



# A VHF BOUNDARY-LAYER RADAR

By

Scott N.M. Dullaway, B.Sc. (Hons)

Thesis

submitted for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

at the

UNIVERSITY OF ADELAIDE

(Department of Physics and Mathematical Physics)

January 1999



# Contents

<b>Abstract</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>Originality Declaration</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>xvi</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>1 Introduction To The Planetary Boundary-Layer</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Introduction, Motivation & Scope . . . . .	2
1.1.1 Outline of Thesis . . . . .	4
1.2 The Atmosphere . . . . .	5
1.3 The Planetary Boundary-Layer . . . . .	10
1.4 Summary . . . . .	14
<b>2 Atmos. Observation: Equipment and Techniques</b>	<b>17</b>
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	17
2.2 MST Radars and Observation Techniques . . . . .	17
2.2.1 Scattering of EM Waves by the Atmosphere . . . . .	18
2.2.2 UHF Boundary-Layer Radars . . . . .	20
2.2.3 VHF Boundary-Layer Radars . . . . .	23
2.2.3.1 The Doppler Technique . . . . .	25

2.2.3.2	Spaced Antenna Analysis . . . . .	26
2.3	Acoustic Sounders . . . . .	27
2.4	Radio-Acoustic Sounding Systems . . . . .	28
2.5	Radiosondes . . . . .	30
2.6	Summary . . . . .	31
<b>3</b>	<b>The Adelaide VHF Boundary-Layer Radar</b>	<b>33</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	33
3.2	The Antenna System . . . . .	36
3.3	Antenna Ringing and Circuitry for its Removal . . . . .	38
3.3.1	Coaxial Cable Tuning Circuits . . . . .	39
3.3.2	LC Tuning Circuits using Coiled Wire Inductors . . . . .	40
3.3.3	LC Tuning Circuits using Toroidal Wound Inductors . . . . .	41
3.4	Ground Clutter . . . . .	44
3.5	Optimal Antenna Spacing . . . . .	47
3.6	Summary . . . . .	51
<b>4</b>	<b>Prelim. Results of the Boundary-Layer System</b>	<b>53</b>
4.1	September 1995 - Single Module Observation . . . . .	55
4.2	Comparison of Single Module with Radiosonde . . . . .	59
4.3	Pattern Scale Measurements for Antenna Spacing . . . . .	73
4.4	Summary . . . . .	76
<b>5</b>	<b>Campaign with Final Configuration of Radar</b>	<b>79</b>
5.1	First Adelaide Boundary-Layer Spaced Antenna Experiment . . . . .	80
5.2	Co-Located Radar and Sonde Campaigns . . . . .	85
5.2.1	Experiment Description . . . . .	86
5.2.2	Results . . . . .	86
5.2.2.1	Campaign 1: July 31 to August 1, 1997 . . . . .	86
5.2.2.2	Campaign 2: September 12 to September 14, 1997 . . . . .	98

5.2.2.3	Campaign 3: September 26 to September 27, 1997 . . .	98
5.2.2.4	Pattern Scales Profiles from the Joint Campaigns . . .	116
5.3	Summary . . . . .	119
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusions and Future Research</b>	<b>121</b>
6.1	Conclusions . . . . .	121
6.2	Future Research . . . . .	123
<b>A</b>	<b>Transmitter and RDAS Description</b>	<b>125</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>A VHF boundary layer radar:</b>	
	<b>First Results</b>	<b>127</b>
	<b>References</b>	<b>129</b>



# Abstract

This thesis is concerned with the development of a VHF wind profiler capable of measuring from a height of 300 metres up to 4 kilometres. This region of the troposphere contains the mixed-layer, which is more commonly known as the convective boundary layer region.

The different types of atmospheric detection equipment used to measure the boundary layer region of the atmosphere are reviewed, along with wind profiling observation techniques.

The factors considered during the development of the antenna arrays are described, which includes the beam patterns of antenna arrays, and the technical aspects of impedance matching from the individual antennas through to the transmission and acquisition system to minimise the effect of antenna ringing. The effects of scattering and ground clutter on the performance of boundary-layer VHF wind profilers are also discussed.

Results from initial tests of a single module of Yagi antennas of the system are shown, demonstrating the ability of the radar during the developmental stages for probing the boundary layer. A comparison of radar reflectivities with values calculated using radiosonde data from the Adelaide airport is also performed. An examination of pattern scales in the boundary layer is then carried out to determine the optimum configuration of the antenna arrays for spaced antenna mode operation.

This thesis then concludes with the the first results of the boundary layer radar in its optimum configuration. A study of pattern scales and the angular spectrum of scatterers in the lower troposphere was performed, and the comparison of results of campaigns of co-located high resolution GPS radiosondes and Omega Navaid radiosondes with the Adelaide Boundary Layer Radar are shown completing this research.

Altogether this thesis examines the potential of VHF radars in the application of boundary layer monitoring. These radars will not only have research applications due to their high spatial and temporal resolution for investigating the lower troposphere, but are potentially an important tool for meteorologists for continuous monitoring of the atmosphere in all weather conditions, even in remote locations.



# Originality Declaration

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being available for loan and photocopying.

Signed: .

..... dated: *14 January 1999*.....

Scott N.M. Dullaway, B.Sc. (Hons)



# Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my supervisors, Dr Robert Vincent and Dr Iain Reid for their direction during my project. Dr Vincent's drive and encouragement to me were always a good motivator to complete this thesis.

I also thank Dr Peter May of the Bureau of Meteorology Research Centre for providing me with access to the radiosonde data from Adelaide airport and discussions on meteorological phenomena occurring in the boundary layer. Thanks also to Jeff Stickland of the Bureau of Meteorology for supplying the radiosondes for the inter-comparison campaign of the sonde's results with the radar's results.

Dr Graham Elford and Dr Harish Chandra also provided useful insights into the larger field of atmospheric physics through our regular atmospheric physics group meetings.

A number of individuals have provided me assistance through my studies especially my fellow students Andrew MacKinnon, Jonathon Woithe and Florian Zink. They have always been willing to help with the development of this system, through to the analysis of data, which has been greatly appreciated.

There have also been many friends in the atmospheric physics group some of which have come and gone over the period of my studies, whom I also wish to thank including Dr Simon Allen, Andrew Badger, Karen Berkefeld, Dr Laurence Campbell, Dr Manuel Cervera, Dr Steve Eckermann, Dr Dorothy Gibson-Wilde, Stephen Grant, Dr Trevor Harris, Bridget Hobbs, Dr David Holdsworth, Ali Kazempour, Dr Patrick Klövekörn, Dr Sujatta Kovalam, Dr Drazen Lesicar, Dr David Low, Dr Chris Lucas, Dr Damien Murphy, Minh Nguyen, Dr Deepak Rajopadhyaya, Dr Andrew Taylor, Dr Brenton

Vandeppeer, and Rupa Vuthaluru.

I would also like to thank the technical support given to the group by Simon Ludborsz, Shane Dillon, Alex Didenko, and Lesley Rutherford. The assistance given by ATRAD, Tomco, and Brian Fuller with Genesis is also appreciated during the testing of the transmitter and receiver systems. I am also grateful to Lyn Birchby and Dallas Kirby in the atmospheric administrative office whom always provided assistance when required.

Lastly, I would like to thank my family whom this thesis is dedicated to for their love and support throughout my studies. My sister Janelle, my parents, and my grandmother, all provided the support and encouragement I needed to complete this thesis. Thank you.

# List of Figures

1.1	Temperature profile of the atmosphere. . . . .	6
2.1	Figure from <i>Rajopadhyaya</i> (1994) showing the relative radar reflectivities due to clear air turbulence and hydrometeors as a function of operating frequency. The increased sensitivity of UHF systems over VHF to precipitation is shown. . . . .	22
2.2	Figure from <i>Fillol et al.</i> (1997) demonstrating the problem large beamwidth VHF boundary layer systems have when operating with oblique beams for contamination from adjacent range gates. . . . .	24
2.3	Auto and cross-correlation parameters of the FCA technique for a pair of antennas. . . . .	26
3.1	Antenna layout for a single module of Yagis. . . . .	37
3.2	Theoretical power pattern of a single Yagi antenna. . . . .	38
3.3	Method using a signal generator and a vector voltmeter to correct the relative phasing of circuitry used to match antenna array components. . . . .	39
3.4	Power response of a typical combiner box with frequency using coaxial cable for matching circuit components. . . . .	40
3.5	Circuit diagram for balun tuning box. . . . .	42
3.6	Circuit diagram for splitter/combiner box. . . . .	43
3.7	Power response of a typical combiner box with frequency. . . . .	44

3.8	Typical complex raw time series. The application of a third order polynomial fit to the in-phase and quadrature components of the time series can be seen in the left diagrams, with the resultant time series with the clutter removed shown in the right diagrams. . . . .	46
3.9	Spatial correlation function with separation of antenna modules. . . . .	50
4.1	Map of Buckland Park from <i>May</i> (1986). The relative locations of Buckland Park, the city of Adelaide, Adelaide airport, and the Gulf of St. Vincent are shown. . . . .	54
4.2	First preliminary results of echo signal strength returns. . . . .	56
4.3	Figure from <i>Low</i> (1995) displaying a typical autocorrelation function with its measurement parameters. . . . .	57
4.4	SNR & Average Vertical Velocity using a single module of Yagi antennas on 13 September 1995 from 15.0 to 16.4 CST. . . . .	58
4.5	Returned power profile derived from a wide beamwidth single module from 20/03/96 to 24/03/96 . . . . .	60
4.6	SNR for 20/03/96 to 24/03/96 . . . . .	61
4.7	Range Corrected Power for 20/03/96 to 24/03/96 . . . . .	63
4.8	Vertical Velocity Variance derived from a wide beamwidth single module from 20/03/96 to 24/03/96 . . . . .	64
4.9	Reflectivities and Range Corrected Power for 21/03/96 . . . . .	65
4.10	Radiosonde specific humidity from 20/03/96 to 24/03/96 . . . . .	66
4.11	Reflectivities and Range Corrected Power for 22/03/96 . . . . .	68
4.12	Reflectivities and Range Corrected Power for 23/03/96 . . . . .	69
4.13	Reflectivities and Range Corrected Power for 24/03/96 . . . . .	70
4.14	Potential temperatures from 20/03/96 to 24/03/96 . . . . .	71
4.15	Radiosonde determined temperatures from 20/03/96 to 24/03/96 . . . . .	72
4.16	Specific Humidity from 20/03/96 to 24/03/96 . . . . .	73
4.17	Configuration of antenna modules for determining pattern scales . . . . .	75

4.18 Spatial-Correlations for determining correct antenna module separation. 77

5.1 Final Spaced Antenna configuration of the Boundary Layer Radar. . . . 81

5.2 Signal to Noise Ratio for Campaign 14/03/97 to 16/03/97 . . . . . 82

5.3 Meridional Velocity for Campaign 14/03/97 to 16/03/97 . . . . . 83

5.4 Zonal Velocity for Campaign 14/03/97 to 16/03/97 . . . . . 84

5.5 Radar and GPS Radiosonde comparison for 12:36 CST on 31/07/97 . . 88

5.6 As for Figure 5.5 but for the launch at 19:21 CST on 31/07/97. . . . . 90

5.7 As for Figure 5.5 but for the launch at 23:24 CST on 31/07/97. . . . . 91

5.8 As for Figure 5.5 but for the launch at 02:06 CST on 01/08/97. . . . . 92

5.9 As for Figure 5.5 but for the launch at 04:57 CST on 01/08/97. . . . . 93

5.10 As for Figure 5.5 but for the launch at 08:07 CST on 01/08/97. . . . . 94

5.11 As for Figure 5.5 but for the launch at 10:25 CST on 01/08/97. . . . . 95

5.12 As for Figure 5.5 but for the launch at 13:30 CST on 01/08/97. . . . . 96

5.13 As for Figure 5.5 but for the launch at 15:22 CST on 01/08/97. . . . . 97

5.14 Radar and Omega Navaid Radiosonde comparison for 18:48 CST on  
12/09/97 . . . . . 99

5.15 As for Figure 5.14 but for the launch at 22:59 CST on 12/09/97. . . . . 100

5.16 As for Figure 5.14 but for the launch at 07:10 CST on 13/09/97. . . . . 101

5.17 As for Figure 5.14 but for the launch at 10:57 CST on 13/09/97. . . . . 102

5.18 As for Figure 5.14 but for the launch at 15:07 CST on 13/09/97. . . . . 103

5.19 As for Figure 5.14 but for the launch at 18:56 CST on 13/09/97. . . . . 104

5.20 As for Figure 5.14 but for the launch at 23:48 CST on 13/09/97. . . . . 105

5.21 As for Figure 5.14 but for the launch at 03:18 CST on 14/09/97. . . . . 106

5.22 As for Figure 5.14 but for the launch at 07:29 CST on 14/09/97. . . . . 107

5.23 As for Figure 5.14 but for the launch at 11:03 CST on 14/09/97. . . . . 108

5.24 As for Figure 5.14 but for the launch at 15:06 CST on 14/09/97. . . . . 109

5.25 Radar and Omega Navaid Radiosonde comparison for 15:20 CST on  
26/09/97 . . . . . 110

5.26	As for Figure 5.25 but for the launch at 18:06 CST on 26/09/97 . . . . .	111
5.27	As for Figure 5.25 but for the launch at 21:01 CST on 26/09/97 . . . . .	112
5.28	As for Figure 5.25 but for the launch at 00:05 CST on 27/09/97 . . . . .	113
5.29	As for Figure 5.25 but for the launch at 03:03 CST on 27/09/97 . . . . .	114
5.30	As for Figure 5.25 but for the launch at 06:05 CST on 27/09/97 . . . . .	115
5.31	Pattern Scale for 31/07/97 to 01/08/97 . . . . .	116
5.32	Pattern Scale for 12/09/97 to 15/09/97 . . . . .	117
5.33	Pattern Scale for 26/09/97 to 27/09/97 . . . . .	118



# List of Tables

3.1	Circuit components for baluns. . . . .	41
3.2	Circuit components for combiner box. . . . .	42
5.1	Radar parameters for the campaign of 14/03/97 to 16/03/97. . . . .	80
A.1	Transmitter and receiver allowable parameters. . . . .	125

