SAP30 and histone deacetylase 1 (HDAC1), co-immunoprecipitated with SAMSN1 when overexpressed in HEK293T cells ${ }^{251}$. While the effect of SAMSN1 on the transcriptome was not assessed, these findings suggest that SAMSN1 may also have an inhibitory effect on B cell function by directly regulating gene expression.

In addition to its role in regulating humoral immune responses, $S A M S N 1$ has been implicated as a tumour suppressor gene in several cancers, including the plasma cell (PC) malignancy multiple myeloma (MM). A putative tumour suppressor role was first attributed to SAMSN1 in lung cancer, owing to its location within a region on chromosome 21 that is frequently affected by loss of heterozygosity ${ }^{271}$. Furthermore, SAMSN1 expression was found to be reduced in lung cancer cell lines compared to normal tissue ${ }^{271}$. SAMSN1 was subsequently found to have reduced expression in tumour versus normal tissue in the context of other malignancies, including ulcerative colitis-associated colon cancer ${ }^{272}$, hepatocellular carcinoma ${ }^{273}$, gastric cancer ${ }^{274}$ and also $\mathrm{MM}^{260}$. Furthermore, low SAMSN1 expression was associated with negative clinical parameters in MM and other cancers, including increased tumour size and decreased disease-related survival ${ }^{260,273,274}$. In the context of MM, C57BL/KaLwRij (KaLwRij) mice, a small proportion of which spontaneously develop an MM-like disease as they age, were found to be Samsnl ${ }^{-1}$ due to a 180 kb homozygous genomic deletion on chromosome $16^{260,261}$. Our group assessed the functional effect of Samsn1 loss in MM PC using the 5TGM1/KaLwRij mouse model of MM, in which the KaLwRij tumour-derived 5TGM1 MM PC line is inoculated i.v. into syngeneic KaLwRij
mice ${ }^{260}$. Once in the circulation, the injected 5TGM1 cells home to and colonise the BM of the mice, resulting in rapid tumour development throughout the skeleton within 4 weeks ${ }^{268}$. Notably, enforced Samsn1 expression in 5TGM1 cells was found to significantly reduce tumour development to undetectable levels in vivo, suggesting that SAMSN1 may be a tumour suppressor gene in $\mathrm{MM}^{260}$.

The mechanism(s) by which Samsn1 completely inhibits 5TGM1 tumour development in KaLwRij mice, which may also be relevant in human MM, is yet to be fully elucidated. Reexpressing Samsn1 in 5TGM1 cells has been shown to reduce cellular proliferation in vitro, but there are conflicting reports regarding the conditions under which this effect was observed ${ }^{260,261}$. One group found that Samsn1 reduced the proliferation of 5TGM1 cells by $\sim 25 \%$ under basal conditions ${ }^{261}$, while our group observed a $\sim 15 \%$ decrease only when the tumour cells were co-cultured with primary KaLwRij-derived BM stroma ${ }^{260}$. In addition, our group found that Samsn1 increased the adhesion of 5TGM1 cells to BM stroma in vitro ${ }^{260}$. Together, these data suggest that Samsn 1 may suppress in vivo tumour development through a cell adhesion-mediated anti-proliferative effect on 5TGM1 cells in the BM microenvironment ${ }^{260}$. However, given that Samsn1 only modestly reduced 5TGM1 proliferation in vitro, it is possible that there may be other mechanisms that contribute to its abrogation of MM tumour growth. For example, as Samsn1 has been implicated in actin cytoskeletal remodelling ${ }^{249}$, it could be suppressing MM tumour development through inhibiting 5TGM1 migration/BM homing, but this remains to be determined. In this chapter, the tumour suppressor mechanism of Samsn1 in 5TGM1 cells was investigated through protein binding partner and transcriptome analyses. In addition, the effect of Samsn1 on the tumourigenic behaviour of 5TGM1 cells was further explored through in vitro actin remodelling and migration assays and in vivo metastasis and BM homing experiments.

### 4.2 Results

### 4.2.1 Identifying novel binding partners of Samsn1 in 5TGM1 cells

To gain a better understanding of the mechanism(s) by which Samsn1, a putative adaptor protein, acts as a tumour suppressor in the 5TGM1 murine MM PC line, it was aimed to identify its protein binding partners in these cells by co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) coupled with mass spectrometry. As an IP-capable antibody for Samsn1 was unavailable, 5TGM1 cells expressing C-terminal HA-tagged Samsn1 were generated, enabling the IP of Samsn1 and associated proteins using an anti-HA antibody. Samsn1-HA protein was detected by

Western blot in 5TGM1-Samsn1-HA cells at the predicted size of 43 kD , confirming successful overexpression of the tagged protein (Figure 4.1A). In addition, 46 kD and 36 kD proteins were also recognised by both anti-Samsn1 and anti-HA antibodies in the 5TGM1-Samsn1-HA cells. The larger molecular species most likely corresponds to the phosphorylated form of Samsn1 $1^{251,329}$, but the identity of the smaller species is unknown. To assess whether the addition of a HA-tag disrupted the tumour suppressor effect of Samsn1 on 5TGM1 cells in vivo, the 5TGM1-Samsn1-HA and 5TGM1-EV cell lines were injected i.v. into C57BL/KaLwRij mice and tumour development was monitored for 4 weeks. At the endpoint, there was a significant decrease in tumour burden for the mice inoculated with 5TGM1-Samsn1-HA cells compared to EV control 5TGM1 cells, as measured by BLI ( $\mathrm{P}=$ 0.0079, Mann-Whitney U test; Figure 4.1B) and SPEP ( $P=0.0079$, Mann-Whitney U test; Figure 4.1C). These data demonstrated that adding a C-terminal HA-tag to Samsn1 in 5TGM1 cells does not interfere with its previously observed ability to completely inhibit MM tumour development in vivo.

To identify proteins associated with Samsn1 in 5TGM1 cells, 5TGM1-Samsn1-HA and 5TGM1-EV control cells were lysed with a buffer containing $1 \%$ NP-40 and co-IPs were performed using an anti-HA antibody. The isolated proteins were separated into two fractions and resolved separately by SDS- PAGE. The smaller fraction was transferred onto a membrane and the successful IP of HA-tagged Samsn1 was confirmed by Western blot (Figure 4.2A). Gel staining of proteins from the larger co-IP fraction revealed bands corresponding to the size of Samsn1 and several other bands representing co-IPed proteins of different sizes (Figure 4.2B). However, there were no bands that were present in the coIP from the 5TGM1-Samsn1-HA cells but absent in the negative control co-IP from the 5TGM1-EV cells. This indicated that the co-IPed proteins did not represent genuine binding partners of Samsn1 but rather non-specific background. With the aim of trying to better preserve the interactions between Samsn1 and its binding partners, the co-IP was repeated using a lysis buffer containing $1 \%$ CHAPS, which is a detergent with lower stringency than NP-40. However, no co-IPed proteins that were unique to the 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells, and thus potential Samsn1 interactors, were observed on the gel, which negated the use of mass spectrometry to identify them (Figure 4.2C). Overall, proteins representing putative binding partners of Samsn1 in 5TGM1 cells were not identified by co-IP under the conditions tested.


Figure 4.1: HA-tagged Samsn1 significantly inhibits the growth of 5TGM1 cells in vivo. (A) Protein lysates from 5TGM1-Samsn1-HA and 5TGM1-EV cells were subjected to Western blotting using anti-HA and anti-Samsn1 antibodies. Hsp90 was used as a loading control. (B\&C) KaLwRij mice were injected i.v. with $5 \times 10^{5} 5 \mathrm{TGM1}-\mathrm{EV}$ or 5TGM1-Samsn1-HA cells. At 4 weeks, tumour burden was measured by BLI and SPEP. (B) Ventral BLI scans of the mice (left) and the total flux (right) are shown. (C) M-spikes (^) on the SPEP gel (left) and the M-spike intensity (right), normalised to albumin and expressed relative to the EV control, are shown. The graphs depict the mean + SEM of $\mathrm{n}=5$ mice per cell line from one experiment. ${ }^{* *} P<0.01$, Mann-Whitney U test.


Figure 4.2: Identifying Samsn1 binding partners in 5TGM1 cells by co-IP. 5TGM1-Samsn1-HA or 5TGM1-EV cells were lysed in buffer containing 1\% NP-40 (A\&B) or $1 \%$ CHAPS detergent (C) followed by co-IP using anti-HA antibody-conjugated agarose. (A) An equal amount of lysate from the Samsn1-HA cells pre-IP and post-IP, as well as $10 \%$ of the co-IPed proteins from the Samsn1-HA and EV 5TGM1 cells were resolved by SDSPAGE. The proteins were transferred to a membrane, which was probed with an anti-HA antibody. The remaining $90 \%$ of co-IPed proteins obtained using lysis buffer containing either NP-40 (B) or CHAPS (C) were resolved by SDS-PAGE and stained using SYPRO ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Ruby. Images are representative of at least 2 independent experiments. * denotes bands corresponding to HA-tagged Samsn1. ^ denotes bands corresponding to immunoglobulin heavy or light chains.

### 4.2.2 Samsn1 does not bind to, but reduces the phosphorylation of, Hs1 in 5TGM1 cells

Given that Samsn1 has been shown to interact with endogenous Hs1 in primary mouse B cells ${ }^{249}$, the possibility that these proteins are binding partners in 5TGM1 cells was assessed through co-IP coupled with Western blot. Co-IPs were performed on 5TGM1-Samsn1-HA and 5TGM1-EV cell lysates using an anti-HA antibody and the isolated proteins were subjected to Western blot using anti-HA and anti-Hs1 antibodies. Despite Samsn1 being successfully IPed, Hs1 was not detected in the co-IPed proteins from the 5TGM1-Samsn1HA cell lysates, suggesting that Hs1 is not a binding partner of Samsn1 in 5TGM1 cells under the conditions tested (Figure 4.3A). In addition, the effect of Samsn1 on the activation of Hs1 was assessed by comparing Hs1 phosphorylation in 5TGM1-Samsn1-HA cells and 5TGM1-EV control cells by Western blot. Under basal culture conditions, the amount of Hs1 phosphorylation on the activating tyrosine residue Y397 was found to be significantly reduced in Samsn1-expressing 5TGM1 cells compared to EV control 5TGM1 cells ( $P=$ 0.006 , paired t test; Figure 4.3B). This finding suggests that Samsn1 may inhibit the activity of the actin cytoskeleton regulatory protein Hs1 in 5TGM1 cells.

### 4.2.3 Samsn1 does not affect the migration, or adhesion to endothelium, of 5TGM1 cells in vitro

Given that Samsn1 may limit the activation of Hs1, it was hypothesised that actin cytoskeletal remodelling may be inhibited in Samsn1-expressing 5TGM1 cells. This was assessed by measuring the induction of polymerised filamentous actin (F-actin) formation in 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells following treatment with CXCL12, which is a potent chemoattractant that drives homing of both normal and malignant PC to the $\mathrm{BM}^{330}$. However, neither the positive control 5TGM1-EV cells, nor the 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells, formed F-actin in response to CXCL12 ( $P>0.05$, two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test; Figure 4.4A). This is despite the fact that 5TGM1 cells express the receptor for CXCL12, CXCR4, and that CXCL12 has been shown to potently induce actin polymerisation in other MM PC lines ${ }^{331-333}$. Although the results of the actin polymerisation assay were inconclusive, the effect of Samsn1 on cellular processes that require cytoskeletal rearrangement, and are involved in the BM homing of MM PC, were also investigated ${ }^{334}$. The impact of Samsn1 expression on the migration of 5TGM1 cells was assessed by a 24-hour transwell assay using primary murine BM stromal cell-conditioned medium as the chemoattractant. As shown in Figure 4.4B, Samsn1 was found to have no effect on the migration of 5TGM1 cells toward
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Figure 4.3: Samsn1 does not bind to, but decreases the phosphorylation of, Hs1 in 5TGM1 cells. (A) 5TGM1-Samsn1-HA or 5TGM1-EV cells were lysed in buffer containing 1\% NP40 and co-IP was performed using anti-HA antibody-conjugated agarose. The proteins isolated by IP, as well as an equal amount of lysate from both cell lines pre-IP and post-IP, were resolved by SDS-PAGE and transferred to a membrane, which was probed with anti-Hs1 and anti-HA antibodies. A representative blot of three independent experiments is shown. (B) Western blots were performed on protein lysates from 5TGM1-Samsn1-HA and 5TGM1-EV cells using an anti-pHs1 (Y397) and an anti-Hs1 antibody. A representative blot (left) and the quantitated pHs 1 band intensity (right) are shown. The pHs 1 intensity was normalised to total Hs1 and was expressed relative to the EV control. The graph depicts the mean +SD of three independent experiments. ${ }^{* * *} P=0.001$, paired t test.
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Figure 4.4: Samsn1 does not affect the F-actin polymerisation, migration or adhesion to endothelium of 5TGM1 cells in vitro. (A) 5TGM1-Samsn1 and 5TGM1-EV cells were stimulated with $200 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ CXCL12 for the indicated times. The cells were immediately fixed and then stained for F-actin using Alexa Fluor ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}} 680$ phalloidin, which was measured by flow cytometry. The MFI was normalised to baseline levels and expressed relative to the EV control. (B) Migration of 5TGM1-Samsn1 and 5TGM1-EV cells toward primary mouse BM stromal cell-conditioned medium was assessed in a 24 -hour transwell assay. Results are expressed relative to the EV control cells. (C) 5TGM1-Samsn1 or 5TGM-EV cells were seeded on a BM endothelial cell monolayer, and percent cell adhesion, relative to total cell input, was assessed by BLI after 15 minutes. Results are expressed relative to the EV control cells. Graphs depict the mean + SEM of three (A), or six (B\&C) independent experiments. $P>0.05$, two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test (A) or paired t test (B\&C).
this stimulus after 24 hours ( $P=0.8565$, paired t test). Furthermore, the adhesion of 5TGM1 cells to BM endothelial cells was shown to not be affected by Samsn1 expression ( $P=$ 0.1267, paired t test; Figure 4.4C).

### 4.2.4 Samsn1 expression does not have a significant impact on the transcriptome of 5TGM1 cells

In order to further investigate the potential mechanisms by which Samsn1 inhibits 5TGM1 tumour development in vivo, the effect of Samsn1 expression on the transcriptome of 5TGM1 cells was assessed using RNA-seq. Four independent RNA samples from cultured 5TGM1-Samsn1 and 5TGM1-EV cells in the exponential growth phase were analysed. In excess of 44 million reads were obtained for each sample and $\sim 70 \%$ were uniquely mapped to the GRCh38/mm10 version of the mouse genome (Table 4.1). Excluding the expression of Samsn1 itself, principal components analysis revealed that the Samsn1-expressing and EV control 5TGM1 cell samples did not cluster separately, which suggests that Samsn1 had a minimal impact on the transcriptome of 5TGM1 cells (Figure 4.5A). Consistent with this, differential gene expression analysis revealed only 18 genes, including Samsnl, that had significantly altered expression (FDR $<0.1$ ) in the Samsn1-expressing compared to control 5TGM1 cells (Table 4.2 and Figure 4.5B). Excluding Samsn1, ten of the differentially expressed genes were found to be up-regulated, and seven were found to be down-regulated in the 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells compared to the 5TGM1-EV cells. Notably, only five of the upregulated and three of the down-regulated genes in the 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells had a foldchange greater than 1.5. Of these genes, increased Tex101 ( $P=0.0176$, paired t test) and decreased Negrl $(P=0.0109$, paired t test) mRNA expression in the 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells was confirmed by RT-qPCR (Figure 4.5C). To identify the potential biological significance of the Samsn1-correlated genes, gene ontology (GO) analysis was performed on the upregulated or down-regulated genes using the DAVID Bioinformatics Database. However, no GO terms were found to be significantly enriched in the small number of genes that were up-regulated or down-regulated in the 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells compared to the 5TGM1-EV control cells (data not shown).

Table 4.1: Raw, trimmed and uniquely mapped reads for each RNA-seq sample from 5TGM1-EV or 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells.

| Sample | Raw reads | Trimmed reads | Uniquely mapped <br> reads | \% Uniquely <br> mapped reads |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EV 1 | $48,802,396$ | $48,801,987$ | $34,093,015$ | $69.9 \%$ |
| EV 2 | $45,391,599$ | $45,390,960$ | $31,947,560$ | $70.4 \%$ |
| EV 3 | $45,914,933$ | $45,914,394$ | $32,345,450$ | $70.5 \%$ |
| EV 4 | $44,242,572$ | $44,237,846$ | $31,083,991$ | $70.3 \%$ |
| Samsn1 1 | $45,443,843$ | $45,441,793$ | $32,199,210$ | $70.9 \%$ |
| Samsn1 2 | $47,094,205$ | $47,092,431$ | $33,250,348$ | $70.6 \%$ |
| Samsn1 3 | $46,572,793$ | $46,572,048$ | $33,160,511$ | $71.2 \%$ |
| Samsn1 4 | $45,883,193$ | $45,876,764$ | $32,618,381$ | $71.1 \%$ |
| EV mean | $46,087,875$ | $46,086,297$ | $32,367,504$ | $70.2 \%$ |
| Samsn1 mean | $46,248,509$ | $46,245,759$ | $32,807,112$ | $70.9 \%$ |

Figure 4.5: Differentially expressed genes in Samsn1-overexpressing 5TGM1 cells identified by RNA-Seq. (A) Principal components analysis of RNA-seq expression data from four biological replicates of 5TGM1-Samsn1 (S1-4) cells and 5TGM1-EV (E1-4) cells was performed, excluding Samsn1, and the multi-dimensional scaling plot is shown. (B) Heat map showing two-way hierarchical clustering of the 18 differentially expressed genes (rows) between 5TGM1-Samsn1 and 5TGM1-EV cells (columns). The coloured scale bar represents the log2-transformed copies per million (CPM). (C) The expression levels of Negrl (left) and Tex101 (right) were analysed in 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells compared to 5TGM1-EV cells by RT-qPCR. Levels were normalised to the housekeeping gene $A c t b$ and expressed relative to the EV cells. Graphs depict the mean $\pm$ SEM of $\mathrm{n}=4$ biological replicates. ${ }^{*} P<0.05$, paired t test.


Table 4.2: Differentially expressed genes (FDR $<0.1$ ) in Samsn1-expressing compared to EV control 5TGM1 cells identified by RNA-seq.

| Gene name | Entrez gene ID | $\mathbf{l o g}_{2}$ FC | Average expression <br> $\left.\mathbf{l o g}_{2} \mathbf{C P M}\right)$ | FDR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Samsn1 | 67742 | 16.15 | 4.26 | $1.02 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| Gm773 | 331416 | 2.14 | 0.92 | $4.34 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Tex101 | 56746 | 1.71 | 4.24 | $1.64 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| Cpz | 242939 | 1.70 | -0.62 | $3.60 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| Bpifb2 | 66557 | 0.71 | 0.47 | $6.75 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| Tex13b | 83555 | 0.69 | 2.14 | $9.84 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| Ssc5d | 269855 | 0.52 | 1.91 | $7.44 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| Bbs7 | 71492 | 0.31 | 5.78 | $2.43 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| Card11 | 108723 | 0.19 | 6.11 | $7.97 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| Rac1 | 19353 | 0.12 | 7.37 | $7.97 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| Eif3b | 27979 | 0.12 | 8.24 | $3.50 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| Chpf2 | 100910 | -0.16 | 5.70 | $7.55 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| Cd93 | 17064 | -0.18 | 10.33 | $1.77 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| Il1rap | 16180 | -0.26 | 3.86 | $6.80 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| Ets1 | 23871 | -0.34 | 2.93 | $7.63 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| Negr1 | 320840 | -0.66 | 2.49 | $6.75 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| Ighy2c | N/A | -0.77 | 0.74 | $4.99 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| Gm5297 | N/A | -3.06 | 1.85 | $4.99 \mathrm{E}-02$ |

Positive fold-change (FC) indicates that the gene is up-regulated, and a negative FC indicates that the gene is down-regulated, in 5TGM-Samsn1 cells vs 5 TGM1-EV cells. $\mathrm{CPM}=$ copies per million, $\mathrm{FDR}=$ false discovery rate.

### 4.2.5 Samsn1 inhibits the metastasis of 5TGM1 cells in vivo

Given that the protein interaction and mRNA transcriptome analyses were unable to reveal potential mechanisms by which Samsn1 suppresses 5TGM1 tumour growth, the effect of Samsn1 on the tumourigenic behaviour of 5TGM1 cells was further investigated in vivo. To determine the effect of Samsn1 on the growth of 5TGM1 cells in the BM without the prerequisite of tumour cells homing from the circulation, 5TGM1-Samsn1 or 5TGM1-EV cells ( $1 \times 10^{5}$ ) were injected directly into the left tibia of KaLwRij mice. After 23 days, the primary tumour burden in the injected leg was not found to significantly differ between the mice inoculated with 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells and mice inoculated with 5TGM1-EV cells, as determined by BLI ( $P=0.5907$, Mann-Whitney U test; Figure 4.6A). In addition, the formation of large primary tumours by both 5TGM1-Samsn1 and 5TGM1-EV cells was confirmed by performing immunohistochemical staining of $\mathrm{GFP}^{+}$cells in sections from injected tibiae (Figure 4.6B).

Notably, in some intratibially (i.t.)-inoculated mice, the BLI showed that 5TGM1 cells had metastasised from the injected leg and formed secondary tumours at distal sites. The metastatic tumour burden was significantly lower in the 5TGM1-Samsn1 group of mice compared to 5TGM1-EV group of mice, as measured by BLI ( $\mathrm{P}=0.0093$, Mann-Whitney U test; Figure 4.7A). In addition, the percentage of GFP ${ }^{+}$5TGM1 tumour cells in the BM of the femur and tibia from the non-injected, contralateral leg was significantly lower in the 5TGM1-Samsn1-inoculated mice compared to the 5TGM1-EV-inoculated mice ( $P=$ 0.0140, Mann-Whitney U test; Figure 4.7B). Considering both the BLI and flow cytometry data, the incidence of metastasis was significantly lower in mice inoculated with 5TGM1Samsn1 cells ( $\mathrm{n}=1 / 7,14.3 \%$ ) compared to mice inoculated with 5TGM1-EV cells ( $\mathrm{n}=7 / 8$, $87.5 \% ; P=0.0101$, Fisher's exact test; Figure 4.7C). Together, these data suggest that Samsn1 does not affect the growth of primary tumours following i.t. injection of 5TGM1 cells into KaLwRij mice, but it significantly inhibits the subsequent metastasis of MM PC from these primary tumours.

### 4.2.6 Samsn1 expression in 5TGM1 cells does not affect homing to, but inhibits expansion within, the $B M$ in vivo

Given that Samsn1 was found to inhibit the metastasis of 5TGM1 cells from primary tumours, it was hypothesised that Samsn1 suppresses the homing of MM PC to the BM. To test this in vivo, 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells or 5TGM1-EV cells ( $5 \times 10^{6}$ ) were injected i.v. into


Figure 4.6: Samsn1 does not affect the growth of primary tumours following i.t. injection of 5TGM1 cells in vivo. (A\&B) 5TGM1-Samsn1 (Samsn1) or 5TGM1-EV (EV) cells $\left(1 \times 10^{5}\right)$ were injected into the left tibia of KaLwRij mice and tumour burden was measured by BLI. (A) Ventral BLI scans of mice injected with 5TGM1-EV (above) or 5TGM1-Samsn1 (below) cells (left) and the quantitated total flux of the injected leg (right) after 23 days are shown. Graph depicts the mean $\pm$ SEM of $n=7-8$ mice per cell line from two independent experiments. $P>0.05$, Mann-Whitney U test. (B) Paraffin-embedded sections of the 5TGM1-injected tibiae were stained with H\&E (left) or an anti-GFP antibody (right). Representative images of stained sections from a mouse injected with 5TGM1-EV (above) or 5TGM1-Samsn1 (below) cells (left panel) are shown. Images were taken at the same magnification and the scale bar is shown.


Figure 4.7: Samsn1 inhibits the metastasis of 5TGM1 cells in vivo. (A-C) 5TGM1Samsn1 or 5TGM1-EV cells ( $1 \times 10^{5}$ ) were injected into the left tibia of KaLwRij mice and tumour burden was measured by BLI. (A) BLI scans of the contralateral side (injected leg covered) of the mice inoculated with EV (above) or Samsn1-expressing (below) 5TGM1 cells (left) and the quantitated total flux (right) after 23 days are shown. (B) The number of $\mathrm{GFP}^{+}$tumour cells in the BM from the non-injected, contralateral leg was assessed by flow cytometry after 23 days. (C) The number of mice injected i.t. with 5TGM1-EV or 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells with overt metastasis, defined as visible BLI signal outside the injected leg and/or greater than 200 tumour cells per million in the BM of the contralateral leg by flow cytometry. Results were normalised to primary tumour burden and graphs depict the mean $\pm$ SEM of $\mathrm{n}=7-8$ mice per cell line from two independent experiments. $* P<0.05$, Mann-Whitney U test (A\&B) or Fisher's exact test (C).

KaLwRij mice and the number of $\mathrm{GFP}^{+}$tumour cells present in the BM after 24 hours was assessed by flow cytometry. Notably, Samsn1 expression was found to not affect the number of 5TGM1 cells present in the long bones of the mice 24 hours post-tumour cell injection ( $P=0.8182$, Mann-Whitney $U$ test; Figure 4.8). To determine the fate of the 5TGM1Samsn1 cells that successfully homed to the BM, the experiment was repeated, but the number of tumour cells in the long bones of the mice was assessed after 21 days. While the numbers of 5TGM1-EV cells in the BM expanded over time, the numbers of 5TGM1Samsn1 cells did not significantly differ between day 1 and 21 post-tumour cell injection ( $P<0.0001$, two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparison test; Figure 4.8). These data suggest that while Samsn1 does not inhibit the homing of 5TGM1 cells to the BM, it does inhibit the outgrowth of disseminated MM PC within the BM microenvironment.

### 4.3 Discussion

It has previously been shown that SAMSN1 displays significantly lower expression in PCs from MM patients compared to healthy controls and that low SAMSN1 expression in the PCs of MM patients confers a poor prognosis ${ }^{260,261}$. In addition, Samsn1 was found to be deleted in the MM-prone KaLwRij mouse strain and its re-expression in the KaLwRij-derived 5TGM1 MM PC line completely inhibited MM disease development in vivo ${ }^{260}$. These findings suggest that SAMSN1 may have a tumour suppressor role in the development and/or progression of MM. Although SAMSN1 has been implicated as a tumour suppressor in other cancers, the mechanism(s) by which it inhibits malignancy remains to be determined ${ }^{271-274}$. In this study, how SAMSN1 inhibits MM PC tumour development was investigated through unbiased molecular analyses coupled with in vitro and in vivo assays using Samsn1-expressing 5TGM1 cells.

Given that PC are not circulatory, the fact that most MM patients have multiple tumours throughout their skeleton at diagnosis indicates that there is a continuous spread of tumour cells within the body ${ }^{335}$. This metastasis plays a crucial role in the development of symptomatic MM and disease progression, including the re-population of the BM with treatment-resistant clones during relapse ${ }^{335}$. In this study, the expression of Samsn1 in 5TGM1 cells was found to significantly reduce the metastasis from primary i.t. tumours to distal BM sites in vivo. A vital step in the process of metastasis in MM is the active migration/homing of circulating tumour cells to new BM sites ${ }^{335}$. A previous study demonstrated a role for Samsn1 in actin cytoskeleton reorganization, which is a prerequisite

Figure 4.8: Samsn1 does not affect the BM homing, but does inhibit the expansion, of 5TGM1 cells in vivo. (A\&B) KaLwRij mice were injected with $5 \times 10^{6}$ 5TGM1-Samsn1 or 5TGM1-EV cells i.v. and the number of GFP ${ }^{+}$tumour cells in the long bones was determined by flow cytometry after 1 or 21 days. (A) Representative flow plots of $\mathrm{GFP}^{+}$cells in the BM of mice inoculated with 5TGM1-EV (left) or 5TGM1-Samsn1 (right) cells after 1 day (above) or 21 days (below) are shown. (B) Graph shows the number of $\mathrm{GFP}^{+}$tumour cells per million BM cells present in the long bones of mice injected with 5TGM1-EV or 5TGM1Samsn 1 cells after 1 and 21 days. Graph depicts the mean $\pm$ SEM of $n=5-6$ mice per cell line at each time point from one (21 days) or two (1 day) independent experiments. ${ }^{* * * * P<~}$ 0.0001 , two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test.

for cell migration ${ }^{249}$. In addition, the closely related protein SASH1 has been shown to regulate actin cytoskeletal dynamics ${ }^{258}$ and inhibit the adhesion, migration and invasion of several epithelial cancer cell types ${ }^{277,280,281}$. The ability of Samsn1 to affect cytoskeletal dynamics was linked to its demonstrated interaction with $\mathrm{Hs} 1^{249}$, which is activated by phosphorylation on key tyrosine residues and mediates actin polymerisation within, and the subsequent migration of, normal lymphocytes ${ }^{336-340}$. Notably, increased Hs1 phosphorylation in malignant chronic lymphocytic leukemia B cells correlated with increased polymerised F-actin and migration of malignant cells in vitro ${ }^{341}$ and with enhanced BM homing in vivo ${ }^{342}$. Although a direct interaction between Hs1 and Samsn1 in 5TGM1 cells was not detected, the levels of Hs1 phosphorylated on the activating Y397 residue were reduced in Samsn1-expressing cells. Hence, it was hypothesised that Samsn1 may suppress the activation of Hs1 within, and thus the migration of, 5TGM1 cells. However, the Samsn1expressing 5TGM1 cells showed neither decreased migration in vitro nor decreased BM homing in vivo. Together, these data suggest that the anti-metastatic effect of Samsn1 in vivo is unlikely to be attributable to a reduction in the number of MM PC that can migrate to the BM.

Following homing of disseminated tumour cells to the BM, metastasis also involves colonisation, the expansion of solitary or small clusters of cancer cells into macroscopic tumours ${ }^{335}$. In this study, Samsn1 was found to suppress the colonisation of 5TGM1 cells when relatively small numbers were seeding the BM from the circulation, as occurred following i.v. injection or migration from a primary i.t. tumour. However, Samsnl did not suppress the outgrowth of 5TGM1 cells when much larger numbers were introduced directly into the medullary cavity by i.t. injection. The interaction between 5TGM1 cells and the normal cells/factors within the BM microenvironment was greater when fewer tumour cells were present. Hence, these findings suggest that the ability of Samsn1 to inhibit the expansion of 5TGM1 cells within the BM is dependent on tumour-inhibitory signals derived from the microenvironment. This is consistent with our previous finding that Samsn1 reduced the proliferation of 5TGM1 cells specifically when they were co-cultured with normal primary BM stromal cells ${ }^{260}$

Interactions with the BM microenvironment are known to play an important role in regulating the growth, survival and drug resistance of MM PCs ${ }^{116}$. While interactions between clonal PCs and BM stromal cells typically support tumour growth at the MM
stage ${ }^{325}$, there is growing evidence that the normal BM microenvironment can also play an important role in restricting disease progression at the premalignant disease stage ${ }^{343}$. For example, exosomes derived from normal BM mesenchymal stromal cells were found to inhibit myeloma cell growth, whereas exosomes from MM stroma had a tumour-promoting effect ${ }^{344}$. In addition, a recent study demonstrated that PCs taken from MGUS patients with stable disease grow progressively in the BM of humanised mice, suggesting that MM disease progression is constrained by extrinsic signals from the $B M$ microenvironment ${ }^{233}$.

The ability of the BM microenvironment to influence the growth of MM PC has previously been demonstrated in the 5TGM1/KaLwRij murine model of MM. Recent studies from our group have shown that the majority of 5TGM1 cells that home to the BM localise to the osteoblast-lined endosteal surface and are maintained in a state of long-term dormancy, with only a few MM PCs undergoing clonal expansion and contributing to tumour burden ${ }^{345,346}$. However, the dormant state was found to be reversible upon re-injection of quiescent 5TGM1 cells into naïve KaLwRij mice, suggesting that PC-extrinsic, not PC intrinsic, factors regulate the proliferative fate of MM PC in vivo ${ }^{345}$. Exposure to osteoblastconditioned medium was shown to reduce 5TGM1 cell proliferation and co-culture with the MC3T3 osteoblast-like cell line increased the rates of 5TGM1 cell dormancy in vitro ${ }^{345}$. In addition, the proliferation of primary patient MM PCs was previously found to be reduced when the tumour cells were co-cultured with primary osteoblasts in vitro ${ }^{347}$. These data suggest that osteoblastic lineage cells may play a key role in regulating the proliferation of MM PCs in the BM microenvironment through the production of as yet unidentified soluble factors. The fact that these studies were performed with Samsn1-1 5TGM1 cells suggests that Samsn1 is not required per se for the establishment of MM PC dormancy. However, Samsn1 may promote the quiescence of disseminated 5TGM1 cells by enhancing their response to osteoblast-derived anti-proliferative signals. Hence, future investigation of the effect of Samsn1 on the proliferation of 5TGM1 cells in the presence of osteoblasts is warranted.

The BM microenvironment-derived signals that promote dormancy in MM PCs are poorly defined, but several factors that regulate haematopoietic stem cell dormancy have been found to induce quiescence in cancer cell types that metastasise to bone ${ }^{348}$. In prostate cancer and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, osteoblast-derived transforming growth factor- $\beta 2$ (TGFB2) was found to promote dormancy of disseminated tumour cells in the $\mathrm{BM}^{349,350}$. This effect was shown to be dependent on the stimulation of tumour cell-expressed TGF $\beta$
receptor III (TGF $\beta$ RIII), which causes cell cycle arrest through the activation of p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase and cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor p27 $7^{349,350}$. In addition, the related ligand bone morphogenetic protein 7 (BMP7) was found to induce prostate cancer cell dormancy in the BM through a similar mechanism involving p38 activation ${ }^{351}$. Notably, BMP7 has previously been demonstrated to inhibit the proliferation and promote the apoptosis of MM PCs ${ }^{352}$. Given that Samsn1 is a putative adaptor protein, it is hypothesised that Samsnl may promote MM PC quiescence in the BM through positively regulating the intracellular signalling cascades that occur in response to microenvironment-derived anti-proliferative signals. Hence, examination of TGF $\beta 2$ and BMP7 as potential stimulators of MM PC dormancy, and the modulating effect of Samsn1 on their activity, is warranted.

Previous transcriptomic analysis of dormant versus proliferative 5TGM1 cells revealed that the expression of $A x l$, which encodes a receptor of the growth-arrest specific 6 (GAS6) ligand, was increased in dormant MM PCs ${ }^{345}$. Given that Axl has been shown to promote cellular dormancy of prostate cancer cells in the BM, it was hypothesised that it may also have a similar function in 5TGM1 cells ${ }^{353-356}$. Axl, or other known dormancy-associated genes, were not among the small number of only 17 genes, excluding Samsnl, that were found to be differentially expressed in Samsn1-expressing 5TGM1 cells in culture. The minimal effect of Samsn1 on the transcriptome of 5TGM1 cells suggests that it is more likely to exert its tumour suppressor effect, including potentially promoting MM PC dormancy, at a post-transcriptional level. However, if the tumour suppressor effect of Samsnl is dependent on the interaction of 5TGM1 cells with the BM microenvironment, performing RNA-seq on 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells either co-cultured with BM stroma or pooled from the BM of KaLwRij mice may reveal additional gene expression changes that contribute to the anti-proliferative effect of Samsn1 in vivo.

Further insight into the means by which Samsn1 expression may potentiate anti-colonisation signals from the BM microenvironment could be gained through identifying the interaction partners of this putative cytoplasmic adaptor protein in MM PCs. In the present study, despite the successful IP of HA-tagged Samsn1 from 5TGM1 cells, no proteins were found to co-IP specifically with Samsn1 under the conditions tested. Although several potential Samsn1-interacting proteins have been identified in other cellular contexts, other than Hs1, none of the observed associations were with endogenous proteins ${ }^{241,249,251}$. Notably,

SAMSN1 appeared to bind very few endogenous proteins in a human B lymphoma cell line under basal conditions, but BCR stimulation induced interactions between SAMSN1 and several tyrosine-phosphorylated proteins ${ }^{241}$. This suggests that specific assay conditions and/or stimuli, such as co-culture with BM stroma, may be required to induce binding between Samsn1 and its key partners in 5TGM1 cells. It is also possible that Samsn1interacting proteins did not co-IP because their interactions in 5TGM1 cells are relatively weak and/or transient. In order to preserve these interactions, chemical cross-linking of proteins within 5TGM1 cells prior to co-IP could be utilised in future studies of the Samsn1 interactome in MM PCs. In addition, given that there is evidence that phosphorylation of one protein (Hs1) is altered by Samsn1, a phosphoproteomic analysis of 5TGM1-Samsn1 versus 5TGM1-EV cells using Stable Isotope Labelling by Amino acids in Cell culture (SILAC)-based mass spectrometry could reveal other proteins, and thus signalling pathways, that are modulated by Samsn1 in MM PCs.

In summary, Samsn1 expression in 5TGM1 cells was shown to not affect the migration/homing of tumour cells to the BM in vitro and in mice. Notably, Samsn1 was found to specifically inhibit BM colonisation by small numbers of disseminated, not large numbers of directly injected, 5TGM1 cells in vivo. This suggests that Samsn1 may completely inhibit tumour growth in KaLwRij mice by promoting the effect of antiproliferative signals derived from the BM microenvironment on 5TGM1 cells. Further studies of the possible source and type of BM-derived anti-tumour factors that may be enhanced by Samsn1, and the PC-intrinsic molecular mechanism(s) by which this is achieved, are warranted. Such investigations have the potential to build on our increasing understanding of the important role played by the BM microenvironment in regulating the transition from MGUS to MM. This knowledge may aid in the rational design of therapies that modulate the interaction between clonal PCs and the BM microenvironment, which could not only enhance the effectiveness of the current treatments for MM patients but also delay or prevent disease progression in MGUS patients.

## 5 INVESTIGATING THE POTENTIAL TUMOUR SUPPRESSOR ROLE OF SAMSN1 IN HUMAN MULTIPLE MYELOMA

### 5.1 Introduction

Multiple myeloma (MM) is the second most common haematological malignancy in adults and is characterised by the clonal expansion of malignant plasma cells (PCs) within the bone marrow (BM) ${ }^{1}$. Despite recent improvements in the survival of MM patients due to the introduction of novel therapies, relapse is inevitable and MM remains an incurable disease ${ }^{357}$. MM is invariably preceded by an asymptomatic precursor disease, monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS), which carries a $1 \%$ risk of progressing to symptomatic disease per year ${ }^{22}$. The aetiology of MM is complex, with genetic studies revealing an array of different DNA mutations, copy number alterations and epigenetic changes present in the PCs of patients ${ }^{146}$. Despite this variation in PC-intrinsic factors, all MM PCs are, at least initially, dependent on interactions with the BM microenvironment to support their growth and survival ${ }^{358}$. Several studies have found that the transition from MGUS to MM is not accompanied by the acquisition of additional mutations ${ }^{226,228,230,232}$ and clonal PCs from MGUS patients have the capacity to grow progressively in humanised mice ${ }^{233}$. These findings suggest that changes to PC-extrinsic factors in the BM microenvironment are likely to play an important role in driving the progression from MGUS to MM. Hence, treatments targeting microenvironmental alterations may be able to promote long-term MM disease control, and even prevent disease progression from the MGUS stage, irrespective of the genetic background of the PCs. However, in order to rationally design such therapies, an improved understanding of the dysregulated neoplastic PC-BM microenvironment interactions that promote MM disease progression is required.

Given the known importance of the BM microenvironment in regulating the growth, survival and drug resistance of $\mathrm{MM} \mathrm{PCs}^{325}$, murine models of MM are crucial for improving our understanding of disease pathogenesis and for pre-clinical testing of novel therapies. Xenograft models of MM are established through the injection of human myeloma cell lines (HMCLs) into immune compromised mice ${ }^{269}$. Previously non-obese diabetic and severe combined immune deficiency (NOD SCID) mice, which lack B and T cells and have reduced natural killer (NK) cell function, were used extensively for this purpose ${ }^{359}$. However, NOD SCID gamma (NSG) mice, which lack B, T and NK cell activity, have since been shown to enable enhanced HMCL engraftment ${ }^{360,361}$. There are various routes by which NSG mice can be inoculated with HMCLs, including sub-cutaneous or intraperitoneal injection. However, these methods of inoculation result in extramedullary tumour growth, which does not recapitulate key aspects of the normal growth site of MM PC , the BM
microenvironment ${ }^{362}$. Alternatively, i.v. administration of HMCLs into NSG mice results in disseminated disease within the BM, but prior irradiation of the mice is required to promote successful MM PC engraftment ${ }^{359}$. In contrast, intratibial (i.t.) injection of HMCLs has been shown to result in efficient primary tumour engraftment and metastatic tumour formation in non-irradiated NSG mice ${ }^{363}$. Furthermore, there is the SCID-hu model in which HMCLs are grown in human fetal bone tissue implanted into irradiated immunodeficient mice ${ }^{269,364}$. While this model enables HMCLs to be grown in a human BM microenvironment, it does not recreate the composition of adult BM, and its use is limited by the availability and ethical concerns associated with the use of human fetal tissue ${ }^{269}$.

The Samsn1 gene was found to be homozygously deleted in the C57BL/KaLwRij (KaLwRij) mouse strain ${ }^{260,261}$. Both KaLwRij and closely-related wildtype (WT) C57BL/6 mice are prone to developing an MGUS-like benign PC expansion, but only KaLwRij mice can develop an MM-like malignancy $(0.5 \% \text { in mice over two years old })^{264}$. Notably, reexpression of Samsn1 in the KaLwRij-derived 5TGM1 MM PC line completely inhibited tumour development following i.v. inoculation into syngeneic KaLwRij mice ${ }^{260}$. These findings suggest that Samsn1 is a tumour suppressor in the context of murine MM. Our group previously showed that 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells have reduced proliferation compared to control 5TGM1 cells when co-cultured with BM stromal cells in vitro ${ }^{260}$. In addition, in the previous chapter, Samsn1 was found to suppress the outgrowth of disseminated 5TGM1 cells in the BM of KaLwRij mice. Collectively, these observations suggest that Samsn1 acts as a tumour suppressor gene in the $5 \mathrm{TGM} 1 / \mathrm{KaLwRij}$ model of murine MM by promoting extrinsic regulation of malignant PC growth by the BM stroma. However, the underlying molecular mechanism by which Samsn1 promotes PC-extrinsic inhibition of BM colonisation by 5TGM1 MM PC remains to be determined.

In relation to human MM, SAMSN1 expression was found to be significantly reduced in PCs from MM patients compared to MGUS patients and normal controls, with $\sim 25 \%$ of MM patients having SAMSN1 expression below the normal range ${ }^{260,261}$. In addition, reduced SAMSN1 expression was found to be significantly associated with increased PC burden and reduced overall survival of MM patients ${ }^{260}$. These data are consistent with SAMSN1 also potentially having a tumour suppressor role in the context of human MM. However, the only previous report of the functional effect of SAMSN1 on human MM PCs was the finding that SAMSN1 overexpression increased the adhesion of the H929 HMCL to BM stromal cells in
vitro ${ }^{260}$. Hence, it remains unclear whether SAMSN1 also promotes tumour suppression in human MM PCs and whether this occurs through a mechanism that is dependent on the BM microenvironment. In this chapter, the aim was to investigate the potential tumour suppressor function of SAMSN1 in HMCLs using in vitro assays and an i.t./NSG mouse model of MM.

### 5.2 Results

### 5.2.1 Generation of HMCLs with stable knockdown of SAMSN1 using CRISPR-Cas 9

To determine if SAMSN1 has a tumour suppressor role in human MM PCs, the aim was to generate HMCLs with reduced SAMSN1 protein levels using CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing, which would replicate the down-regulation of SAMSN1 expression observed in the PCs from some MM patients ${ }^{260}$. The RPMI-8226 and JJN3 HMCLs were selected for SAMSN1 knockdown (KD) because they were found to have relatively high SAMSN1 mRNA expression compared to other HMCLs by examination of a publicly available RNAseq dataset (http://www.keatslab.org/data-repository). These HMCLs were transduced with lentiviral vectors encoding Cas9 and one of two doxycycline-inducible guide RNAs (gRNAs) targeting exon 4 of SAMSN1 or an empty vector (EV) control (Figure 5.1A). Exon 4 was selected because it is the first exon that is common to all SAMSN1 mRNA transcripts and is upstream of the exons that encode the key SAM and SH3 domains of the protein. Following doxycycline treatment of Cas9-expressing HMCLs transduced with either a gRNA-containing or empty vector, the resultant cell populations were analysed for the presence of indels in exon 4 of SAMSN1 through a heteroduplex mobility assay. Heteroduplex formation by SAMSN1 exon 4 PCR products was observed in the RPMI-8226 and JJN3 cells expressing gRNA \#1 or gRNA \#2, but not the EV control, which indicated that indels were successfully generated in at least a proportion of SAMSN1 alleles in the SAMSN1-KD HMCLs (Figure 5.1B). To determine the effect of CRISPR-Cas9-mediatd DNA editing on the levels of SAMSN1 protein in the HMCLs, a Western blot was performed using lysates prepared from SAMSN1-KD and control RPMI-8226 and JJN3 cells. The expression of gRNA \#1 was shown to reduce the levels of SAMSN1 protein by an average of $84 \%$ and $78 \%$ in the RPMI- 8226 and JJN3 cells, respectively, compared to the EV controls (Figure 5.1C). Furthermore, the expression of gRNA \#2 produced even greater knockdown of SAMSN1 protein levels, with a reduction of $92 \%$ observed for both HMCLs. Hence, SAMSN1-KD HMCLS were successfully generated using CRISPR-Cas9 editing.

Figure 5.1: Generation of HMCLs with SAMSN1 knockdown by CRISPR-Cas9
genome editing. (A) Schematic for CRISPR-Cas9 targeting of SAMSN1 using doxycycline (dox)-inducible guide RNA (gRNA) lentiviral vectors. RPMI-8226 and JJN3 human myeloma cell lines (HMCLs) stably and constitutively expressing Cas 9 were generated by lentiviral transduction with a mCherry-tagged expression vector. These cells were then transduced with a lentiviral, dox-inducible gRNA and GFP expression vector encoding one of two gRNAs (\#1 and \#2) targeting exon 4 of SAMSN1 or an empty vector (EV) control. The $\mathrm{GFP}^{+}$cells were treated with dox for 72 hours to induce transient expression of the gRNAs, which direct Cas9-mediated indel formation in SAMSN1 exon 4. In turn, this causes knockdown (KD) of SAMSN1 (S) protein levels and thus the resultant HMCLs are denoted as SAMSN1-KD \#1 or SAMSN1-KD \#2, depending on the gRNA that was expressed. (B) DNA was extracted from the SAMSN1-KD \#1, SAMSN1-KD \#2 and EV RPMI-8226 and JJN3 cells and the SAMSN1 exon 4 region was amplified by PCR. The products were then analysed for the presence of indels using a heteroduplex mobility assay. Images of GelRed ${ }^{\mathbb{B}}$ stained polyacrylamide gels (6\%) showing separation of homoduplex and heteroduplex PCR products for the RPMI-8226 (left) and JJN3 (right) HMCLs are shown. Small and large square brackets indicate homoduplex and heteroduplex bands, respectively. (C) Representative Western blots for SAMSN1 in whole cell lysates from CRISPR-Cas9targeted or control RPMI-8226 (left) and JJN3 (right) HMCLs from two independent experiments are shown. HSP90 was used as the loading control. The quantified densities of the SAMSN1 protein bands, which were normalised to HSP90 and expressed relative to the EV control cell line, are also shown.


### 5.2.2 Reduced SAMSN1 does not affect the proliferation or migration of HMCLs in vitro

To determine whether reduced levels of SAMSN1 affect the growth of HMCLs, the proliferation of RPMI-8226 and JJN3 cells with SAMSN1 KD compared to EV control cells was assessed using a WST-1 assay. Over 3 days, the basal proliferation of both the SAMSN1-KD RPMI-8226 and JJN3 cell lines was not significantly different to that of the EV control cell lines ( $P>0.05$, two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test; Figure 5.2A). The effect of SAMSN1 KD on the migration of HMCLs was also assessed by a transendothelial assay using $20 \%$ FCS or $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ CXCL12 as the chemoattractant. The migration of the SAMSN1-KD \#1 and SAMSN1-KD \#2 RPMI-8226 and JJN3 cell lines did not significantly differ from the EV cell lines in response to either stimulus tested ( $P>0.05$, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test; Figure 5.2B). Together, these data suggest that SAMSN1 KD does not affect the proliferation or migration of HMCLs in vitro.

### 5.2.3 Reduced SAMSN1 does not affect the growth or metastasis of HMCLs in vivo

To assess the effect of reduced SAMSN1 on the growth of HMCLs in vivo, SAMSN1 KD (SAMSN1-KD \#2) or EV control RPMI-8226 and JJN3 cells were injected directly into the left tibia of immunodeficient NSG mice. As these HMCLs do not express luciferase, primary and metastatic tumour burden were not able to be assessed non-invasively by BLI. Instead, they were measured by flow cytometric detection of GFP ${ }^{+}$tumour cells in the BM of the injected tibia and the contralateral femur and tibia, respectively, at the experimental endpoint. The endpoint was determined by the first signs of morbidity in the mice, which for the RPMI-8226 and JJN3 xenograft models was 5 and 3 weeks, respectively. There was no difference between the primary tumour burden within the injected tibiae of mice inoculated with SAMSN1-KD cells compared to the EV control cells for either the RPMI-8226 or JJN3 HMCLs ( $P>0.05$, Mann Whitney U test; Figure 5.3A), although the number of animals per group was small and the variation was high in some groups. In addition, reduced SAMSN1 expression did not affect the number of metastatic RPMI-8226 or JJN3 tumour cells in the BM of the non-injected leg of the mice ( $P>0.05$, Mann Whitney $U$ test; Figure 5.3B).These findings suggest that SAMSN1 KD in HMCLs does not affect their ability to form primary i.t. tumours or metastatic BM tumours in vivo.


Figure 5.2: Knockdown of SAMSN1 does not affect the proliferation or migration of HMCLs in vitro. (A) The proliferation of SAMSN1-knockdown (KD) and EV control RPMI-8226 (left) and JJN3 (right) cells was measured over 3 days by a WST-1 assay. Results were expressed as fold-change in absorbance ( 450 nm ), normalised to day 0 . (B) Migration of SAMSN1-KD \#1, SAMSN1-KD \#2 and control RPMI-8226 (left) and JJN3 (right) cells towards either 20\% FCS (above) or $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ CXCL12 (below) was assessed by a 20-hour transendothelial assay. Results are expressed relative to the EV control cells. Graphs depict the mean +SD of three or more independent experiments. $P>0.05$, two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test (A) or one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test (B).


Figure 5.3: SAMSN1 knockdown does not affect the primary or metastatic tumour growth of HMCLS in vivo. (A\&B) SAMSN1-KD \#2 or EV control RPM1-8226 and JJN3 HMCLs were injected ( $5 \times 10^{5}$ cells/inoculum) into the left tibia of NSG mice. Tumours were allowed to develop in mice inoculated with RPM1-8226 or JJN3 HMCLs over 5 or 3 weeks, respectively. (A) The percentage of GFP ${ }^{+}$SAMSN1-KD and EV RPM1-8226 (left) or JJN3 (right) cells in the BM of the injected tibia was determined by flow cytometry at the experimental endpoint. (B) The percentage of GFP ${ }^{+}$SAMSN1-KD and EV RPM1-8226 (left) or JJN3 (right) cells in the BM of the non-injected, contralateral femur and tibia was determined by flow cytometry at the experimental endpoint. Results were normalised to primary tumour burden. Graphs depict the mean $\pm$ SEM of $n=4-5$ mice per cell line from one experiment. $P>0.05$, Mann-Whitney $U$ test.

### 5.2.4 Overexpression of SAMSN1 does not affect the proliferation of HMCLs in vitro

In order to closely replicate our previous experiments using a Samsn1-overexpressing murine 5TGM1 MM PC line (Chapter 4), the aim was to generate SAMSN1-overexpressing HMCLs. The LP-1 and OPM2 HMCLs were selected for SAMSN1 overexpression studies, as examination of the aforementioned HMCL RNA-seq dataset revealed that they have relatively low SAMSN1 mRNA expression compared to other HMCLs. Using retroviral transduction, the LP-1 and OPM2 HMCLs were transduced with a SAMSN1 expression vector or an empty vector control. Overexpression of SAMSN1 was confirmed in the LP-1/OPM2-SAMSN1 cells compared to the EV control cells by both RT-qPCR ( $P<0.0001$, two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test; Figure 5.4A) and Western blot (Figure 5.4B). The effect of SAMSN1 overexpression on the growth of LP-1 and OPM2 HMCLs in vitro was determined using a WST-1 assay. Over 3 days, the overexpression of SAMSN1 was not found to affect the proliferation of LP-1 or OPM2 cells ( $P>0.05$, twoway ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test; Figure 5.4C).

### 5.2.5 Overexpression of SAMSN1 does not affect the growth of HMCLs in vivo

To determine whether SAMSN1 overexpression in HMCLs affects primary and/or metastatic tumour growth in vivo, SAMSN1-overexpressing or EV control LP-1 and OPM2 cells were directly injected into the left tibia of NSG mice. The humane endpoint for the LP-1 and OPM2 cell i.t. xenografts was 8 and 3 weeks, respectively. For LP-1 cells, SAMSN1 overexpression did not significantly affect tumour burden either in the injected tibia ( $P=0.0667$, Mann Whitney U test; Figure 5.5 A ), or the non-injected leg ( $P=0.5273$, Mann Whitney U test; Figure 5.5B). However, the LP-1 cell line was only weakly metastatic, which is consistent with the previous report that LP-1 cells do not migrate in vitro ${ }^{333}$. In contrast, OPM2 cells were found to be highly metastatic, but neither the primary ( $P=0.2319$, Mann Whitney U test; Figure 5.5A) nor metastatic ( $P=0.3969$, Mann Whitney U test; Figure 5.5B) tumour burden was found to differ between the mice injected with the OPM2SAMSN1 cells and those injected with the OPM2-EV control cells. Together, these data suggest that SAMSN1 overexpression in HMCLs does not affect the growth of primary i.t. tumours or metastatic tumours within the BM in vivo.


Figure 5.4: SAMSN1 overexpression does not affect the proliferation of HMCLs in vitro. (A) The expression of SAMSN1 mRNA was assessed in LP-1 and OPM2 HMCLs transduced with a SAMSN1 expression vector or a control empty vector (EV) by RT-qPCR. Expression values were normalised to $B 2 M$ mRNA levels and expressed relative to the LP-1-EV cells. (B) The levels of SAMSN1 protein were assessed in LP-1 and OPM2 HMCLs transduced with a SAMSN1 expression vector or a control EV by Western blot. ACTB was used as the loading control. (C) The proliferation of SAMSN1-overexpressing versus EV LP-1 (left) and OPM2 (right) cells was measured over 3 days by a WST-1 assay. Results were expressed as fold-change in absorbance ( 450 nm ) normalised to day 0 . Graphs depict the mean $\pm$ SD of triplicates (A) or biological replicates from three independent experiments $(\mathbf{C}) .{ }^{* * * * P<0.0001, ~ t w o-w a y ~ A N O V A ~ w i t h ~ T u k e y ' s ~ m u l t i p l e ~ c o m p a r i s o n s ~}$ test ( $\mathbf{A} \& \mathbf{C}$ ).


Figure 5.5: SAMSN1 overexpression in HMCLs does not affect primary or metastatic tumour burden in vivo. (A\&B) SAMSN1-overexpressing (SAMSN1) or empty vector (EV) control LP-1 and OPM2 cells were injected ( $5 \times 10^{5}$ cells/inoculum) into the left tibia of NSG mice and disease was allowed to develop over 8 or 3 weeks, respectively. (A) The percentage of GFP ${ }^{+}$SAMSN1 and EV LP-1 (left) or OPM2 (right) cells in the BM of the injected tibia was determined by flow cytometry at the experimental endpoint. (B) The percentage of GFP ${ }^{+}$SAMSN1 and EV LP-1 (left) or OPM2 (right) cells in the BM of the non-injected, contralateral femur and tibia was determined by flow cytometry at the experimental endpoint. Results were normalised to primary tumour burden. Graphs depict the mean $\pm$ SEM of $n=4-8$ mice per cell line from two independent experiments. $\mathrm{P}>0.05$, Mann-Whitney U test.

### 5.2.6 Samsn1 expression does not affect 5TGM1 tumour growth in NSG mice

SAMSN1 overexpression in HMCLs did not significantly inhibit metastasis following i.t. injection of tumour cells in vivo, which contrasts with the significant suppression of metastasis caused by Samsn1 re-expression in the 5 TGM1/KaLwRij i.t. model of MM (Chapter 4). It was hypothesised that these conflicting findings may be attributable to the use of immunodeficient NSG mice in the HMCL xenograft models. To test this, NSG mice were inoculated with Samsn1-expressing or EV control 5TGM1 cells by i.t. injection and primary and metastatic tumour burden were measured by BLI and flow cytometry after 23 days. Similar to the results in KaLwRij mice, Samsn1 did not affect the growth of primary tumours in the injected tibia of NSG mice, as determined by $\operatorname{BLI}(P=0.1649$, Mann Whitney U test; Figure $5.6 \mathrm{~A} \& \mathrm{~B}$ ) and flow cytometry ( $P=0.2319$, Mann Whitney U test; Figure 5.6C). However, the metastatic tumour burden in the non-injected, contralateral hind leg was not reduced in NSG mice inoculated with 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells compared to those inoculated with 5TGM1-EV cells, as determined by flow cytometry ( $P=0.4634$, Mann Whitney U test; Figure 5.6D). In addition, Samsn1 did not inhibit the growth of 5TGM1 cells following i.v. injection into NSG mice, as measured by BLI ( $P=0.9108$, Mann Whitney U test; Figure 5.7A) and SPEP ( $P=0.3095$, Mann Whitney U test; Figure 5.7B). Hence, the previously observed ability of Samsn1 to inhibit the outgrowth of disseminated 5TGM1 cells in immunocompetent KaLwRij mice (Chapter 4) was lost in immunodeficient NSG mice, suggesting that the tumour suppressor effect of Samsn1 in MM PCs is dependent on the presence of a functional immune system.

### 5.2.7 Samsn1 expression in 5TGM1 cells may enhance cytotoxic $T$ lymphocyte activity

It was hypothesised that Samsn1 suppresses the outgrowth of disseminated MM PC in the BM by promoting immune-mediated control of tumour growth. Both natural killer (NK) cells and T cells have been shown to have important roles in the immune control of cancer, including $\mathrm{MM}^{365}$. Hence, to investigate the effect of PC-intrinsic Samsn1 expression on the anti-tumour immune response in vivo, the NK, T and NKT cell populations in the peripheral blood (PB), BM and spleen of KaLwRij mice were analysed by flow cytometry following i.v. injection of 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells, 5TGM1-EV cells or PBS. Five days post-injection, no significant differences in the total percentage, or the percentage activated (CD69 ${ }^{+}$), of NK cells ( $\mathrm{CD} 3^{-} \mathrm{DX} 5^{+}$), T cells ( $\mathrm{CD}^{+} \mathrm{DX} 5^{-}$) or NKT cells $\left(\mathrm{CD}^{+} \mathrm{DX} 5^{+}\right)$were found in the


Figure 5.6: Samsn1 expression in 5TGM1 cells does not affect tumour growth following i.t. injection into NSG mice. (A-D) Samsn1-expressing or EV control 5TGM1 cells (1 x $10^{5}$ ) were injected into the left tibia of NSG mice and disease was allowed to develop for 23 days. (A) Tumour burden was measured by BLI on day 23 post-tumour cell inoculation and representative ventral scans of the mice are shown. (B) The total flux from the injected leg was quantitated from the ventral BLI scans. (C) The percentage of $\mathrm{GFP}^{+}$Samsn1overexpressing/EV 5TGM1 cells in the BM of the injected tibia was determined by flow cytometry at the experimental endpoint. (D) The percentage of $\mathrm{GFP}^{+}$Samsn1overexpressing/EV 5TGM1 cells in the BM of the non-injected hind leg was determined by flow cytometry at the experimental endpoint. Results were normalised to primary tumour burden. Graphs depict the mean $\pm$ SEM of $\mathrm{n}=7$ mice per cell line from two independent experiments. $P>0.05$, Mann-Whitney U test.


Figure 5.7: Samsn1 expression in 5TGM1 cells does not affect tumour growth following i.v. injection into NSG mice. (A\&B) Samsn1-expressing or EV control 5TGM1 cells (5 x $10^{5}$ ) were injected i.v. into NSG mice and disease was allowed to develop for 4 weeks. (A) BLI of the mice injected with 5TGM1-Samsn1 or 5TGM1-EV cells was performed weekly from week 2 . Representative ventral scans after 4 weeks (left) and the quantitated total flux from the ventral scans over time (right) are shown. (B) SPEP was performed on sera collected from the mice after 4 weeks. The SPEP gel (left, * = M-spike) and the M-spike intensity expressed relative to the EV control (right) are shown. Graphs depict the mean $\pm$ SEM of $\mathrm{n}=6$ mice per cell line from one experiment. $P>0.05$, two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test (A) or Mann-Whitney U test (B).
mice inoculated with 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells compared to the mice inoculated with 5TGM1EV cells (Table 5.1). Moreover, injection of either 5TGM1 cell line did not alter the populations of these immune cells compared to the injection of PBS alone, which suggests that the anti-tumour immune response in KaLwRij mice may not involve the expansion of effector cells.

Despite Samsn1 expression in 5TGM1 cells not influencing immune cell numbers in KaLwRij mice following inoculation, it was hypothesised that Samsn1 expression may enhance the activity of immune effector cells toward 5TGM1 tumour cells. Given that CD8 ${ }^{+}$ cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) are known to be important mediators of anti-tumour immunity, the effect of Samsn1 on the targeting of 5TGM1 cells by CTLs was assessed in vitro. Cytotoxicity assays were performed in which purified splenic $\mathrm{CD}^{+} \mathrm{T}$ cells, which were isolated from KaLwRij mice inoculated with 5TGM1-Samsn1 or 5TGM1-EV cells, were used as effectors (Figure 5.8A\&B). Due to a low yield of CTLs, these effectors were co-cultured with 5TGM1-Samsn1 or 5TGM1-EV cells at a ratio of 2:1 or 4:1 for 24 hours, followed by quantitation of tumour cell lysis by a lactate dehydrogenase release assay. A trend towards an increase in CTL-mediated cytotoxicity toward the Samsn1-expressing 5TGM1 cells compared to the EV 5TGM1 cells was observed, which occurred in an effector to target ratio-dependent manner (Figure 5.8C). These data suggest that Samsn1 expression in 5TGM1 cells may promote CTL activity toward MM PCs in KaLwRij mice.

### 5.2.8 Samsn1 does not affect the expression of MHC class I molecules on the surface of 5TGM1 cells

One of the previously documented mechanisms by which tumour cells mediate escape from immune control is by down-regulating surface expression of major histocompatibility class I (MHC-I) molecules, which inhibits detection and destruction of tumour cells by $\mathrm{CD}^{+} \mathrm{T}$ cells ${ }^{366}$. Hence, it was hypothesised that Samsn1 may promote 5TGM1 tumour cell immunogenicity by up-regulating MHC-I expression. To assess this, the levels of MHC-I molecules on the surface of 5TGM1-Samsn1 compared to 5TGM1-EV control cells were measured by flow cytometry. The levels of both sub-classes of MHC-I molecules expressed by the KaLwRij strain, $\mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}$ and $\mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~K}^{\mathrm{b}}$, on 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells were found to not be significantly different compared to the levels on 5TGM1-EV control cells ( $P>0.05$, paired t test; Figure 5.9). This suggests that Samsn1 expression does not enhance immune recognition of 5TGM1 cells through increasing MHC-I-mediated antigen presentation.

Table 5.1: Flow cytometry analysis of NK, T and NKT cells in KaLwRij mice inoculated with Samsn1-expressing 5TGM1 cells. KaLwRij mice were injected with 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells, 5TGM1-EV cells or PBS only. Five days post-injection, cells from the $\mathrm{PB}, \mathrm{BM}$ and spleen of the mice were stained with anti-CD3 and anti-DX5 antibodies and gated to show the percentages of NK (CD3-DX5 ${ }^{+}$), T (CD3 ${ }^{+}$DX5 ${ }^{-}$) and $\mathrm{NKT}\left(\mathrm{CD}^{+} \mathrm{DX5} 5^{+}\right)$ cells among total live leukocytes. The cells were also stained with an anti-CD69 antibody and the percentages of NK, T and NKT cells that were positive for this activation marker were assessed. Data are shown as the mean $\pm$ SD for $\mathrm{n}=3$ (PBS) or $\mathrm{n}=5$ (5TGM1Samsn1/EV) mice per group from two independent experiments. $\mathrm{P}>0.05$, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test.

| Tissue | Cell population | Percentage of cells |  |  | $P$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PBS | EV | Samsn1 |  |
| Peripheral Blood | Total NK cells | $5.92 \pm 2.86$ | $7.918 \pm 2.31$ | $7.86 \pm 1.25$ | 0.3955 |
|  | CD69 ${ }^{+} \mathrm{NK}$ cells | $10.10 \pm 2.02$ | $8.27 \pm 2.68$ | $8.10 \pm 2.07$ | 0.5671 |
|  | Total T cells | $12.57 \pm 1.46$ | $11.28 \pm 4.87$ | $12.14 \pm 3.76$ | 0.8929 |
|  | CD69 ${ }^{+} \mathrm{T}$ cells | $1.19 \pm 1.23$ | $1.01 \pm 0.95$ | $0.48 \pm 0.13$ | 0.4556 |
|  | Total NKT cells | $0.98 \pm 0.35$ | $0.89 \pm 0.26$ | $0.89 \pm 0.23$ | 0.899 |
|  | CD69 ${ }^{+}$NKT cells | $12.02 \pm 2.08$ | $12.14 \pm 1.67$ | $10.72 \pm 1.54$ | 0.4027 |
| Bone Marrow | Total NK cells | $1.81 \pm 0.46$ | $1.56 \pm 0.15$ | $1.474 \pm 0.22$ | 0.2579 |
|  | CD69 ${ }^{+} \mathrm{NK}$ cells | $21.73 \pm 3.89$ | $18.5 \pm 4.49$ | $19.6 \pm 3.81$ | 0.5775 |
|  | Total T cells | $3.44 \pm 0.75$ | $3.25 \pm 0.53$ | $3.73 \pm 0.44$ | 0.4083 |
|  | CD69 ${ }^{+}$T cells | $12.27 \pm 4.98$ | $11.87 \pm 5.01$ | $12.36 \pm 6.04$ | 0.9892 |
|  | Total NKT cells | $1.577 \pm 0.49$ | $1.59 \pm 0.79$ | $1.52 \pm 0.38$ | 0.9814 |
|  | CD69 ${ }^{+}$NKT cells | $35.00 \pm 16.08$ | $35.90 \pm 13.9$ | $36.76 \pm 12.91$ | 0.985 |
| Spleen | Total NK cells | $1.693 \pm 0.55$ | $1.95 \pm 1.09$ | $1.92 \pm 0.84$ | 0.9184 |
|  | CD69 ${ }^{+} \mathrm{NK}$ cells | $5.84 \pm 1.92$ | $5.76 \pm 2.50$ | $5.26 \pm 1.91$ | 0.9118 |
|  | Total T cells | $14.51 \pm 7.26$ | $16.88 \pm 4.82$ | $16.26 \pm 4.40$ | 0.8264 |
|  | CD69 ${ }^{+}$T cells | $2.04 \pm 0.67$ | $1.62 \pm 0.34$ | $2.30 \pm 0.78$ | 0.2555 |
|  | Total NKT cells | $0.65 \pm 0.17$ | $0.70 \pm 0.31$ | $0.76 \pm 0.11$ | 0.7991 |
|  | CD69 ${ }^{+}$NKT cells | $13.17 \pm 1.85$ | $11.89 \pm 1.77$ | $11.02 \pm 2.46$ | 0.4047 |

Figure 5.8: Samsn1 expression may sensitise 5TGM1 cells to KaLwRij-derived CD8 ${ }^{+}$T cell cytotoxicity in vitro. (A) Schematic illustrating the experimental design of the cytotoxicity assay. KaLwRij mice were twice i.v. injected with $1 \times 10^{6}$ irradiated 5TGM1Samsn1 or 5TGM1-EV cells. Five days after the last inoculation, splenic cells were isolated from the mice and $\mathrm{CD}^{+} \mathrm{T}$ cells were purified by MACS-mediated negative selection. Following 5 days of re-stimulation with cognate 5TGM1 cells in vitro, the CTLs were cocultured with irradiated 5TGM1-Samsn1 or 5TGM1-EV cells at effector to target ratios of 2:1 and 4:1. After 24 hours, lactate dehydrogenase release was measured and used to calculate the percentage of cell-mediated cytotoxicity. (B) Representative flow cytometry plots showing the successful purification of splenic CD8 ${ }^{+}$T cells by MACS. (C) Graph depicting the percentage cytotoxicity of KaLwRij-derived CTLs toward 5TGM1-Samsn1 and 5TGM1-EV cells at the indicated effector to target ratios ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ mice per cell line, which were pooled in one experiment).
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Figure 5.9: Samsn1 expression does not affect MHC-I expression on 5TGM1 cells. (A\&B) 5TGM1-Samsn1 and 5TGM1-EV cells in culture were stained with anti-H-2D ${ }^{\text {b }}$, anti-$\mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~K}^{\mathrm{b}}$ or an isotype control antibody and the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) was determined by flow cytometry. Representative histograms (A) and graphs of the MFI (B), expressed relative to the EV control cell line, for $\mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}$ (left) and $\mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~K}^{\mathrm{b}}$ (right) on 5TGM1Samsn1 versus 5TGM1-EV cells are shown. Graphs depict the mean $\pm$ SD from three independent experiments. $P>0.05$, paired t test.

### 5.2.9 Samsn1 expression in 5TGM1 cells does not affect tumour growth in C57BL/6 mice

Given the tumour suppressor effect of Samsn1 expression in 5TGM1 cells on tumour growth in immunocompetent KaLwRij mice ${ }^{260}$, it was hypothesised that Samsn1 would inhibit the growth of 5TGM1 cells in immunocompetent C57BL/6 wildtype (WT) mice. To test this, Samsn1-expressing or EV control 5TGM1 cells were injected i.v. into WT mice, which were then monitored for tumour development over 7 weeks. Contrary to the hypothesis, Samsn1 expression in the 5TGM1 tumour cells was found to not affect tumour penetrance in WT mice, as determined by BLI or SPEP ( $P>0.9999$, Fisher's exact test; Figure 5.10A). In addition, of those WT mice that developed tumour, tumour burden was shown to not differ between the mice injected with 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells and those injected with 5TGM1-EV control cells, as measured by BLI ( $P=0.9722$, two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test; Figure 5.10B) and SPEP ( $P>0.8357$, Mann Whitney U test; Figure 5.10C). These data suggest that Samsn1 does not suppress MM tumour development in the presence of a competent immune system in WT mice. Hence, there may be unique features of the competent immune system in KalwRij mice that facilitate the suppression of 5TGM1Samsn1 tumour growth in this mouse strain.

### 5.2.10 Samsn1 expression in 5TGM1 cells inhibits tumour growth in C57BL/Samsn1-- mice

Given that one of the most striking genetic differences between KaLwRij and WT mice is that KaLwRij mice have lost the Samsnl gene ${ }^{260,261}$, it was hypothesised that this abnormality may contribute to the unique ability of the KaLwRij immune system to suppress 5TGM1-Samsn1 cell growth in vivo. To test this, Samsn1-expressing or EV 5TGM1 cells were injected i.v. into the previously described immunocompetent C57BL/Samsn1 ${ }^{-/}$mice, which were generated by backcrossing the KaLwRij-derived Samsn1 genomic deletion onto a C57BL/6 background (Chapter 3). At 7 weeks post-tumour cell inoculation, 5 of the 20 ( $25 \%$ ) C57BL/Samsnl ${ }^{-1}$ mice injected with EV control 5TGM1 cells had developed tumour, whereas none of the $21(0 \%)$ C57BL/Samsn1 ${ }^{-1}$ mice that were injected with 5TGM1-Samsn 1 cells had any evidence of disease development, as determined by BLI and SPEP (Figure 5.11). This constituted a significant inhibition of tumour penetrance for 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells compared to 5TGM1-EV control cells in the C57BL/Samsn1 ${ }^{-/}$mice ( $P=0.0207$, Fischer's exact test). These data suggest that the tumour suppressor effect of PC-intrinsic

Figure 5.10: Samsn1 expression in 5TGM1 cells does not affect tumour growth in immunocompetent WT mice. (A-C) Samsn1-expressing or EV control 5TGM1 cells (5 x $10^{5}$ ) were injected i.v. into WT mice and tumour was allowed to develop for 7 weeks ( $\mathrm{n}=$ 22 mice per cell line). Tumour burden was measured by BLI at weeks 3,5 and 7 post-tumour cell inoculation and by SPEP at week 7. (A) The numbers of WT mice inoculated with 5TGM1-Samsn1 or 5TGM1-EV cells that were tumour-bearing by week 7, as determined by BLI and SPEP. (B) For tumour-bearing mice, representative BLI ventral scans at 7 weeks (left) and the quantitated total flux from the ventral scans over time (right) are shown. (C) Representative SPEP gel of serum samples from tumour-bearing and non-tumour-bearing WT mice (left, * $=$ M-spike) inoculated with 5TGM1-EV or 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells are shown. For tumour-bearing mice, the quantitated M -spike intensities (right) are shown. Graphs depict the mean $\pm$ SEM of $\mathrm{n}=6-7$ tumour-bearing mice per cell line from two independent experiments (B\&C). $P>0.05$, Fisher's exact test (A), two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test (B) or Mann-Whitney U test (C)


Figure 5.11: Samsn1 expression in 5TGM1 cells inhibits MM tumour development in immunocompetent C57BL/Samsn1-/ mice. (A-C) Samsn1-expressing or EV 5TGM1 cells $\left(5 \times 10^{5}\right)$ were injected i.v. into C57BL/Samsnl $l^{-\digamma}$ mice and tumour was allowed to develop for 7 weeks. Tumour burden was measured by BLI at weeks 3,5 and 7 post-tumour cell inoculation and by SPEP at week 7. (A) Representative ventral BLI scans of mice inoculated with 5TGM1-EV (above) or 5TGM1-Samsn1 (below) cells at week 7 are shown. (B) A representative SPEP gel containing serum samples from the mice included in (A) is shown (* = M-spike). (C) The proportion of tumour-bearing mice inoculated with 5TGM1-Samsn1 or 5TGM1-EV cells by week 7, as determined by BLI and SPEP. Graph depicts $\mathrm{n}=20-21$ mice per cell line from two independent experiments. $* P<0.05$, Fisher's exact test.


Samsn1 expression in vivo is dependent on the recipient mouse being both immunocompetent and Samsn1-1.

### 5.2.11 Samsnl $^{-/-}$mice may generate a humoral immune response against 5TGM1-derived Samsn1

It was hypothesised that immune-mediated control of Samsn1-expressing 5TGM1 cells occurs exclusively in immunocompetent Samsn1 $1^{-/}$mice because their adaptive immune cells recognise Samsn1 as a foreign antigen. To test this, the production of anti-Samsn1 antibodies following exposure to 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells was assessed in vivo. KaLwRij, C57BL/Samsn1 ${ }^{-/}$and WT mice were twice inoculated with either Samsn1-expressing or EV control 5TGM1 cells and the presence of anti-Samsn1 antibodies in their serum was then determined by Western blot. Antibodies that bound to Samsn1 were not detected in the serum from any of the negative control WT mice inoculated with 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells or 5TGM1EV cells (Figure 5.12A). For the C57BL/Samsnl ${ }^{-1}$ mice, anti-Samsn1 antibodies were detected in the serum from one of the five mice inoculated with 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells, whereas anti-Samsn1 antibodies were not detected in the serum from the four mice injected with 5TGM1-EV control cells (Figure 5.12B). However, no anti-Samsn1 antibodies were detected in the serum from any of the three KaLwRij mice inoculated with Samsn1expressing 5TGM1 cells or EV control 5TGM1 cells (Figure 5.12C). These findings suggest that Samsn1 expression in 5TGM1 cells may cause an antigen-specific humoral immune response specifically in Samsn1 ${ }^{-/}$mice.

### 5.3 Discussion

Reduced SAMSN1 expression has been detected in the PCs of MM patients and this was associated with higher tumour burden and poorer overall survival ${ }^{260}$. In addition, Samsnl was found to be deleted in the MM-prone KaLwRij mouse strain and restoration of its expression in the syngeneic 5TGM1 MM PC line inhibited tumour growth in vivo ${ }^{260}$. This suggested that SAMSN1 is a tumour suppressor gene in MM PC, but the biological effects of SAMSN1 in the context of human MM remained unknown. Hence, the aim of this chapter was to examine the functions of SAMSN1 in human MM PCs both in vitro and in vivo. In order to do this, HMCLs with CRISPR-Cas9-mediated knockdown of SAMSN1 levels and HMCLs with overexpression of SAMSN1 were generated. Altered SAMSN1 levels were not found to affect the proliferation or migration of HMCLs in vitro, which is consistent with our previous findings that Samsn1 expression does not affect the proliferation ${ }^{260}$ or migration

Figure 5.12: Samsnl $^{-/}$mice may generate an anti-Samsn 1 humoral immune response following inoculation of 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells. (A-C) C57BL/6 (WT), C57BL/Samsn1 ${ }^{-1}$ and KaLwRij mice were twice inoculated, 2 weeks apart, with 5TGM1-Samsn1 (S) or 5TGM1-EV (EV) cells and serum was collected five days after the second dose of tumour cells. The serum was incubated with resolved and membrane-bound proteins that were immunoprecipitated from 5TGM1-Samsn1-HA and 5TGM1-EV cell lysates using an antiHA antibody. The successful immunoprecipitation of Samsn1 from the 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells was confirmed by Western blotting with an anti-HA antibody (A-C left). Representative blots probed with 5TGM1-Samsn1-inoculated serum (middle) or 5TGM1-EV-inoculated serum (right) from C57BL/6 (A), C57BL/Samsn1 ${ }^{-/}$(B) and KaLwRij (C) mice are shown. In (B), the blot probed with serum from a 5TGM1-Samsn1-inoculated C57BL/Samsn1 ${ }^{-1}$ mouse was the one out of five that showed evidence of anti-Samsn1 antibodies. The blots for the C57BL/6 (A) and $\operatorname{KaLwRiJ}(\mathbf{C})$ mice are representative of $\mathrm{n}=$ 3 per cell line for which there was no evidence of anti-Samsn1 antibodies present in the serum.
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(Chapter 4) of murine 5TGM1 cells in vitro. The growth of primary tumours following i.t. injection of HMCLs into NSG mice was not affected by SAMSN1 levels, as was the case in the 5TGM1/KaLwRij model (Chapter 4). However, SAMSN1 levels were not found to affect the metastasis of HMCLs in NSG mice, which contrasts with the significant reduction in metastasis caused by Samsn1 expression in the 5TGM1/KaLwRij model. These conflicting results suggest that either SAMSN1 does not have a tumour suppressor effect in human MM PCs or, it does, but this effect was not observable in this particular in vivo model.

Given that the major difference between the HMCL and 5TGM1 in vivo models was the mouse strain used, it was hypothesised that the use of NSG mice may be inhibiting the tumour suppressor effect of SAMSN1 in HMCLs. Consistent with this, the ability of Samsn1 to suppress the outgrowth of disseminated 5TGM1 cells in immunocompetent KaLwRij mice was found to be abolished in severely immunodeficient NSG mice. These data suggest that the tumour suppressor effect of Samsn1 expression on 5TGM1 cells in vivo is dependent on the presence of a functional immune system, not stromal cells (Chapter 4), within the BM microenvironment. The immune system is known to play an important role in suppressing the development of tumours, which is evidenced by the fact that immunodeficient mice are more susceptible to carcinogen-induced and spontaneous tumour formation ${ }^{367-371}$. The standard process of cancer immunosurveillance first involves an elimination phase in which effector cells of the innate (NK cells) and adaptive (CTLs) immune systems recognise and eradicate tumour cells ${ }^{366}$. Surviving cancer cells then typically enter the equilibrium phase, in which the adaptive immune system restrains their growth, resulting in a dormant state that can be maintained for extended periods of time ${ }^{372}$. Finally, malignant cells can eventually escape immune-mediated growth suppression through evolving reduced immunogenicity, which leads to the formation of clinically apparent tumours ${ }^{366}$. Hence, it was hypothesised that Samsn1 suppresses the outgrowth of 5TGM1 cells in the BM by promoting their immunogenicity and thus immune-mediated dormancy.

MM disease progression is associated with increasing dysregulation and suppression of the immune system ${ }^{365}$. Depletion studies have shown that NK cells and CTLs have important roles in constraining the growth of $\mathrm{MM} \mathrm{PCs}{ }^{373,374}$, but their effector functions are increasingly inhibited with advancing disease ${ }^{375-378}$. This is at least partly due to an increase in the numbers of immunosuppressive cell types, including regulatory T cells ${ }^{379-382}$, tumourassociated macrophages ${ }^{383,384}$ and myeloid-derived suppressor cells ${ }^{385-387}$. The finding that

MGUS patient-derived tumour cells grow progressively in a humanised mouse model suggests that PC-extrinsic controls within the BM, such as immunosurveillance, restrain the progression from MGUS to $\mathrm{MM}^{233}$. Hence, the reduction in SAMSN1 expression in the PCs of MM patients compared to healthy controls ${ }^{260,261}$ is consistent with the possibility that the down-regulation of SAMSN1 promotes the escape of abnormal PCs from immune control and thus the development of symptomatic MM. The crucial role of the immune system in controlling MM disease progression is also made evident by the clinical success of immunomodulatory imide drugs (IMiDs), the effectiveness of which is at least partially attributable to increasing the cytotoxic activity of NK cells and CTLs ${ }^{70,388,389}$. Even the efficacy of autologous stem cell transplants has recently been shown to be partly attributable to the promotion of an anti-tumour T cell response ${ }^{390}$. Hence, gaining a better understanding of the potential role of SAMSN1 in regulating MM PC immunogenicity could aid in identifying new immunotherapeutic strategies that may enable long-term MM disease control.

In a previous study in which IRF7 expression in murine breast cancer cells was found to promote immune-mediated control of metastasis to BM, an increase in the numbers of NK cells and T cells was found in the PB of mice inoculated with IRF7-expressing compared to control tumour cells five days post-injection ${ }^{391}$. Hence, it was hypothesised that if Samsn1 promotes immune-mediated control of 5TGM1 cells in KaLwRij mice, a greater expansion of immune cells would be observed following the inoculation of 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells compared to 5TGM1-EV control cells in vivo. However, no differences in the size, or activation status, of NK cell and T cell populations were observed in KaLwRij mice injected with 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells, 5TGM1-EV cells or PBS after five days. This may have been because five days is not the optimal timepoint to observe an expansion of immune cell populations in the $5 \mathrm{TGM1} / \mathrm{KaLwRij}$ model, or because increased immune cell function, not number, mediates the anti-tumour response in KaLwRij mice. In support of this, KaLwRijderived CTLs, which are known to be important effectors of tumour immunosurveillance ${ }^{365}$, were found to display increased cytotoxicity toward 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells compared to 5TGM1-EV cells in vitro. This finding suggests that Samsn1 expression increases the immunogenicity of 5TGM1 cells to CTLs. Further investigation of the importance of CTL activity in maintaining 5TGM1-Samsn 1 cells in immune-mediated equilibrium is warranted, for example, by determining the effect of antibody-mediated depletion of CTLs in KaLwRij mice on the growth of 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells compared to 5TGM1-EV cells in vivo.

CTLs detect tumour cells through TCR-mediated recognition of tumour-specific antigens, such as peptides from mutated or aberrantly expressed proteins, which are presented on the cell surface by MHC-I molecules ${ }^{366}$. Down-regulating surface expression of MHC-I molecules is a common mechanism by which tumour cells escape from immune-mediated growth suppression ${ }^{366}$. Although there have been conflicting reports as to whether this occurs in MM PCs ${ }^{392-394}$, it was hypothesised that Samsn1 expression may promote targeting of 5TGM1 cells by CTLs by increasing surface expression of MHC-I molecules. However, the levels of MHC-I molecules were found to not differ between 5TGM1-Samsn1 and 5TGM1EV cells, suggesting that Samsn1 does not promote the immunogenicity of MM PCs in this way. Importantly, Samsn1 expression was found to completely inhibit 5TGM1 tumour development in immunocompetent C57BL/Samsnl ${ }^{-1}$ mice but not in immunocompetent WT C57BL/6 mice. These data suggest that the tumour suppressor effect of Samsn1 in vivo is dependent on the host being both immunocompetent and Samsn1 $1^{-/}$. In Samsnl ${ }^{-1}$ mice, developing B and T cells with antigen receptors that recognise Samsn1 peptides are not exposed to Samsn1 during the process of immune tolerance and thus will not undergo clonal deletion, as occurs in WT mice. Hence, it was hypothesised that the observed immunedependent tumour suppressor effect of Samsn1 on 5TGM1 cells in KaLwRij mice is mediated by Samsn1-specific adaptive immune cells.

The ability to investigate the immunogenicity of Samsn1 in KaLwRij mice was constrained by the fact that there was no commercially available recombinant Samsn1 protein. In addition, antigenic peptides could not be synthesised because the immunodominant CTL epitope of Samsn1 in these mice was unknown. While CTLs are known to be the key mediators of immune responses to transgenes, studies have shown that a humoral immune response can also be generated ${ }^{395,396}$. Hence, the ability of Samsn1 to generate an antigenspecific humoral immune response in Samsn1 $1^{-/}$mice inoculated with 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells was assessed by Western blot. Anti-Samsn1-specific antibodies were detected in the serum from a C57BL/Samsn1-- mouse, but not any WT mice inoculated with 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells. However, anti-Samsnl antibodies were not detected in the serum from the other C57BL/Samsnl ${ }^{-1}$ mice and the KaLwRij mice inoculated with 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells. These data support the hypothesis that there is a Samsn1-specific immune response in Samsn1 ${ }^{-1}$ mice exposed to 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells. However, they also suggest that the generation of a humoral response to Samsn1 is rare and, therefore, Samsn1-reactive CTLs are likely to be
the major effectors of the immune response. This is consistent with previous reports of an effective CTL-mediated response to a xenogeneic protein being accompanied by a variable or absent antibody response in vivo ${ }^{395,397}$. If the immunodominant CTL epitope of Samsn1 in KaLwRij mice can be determined, Samsn1-reactive CTLs in 5TGM-Samsn1-inoculated mice could be detected using an IFN- $\gamma$ ELISPOT, as previously described ${ }^{397}$.

In summary, the data suggest that the ability of Samsn1 to inhibit MM tumour growth in the 5TGM1/KaLwRij murine model is most likely due to the presence of Samsn1-specific adaptive immune cells in KaLwRij mice. As SAMSN1-reactive lymphocytes would be eliminated during development in humans, the anti-MM effect of Samsn1 in the 5TGM1KaLwRij model no longer supports a potential tumour suppressor role for SAMSN1 in the context of human MM. The remaining evidence to suggest a potential role for SAMSNI as a tumour suppressor in human MM includes the fact that Samsn1 $1^{-/}$KaLwRij mice are prone to developing a MM-like disease as they age ${ }^{263,264}$. However, KaLwRij mice have also been shown to harbour many other genetic abnormalities compared to WT mice ${ }^{260,261}$ and the relative contribution of Samsnl loss to the development of MM in aged KaLwRij mice remains to be determined. In addition, the expression of SAMSN1 was found to be significantly reduced in the PCs from MM patients compared to healthy controls ${ }^{260,261}$, but this correlation does not demonstrate a causative link between SAMSN1 down-regulation and MM development. Furthermore, in this study, there was no evidence that SAMSN1 affects the growth of human MM cells in vitro or in vivo. Collectively, the data no longer support a tumour suppressor role for SAMSN1 in the development of MM in patients. The findings here also highlight the need for caution in interpreting results obtained from immunocompetent tumour models in which the introduced malignant cells express a xenogeneic protein, as this may trigger a protein-specific adaptive immune response in vivo.

## 6 FINAL DISCUSSION

MM is the second most common haematological malignancy and is characterised by the uncontrolled clonal expansion of neoplastic PCs within the $\mathrm{BM}^{1}$. All cases of MM are preceded by the premalignant PC proliferative disorder MGUS ${ }^{19,20}$, which has a $1 \%$ risk of progression to MM per year ${ }^{24,25}$. MM is a genetically heterogeneous disease, even at the MGUS stage, with considerable variation in the adverse genetic events present in clonal PCs both between and within patients ${ }^{145}$. Studies indicate that the transition from MGUS to MM is due to both the accumulation of co-operative PC-intrinsic lesions and tumour-promoting PC-extrinsic factors within the BM microenvironment ${ }^{343}$. Comparisons of paired and unpaired MGUS and MM samples suggest that the malignant transformation of PCs can occur by different pathogenic pathways, but these are incompletely understood ${ }^{229,232}$. This gap in knowledge inhibits accurate identification of MGUS patients who are at high risk of progression, which is crucial for their optimal clinical management. In addition, it means that there are molecules/pathways required for the development of MM, representing potential therapeutic targets, that are yet to be identified.

Our group and others have identified the putative adaptor protein SAMSN1 as a novel tumour suppressor in MM, the down-regulation of which may promote the MGUS to MM transition ${ }^{260,261}$. This assertion was based on the finding that KaLwRij mice, which unlike C57BL/6 mice can progress from MGUS to MM, harbour a spontaneous homozygous deletion of the Samsnl gene, suggesting that the loss of Samsnl may promote MM development in this strain ${ }^{260,261}$. In support of this, the introduction of Samsn1 into the KaLwRij-derived MM PC 5TGM1 line was shown to abrogate tumour development in vivo ${ }^{260}$. In relation to human MM, SAMSN1 mRNA expression was found to be significantly reduced in the PCs of MM patients compared to healthy individuals, which was also consistent with SAMSN1 having a tumour suppressor role in patients with this disease ${ }^{260,261}$. The fact that Samsnl ${ }^{-/}$KaLwRij mice only develop MM with late onset and incomplete penetrance ( $\sim 1$ in 200 mice over two years old) $)^{263,264}$ suggests that the loss of Samsn1 cooperates with other lesions to promote disease progression from MGUS to MM in these mice, and also potentially in patients.

The development of MM involves the non-random accumulation of genetic hits within PCs ${ }^{122}$. The fact that certain pairs of lesions significantly co-occur in the PCs of MM patients suggests that these genetic events co-operate to drive disease progression ${ }^{120,122,150}$. In Chapter 3, low SAMSN1 expression in the PCs of MM patients was found to be significantly
associated with reduced GLIPR1 expression (Figure 3.1), suggesting a putative co-operative relationship between the down-regulation of these genes in promoting the development of MM. The expression of GLIPR1 was found to be decreased in the PCs from MM patients compared to healthy individuals, with nearly $75 \%$ of MM tumours harbouring mRNA levels below the normal range (Figure 3.2). This observation was consistent with studies which showed that GLIPR1 was down-regulated in other malignancies ${ }^{296-298,305-307}$. Notably, GLIPR1 was previously found to be deleted in $\sim 9 \%$ of MM patients ${ }^{308}$, have reduced mRNA expression in HMCLs compared to normal B cells ${ }^{298}$ and inhibit the development of spontaneous late-onset plasmacytomas in mice ${ }^{298}$. Collectively, these findings suggested that GLIPR1, like SAMSN1, may have a tumour suppressor role in MM. While previous studies had demonstrated anti-tumour effects of GLIPR1 in several other malignancies ${ }^{296-298,305-307}$, the studies presented in Chapter 3 are the first to assess the functional effects of this gene in MM PCs. While Glipr1 expression was not found to affect the growth of 5TGM1 cells in vitro (Figure 3.3), it did result in a reduction in tumour growth in KaLwRij mice, although this did not reach statistical significance (Figure 3.4). While these findings suggest that Glipr1 is not a potent tumour suppressor on its own in MM, further investigation of the functional effects of GLIPR1 in HMCLs is warranted.

To empirically determine if the loss of Samsn1 and the loss of Glipr1 co-operate to promote MM development, Samsn $1^{-/}$Gliprl $l^{-/}$mice on an MGUS-prone C57BL/6 background were generated. This was achieved by using back-crossing or CRISPR-mediated gene editing to generate C57BL/Samsn $1^{-/}$mice and C57BL/Glipr $1^{-/}$mice, respectively, which were subsequently crossed (Figure 3.6 and Figure 3.7). The Glipr1 $1^{-1}$, Samsn1 $1^{-/}$and Samsn1 $1^{-1 /}$ Glipr $1^{-/-}$mice were then monitored for the emergence of PC proliferative disorders in comparison to WT mice over a period of one year. Based on the frequency of M-spikes in serum and the percentages of PCs in the BM and spleen, the prevalence of clonal PC expansions did not differ between the Glipr1 $1^{-/}$, Samsn1 $1^{-/}$and Samsn1 $1^{-/}$Glipr $1^{-/-}$mice compared to the WT mice (Figure 3.12 and Figure 3.13). These data suggest that the loss of Samsn1 and/or Glipr1 does not potently drive MM development in MGUS-prone C57BL/6 mice. However, given the increased frequency of monoclonal gammopathy in C57BL/6 mice over one year of age ${ }^{239,262}$, a more modest effect of concomitant loss of Glipr1 and Samsn1 on the development of PC disorders cannot be excluded without studying a larger cohort of mice over a longer timeframe. Future studies should also seek to identify other genetic hits that may co-operate with the down-regulation of SAMSN1 to promote the development of
MM. For example, the Multiple Myeloma Research Foundation (MMRF) CoMMpass dataset, which includes matched cytogenetic, mutational and gene expression profiles from a large cohort of MM patients, could be mined to detect other abnormalities that recurrently co-occur with down-regulated SAMSN1 expression.

While the abrogation of tumour development by Samsn1 in the 5TGM1/KaLwRij model suggested it was a potent tumour suppressor in MM, the mechanism(s) by which Samsn1 achieved this anti-tumour effect was unclear. Although Samsn1 was shown to have an antiproliferative effect in normal B cells following BCR stimulation ${ }^{241,245}$, Samsn1 expression in 5TGM1 cells was previously found to cause a modest reduction in proliferation only when the tumour cells were co-cultured with BMSCs in vitro ${ }^{260}$. This suggested that there may be a mechanism, other than the inhibition of MM PC proliferation, by which Samsn1 inhibits tumour growth in vivo. The previous finding that Samsn1 is involved in cytoskeletal remodelling ${ }^{249}$ and the discovery in Chapter 4 that the levels of activated Hs1, a regulator of actin dynamics, were reduced in Samsn1-expressing 5TGM1 cells (Figure 4.3), suggested that Samsn1 may limit the migration/homing of MM PCs. However, this was not supported by the findings that Samsn1 did not affect the in vitro migration (Figure 4.4) or the in vivo BM homing (Figure 4.8) of 5TGM1 cells. Notably, following the intratibial delivery of 5TGM1 cells, Samsn1 was found to inhibit the growth of metastatic, but not primary, tumours in the BM of KaLwRij mice (Figure 4.7). The observation that Samsn1 only limited the outgrowth of 5TGM1 cells when relatively few had seeded the BM suggested that Samsn1 may promote BM microenvironment-mediated control of MM PC outgrowth ${ }^{343}$. Other PC-intrinsic changes have previously been shown to affect tumour growth through altering the interactions of tumour cells with the microenvironment ${ }^{233,343}$. For example, the upregulation of integrin B7 in MM PCs was shown to increase adhesion to BMSCs, thereby promoting tumour growth ${ }^{398}$. However, the identity of the source and type of anti-MM signals from the BM microenvironment that were enhanced by Samsn1 expression, and how Samsn1 mediated this at a molecular level, was yet to be determined.

Other than showing that SAMSN1 increased the adhesion of the H929 HMCL to BMSCs ${ }^{260}$, the functional effects of SAMSN1 in HMCLs had not been previously reported. In Chapter 5, it was revealed that neither the up-regulation of SAMSN1 by overexpression, nor the down-regulation of SAMSN1 by CRISPR-mediated genome editing, affected the growth of metastatic tumours within the BM of NSG mice (Figure 5.3 and Figure 5.5). This contrasted
with the significant inhibition of disseminated 5TGM1 cell outgrowth in the BM of immunocompetent KaLwRij mice, which was shown in Chapter 4 (Figure 4.7). Crucially, it was revealed that the ability of Samsn1 to suppress the outgrowth of disseminated 5TGM1 cells in the BM was absent in immunodeficient NSG mice (Figure 5.6), suggesting that functional immune cells are required for the tumour suppressor effect of Samsn1 in vivo. This led to the hypothesis that Samsn1 enhances the immunogenicity of MM PC, which was supported by the finding that $\mathrm{CD}^{+} \mathrm{T}$ cells from KaLwRij mice displayed greater cytotoxicity towards Samsn1-expressing 5TGM1 cells compared to control 5TGM1 cells (Figure 5.8). Crucially, given the known contribution of immune dysregulation to the pathogenesis of MM and the success of immunotherapies in treating $\mathrm{MM}^{365}$, the possibility that Samsn1 promoted an anti-MM immune response was of high clinical relevance. However, Samsn1 was subsequently found to inhibit 5TGM1 cell growth in immunocompetent C57BL/Samsn1-/mice (Figure 5.11) but not in immunocompetent WT C57BL/6 mice (Figure 5.10). These findings suggest that Samsn1 only promotes an enhanced anti-5TGM1 immune response from Samsnl $1^{-1}$ hosts in which Samsn1-specific adaptive immune cells are not eliminated by immune tolerance (Figure 6.1). This postulate was supported by the detection of anti-Samsn1 antibodies in a Samsnl $1^{-/}$mouse inoculated with 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells (Figure 5.12). Importantly, Samsn1-reactive adaptive immune cells will not be present in patients and, therefore, the previous finding that Samsnl suppresses tumour development in the $5 \mathrm{TGM1} / \mathrm{KaLwRij}$ model no longer supports a probable tumour suppressor role for SAMSN1 in the context of human MM.

Immunocompetent murine syngeneic transplantation tumour models are indispensable for the study of the complex interactions between cancer and immune cells and for testing novel immunotherapies. To enable the growth of the tumour to be tracked in vivo and ex vivo, it is common for the syngeneic tumour cells to be engineered to overexpress reporter proteins, such as GFP and luciferase. Studies have shown that the expression of these xenogeneic proteins can generate reporter-specific CTL responses in some immunocompetent tumour models, which limits tumorigenesis, especially metastasis ${ }^{397,399-402}$. The immunogenicity of the foreign protein is influenced by several factors, including the expression level of the protein, the cell type expressing the protein, and the genetic background of the host ${ }^{43,404}$. This is evidenced by the enhanced immune response to GFP displayed by Balb/c mice compared to $\mathrm{C} 57 \mathrm{BL} / 6$ mice ${ }^{401,405,406}$. However, the expression of reporter proteins in syngeneic cancer cells does not prevent tumour growth in many immunocompetent


Figure 6.1: Positive selection of Samsn1-specific CD8 ${ }^{+}$T cells in Samsn1 ${ }^{-/-}$mice leads to immune suppression of Samsn1-expressing 5TGM1 tumour development in vivo. In Samsn $1^{-/}$mice (left), developing $\mathrm{CD}^{+}$T cells expressing a T cell receptor that recognises a Samsn1-derived peptide are not presented with this in the thymus and thus are positively selected. The expanded Samsn1-specific T cells then migrate to peripheral sites, including the BM, where they recognise the Samsn1 peptides presented in MHC I molecules on the surface of disseminated 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells, causing tumour cell apoptosis and inhibition of tumour formation. Conversely, in WT mice (right), self Samsn1 peptides are presented to developing Samsn1-reactive T cells in the thymus, leading to the negative selection/deletion of the clone by apoptosis. As a result, there are no T cells in the BM that recognise the Samsn1 peptides presented by 5TGM1-Samsn1 cells and, therefore, there is a more limited immune response to these cells in WT mice compared to Samsn1-/ mice, enabling MM PC outgrowth and tumour formation. $\mathrm{APC}=$ antigen presenting cell, $\mathrm{TCR}=\mathrm{T}$ cell receptor, WT = wildtype, MHC I = major histocompatibility complex I.
models ${ }^{407-410}$, including the 5TGM1/KaLwRij model in which the overexpression of GFP and luciferase does not prevent aggressive tumour development ${ }^{260,411,412}$. This suggests that if there is an immune response to 5TGM1-derived GFP and luciferase in KaLwRij mice, which has yet to be determined, it is not a major impediment to disease progression. Hence, it was unexpected that the expression of Samsn1, which is a foreign protein in Samsn1 ${ }^{-/}$ KaLwRij mice, would elicit an immune response that was capable of completely abrogating tumour growth in vivo. The fact that Samsn1 is targeted by an effective immune response suggests that its dominant CTL epitope is highly immunogenic in KaLwRij mice. These data highlight the unpredictable nature of immune responses to xenogeneic proteins in immunocompetent in vivo tumour models. Hence, to correctly interpret the results from these models, the immunogenicity of each new foreign protein should always be empirically determined.

The remaining lines of evidence suggesting that SAMSN1 may have a tumour suppressor role in human MM are largely circumstantial or inconclusive. Firstly, while the homozygous deletion of Samsnl is a striking genomic abnormality in KaLwRij mice, many gene expression differences, single nucleotide variants and copy number alterations have also been discovered in the KaLwRij genome compared to the C57BL/6 genome ${ }^{260,261}$. Hence, it is unknown whether the KaLwRij strain's ability to develop MM is attributable to their Samsnl ${ }^{-/}$status or another genetic alteration(s). Secondly, the reduced expression of SAMSN1 in the PCs of MM patients compared to healthy controls ${ }^{260,261}$ describes a correlation, not a causative link, between SAMSN1 down-regulation and the development of MM. Hence, it is possible that SAMSN1 down-regulation may be a passenger, not a driver, event in the development of MM. Given that the reduced SAMSN1 expression in HMCLs was shown to be, at least partly, mediated by aberrant promoter hypermethylation ${ }^{260}$, SAMSN1 down-regulation may be a by-product, not a key target, of the known dysregulated epigenetics in MM PCs ${ }^{193-196}$.

Thirdly, although below median SAMSN1 expression was found to be associated with reduced OS, this was based on a univariate survival analysis of one microarray dataset ${ }^{260}$. Hence, it is possible that the negative prognostic impact of low SAMSN1 expression is attributable to co-occurring independent predictors of inferior MM patient outcomes, as was found to be the case for $\operatorname{del}(13 q){ }^{162}$. Finally, while another group has shown that Samsn1 expression decreased the basal proliferation of 5TGM1 cells in vitro ${ }^{261}$, our laboratory found
that Samsn1 caused a $\sim 15 \%$ reduction in 5TGM1 cell proliferation only in the presence of BMSCs in vitro ${ }^{260}$. Hence, these conflicting results do not provide consensus support for Samsn1 having an anti-proliferative effect on 5TGM1 cells and thus acting as a tumour suppressor in MM. Notably, only one experiment examining the functional effect of SAMSN1 in another malignancy has been reported ${ }^{271}$. This study found that SAMSN1 overexpression did not affect the growth of a lung cancer cell line in vitro ${ }^{271}$. Furthermore, the findings that SAMSN1 did not affect the growth of HMCLs in vitro or in vivo (Figure 5.2-Figure 5.5) and that Samsn1 did not affect the growth of 5TGM1 cells in WT mice (Figure 5.10) collectively suggest that SAMSN1 is not a tumour suppressor in MM. Hence, the previously promising preliminary evidence suggesting that SAMSN1 was an important tumour suppressor in MM is now outweighed by empirical evidence indicating the contrary.

In conclusion, the findings presented in this thesis show that Samsn1 expression in the 5TGM1 murine MM PC line inhibits tumour growth in Samsn1-/ KaLwRij mice because it is targeted by Samsn1-reactive adaptive immune cells. Hence, these data do not support a tumour suppressor role for SAMSN1 in human MM, as SAMSN1-reactive lymphocytes would be deleted by immune tolerance in patients. In vitro proliferation/migration assays and in vivo tumour models in WT mice did not demonstrate tumour suppressor effects of Samsn1/SAMSN1 in mouse/human MM PCs. Together, these data suggest that the downregulation of SAMSN1 expression in MM PCs is not a key driver of malignant transformation. In addition, despite the finding that GLIPR1 expression is significantly reduced in MM patients, Glipr1 only displayed a non-significant tumour suppressor effect on 5TGM1 cells in vivo. Given that the results do not support an important tumour suppressor role for SAMSN1 or GLIPR1 in MM, it is likely that SAMSN1 and GLIPR1 down-regulation are passenger events that do not actively co-operate to drive the development of MM. Hence, further research is required to improve the current incomplete understanding of the PCintrinsic and microenvironmental factors that drive the development of MM. This will enable the rational design of new therapies and combination drug regimens that can prolong, and potentially prevent, the progression from MGUS to MM, thereby improving patient outcomes.
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