# Aspects of $E_6$ Inspired Supersymmetric Models

## Dylan Harries

Supervisors: Prof. A. G. Williams Dr. M. White

Department of Physics School of Physical Sciences



Thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

May 2017

# Contents

A	bstra	ct											vii
St	atem	ent of	Originality										ix
A	cknov	wledge	ments										xi
$\mathbf{Li}$	st of	Publie	cations									2	ciii
1	$\operatorname{Intr}$	oducti	on										1
<b>2</b>	The	Minir	nal Supersymmetric Standard Model										9
	2.1	Field (	Content and Interactions	•					•		•		9
	2.2	The P	henomenological MSSM						•	•	•		13
	2.3	The C	onstrained MSSM						•		•		16
	2.4	Electr	oweak Symmetry Breaking	•					•	•	•		20
	2.5	The P	article Spectrum of the MSSM	•					•		•		25
		2.5.1	The MSSM Higgs Sector						•		•		26
		2.5.2	The Neutralino and Chargino Sectors	•					•	•	•		28
		2.5.3	The Sfermions						•		•		30
	2.6	Why I	Might the MSSM not be Enough?	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	32
3	$E_6$ I	nspire	d Supersymmetric Models										37
	3.1	U(1) I	Extensions of the MSSM						•	•	•		37
	3.2	The E	xceptional Supersymmetric Standard Model	•					•	•	•		42
	3.3	Gauge	Symmetry Breaking in the $E_6SSM$										49
	3.4	The P	article Spectrum of the $E_6SSM$										52
		3.4.1	Exotic States										54
		3.4.2	The $E_6SSM$ Higgs Sector										57
		3.4.3	The Neutralino Sector										59

4	Ext	ensions to Mass Spectrum Generators for BSM Models	63
	4.1	Automated Tools for BSM Models: Benefits and Limitations	. 63
	4.2	Semi-analytic RGE Solutions in General Models	. 67
		4.2.1 SUSY Models	. 68
		4.2.2 Non-SUSY Models	. 72
	4.3	The Semi-analytic BVP Solver Algorithm	. 74
		4.3.1 Review of the Two-scale Algorithm	. 75
		4.3.2 $$ Implementation of the Semi-analytic BVP Solver Algorithm $$ .	. 80
		4.3.3 Tests and Comparisons of the BVP Solvers	. 84
	4.4	Loop Induced Decays	. 90
<b>5</b>	Fin	e Tuning in the $E_6SSM$	99
	5.1	A New Source of Fine Tuning	. 99
	5.2	Measures of Fine Tuning	. 101
	5.3	Calculating Fine Tuning in the $E_6SSM$	. 106
	5.4	Naturalness Impact of $Z'$ Limits in the $E_6SSM$	. 111
		5.4.1 Stop Mass Fine Tuning $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$	. 111
		5.4.2 Fine Tuning at Low Energies	. 113
	5.5	Benchmark Scenarios	. 117
	5.6	Alternative $E_6$ Inspired Models $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	. 121
	5.7	Conclusions	. 123
6	An	$E_6$ Inspired Model with Exact Custodial Symmetry	127
	6.1	An Alternative to the $E_6SSM$	. 127
	6.2	The $SE_6SSM$ and the $CSE_6SSM$	. 129
	6.3	Gauge Symmetry Breaking in the $SE_6SSM$	. 134
	6.4	Modifications to the Particle Spectrum	. 139
		6.4.1 The Neutralino Sector	. 141
		6.4.2 The Exotic Sector	. 143
		6.4.3 The Higgs Sector	. 147
7	Daı	rk Matter Scenarios in the CSE <sub>6</sub> SSM	155
	7.1	Dark Matter in BSM Models	. 155
		7.1.1 Calculation of the Relic Density	. 156
		7.1.2 Direct Detection of Dark Matter	. 158
	7.2	Scanning the $CSE_6SSM$ Parameter Space	. 160
	7.3	Mixed Bino-Higgsino Dark Matter	. 166

#### Contents

	7.4	Pure Higgsino Dark Matter	. 173
	7.5	Benchmark Scenarios	. 177
	7.6	Impact of Current and Future Searches	. 181
	7.7	Conclusions	. 186
8	Sum	nmary	189
$\mathbf{A}$	Rev	iew of SUSY Model Building	193
	A.1	The Super-Poincaré Algebra	. 193
	A.2	Superspace and Superfields	. 196
	A.3	Supersymmetric Lagrangians	. 200
	•	noning to DOE Galations in the MCOM	205
В	Арр	proximate RGE Solutions in the MSSM	205
B C		proximate RGE Solutions in the $MSSM$	203 209
	App		
С	App $SE_6$	broximate RGE Solutions in the $E_6SSM$	209 235
С	App $SE_6$	proximate RGE Solutions in the E <sub>6</sub> SSM	<b>209</b> <b>235</b> . 235
С	<b>App</b> <b>SE</b> <sub>6</sub> S D.1	oroximate RGE Solutions in the E <sub>6</sub> SSM SSM RGEs Gauge Couplings	<b>209</b> <b>235</b> . 235 . 237
С	App SE <sub>6</sub> S D.1 D.2	<b>SSM RGEs</b> Gauge Couplings         Superpotential Trilinear Couplings	<b>209</b> <b>235</b> . 235 . 237 . 244
С	App SE <sub>6</sub> S D.1 D.2 D.3	SSM RGEs         Gauge Couplings         Superpotential Trilinear Couplings         Superpotential Bilinear and Linear Couplings	<ul> <li>209</li> <li>235</li> <li>235</li> <li>237</li> <li>244</li> <li>245</li> </ul>
С	App SE <sub>6</sub> S D.1 D.2 D.3 D.4	SSM RGEs         Gauge Couplings         Superpotential Trilinear Couplings         Superpotential Bilinear and Linear Couplings         Gaugino Masses	<ul> <li>209</li> <li>235</li> <li>235</li> <li>237</li> <li>244</li> <li>245</li> <li>246</li> </ul>
С	App SE <sub>6</sub> S D.1 D.2 D.3 D.4 D.5	SSM RGEs         Gauge Couplings	<ul> <li>209</li> <li>235</li> <li>235</li> <li>237</li> <li>244</li> <li>245</li> <li>246</li> <li>269</li> </ul>

#### Abstract

Supersymmetry (SUSY) is currently one of the best motivated extensions of the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics. Softly broken SUSY naturally stabilises the electroweak scale against large quantum corrections, without the unnatural fine tuning required in the SM. However, experimental searches for superpartners and the observed 125 GeV Higgs mass now imply that large corrections again arise in the minimal supersymmetric standard model (MSSM), reintroducing the need for fine tuning.

In this thesis, we study a class of non-minimal  $E_6$  inspired SUSY models that are partially motivated by solving these and other problems of the MSSM. A unified  $E_6$  gauge group at high energies is assumed to lead to a low-energy theory with one or more additional U(1) gauge symmetries and extra matter content compared to the MSSM. To facilitate the study of these and other Beyond the Standard Model theories, we implement several extensions to existing automated tools, significantly improving their capabilities and range of applicability.

In the simplest  $E_6$  inspired models, additional contributions to the Higgs mass reduce the need for large radiative corrections but introduce a new source of fine tuning associated with a massive Z' boson. By considering several such models at low energies, we show that experimental limits on the mass of this state imply a minimal amount of fine tuning is required to reproduce the electroweak scale. The severity of this fine tuning is also shown to depend strongly on the details of the gauge symmetry breaking.

We next consider an alternative  $E_6$  model with a single, exact custodial symmetry. This custodial symmetry, combined with an automatically conserved matter parity, implies the existence of two dark matter candidates in the model. We explore the parameter spaces of constrained versions of this model and the MSSM in which one dark matter candidate is a MSSM-like mixed bino-Higgsino or pure Higgsino state. We find that the dark matter relic density may be reproduced while satisfying experimental constraints, and that light exotics may be discoverable at the Large Hadron Collider. We conclude by investigating the impacts of current and future direct detection searches on the parameter spaces of both models.

## Statement of Originality

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in my name, in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name, for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library Search and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

I acknowledge the support I have received for my research through the provision of an Australian Government Research Training Program Scholarship

Signed

Date

### Acknowledgements

This thesis would not have come about were it not for the many brilliant people who worked with and supported me. I would like to thank my supervisor Tony Williams, whose guidance and sage advice encompassed day-to-day questions about physics to all things academic, and more besides. Thanks also to my supervisor Martin White for his useful hints and ideas during my candidature. Special thanks are due to my collaborators, from whom I have learned a tremendous amount. To Peter Athron, for his keen insights and for allowing me to work on all sorts of interesting projects. To Roman Nevzorov, for his incredible knowledge and answers to all sorts of questions. Thank you both for your patience, kindness, and for countless enlightening and entertaining discussions. Further afield, thanks also to Alexander Voigt, for sharing his unsurpassed talents in coding and all kinds of awesome suggestions for improvements, new ideas and things to try.

I would also like to thank the staff and students of the CSSM and CoEPP for their help and support, and for the friendly environment in which to work. In particular, thanks to Alex Chambers, Taylor Haar, Filip Rajec, Michael Evans, Jake Forster and my office mates for the many shared laughs, and conversations ranging from the very helpful to the outright random.

During my candidature I was fortunate to be supported by the generosity of Peggy Barker through the Barker Tong Scholarship in Physics. I am very grateful for her support and encouragement, her interest in how our research progressed, and for reminding me to spend time out of the office as well as in it.

For this I can also thank my friends, for barbecues, book suggestions, and, occasionally, just for a much needed distraction.

Finally, thanks to my wonderful family, including my siblings Katie, Jordan and Emily, for always being there, even when I was not. Most especially, thank you to my parents Richard and Julia. Without their hard work and love I would not be where I am today.

### List of Publications

The results of the research described in this thesis have appeared in the following publications, with the corresponding chapters also given:

- P. Athron, D. Harries, and A. G. Williams, Phys. Rev. **D91**, 115024 (2015), arXiv:1503.08929 [hep-ph] (Chapter 5)
- P. Athron, D. Harries, R. Nevzorov, and A. G. Williams, Phys. Lett. B760, 19 (2016), arXiv:1512.07040 [hep-ph] (Chapter 7)
- F. Staub, P. Athron, L. Basso, M. D. Goodsell, D. Harries, M. E. Krauss, K. Nickel, T. Opferkuch, L. Ubaldi, A. Vicente, and A. Voigt, Eur. Phys. J. C76, 516 (2016), arXiv:1602.05581 [hep-ph] (Chapter 4)
- P. Athron, D. Harries, R. Nevzorov, and A. G. Williams, JHEP **12**, 128 (2016), arXiv:1610.03374 [hep-ph] (Chapter 6 and Chapter 7)

Additionally, the work on a semi-analytic boundary value problem solver algorithm described in Chapter 4 forms one part of a major update to the public software package FlexibleSUSY (P. Athron, M. Bach, D. Harries, J.-h. Park, D. Stöckinger, A. Voigt, and J. Ziebell, in preparation).

Within the chapters presenting original work carried out during this thesis, the discussion naturally focusses on those parts of the research that I carried out. In particular, in Chapter 4 the generalisation of the semi-analytic boundary value problem solver to generic models and its implementation in FlexibleSUSY, along with the implementation of a fixed point root finding algorithm and the implementation of loop induced decays, is my own work. Although together with my co-authors I was also involved in the model validation and the documentation of the additional extensions to FlexibleSUSY described in the above publications, detailed discussions of these aspects of the work are omitted. Similarly, the numerical results presented in Chapters 5 and 7 are my own work.