

Exhumation of the Peake and Denison Ranges; insights from low- temperature thermochronology

Thesis submitted in accordance with the requirements of the University of
Adelaide for an Honours Degree in Geology

James William Hall
November 2014



THE UNIVERSITY
of ADELAIDE

EXHUMATION OF THE PEAKE AND DENISON RANGES; INSIGHTS FROM LOW-TEMPERATURE THERMOCHRONOLOGY

EXHUMATION OF THE PEAKE AND DENISON RANGES

ABSTRACT

Multi-method thermochronology applied to the Peake and Denison Ranges (northern South Australia) reveals multiple episodes of exhumation. Apatite Fission Track (AFT) data suggest three time periods in which exhumation induced basement cooling of the Ranges into AFT closure temperatures (~60-120 °C): Approximately 470-440 Ma, 340-290 Ma and 200-180 Ma. The Carboniferous and Jurassic exhumation episodes are supported by additional zircon (ZHe) and apatite (AHe) (U-Th-Sm)/He results respectively. We interpret the first pulses of rapid cooling as a result of the final pulses of the Delamerian and/or start of the Alice Springs Orogeny. Erosion and sedimentary burial during the Devonian brought the basement rocks back to ZHe closure temperatures (~200-180 °C). Shortly after, during the Carboniferous, the Ranges were exhumed to the surface which is likely a result of the final pulse of the Alice Springs Orogeny (~300 Ma). The presence of the Mount Margaret Surface during the Late Permian provides independent geological evidence that the Ranges were exposed at the surface at that time. During the Late Triassic-Early Jurassic, the Ranges were once again reheated to AFT closure temperatures, however, the lack of preserved sedimentary rocks of age suggests that this may not be simply due to burial.

Alternatively, the Ranges could have been affected by a well-documented and widespread hydrothermal pulse, which reheated the rocks without significant sedimentary burial. Cretaceous AHe ages coupled with the presence of coarse-grained terrigenous rocks at that time indicate that the ranges were shallowly buried during this time before Late Cretaceous exhumation (potentially caused by the rifting of Antarctica from Australia), exhumed the Ranges back to the surface. One additional Miocene AHe age was obtained near the fault-escarpment of the Davenport Range. This was thought to be related with enhanced fault activity at that time and finalised the exhumation history of the Ranges.

KEYWORDS

Exhumation, Peake and Denison Ranges, Low-Temperature Thermochronology, Apatite Fission Track, Apatite Helium, Zircon Helium, South Australia.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| Abstract..... | i |
| Keywords..... | i |
| List of Figures and Tables..... | 2 |
| Introduction..... | 2 |
| Geological Setting..... | 5 |
| Methodology..... | 10 |
| Results..... | 12 |
| Calibration procedures..... | 12 |
| Apatite Fission Track Thermochronology..... | 14 |
| Apatite Fission Track Length Data..... | 20 |
| (U-Th-Sm)/He Thermochronology..... | 20 |
| Modelling..... | 21 |
| Discussion..... | 25 |
| Thermochronological constraints..... | 25 |
| Thermal history model for the Peake and Denison Ranges..... | 27 |
| Tectonic events that affected the Peake and Denison Ranges..... | 30 |
| Comparison with exhumation studies on neighbouring regions..... | 31 |
| Regional interpretations and models..... | 33 |
| Conclusions..... | 34 |
| Acknowledgments..... | 35 |
| References..... | 35 |
| Appendix A: Extended Methodology..... | 39 |
| Samples..... | 39 |
| Crushing..... | 39 |
| Mineral Separation..... | 39 |
| Picking..... | 40 |
| Mounting, Grinding and Polishing..... | 40 |
| Etching..... | 41 |
| Counting and Measuring..... | 41 |
| LA-ICP-MS..... | 43 |
| (U-Th-Sm)/He Dating..... | 45 |
| Appendix B: Extended Data Spreadsheets..... | 46 |

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

- Figure 1, simplified map of South Australia illustrating the outlines of the main geological units: the Gawler Craton, Musgrave Block, Flinders Ranges, and the main sedimentary basins: Officer Basin, Arckaringa Basin, Lake Eyre Basin and Cooper Basin. The Peake and Denison Ranges are indicated in black and are located at the margin between the Lake Eyre and Arckaringa Basins. The locations of the Ceduna Basin and the proposed palaeo-river are plotted as well (after MacDonald *et al.*; 2013) to illuminate the relationship between the proposed river system and the Peake and Denison Ranges (see text for further discussion)..... 7
- Figure 2, Simplified geological map and stratigraphic column of the Peake and Denison Ranges, indicating the relationship between and ages of different geological units, the location of major faults, topographic contour lines and sample localities. Samples 2017962 and 2017960 were collected and supplied by the Geological Survey of South Australia (Fanning *et al.* 2007). All other samples were collected by University of Adelaide PhD Candidate, Morrison (1989) and supplied by Prof. John Foden. Map modified after Hopper (2001). 8
- Figure 3, a, Radial plot of Apatite Fission Track (AFT) ages of the Durango standard, from this thesis, using the laser ablation-inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometer (LA-ICP-MS) method indicating the degree of dispersion, central age, and number (n) of samples counted. In the radial plot, each analysed age is plotted against its precision, which is used to distinguish potential multiple age-components. The obtained central age of 30.4 ± 1.2 Ma is within error of the standard Durango age of 31.44 ± 0.18 Ma old (McDowell *et al.* 2005). b, Radial plot of AFT ages from De Grave *et al.* (2012) using the same method protocol. As shown, similar central ages and data-trends were obtained. These central ages are in good agreement with the precisely obtained age (McDowell *et al.* 2005). Individual apatite AFT ages are displayed by running a straight line from the left of the plot, starting at the 0 point, through the grain, to the right side which reveals the age (in Ma). As the standard deviation is on the x-axis, the age of the samples are more precise if they are plotted closer to the right side of the plot. The error bar is shown as $\pm 2 \sigma$ on the left which is identical for all the grains. The radial plots were constructed using *Radial Plotter* (Vermeesch 2009)..... 12
- Figure 4, Radial plots of Apatite Fission Track ages from the Ark 717 Sample (b and c) and the TTN1 sample (a) detailing the ages and standard deviation of each grain counted, the average age (Central value), and the degree of dispersion. n is the number of analysed grains. a and b are radial plots from previous Apatite Fission Track studies by Dr. Stijn Glorie (a) and Mr. Jack Gillespie (b). c displays the results obtained in this study. The three samples indicate the validity of the applied method (see text for more details). Radial plots were constructed using *Radial Plotter* (Vermeesch 2009). 13
- Figure 5, Radial plots of Apatite Fission Track (AFT) ages from samples 2017962, 2017960, 7571 and 9582, 9508, 9528, 9582, and 9594, as well as a radial plot containing all samples. n indicates number of grains measured, Central value indicates the central age of the sample, dispersion indicates the percentage of age dispersion within the sample, the colour difference indicates the different D_{Par} (Etch Pit) lengths for each measured grain. D_{Par} is measured in μm . Where more than one population of ages is present, the central age is split into age peaks using the Automatic Mixture model of *Radial Plotter*. All ages acquired from radial plots are presented in table 1. To the right of each radial plot is the accompanying AFT length frequency histogram for each sample (except 7582 which has no length data, only the length data for 7571 is shown). On each histogram, AFT length is in μm , n indicates the number of tracks measured, l_m is average track length, and σ is the Standard deviation of the sample. Radial plots were constructed using *Radial Plotter* (Vermeesch 2009). 17
- Figure 6 (next page), the exhumation histories of samples 2017962, 7571, 9508 and 9594, modelled with the *HeFTy* software (Ketcham 2005). Each time – temperature plot illustrates the modelled pathway for each sample from 400 °C at 500 – 600 Ma to surface temperatures at the present. Each sample is constrained by measured constraints (such as Apatite Fission Track (AFT) ages, Apatite Helium (AHe) ages, or Zircon Helium (ZHe) ages; indicated by red boxes on each plot) from this thesis, geological constraints (Indicated by blue boxes on each

plot) such as the Mount Margaret Surface, and a high temperature starting constraint (indicated by a black box on each plot), where the rocks are assumed to be from 450 – 600 Ma. The dashed red box on sample 2017962 indicates a constraint based on AHe data, which needed a slight shift towards lower temperatures to find a sufficient number of statistically good model paths. The green region on each plot is the acceptable path envelope, while the pink region is the good path envelope. The merits for these paths are stated in table 3. 23

Figure 7, the proposed exhumation path of the Peake and Denison Ranges through time-temperature space (Panel a) with inclusion of sedimentary constraints and compared to the exhumation history model of the Arkaroola region (Panel b; Weisheit *et al.* 2014). Apatite Helium (AHe) ages are indicate by blue dots, Zircon Helium (ZHe) ages by red dots, and Apatite Fission Track age populations by black dots. Through these ages, a best-fit exhumation model was constructed which matches all data, the sedimentological record of the adjacent basins and the estimated cooling rates from the *HeFTy* models. Alternative exhumation paths are indicated by dashed lines (see text for discussion). The erosional peneplain which is known as the Mount Margaret Surface is also plotted since this is a period of time where the rocks are known to be at the surface. the box containing the asterisk is a period of known hydrothermal activity in the Arkaroola region and possible hydrothermal activity in the Peake and Denison Ranges. The Arkaroola region time-temperature graph was adapted from Weisheit *et al.* (2014) and contains compiled data from e.g. Krieg *et al.* (1995); McLaren *et al.* (2002); Mitchell *et al.* (2002). 29

Figure 8, an example of an etched apatite grain surface. The whole are the etch pits (D_{par}) of the fission tracks. The photo is taken in reflected light using an Olympus BX51 Microscope, with an Olympus DP21 camera and computer attachment, on 100x zoom..... 41

Figure 9, an example of an etched apatite grain. The dark lines within the grain are the fission tracks. The photo is taken using transmitted light on an Olympus BX51 Microscope, with an Olympus DP21 camera and computer attachment, on 100x zoom..... 42

Figure 10, an example of a confined track (a TINT in this example), as indicated by the red line covering the confined track. #1 distance indicates the length and location of the track, #2 indicates the angle of the track to the C-axis of the grain wile the last measurement indicates the length of an etch pit (D_{par} ; measured in reflected light). The photo is taken using transmitted light on an Olympus BX51 Microscope, with an Olympus DP21 camera and computer attachment, on 100x zoom..... 43

Figure 11, an example of a zircon grain used in (U-Th-Sm)/He analysis. This grain exhibits all the criteria needed for (U-Th-Sm)/He analysis. The photo is taken using transmitted light on an Olympus BX51 Microscope, with an Olympus DP21 camera and computer attachment, on 100x zoom..... 45

Table 1, Sample details, Apatite Fission Track (AFT) results, and U/Pb age of each sample. Measured data is also shown, where ^{238}U = concentration of $^{238}Uranium$, σ = Standard Deviation of the ^{238}U , ρ_s = counted AFT density (in 10^5 tracks/ cm^2), N_s = number of fission tracks counted, N = number of grains counted, AFT age = Apatite Fission Track Central age (lower and upper age are all AFT age populations of their respected samples), l_m = average confined AFT length, n = number of confined tracks counted, and σ_c = Standard Deviation of the confined tracks. 19

Table 2, (U-Th-Sm)/He results indicating the Helium (He) age, Apatite Fission Track (AFT) Age, $^{238}Uranium$ concentration (parts per million), $^{232}Thorium$ concentration (parts per million), $^{147}Samarium$ concentration (parts per million), Ratio of $^{232}Thorium$ over $^{238}Uranium$, concentration of 4Helium (nanocubic centimetre (ncc) per μg), and Ft (the fraction of Alpha particle ejection dependant on the dimensions the apatite crystal; Ehlers and Farley 2003).. 21

Table 3, *HeFTy* parameters including number of paths tried, number of acceptable paths, number of good paths, goodness of fit for acceptable paths merit, and goodness of fit for good paths merit for the four samples (2017962, 9594, 9508, and 7571). 23

Table 4, the parameters for LA-ICP-MS instrumental setup, data acquisition and data reduction.44