

Underdetermined DOA Estimation of Deterministic Signals Using High Order Statistics and Noncircularity

by

Yuexian Wang

B.E. (Electrical & Electronic),
Northwestern Polytechnical University, China, 2006.
M.Eng.Sc. (Electrical & Electronic),
The University of Adelaide, Australia, 2011.

Thesis submitted for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering
The University of Adelaide, Australia

September 2015



© 2015
Yuexian Wang
All Rights Reserved



Typeset in L^AT_EX 2 ϵ
Yuexian Wang

To my parents

Contents

| | |
|--|--------------|
| Contents | v |
| Abstract | xi |
| Statement of Originality | xiii |
| Acknowledgments | xv |
| Conventions | xvii |
| Publications | xix |
| Abbreviations | xxi |
| Notations | xxv |
| List of Figures | xxvii |
| List of Tables | xxxii |
| Chapter 1. Introduction and Motivation | 1 |
| 1.1 Research Area and Motivation | 2 |
| 1.2 Original Contributions | 4 |
| 1.3 Thesis Structure | 6 |
| Chapter 2. Background and A Review of Array Processing with Nonideal Models | 9 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 10 |
| 2.2 Development of Coherent Signals Processing | 11 |
| 2.2.1 Dimension Reduction Processing | 11 |

2.2.2 Full Dimension Processing 16

2.3 Development of Unknown Noise Processing 22

2.3.1 Parametric Model for Unknown Noise 23

2.3.2 Sparse Model for Unknown Noise 24

2.4 Development of Noncircular Signal Processing 26

2.4.1 Description 27

2.4.2 Research Status of DOA Estimation of Noncircular Signal 27

2.4.3 Direction of Noncircular Signal Research 31

2.5 Conclusion 33

Chapter 3. Linear Algebra Prerequisites 35

3.1 Introduction 36

3.2 Rank of a Matrix 36

3.3 Matrix Inverses 38

3.4 Moore-Penrose Pseudo-inverse 38

3.5 Derivative Operations 40

3.6 Special Matrices 41

3.6.1 Vandermonde Matrix 42

3.6.2 Toeplitz Matrix 42

3.6.3 Hermitian Matrix 44

3.7 Subspaces 45

3.8 Projection Matrices 48

3.8.1 Orthogonal Projection 48

3.8.2 Oblique Projection 49

3.9 Conclusion 50

Chapter 4. Basis of DOA Estimation 51

4.1 Introduction 52

| | | |
|--|---|------------|
| 4.2 | Mathematical Model of Signals Received by a Sensor Array | 52 |
| 4.3 | Classical DOA Estimation Algorithms of Uncorrelated Signals | 54 |
| 4.3.1 | Beamforming Techniques | 55 |
| 4.3.2 | Subspace-based Methods | 58 |
| 4.4 | Classical DOA Estimation Algorithms of Coherent Signals | 64 |
| 4.4.1 | Signal Model Under Multipath Propagation | 64 |
| 4.4.2 | Conventional DOA Estimation Algorithms of Coherent Signals . | 66 |
| 4.5 | Source Enumeration | 70 |
| 4.6 | Conclusion | 72 |
| Chapter 5. Efficient DOA Estimation Using Cumulants in Multipath | | 73 |
| 5.1 | Introduction | 74 |
| 5.2 | Cumulants Preliminaries—Definitions and Properties | 76 |
| 5.3 | Problem Formulation | 77 |
| 5.4 | Two-stage Processing for Mixed Signals Under Multipath Propagation . | 80 |
| 5.4.1 | Stage 1: DOA Estimation of the Uncorrelated Signals | 81 |
| 5.4.2 | Stage 2: DOA Estimation of the Coherent Signals | 84 |
| 5.4.3 | Separable Signal Number | 91 |
| 5.4.4 | Simulation Results and Discussion | 92 |
| 5.5 | DOA Estimation in the Presence of Multipath with Fewer Sensors | 102 |
| 5.5.1 | Space-time Cumulant Matrix | 104 |
| 5.5.2 | Computational Complexity | 109 |
| 5.5.3 | Simulation Results and Discussion | 110 |
| 5.6 | Conclusion | 120 |
| Chapter 6. Noncircularity to Array: Novel Approaches with Enhanced DOFs | | 123 |
| 6.1 | Introduction | 124 |
| 6.2 | DOA Estimation of Noncircular Signals with Fewer Sensors: A Cumulant- based Approach | 125 |

| | | |
|---|---|------------|
| 6.2.1 | Signal Model for Independent Noncircular Sources | 125 |
| 6.2.2 | Improved Noncircular Signals Direction Finding Using FOC | 126 |
| 6.2.3 | Connection with Other Work | 129 |
| 6.2.4 | Simulation Results and Discussion | 132 |
| 6.3 | Direction Finding of Noncircular Signals in the Presence of Multipath Propagation | 139 |
| 6.3.1 | Array Model for Noncircular Signals | 139 |
| 6.3.2 | Separable Signal Number | 149 |
| 6.3.3 | Computational Complexity | 151 |
| 6.3.4 | Derivation of Deterministic CRLB for the Noncircular Signals in the Presence of Multipath | 152 |
| 6.3.5 | Simulation Results and Discussion | 155 |
| 6.4 | Conclusion | 163 |
| Chapter 7. Conclusions and Future Work | | 167 |
| 7.1 | Review of Research Results | 168 |
| 7.2 | Recommendations on Future Work | 170 |
| 7.3 | Conclusion | 172 |
| Appendix A. Proof of Equations and Statements in Chapter 5 | | 173 |
| A.1 | Proof of \mathbf{C}_x^+ in (5.24) | 174 |
| A.2 | Proof of (5.33) | 175 |
| A.3 | Proof of $\mathbf{J}\mathbf{B}_c^* = \mathbf{B}_c\mathbf{\Phi}^{1-m}$ | 175 |
| A.4 | Proof of (5.37) | 177 |
| A.5 | Analysis of the k -th Row of $\mathbf{D}_1 - \lambda\mathbf{I}$ | 178 |
| Appendix B. Proof of Equations and Statements in Chapter 6 | | 181 |
| B.1 | Interpretation of \mathbf{G} and Corresponding Examples | 182 |
| B.2 | Interpretation of (6.51) | 183 |
| B.3 | Interpretation of (6.52) | 185 |
| B.4 | Detailed Derivation of Some FIM Blocks | 186 |

Bibliography

189

Abstract

Sensor arrays play important roles in signal transmission/reception, estimation, and tracking, and have been successfully applied to many engineering fields such as radar, sonar, wireless communications to name a few. Practically, sensor array systems usually suffer from nonideal factors such as signal coherency, spatially coloured noise, and a limited number of sensors. In this thesis, problems of direction of arrival (DOA) estimation in the presence of nonideal factors are addressed, and new algorithms to tackle these problems are developed that achieve improved performance with a limited number of sensors.

Under multipath propagation, independent and coherent signals coexist, resulting in rank deficiency of the cumulant matrix. To tackle this problem, two methods for DOA estimation of mixed independent and coherent signals using fourth-order cumulants (FOC) are proposed, and both algorithms can make efficient use of the array degrees of freedom (DOFs). The first algorithm implements the estimation via two-stage processing by separating the independent and coherent signals. In this method, new matrix reconstruction techniques for independent signal cumulants and rank restoration are developed, and the DOAs of both the independent and coherent signals can be estimated by polynomial rooting without performing a spectral grid search. Its superiority over existing methods is demonstrated by simulation results.

The second algorithm considers the case when a large number of coherent signals, greater than the number of sensors, exist due to the propagation channel. Here, we exploit temporal correlation in the signals to form an array output matrix with pseudo snapshots, spanning the same signal subspace as the one using real snapshots. By incorporating this property, new augmented cumulant matrices are constructed and the corresponding method for coherent group separation is derived. Compared with the existing method, the proposed one achieves better performance in terms of estimation accuracy and robustness of the spatial signature, especially for weak signals.

Apart from signal with circular statistics discussed above, we study the noncircularity embedded in modern wireless communication signals to further extend the effective aperture, enhance DOFs, and improve the estimation performance. A new FOC-based direction finding method which can extend the array aperture as well as maximise the DOFs is proposed. By combining noncircularity with high order cumulants and optimising geometric arrangement of the virtual array arising accordingly, the resultant identifiability of DOA estimation can be up to twice larger compared with the using the same order cumulants for circular signals. Simulation results validate that the proposed method offers better performance in terms of identifiability as well as accuracy.

Last, we revisit the case when uncorrelated and coherent signals coexist and utilise the noncircularity of signals in this scenario. To the best of our knowledge, there are no publications addressing the class of DOA estimation problem, and a novel two-stage second order statistics (SOS) estimator is introduced accordingly to further increase the DOFs. In this method, a more robust approach is presented to identify the true DOA estimates from the pseudo ones, the estimates of noncircular phases are derived in closed-form, and a novel spatial smoothing technique based on the eigenvectors is developed to restore the rank deficiency. Additionally, new deterministic Cramér-Rao lower bounds (CRLBs) are derived for the considered mixture model of noncircular signals. The theoretical analysis justifies that the number of identifiable signals is larger than the current algorithms. Extensive simulation results show that the proposed method offers sufficient DOFs as well as improving the estimation accuracy of both the uncorrelated and coherent signals.

Statement of Originality

This work contains no material that has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of the thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being available for loan, photocopying and dissemination through the library digital thesis collection.

The author of this thesis acknowledges that copyright of published work contained within this thesis (as listed in the publications page) resides with the copyright holder(s) of that work.

Signed

Date

Acknowledgments

First and foremost, I must recognise my principal supervisor Dr. Brian W.-H. Ng for his continuous support and inspiration during the period of my Ph.D study. Since my first discussion with him, he has been assisting me to find and direct my own path, and is always there as to offer advise that I should conform to the main goals. I also thank him for his tireless work in reviewing journal manuscripts and this thesis. In addition, I have learnt a lot from his expertise in research management, his excellent personality and his good skill of language. Thanks for Brian's generosity and openness, I am now confident to continue on my own academic research.

I would also like to wholeheartedly thank my co-supervisor Mr. Matthew Trinkle for introducing me to the wonderful world of array signal processing. I was fortunate to work with him since Matthew gave me great freedom to develop new ideas and provides invaluable comments on my work. Matthew also gave me plenty of insight into hardware implementation issues, which has no doubt helped me to integrate theory with practice. His meticulous approach to learning and tolerance towards others will influence me for the rest of my life. Talking with him not only in professional areas but also discussing culture topics has always been enjoyable and beneficial.

Sincere thanks to my colleagues in the School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering for their unselfish help in extending my knowledge. They are Prof. Lang White, Prof. Doug Gray, Dr. Zhonghao Hu, Dr. Geng Tian, Dr. Yingbo Zhu, Mr. Zili Xu, and Mr. Paul Pincus.

I am indebted for the work done by the staff in the School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, particularly, Dr. Cheng Chew Lim and Mrs Rose-Marie Descalzi who managed my scholarship and research funding issues. Of course thanks also to the four kind ladies in the school office.

Acknowledgments

Many thanks to my friends, specifically Ruiting Yang, Shaoming Zhu, Chow Yii Pui, and Vichet Duk in Adelaide for their constant support and encouragement during my Ph.D studies.

I am grateful to my parents who dedicate their unconditional love and encouragement to me. Since I first entered primary school, all through my educational journey of twenty years, their love has always accompanied me. Without them, I could not imagine how I could have accomplished this work.

Yuexian Wang (September 2015)

Conventions

Typesetting

This thesis is typeset using the L^AT_EX2e software.

The fonts used in this thesis are Times New Roman and Sans Serif.

Referencing

Referencing and citation style in this thesis are based on the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) Transaction style [1].

For electronic references, the last accessed date is shown at the end of a reference.

Units

The units used in this thesis are based on the International System of Units (SI units) [2].

Spelling

The Australian English spelling is adopted in this thesis.

Publications

Journal

- [1] Y. Wang, M. Trinkle, and B. W.-H. Ng, "DOA estimation under unknown mutual coupling and multipath with improved effective array aperture," *Sensors*, vol. 15, no. 12, pp. 30 856–30 869, Dec. 2015.
- [2] Y. Wang, M. Trinkle, and B. W.-H. Ng, "Two-stage DOA estimation of independent and coherent signals in spatially coloured noise," *Signal Process.*, vol. 128, pp. 350–359, Nov. 2016.
- [3] Y. Wang, M. Trinkle, and B. W.-H. Ng, "Efficient DOA estimation of noncircular signals in the presence of multipath propagation," *Signal Process.*, under review, 2016.
- [4] Y. Wang, A. Hashemi-Sakhtsari, M. Trinkle, and B. W.-H. Ng, "Sparsity-aware DOA estimation of quasi-stationary signals using nested arrays," *Signal Process.*, under review, 2016.
- [5] Y. Wang, M. Trinkle, and B. W.-H. Ng, "Direction finding and mutual coupling estimation for monostatic MIMO radar," *Signal Process.*, in preparation for submission, 2016.

Conference

- [1] Y. Wang and M. Trinkle, "DOA estimation for coherent signals with symmetric virtual array," in *International Global Navigation Satellite Systems Symposium, IGNSS 2013*, Outrigger Gold Coast, Australia, Jul. 2013.
- [2] Y. Wang and M. Trinkle, "Coherent signals DOA estimation in the presence of complex noise," in *International Global Navigation Satellite Systems Symposium, IGNSS 2013*, Outrigger Gold Coast, Australia, Jul. 2013.

Abbreviations

| | |
|---------------|--|
| AIC | Akaike Information Criterion |
| AM | Amplitude Modulation |
| AP | Alternating Projection |
| AR | Autoregressive |
| ARMA | Autoregressive Moving Average |
| ASK | Amplitude Shift Keying |
| BPSK | Binary Phase Shift Keying |
| CB | Conventional Beamforming |
| CCI | Co-Channel Interferences |
| CRLB | Cramér-Rao Lower Bound |
| CW | Continuous Wave |
| DML | Deterministic Maximum Likelihood |
| DOA | Direction Of Arrival |
| DOFs | Degrees Of Freedom |
| EM | Expectation-Maximization |
| ESPRIT | Estimation of Signal Parameter by Rotation Invariance Techniques |
| FBSS | Forward/Backward Spatial Smoothing |
| FOC | Fourth Order Cumulants |
| GDE | Gerschgorin Disk Estimator |

Abbreviations

| | |
|--------------|--|
| HOC | High Order Cumulants |
| HOS | High Order Statistics |
| LL | Log-Likelihood |
| MDL | Minimum Description Length |
| ML | Maximum Likelihood |
| MLE | Maximum Likelihood Estimator |
| MRAs | Minimum Redundancy Arrays |
| MSE | Mean Squared Error |
| MUSIC | MUltiple Signal Classification |
| MVDR | Minimum Variance Distortionless Response |
| NSF | Noise Subspace Fitting |
| OQPSK | Offset Quadrature Phase Shift Keying |
| PAM | Pulse Amplitude Modulation |
| PDF | Probability Density Function |
| RMSE | Root Mean Squared Error |
| SAGE | Space Alternating Generalized EM |
| SF | Subspace Fitting |
| SML | Stochastic Maximum Likelihood |
| SNR | Signal-to-Noise Ratio |
| SORTE | Second ORder sTatistic of Eigenvalues |
| SOS | Second Order Statistics |
| SS | Spatial Smoothing |

| | |
|--------------|----------------------------------|
| SSF | Signal Subspace Fitting |
| SVD | Singular Value Decomposition |
| TAM | Toeplitz Approximation Method |
| ULA | Uniform Linear Array |
| VESPA | Virtual ESPRIT Algorithm |
| WSSF | Weighted Signal Subspace Fitting |

Notations

| | |
|--|---|
| λ | The wavelength of corresponding frequency |
| θ | Azimuth angle |
| c | The speed of light |
| d | The spacing between adjacent sensors |
| $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^M$ | A vector with dimension M in real domain |
| $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^M$ | A vector with dimension M in complex domain |
| $(\cdot)^T$ | The transpose operation |
| $(\cdot)^*$ | The conjugate operation |
| $(\cdot)^H$ | The conjugate transpose operation |
| $(\cdot)^{-1}$ | The inverse operation |
| $(\cdot)^+$ | The pseudo-inverse operation |
| $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ | The ceiling operation of a decimal number |
| $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ | The flooring operation of a decimal number |
| $E_t[\cdot]$ | Statistical expectation implemented by $\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{t=1}^L [\cdot]$ |
| $\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$ | The first derivative of the function $f(\mathbf{x})$ with respect to \mathbf{x} |
| $\frac{\partial^2 f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2}$ | The second derivative of the function $f(\mathbf{x})$ with respect to \mathbf{x} |
| $\text{Re}\{\cdot\}$ | Real part of a complex number |
| $\text{Im}\{\cdot\}$ | Imaginary part of a complex number |
| $\text{span}\{\cdot\}$ | Linear span |
| $\text{dim}\{\cdot\}$ | Dimension of linear space |
| $\mathcal{R}(\cdot)$ | Range (or signal space) of a matrix |
| $\mathcal{N}(\cdot)$ | Null space of a matrix |
| \mathcal{V}^\perp | Subspace orthogonal to a linear space \mathcal{V} |
| $\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{A}^\perp$ | Orthogonal projection to the range of \mathbf{A} |
| $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{A} \mathbf{B}}$ | Oblique projection with the range $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A})$ and null space $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{B})$ |
| $\max\{a, b\}$ | The maximum value between a and b |
| $\min_x f(x)$ | Minimise the function f with respect to the variable x |
| $\ \cdot\ _2$ | Euclidean (ℓ_2) norm |

Notations

| | |
|--|--|
| $\ \cdot\ _F$ | Frobenius norm |
| $\det\{\cdot\}$ | Matrix determinant |
| \circ | Khatri-Rao product |
| \otimes | Kronecker product |
| \odot | Schur-Hadamard product, i.e., element-wise product |
| $\text{tr}\{\cdot\}$ | Matrix trace |
| $\text{rank}(\cdot)$ | Matrix rank |
| $\text{diag}\{z_1, z_2\}$ | Diagonal matrix with diagonal entries z_1, z_2 |
| $\text{blkdiag}\{\mathbf{Z}_1, \mathbf{Z}_2\}$ | Block diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $\mathbf{Z}_1, \mathbf{Z}_2$ |
| $\mathbf{Z}(a:b, c:d)$ | Submatrix by the entries from rows a to b and columns c to d of \mathbf{Z} |
| $\mathbf{Z}(a, b)$ | Entry in the a -th row and b -th column of \mathbf{Z} |
| \mathbf{I} | Identity matrix |
| \mathbf{J} | Exchange matrix |
| \mathbf{R} | Covariance matrix |
| \mathbf{U}_s | Signal subspace |
| \mathbf{U}_n | Noise subspace |
| $\mathbf{a}(\theta)$ | Steering vector |
| $\mathbf{A}(\theta)$ | Array manifold |

List of Figures

| | | |
|-------|--|----|
| 1.1 | Coherent addition of signals | 3 |
| <hr/> | | |
| <hr/> | | |
| <hr/> | | |
| 4.1 | Uniform linear array | 53 |
| 4.2 | Digital beamforming network | 56 |
| 4.3 | Spatial spectrum of CB, MVDR, and MUSIC, for a 10-element ULA with $\frac{\lambda}{2}$ spacing between adjacent elements. SNR = 5dB, snapshots number is 500 | 61 |
| 4.4 | Polar of estimates using ESPRIT | 63 |
| 4.5 | Subarrays structure with forward spatial smoothing | 66 |
| 4.6 | Subarrays structure with backward spatial smoothing | 67 |
| 4.7 | Spatial spectrum comparison between (a) without rank restoration; (b) with FBSS. SNR = 5dB, snapshots number is 500 | 68 |
| 4.8 | MUSIC spatial spectrum with Toeplitz matrix reconstruction | 70 |
| <hr/> | | |
| 5.1 | Detection accuracy versus (a) SNR when the number of snapshots is 2000; (b) the number of snapshots when SNR = -6dB. | 94 |
| 5.2 | RMSE of the DOA estimates in the overdetermined case versus (a) SNR when the number of snapshots is 4000; (b) the number of snapshots when SNR = 0dB. | 95 |
| 5.3 | Probability of resolution of the DOA estimates in the overdetermined case versus (a) SNR when the number of snapshots is 4000; (b) the number of snapshots when SNR = 0dB. | 97 |

List of Figures

| | | |
|-------|---|-----|
| 5.4 | RMSE of the DOA estimates in the underdetermined case versus (a) SNR when the number of snapshots is 4000; (b) the number of snapshots when SNR = 0dB. | 99 |
| 5.5 | Probability of resolution of the DOA estimates in the underdetermined case versus (a) SNR when the number of snapshots is 4000; (b) the number of snapshots when SNR = 0dB. | 100 |
| 5.6 | RMSE of the DOA estimates for T_m versus (a) SNR when the number of snapshots is 4000; (b) the number of snapshots when SNR = 0dB. | 101 |
| 5.7 | RMSE of the DOA estimates versus in the case of the small snapshot sizes (a) SNR when the number of snapshots is 700; (b) the number of snapshots when SNR = 0dB. | 103 |
| 5.8 | DOA estimation results of 50 runs in the overdetermined case. SNR = 0dB, $L_s = 5000$, and $L_p = 300$ | 112 |
| 5.9 | RMSE of DOA estimates in the overdetermined case. (a) The number of snapshots is 5000. (b) SNR = 0dB, and $L_p = \frac{3}{50}L_s$ | 115 |
| 5.10 | DOA estimation results of 50 runs in the underdetermined case. SNR = 0dB, $L_s = 5000$, and $L_p = 300$ | 116 |
| 5.11 | RMSE of DOA estimates in the underdetermined case. (a) The number of snapshots is 5000. (b) SNR = 0dB, and $L_p = \frac{3}{50}L_s$ | 119 |
| ----- | | |
| 6.1 | The schematics of virtual aperture extension for circular signals | 130 |
| 6.2 | The schematics of virtual aperture extension for noncircular signals | 132 |
| 6.3 | DOA estimation results of 50 runs under the maximum processing capacity. SNR = 20dB, the number of snapshot is 20000 | 133 |
| 6.4 | RMSE of the DOA estimates in the overdetermined case versus (a) SNR when the number of snapshots is 5000; (b) the number of snapshots when SNR = 5dB | 135 |
| 6.5 | Probability of resolution in the overdetermined case versus (a) SNR when the number of snapshots is 10000; (b) the number of snapshots when SNR = 10dB | 136 |

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| 6.6 | RMSE of the DOA estimates in the underdetermined case versus (a) SNR when the number of snapshots is 5000; (b) the number of snapshots when SNR = 5dB | 137 |
| 6.7 | Probability of resolution in the underdetermined case versus (a) SNR when the number of snapshots is 10000; (b) the number of snapshots when SNR = 10dB | 138 |
| 6.8 | RMSE of the uncorrelated signal estimates in the overdetermined case versus (a) SNR when the number of snapshots is 800; (b) the number of snapshots when SNR = -5dB | 157 |
| 6.9 | RMSE of the noncircularity phase estimates for 3 uncorrelated signals. (a) The number of snapshots is 800. (b) The SNR = -5dB | 159 |
| 6.10 | RMSE of the coherent signal estimates in the overdetermined case versus (a) SNR when the number of snapshots is 800; (b) the number of snapshots when SNR = -5dB | 160 |
| 6.11 | RMSE of the uncorrelated signal estimates in the underdetermined case versus (a) SNR when the number of snapshots is 800; (b) the number of snapshots when SNR = -5dB | 161 |
| 6.12 | RMSE of the noncircularity phase estimates for 5 uncorrelated signals. (a) The number of snapshots is 800. (b) The SNR = -5dB | 162 |
| 6.13 | RMSE of the coherent signal estimates in the underdetermined case versus (a) SNR when the number of snapshots is 800; (b) the number of snapshots when SNR = -5dB | 164 |

List of Tables

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 5.1 | Minimum number of array elements required | 92 |
| 5.2 | Average runtime (in seconds) | 111 |
| 5.3 | Estimated amplitude of ζ_1 versus SNR in the overdetermined case (True value $\rho_1 = 0.7522$) | 113 |
| 5.4 | Estimated amplitude of ζ_2 versus SNR in the overdetermined case (True value $\rho_2 = 0.9821$) | 113 |
| 5.5 | Estimated phase of ζ_1 versus SNR in the overdetermined case (True value $\psi_1 = 2.1533\text{rad}$) | 113 |
| 5.6 | Estimated phase of ζ_2 versus SNR in the overdetermined case (True value $\psi_2 = 1.9644\text{rad}$) | 114 |
| 5.7 | Estimated amplitude of ζ_1 versus SNR in the underdetermined case (True value $\rho_1 = 0.7386$) | 117 |
| 5.8 | Estimated amplitude of ζ_2 versus SNR in the underdetermined case (True value $\rho_2 = 1.5385$) | 117 |
| 5.9 | Estimated amplitude of ζ_3 versus SNR in the underdetermined case (True value $\rho_3 = 1.8732$) | 117 |
| 5.10 | Estimated phase of ζ_1 versus SNR in the underdetermined case (True value $\psi_1 = 1.6613\text{rad}$) | 118 |
| 5.11 | Estimated phase of ζ_2 versus SNR in the underdetermined case (True value $\psi_2 = -0.7055\text{rad}$) | 118 |
| 5.12 | Estimated phase of ζ_3 versus SNR in the underdetermined case (True value $\psi_3 = -2.4933\text{rad}$) | 118 |
| 6.1 | Minimum number of array elements required | 150 |
| 6.2 | Average runtime (in milli-seconds) | 156 |