



AN ENGINEERING ANALYSIS OF PHYTOTRON "ELLIE"

A design for a plant growth cabinet developed to satisfy broad operating conditions of temperature and humidity for the requirements of the

Department of Agronomy,

Waite Agricultural Research Institute,

University of Adelaide.

by

ALLAN SHAW,

B.A., B.E., A.S.M.E., A.M.I.E.Aust.  
Senior Lecturer, Department of Mechanical Engineering,  
University of Adelaide.

October, 1964.



THE UNIVERSITY OF ADELAIDE  
ADELAIDE, SOUTH AUSTRALIA  
TELEPHONE: 23 4333

PROFESSOR: H. H. DAVIS

DEPARTMENT OF  
MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

3rd February, 1966.

Mr. H.E. Wesley Smith,  
Academic Registrar,  
The University of Adelaide,  
ADELAIDE. SOUTH AUSTRALIA.

Dear Mr. Wesley Smith,

I authorise the University of Adelaide to make  
available for loan and for photo-copying my thesis  
on "An Engineering Analysis of Phytotron 'Ellie'."

Yours faithfully.

ALLAN SHAW

I dedicate this paper to my wife, and in her  
name may Phytotron Ellie serve well as an

E xtraordinary

L ight-box for

L eguminous

I nternal

E nvironment

A.S.

220353

STATEMENT OF AUTHORSHIP

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any University and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except when due reference is made in the text of the thesis.

The design presented here is registered for Commonwealth Provisional Protection, Application No. 45567 dated 9th June, 1964.

ALLAN SHAW  
October, 1964.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I want to thank the following people and organizations who have given very generously of their time and have been of great assistance to me in this project:

- Anemostat Corporation of America, Scranton,  
Pennsylvania, U.S.A.
- Mr. K.P.J. Barley, Senior Lecturer, Department  
of Agronomy, Waite Agricultural  
Research Institute, Adelaide, S.A.
- Mr. R. Basheer, Research Engineer, F.M. Muller Pty.  
Ltd., Kingsgrove, N.S.W.
- Mr. A. Birkett, Honeywell Pty. Ltd., Adelaide, S.A.
- C.S.I.R.O. Engineering Section, Highett, Victoria.
- Professor H.H. Davis, Professor of Mechanical  
Engineering, University of Adelaide,  
S.A.
- Mr. Ray M. Hawkins, Aerofin Corporation, Syracuse,  
New York, U.S.A.
- Mr. Fred Hollingbery, Director, Process Instruments  
& Controls, Brookvale, N.S.W.
- Honeywell Pty. Ltd., Adelaide, S.A.
- Mr. D.H. Joseph, Applied Air Conditioning Aust.Pty.  
Ltd., Adelaide, S.A.
- Dr. R.J. Millington, Senior Lecturer, Department of  
Agronomy, Waite Agricultural  
Research Institute, Adelaide, S.A.
- Mr. R.N. Morse, Officer-in-Charge, and Staff,  
Engineering Section, C.S.I.R.O.,  
Highett, Victoria.
- F.M. Muller Pty. Ltd., Kingsgrove, N.S.W.
- Mr. D. Pescod, Experimental Officer, C.S.I.R.O.  
Engineering Section, Highett, Vic-  
toria.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS (continued)

Mr. G.K. Raider, General Manager, Multi-Vent  
Division, Pyle-National Co.,  
Chicago, Illinois, U.S.A.

Tuttle and Bailey, Inc., New Britain, Connecticut,  
U.S.A.

Miss A. Skinner, Secretary, Department of Mechanical  
Engineering, University of  
Adelaide, S.A.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
1. <u>SUMMARY</u>	1
2. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>	4
2.1 <u>Equations Forming Background To The Air-Conditioning Calculations</u>	4
2.2 <u>Background To Design</u>	7
2.2.1 <u>Existing designs having humidity control</u>	7
2.2.2 <u>The problem: "Range" of operating settings</u>	8
2.2.3 <u>The L.B.H. cabinet</u>	9
2.2.4 <u>Brief description of L.B.H. cycles</u>	9
2.2.5 <u>Problems associated with L.B.H. - the "Waite" solution</u>	10
2.2.5.(a) <u>Temperature and humidity are dependent variables</u>	11
2.2.5.(b) <u>Time function</u>	12
2.2.5.(c) <u>Climatic noise, plant ripple, hunting</u>	12
2.2.5.(d) <u>Temperature gradients in supply ducts</u>	13
2.2.5.(e) <u>Air distribution fails to meet the major design aim</u>	13
2.2.5.(f) <u>Unsuitability for naturally lit cabinets</u>	14
2.2.5.(g) <u>Dehumidification and frosting</u>	15
2.2.5.(h) <u>L.B.H. restrictor and "Waite" thermostatic expansion valve</u>	20
2.2.5.(i) <u>The L.B.H. internal latent heat load</u>	23
2.2.6 <u>Cost comparison</u>	25

	<u>PAGE</u>
2.2.6.(a) <u>Comparison of cost of "Waite" system with estimate by the 1964 ASHRAE "Guide"</u>	26
2.2.6.(b) <u>Comparison of the "Waite" system with L.B.H. on a component basis</u>	27
3. <u>DESIGN</u>	29
3.1 <u>Design Requirements</u>	29
3.1.1 <u>Sensible heat gains associated with temperature gradient</u>	29
3.1.2 <u>Sensible heat gains not associated with temperature gradient</u>	29
3.1.3 <u>Internal latent heat gains</u>	30
3.1.4 <u>Latent heat gains due to outside air intake</u>	30
3.1.5 <u>Operating range</u>	30
3.1.6 <u>Automatic day-night temperature change-over requirement</u>	30
3.1.7 <u>Avoidance of problems associated with existing phytotrons</u>	31
3.1.7.(a) <u>Frosting on the direct expansion coil</u>	31
3.1.7.(b) <u>Plant "shake" or vibration</u>	31
3.1.7.(c) <u>Climatic noise, plant ripple, or hunting</u>	31
3.1.7.(d) <u>Unstable air flow patterns</u>	31
3.1.7.(e) <u>Unstable elements in the air and refrigeration cycles</u>	31
3.2 <u>The System Of Air Distribution</u>	32
3.2.1 <u>The aim of the design</u>	32
3.2.2 <u>Air distribution in the self-contained phytotron</u>	32



	<u>PAGE</u>
3.2.3	<u>Temperature difference and air velocity</u> 34
3.2.4	<u>Effect of velocity variation</u> 35
3.2.5	<u>Variations in length of paths of stream tubes</u> 35
3.2.6	<u>The heat gains to the stream tubes are not uniform</u> 35
3.2.7	<u>Evaluation of factors involved to determine whether air should be introduced from above or below the plants</u> 36
3.2.8	<u>The system of air distribution at "Waite"</u> 37
3.2.9	<u>Temperature gradient redefined</u> 38
3.2.10	<u>Temperature gradient across the length of vertical stream tubes</u> 39
3.2.11	<u>Streamlining</u> 39
3.3.	<u>The Systems of Air Conditioning, Refrigeration and Control</u> 40
3.3.1	<u>Introduction</u> 40
3.3.2	<u>Factors upon which systems performance depends</u> 40
3.3.2.(a)	<u>Constant volume air flow</u> 41
3.3.2.(b)	<u>Full volume air flow through evaporator</u> 41
3.3.2.(c)	<u>Constant condensing temperature</u> 41
3.3.2.(d)	<u>Preheat coil schedule of settings</u> 41
3.3.2.(e)	<u>Refrigerant flow control</u> 41
3.3.2.(f)	<u>Compressor operation</u> 42
3.4	<u>The Role of Individual Components In Satisfying The Design Requirements</u> 42
3.4.1.	<u>The role of the preheater in the system</u> 42

	<u>PAGE</u>
3.4.1.(a) <u>Frost prevention</u>	42
3.4.1.(b) <u>Association with automatic day-night change-over</u>	43
3.4.1.(c) <u>Limits size of humidifier</u>	44
3.4.2 <u>The direct expansion coil</u>	44
3.4.2.(a) <u>Introduction</u>	44
3.4.2.(b) <u>Methods of selection of direct expansion coils</u>	45
3.4.2.(c) <u>The role of the direct expansion coil in the system</u>	47
3.4.2.(d) <u>Selection of direct expansion coil</u>	48
3.4.2.(e) <u>Relationships which guided selection procedure</u>	51
3.4.2.(f) <u>Variable speed compressor</u>	56
3.4.2.(g) <u>Method used to determine coil performance data of Appendices IV and V</u>	56
3.4.3 <u>The role of the reheat coil in the system</u>	61
3.4.3.(a) <u>Control agent maintaining dry bulb temperature settings</u>	61
3.4.3.(b) <u>Reheat during day-night settings</u>	61
3.4.4 <u>The role of the humidifier in the system</u>	61
3.4.4.(a) <u>Exclusive control agent maintaining humidity ratio setting</u>	61
3.4.4.(b) <u>Humidity ratio does not vary during automatic day-night change-over</u>	62
3.4.4.(c) <u>Aim to keep humidity ratio changes independent of dry bulb temperature</u>	62
3.5 <u>Designs To Cope With Phytotron Outside Air Latent Heat Load</u>	63

	<u>PAGE</u>
3.5.1 <u>Alternative 1</u>	64
3.5.2 <u>Alternative 2</u>	64
3.6 <u>The Control System</u>	66
3.6.1 <u>Introduction</u>	66
3.6.2 <u>General</u>	67
3.6.3 <u>Preheat coil controller</u>	68
3.6.4 <u>Reheat coil controller</u>	69
3.6.5 <u>Pan humidifier controller</u>	70
4. <u>PSYCHROMETRIC ANALYSIS OF THE AIR CYCLE FOR A SPECIFIC DAY-NIGHT OPERATING SETTING</u>	72
5. <u>CONCLUSION</u>	76

LIST OF APPENDICES

<u>APPENDIX</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
I.	Range of phytotron operating settings	77
II.	Schedule of preheat settings for range of operating conditions	78
III.	Evaporator temperatures compatible with compressor performance for conditions of appendices IV and V.	79
IV.	Tabulation of direct expansion coil performance for various entry conditions	80
V.	Psychrometric chart representation of direct expansion coil performance for various entry conditions	81
VI.	Air system performance for a day operating setting	82
VII.	Air system performance for a night operating setting	83
VIII.	Schematic-air cycle and controls	84
IX.	Refrigeration cycle schematic: upper diagram - L.B.H. System lower diagram - "Waite" System	85
X.	Key to symbols	86
XI.	Detailed explanation of the design solution	87-95
XII.	Cost of building the "Waite" phytotron	96-97
XIII.	Outline of the method of construction of the graph for Appendix III.	98-100
XIV.	Details of the trial and error solution of evaporator and compressor curves for condition 4 Appendix III.	101-104
XV.	Determination of design load - detailed	105-110

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>FIGURE</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
1.	Operating cycles	16
2.	Air flow pattern - Type B cabinet	33
3.	Recommended minimum refrigerant temperatures for frost prevention	53
4.	Air side coil performance	55