

THE PSYCHOLOGICAL AND SOCIAL ADAPTATION OF VIETNAMESE REFUGEE ADOLESCENTS IN SOUTH AUSTRALIA

Maryanne Loughry

A thesis submitted for the degree of

Master of Arts of the University of Adelaide

Awarded 1992

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Candidate's Statement	īii.
Acknowledgements	IV.
Abstract	٧.
List of Figures	vii.
List of Tables	viii.
List of Appendices	X1.
List of Charts	xiii.
CHAPTER 1	1
1.0 Introduction 1.1 The Eco-Map	1 2
CHAPTER 2	5
 2.0 Literature Review 2.01 The Migration Process 2.02 The Refugee Experience 2.03 Migrant Mental Health 2.04 Refugees and Psychopathology 2.05 Indochinese Refugees 2.06 Conventional/Traditional Southeast Asian Medical System 2.07 Adjustment During Internment in Refugee Camps 2.08 Resettlement in Host Countries 2.09 Refugee Children and Adolescents 2.10 The Validity of Vietnamese Refugee Mental Health Studies 2.11 The Present Study CHAPTER 3 3.0 Method 3.1 Procedure 	5 6 18 21 22 26 27 29 34 41 49 54
3.2 Measures 3.3 Methods of Analysis 3.4 Phase 2 3.5 Procedure 3.6 Measures 3.7 Analysis	61 68 71 72 72 72
CHAPTER 4	
 4.0 Results 4.1 Quantitative Analysis 4.2. Health Status Questionnaire 4.3. Eco-maps 4.4. Detailed Qualitative Assessment of 10 of the 	75 75 87 90
Participants' Descriptions of their Environment (using initial eco-map).	112

Table of Contents Cont.	Page
CHAPTER 5	139
5.0 Discussion	139
(5.01) English Language Acquisition and Time	140
5.02 English Language Acquisition, Time and Schooling	141
5.03 English Language Acquisition, Time and the Family	142
5.04 English Language Acquisition, Time and the Vietnamese Community	143
5.05) The Educational Level of Vietnamese Refugee Adolescents in Australia	147
5.06 The Educational Year Level of the Vietnamese Refugee Adolescents in Vietnam	148
5.07 The Amount of Time that the Vietnamese Refugee Adolescents have been Resident in Australia	150
(5.08) The Religious Affiliation of the Vietnamese Refugee Adolescents	150
5.09 The Urban or Rural Background of the Vietnamese	1.51
Refugee Adolescents	151
5.10 The Sex of the Vietnamese Refugee Adolescents	151
5.11 The Substantive Theory and Past Research	152
5.12 Conclusions	155
BIBLIOGRAPHY	158
APPENDICES	175

CANDIDATE'S STATEMENT

a) I certify that the research paper entitled "The Psychological and Social Adaptation of Vietnamese Refugee Adolescents in South Australia" and submitted for the degree of Master of Arts is the result of my own work, except where otherwise acknowledged, and that this research paper (or any part of the same) has not been submitted for a higher degree to any other University or Institution.

b) I consent to this research paper being made available for photocopying and loan.

Signed:

Date: 17.9.1991.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I gratefully acknowledge the support, assistance and guidance of my supervisor John Kaye. I am also most grateful for the advice of Professor J.M. Innes and Dr. E. Rump.

I am particularly indebted to Nguyen Minh Hoang, Nguyen Van Thang, Nguyen Khanh Van and Nguyen Thanh Danh for their interpreting skills and knowledge of the Vietnamese culture.

My typist, Judy Fallon, has been invaluable to me, contributing much patience as the research took shape.

I would like to thank Rossi von der Bosch, Maureen O'Grady, Rosemary Day, Eileen Willis and Jill Golden for their support and encouragement and Sujinder Jain for his assistance with the computer.

Finally I am grateful to the Vietnamese refugee adolescents and their parents who willingly participated in this study, sharing freely of their time and energy.

ABSTRACT

This study examined the psychological and social adaptation of 47 Vietnamese refugee adolescents (15 - 18 years) in South Australia. Adaptation was studied from the perspective of refugees themselves, to ensure that the conclusions reached reflected the refugee adolescents' viewpoints and not just that of the investigator.

The study further investigated the refugees' participation in their social environment, the demographic and social characteristics of the refugee adolescents and the psychological characteristics of the individual.

A culturally sensitive assessment schedule was designed to elicit from the refugee adolescents their perception of their social environment. The main assessment tool was a diagrammatic tool - the eco-map. This tool combined the concept of ecology with the principles of general systems theory, focusing on the way that people and environmental forces interact. The refugee adolescents portrayed their own social environment on the eco-map, describing the systems that comprised it and how they related to each.

Three supplementary indices of adaptation were used, Nicassio's Alienation Scale, Kinzie et al's Depression Scale and Nicassio and Pate's Problem Index.

Each index had been specifically designed to include salient cultural beliefs of the Vietnamese culture.

Analysis of pertinent sociodemographic factors on these indices revealed educational year level in Australia and length of residence to be significant influences on the refugee adolescents' perceptions of their adjustment problems.

This finding led to a follow-up interview of 10 of the original sample 2.5 years after the original interviews. These interviews sought further information about the Vietnamese refugee adolescents' adaptation, employing a semi-structured interview and the eco-map.

Overall, each refugee adolescent's eco-map portrayed the individual's unique social environment. It was possible from these eco-maps to determine which systems in the environment, from the refugee adolescent's perspective, facilitated adaptation and which were perceived as a hindrance.

Through the use of Glaser and Strauss' (1967) grounded theory methodology a substantive theory emerged and investigation found that acquisition of adequate English language skills is the primary factor influencing the adaptation of the refugee adolescent in Australia. Schooling and time facilitate this acquisition.

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
FIGURE 1	Analysis of adaptation of immigrants into key components (adapted from Taft, 1977a, p. 148).	8
FIGURE 2	Changes that may occur as a result of acculturation (Berry, 1988, p. 4).	11
FIGURE 3	Schematic diagram of psychological responses in six areas over the course of acculturation (Berry, 1986, p. 32).	13
FIGURE 4	Four types of acculturating groups owing to variations in freedom of contact and mobility (Berry, Kim, Minde and Mok, 1987, p. 495).	14
FIGURE 5	Adaptive options available to non-dominant groups during acculturation (Berry and Kim, 1987, p. 211).	15
FIGURE 6	Factors moderating relationship between acculturation and stress (Berry, Kim, Minde and Mok, 1987, p. 493).	17
FIGURE 7	Adaptation of Indochinese youth, a general model (Rumbaut and Ima, 1987, p. 5).	50
FIGURE 8	The decentering technique (Triandis and Brislin, 1984, p. 1009).	53
FIGURE 9	Blank Eco-map (Hartman, 1978).	64
FIGURE 10	Blank Vietnamese Eco-map	64a
FIGURE 11	Process used to discover the substantive theory emerging from the Vietnamese refugee adolescents' descriptions of their resettlement experiences.	74

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 1.	Characteristics of the Phase 1 sample.	58
Table 2.	Year of Schooling reached in Vietnam.	59
Table 3.	Period of Residence in Refugee Camp.	60
Table 4.	Characteristics of the Phase 2 sample.	71
Table 5.	Reliability of measures (alpha coefficient) for the Indices of Adjustment.	76
Table 6.	Range, Means and Standard Deviations for the 3 Indices of Adjustment.	77
Table 7.	Problems of Adjustment in Order of Seriousness.	79
Table 8.	Problems of Adjustment in Order of Seriousness (Nicassio and Pate, 1984).	80
Table 9.	Response Distribution for the Ten Individual Items of the Alienation Scale.	82
Table 10.	Correlation Coefficients between the Indices of Adjustment Scores and Age, Education Level in Australia, Education Level in Vietnam, and amount of Time Resident in Australia.	86
Table 11.	F-ratios Resulting from Analyses of Variance of the Indices of Adjustment Scores and Religion, Residence (country/rural) in Vietnam and Sex.	86
Table 12.	Percentage of Subjects who "are healthy" Comparing the Current Sample and the Refugee Task Force Sample (1987).	88
Table 13.	Percentage of Frequent Headache Sufferers Comparing the Current Sample and the Refugee Task Force Sample (1987).	88
Table 14.	Percentage of Insomnia Sufferers Comparing the Current Sample and the Refugee Task Force Sample (1987).	89
Table 15.	Percentage of Nightmare Sufferers Comparing the Current Sample and the Refugee Task Force Sample (1987).	89

List of Tables Cont.

		Page
Table 16.	Percentage of Stomach-ache Sufferers Comparing the Current Sample and the Refugee Task Force Sample (1987).	89
Table 17.	Mean Values for Demographic and Adjustment Variables for Subgroups having Different Relations with Eco-system 1 (Social Welfare).	103
Table 18.	Mean Values for Demographic and Adjustment Variables for Subgroups having Different Relations with Eco-system 2 (Language School).	104
Table 19.	Mean Values for Demographic and Adjustment Variables for Subgroups having Different Relations with Eco-system 3 (Work).	104
Table 20.	Mean Values for Demographic and Adjustment Variables for Subgroups having Different Relations with Eco-system 4 (Doctor).	105
Table 21.	Mean Values for Demographic and Adjustment Variables for Subgroups having Different Relations with Eco-system 5 (Dept. of Immigration).	105
Table 22.	Mean Values for Demographic and Adjustment Variables for Subgroups having Different Relations with Eco-system 6 (Housing).	106
Table 23.	Mean Values for Demographic and Adjustment Variables for Subgroups having Different Relations with Eco-system 7 (Banking).	106
Table 24.	Mean Values for Demographic and Adjustment Variables for Subgroups having Different Relations with Eco-system 8 (Hospital).	107
Table 25.	Mean Values for Demographic and Adjustment Variables for Subgroups having Different Relations with Eco-system 9 (Neighbours).	107
Table 26.	Mean Values for Demographic and Adjustment Variables for Subgroups having Different Relations with Eco-system 10 (Vietnamese Community).	108
Table 27.	Mean Values for Demographic and Adjustment Variables for Subgroups having Different Relations with Eco-system 11 (Traditional Festivals).	108

List of Tables Cont.

		Page
Table 28.	Mean Values for Demographic and Adjustment Variables for Subgroups having Different Relations with Eco-system 12 (Australians).	109
Table 29.	Mean Values for Demographic and Adjustment Variables for Subgroups having Different Relations with Eco-system 13 (Religion).	109
Table 30.	Mean Values for Demographic and Adjustment Variables for Subgroups gaving Different Relations with Eco-system 14 (Friends).	110
Table 31.	Mean Values for Demographic and Adjustment Variables for Subgroups having Different Relations with Eco-system 15 (School).	110
Table 32.	Australia: Recipients of Main Government Benefits, 1986 (Rate per 1,000 population of same birthplace). (Source: ABS, 1988b, 154, 156, in Hugo, 1990).	145
Table 33.	South Australia: Proportion of Persons Born in Mainly Non-English Speaking Countries not able to speak English well or not able to speak it at all by Birthplace, 1986 (Hugo, 1990).	146

LIST OF APPENDICES

```
Consent Form for Parents of the Participants.
Appendix A.
Appendix B.
               Questionnaire
               Alienation Scale. Corrected Item - Total Correlation Table.
Appendix C.
               Problem Index. Corrected Item - Total Correlation Table.
Appendix D.
               Depression Scale. Corrected Item - Total Correlation Table.
Appendix E.
               Alienation Scale. Response Distribution of 10 Individual Items.
Appendix F.
               Frequency of responses to Eco-systems.
Appendix G.
               Table A Eco-system 1 (Social Welfare)
               Table B Eco-system 2 (Language Centre)
               Table C Eco-system 3 (Work)
               Table D Eco-system 4 (Doctor)
               Table E Eco-system 5 (Dept. of Immigration)
               Table F Eco-system 6 (Housing)
               Table G Eco-system 7 (Banking)
               Table H Eco-system 8 (Hospital)
               Table I Eco-system 9 (Neighbours)
               Table J Eco-system 10 (Vietnamese Community)
               Table K Eco-system 11 (Traditional Festivals)
               Table L Eco-system 12 (Australians)
               Table M Eco-system 13 (Religion)
               Table N Eco-system 14 (Friends)
               Table O Eco-system 15 (School)
               Charts of the frequency of response for each Eco-system.
Appendix H.
               Chart A Eco-system 1 (Social Welfare)
               Chart B Eco-system 2 (Language Centre)
               Chart C Eco-system 3 (Work)
               Chart D Eco-system 4 (Doctor)
               Chart E Eco-system 5 (Dept. of Immigration)
               Chart F Eco-system 6 (Housing)
               Chart G Eco-system 7 (Banking)
               Chart H Eco-system 8 (Hospital)
               Chart I Eco-system 9 (Neighbours)
               Chart J Eco-system 10 (Vietnamese Community)
               Chart K Eco-system 11 (Traditional Festivals)
               Chart L Eco-system 12 (Australians)
               Chart M Eco-system 13 (Religion)
               Chart N' Eco-system 14 (Friends)
               Chart O Eco-system 15 (School)
               One-way analysis of variance of six subgroups defined by relations
Appendix I.
               with Eco-systems 1-15, for demographic and adjustment variables.
               Table A Eco-system 1 (Social Welfare)
               Table B Eco-system 2 (Language Centre)
               Table C Eco-system 3 (Work)
               Table D Eco-system 4 (Doctor)
               Table E Eco-system 5 (Dept. of Immigration)
               Table F Eco-system 6 (Housing)
               Table G Eco-system 7 (Banking)
               Table H Eco-system 8 (Hospital)
               Table I Eco-system 9 (Neighbours)
               Table J Eco-system 10 (Vietnamese Community)
```

List of Appendices Cont.

Appendix I. Table K Eco-system 11 (Traditional Festivals)

Table L Eco-system 12 (Australians)
Table M Eco-system 13 (Religion)
Table N Eco-system 14 (Friends)
Table O Eco-system 15 (School)

Appendix J. Cross tabulation analysis of Eco-systems 1-15 by Religion,

sex and Residence in Vietnam.

Table A Eco-system 1 (Social Welfare)
Table B Eco-system 2 (Language Centre)

Table C Eco-system 3 (Work)
Table D Eco-system 4 (Doctor)

Table E Eco-system 5 (Dept. of Immigration)

Table F Eco-system 6 (Housing)
Table G Eco-system 7 (Banking)
Table H Eco-system 8 (Hospital)
Table I Eco-system 9 (Neighbours)

Table J Eco-system 10 (Vietnamese Community)
Table K Eco-system 11 (Traditional Festivals)

Table L Eco-system 12 (Australians)
Table M Eco-system 13 (Religion)
Table N Eco-system 14 (Friends)
Table O Eco-system 15 (School)

Appendix K. Extracts from Participants' Narratives

		LIST OF CHARTS		Page
CHART	1	Quantitative Analysis of the Subjects' Descriptions of their Experience of the Social Welfare Department.		205
CHART	2	Quantitative Analysis of the Subjects' Descriptions of their Experience of the Language Centre.	2	206
CHART	3	Quantitative Analysis of the Subjects' Descriptions of their Experience of the Department of Immigration.		207
CHART	4	Quantitative Analysis of the Subjects' Descriptions of their Experience of Housing.		208
CHART	5	Quantitative Analysis of the Subjects' Descriptions of their Experience of Work.		209
CHART	6	Quantitative Analysis of the Subjects' Descriptions of their Experience of the Doctor.		210
CHART	7	Quantitative Analysis of the Subjects' Descriptions of their Experience of the Bank.		211
CHART	8	Quantitative Analysis of the Subjects' Descriptions of their Experience of Hospitals.		212
CHART	9	Quantitative Analysis of the Subjects' Descriptions of their Experience of Neighbours.		213
CHART	10	Quantitative Analysis of the Subjects' Descriptions of their Experience of the Vietnamese Community.		214
CHART	11	Quantitative Analysis of the Subjects' Descriptions of their Experience of Traditional Festivals.		215
CHART	12	Quantitative Analysis of the Subjects' Descriptions of their Experience of Australians.		216
CHART	13	Quantitative Analysis of the Subjects' Descriptions of their Experience of Religion.		217
CHART	14	Quantitative Analysis of the Subjects' Descriptions of their Experience of Friends.		218
CHART	15	Quantitative Analysis of the Subjects' Descriptions of their Experience of School.		219