

Pressure and flow within the umbilical vessels

David John Wilke

October 5, 2016

*Thesis submitted for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
in
Mathematical Sciences
at The University of Adelaide
Faculty of Engineering, Computer and Mathematical Sciences
School of Mathematical Sciences*



THE UNIVERSITY
of ADELAIDE

Contents

Abstract	xv
Signed Statement	xvii
Acknowledgements	xix
Dedication	xxi
1 Introduction	1
1.1 The umbilical cord	3
1.2 Umbilical parameter survey	7
1.2.1 Vessel radius	9
1.2.2 Blood flow-rate	10
1.2.3 Pulsatility characteristics	12
1.2.4 Fetal heart-rate	13
1.2.5 Kinematic viscosity	14
1.2.6 Cord vessel pressure	15
1.2.7 Umbilical coiling index	15
1.2.8 Cord thickness	16
1.2.9 Summary	16
1.3 Modelling blood flow	16
1.3.1 The nature of blood	17
1.3.2 The constitutive equations	18
1.3.3 Pulsatile flow	20
1.4 Flow in curved and helical pipes	22
1.4.1 Coordinate systems	23
1.4.2 Characteristics of helical flow	24
1.4.3 The pressure drop and flow friction factor	24
1.5 Biomechanical studies of the cord	27
1.6 Summary	28
1.7 Aims and objectives	29

2	Methods	33
2.1	Assumptions	34
2.2	Dimensional scaling and flow regime	34
2.3	UCI calculation	35
2.3.1	Clinical UCI, \hat{U}_c	36
2.3.2	Theoretical UCI, \hat{U}_t	37
2.4	Vessel models	38
2.4.1	Regularly coiled vessels	40
2.4.2	Irregularly coiled cords	46
2.4.3	Umbilical knots	52
2.5	Steady validation	65
2.5.1	Background	67
2.5.2	The computational model	68
2.5.3	Results	71
2.6	Pulsatile validation	76
2.6.1	Boundary conditions	76
2.6.2	Straight pipe flow	78
2.6.3	Straight pipe stenotic flow	81
3	Steady flow through the umbilical vessels	89
3.1	Steady boundary conditions	91
3.2	Results	91
3.2.1	Constant U_t study	92
3.2.2	Constant U_c study	99
3.2.3	Irregularly coiled cords	103
3.2.4	Umbilical knots	111
3.3	Conclusion	122
4	Pulsatile flow through the umbilical arteries	125
4.1	Pulsatile boundary conditions	126
4.1.1	Saw-tooth approximation	127
4.1.2	Numerical validation	132
4.2	Regularly coiled cords	133
4.2.1	Constant U_t study	133
4.2.2	Constant U_c study	138
4.2.3	Systolic pressure ratio	140
4.2.4	Effect of fetal heart-rate	142
4.3	Irregularly coiled cords	146
4.4	Umbilical knots	146
4.4.1	Loose true knots	147
4.4.2	Tight true knots, false knots and twist reversal	148

4.4.3	Effect of fetal heart-rate	152
4.5	Conclusion	155
5	New indices for quantifying umbilical flow resistance	157
5.1	Helical pressure gradient estimates	158
5.1.1	Comparison with numerics	159
5.1.2	Evaluation of ΔP for cords with $\gamma > 0.1$	161
5.1.3	Non-helical vessels	163
5.1.4	The UCI and pressure prediction	166
5.2	Toward a new index	166
5.2.1	The umbilical flow and pressure indices	171
5.2.2	Variation with N and \hat{L}_p at constant \hat{w}	176
5.2.3	Index sensitivity	178
5.3	Cord anomalies	183
5.3.1	Loose true knots	183
5.3.2	Irregularly coiled cords	184
5.4	Example index calculations	185
5.4.1	Cord measurements	186
5.4.2	Index calculation	187
5.4.3	Anomalies	191
5.5	Clinical efficacy and implementation	194
5.6	Conclusion	194
6	Conclusions and further work	197
A	Numerical method	201
A.1	The finite element method	201
A.2	oomph-lib	203
A.2.1	Elements	204
A.2.2	Mesh	204
A.2.3	Procedure	205
A.3	Iterative linear solvers	207
B	Steady flow	209
B.1	Temporal calculations within oomph-lib	209
B.2	Outlet boundary conditions	211
C	Pulsatile flow	215
C.1	Pulsatile oomph-lib code	215

D Pressure and flow indices	295
D.1 Empirical comparison	295
D.2 umbili-calc	295
D.3 Index sensitivity	306
Bibliography	311

List of Tables

1.1	Pulsatile characteristics of the umbilical arteries	13
1.2	Summary of the umbilical parameters from the literature	17
2.1	Nominal parameters used throughout the flow analysis for the artery, A, and vein, V.	36
2.2	Geometric parameters for the regularly coiled cords with constant theoretical UCI	45
2.3	Geometric parameters for the regularly coiled cords with constant clinical UCI	48
2.4	Characteristics of the nominal loose knot. Note that \hat{L}_{knot} is based on the knot centreline distance between the inlet to the first cubic section and the outlet to the second.	60
2.5	Knot geometries used in this study. $R_{h,i}$ is the non-dimensional helical radii for each of the distinct vessels, $L_{Z,i}$ is the corresponding vessel arclength and N is the total number of coils throughout the knot (excluding the straight sections).	61
2.6	Curvature and torsion of the loose knot, LK, geometries	64
2.7	Meshes used for the steady curved pipe entry flow validation	71
3.1	Steady numerical results for the irregularly coiled veins with variable coil spacing	104
3.2	Steady numerical results for the irregularly coiled veins with variable coil width	105
3.3	Steady numerical results for the irregularly coiled veins with variable coil width and spacing	107
3.4	Steady numerical results for the irregularly coiled arteries	108
3.5	Results of the steady loose knot study	116
4.1	Pulsatile pressure results for each of the U_t arteries at $Re = 100$, $U_{red} = 41$	139

4.2	Pulsatile pressure gradient results for each of the U_t arteries at $Re = 100$, $U_{red} = 41$	139
4.3	Pulsatile pressure results for the tight true knots	152
5.1	The reference cord parameters for the artery and vein	176
5.2	The effect of deconstructing an irregular vein for index calcu- lation	186
5.3	The reference and example cord measurements.	187
5.4	Results for the example cord veins and arteries.	188
B.1	Steady solve statistics for the U_t study.	210
D.1	Error in the empirical formula from Liu and Masliyah (1993) at $Re = 100$ for the regularly coiled cords.	296
D.2	Error variation for the reference cord	309
D.3	Index error variation for Cord I	309
D.4	Index error variation for Cord II	310
D.5	Index error variation for Cord III	310

List of Figures

1.1	The umbilical cross-section	3
1.2	A normocoiled umbilical cord with placenta attached	4
1.3	Umbilical cords with left, right and no twisting	5
1.4	An umbilical cord with variable coiling	7
1.5	An umbilical cord with true and false knots	8
1.6	An umbilical cord with distinct vessel coiling	8
1.7	Experimental flow visualisation in a helical pipe	25
2.1	A regularly coiled vessel with lengths annotated	37
2.2	Curvature of the curved inlet centreline for the regularly coiled cord, U_{t1}	42
2.3	Smoothing the inlet for a regularly coiled vessel	43
2.4	Regularly coiled vessels with constant theoretical UCI	45
2.5	The torsion and curvature of vessel in the U_t study	46
2.6	Regularly coiled vessels with constant clinical UCI	47
2.7	A magnified view of cord U_{c1} which has large torsion, low curvature	48
2.8	Variation of the theoretical UCI, curvature and torsion for the U_c geometries	49
2.9	Schematic diagram of the irregular cord types	50
2.10	Irregular venous models with varying coil spacing	52
2.11	Irregular venous models with varying coil width	53
2.12	Irregular venous models with varying coil spacing and width	53
2.13	Variation of the curvature and torsion for each of the irregularly coiled veins	54
2.14	Construction of the loose knot centreline geometry	58
2.15	The outlet cubic used in the loose knot definition	59
2.16	The loose knot and vessel centrelines	60
2.17	A comparison between physical and model loose true knots	61
2.18	The loose knots investigated in the LK study	62

2.19	The curvature and torsion along the loose knot centreline for the LK study	63
2.20	The false knot, twist reversal and tight true knot geometries	65
2.21	A magnified view of a tight true knot	66
2.22	The computational domain for the steady curved pipe entry flow validation	70
2.23	A quantitative comparison of the secondary flow field for the steady curved pipe validation study	73
2.24	The axial velocity within the plane of symmetry for the steady curved pipe validation	74
2.25	A comparison of the axial velocity in the plane of symmetry for the steady curved pipe validation study	75
2.26	Relative error in the <code>oomph-lib</code> numerics for the steady curved pipe validation	77
2.27	Temporal variation of the average sectional velocity and pressure drop for a straight pipe with pulsatile validation inlet conditions	79
2.28	Temporal variation of the inlet velocity profile for the pulsatile validation	80
2.29	Straight pipe pulsatile validation	82
2.30	Straight pipe stenosis geometry	83
2.31	Stenotic flow validation at $Re = 100$ for $t/T = 1.0, 1.24$	85
2.32	Stenotic flow validation at $Re = 100$ for $t/T = 1.52, 1.76$	86
2.33	Contours of the axial velocity within the plane of symmetry for the stenotic validation at $Re = 200, U_{red} = 5$	87
3.1	Boundary conditions for the steady analysis shown on a regularly coiled vessel.	91
3.2	A cutaway view of the mesh refinement for cord U_{t1}	93
3.3	Evolution of the steady velocity field with arclength for vessel U_{t6}	95
3.4	Fully developed helical flow at $Re = 100$ for each of the U_t vessels.	96
3.5	Fully developed helical flow for vessel U_{t6} for a range of Re	97
3.6	Pressure variation with Re for the U_t geometries	98
3.7	Variation of the dimensional pressure drop for the U_t vessels	100
3.8	Steady pressure results from the constant U_c study	101
3.9	The fully developed helical helical velocity field for steady flow in the U_c vessels	102
3.10	Pressure variation for each of the variable pitch veins studied	104
3.11	Pressure variation for each of the variable width veins studied	106

3.12	Steady pressure variation for each of the irregularly coiled veins with variable coil width and spacing	107
3.13	Comparison of the flow direction effect on the irregularly coiled arteries	109
3.14	Steady pressure variation for each of the irregularly coiled arteries	109
3.15	Recomputed flow for three irregularly coiled venous geometries with boundary effects minimised	110
3.16	Fully developed helical flow in the nominal cord	111
3.17	Velocity field variation with vessel arclength for the venous geometry with reduced boundary effects and variable pitch . .	112
3.18	Velocity field variation with vessel arclength for the venous geometry with reduced boundary effects and width variation .	113
3.19	Velocity field variation with vessel arclength for the venous geometry with reduced boundary effects and width/coil space variation	114
3.20	Fully-developed helical flow at the entrance to the knot for the loose knot, LK, geometries with $\hat{w} = 1.6$ cm	117
3.21	Average axial pressure for the loose knot geometries	118
3.22	Axial pressure gradient measured along the knot length for the loose knot vessels	119
3.23	Pressure variation for the tight true knot, false knot and twist reversal geometries	120
3.24	The region of flow-reversal in the steady calculations for the tight true knots	121
4.1	Ultrasound images of the maximum umbilical arterial velocity waveform	128
4.2	The straight pipe umbilical centreline velocity and pressure waveform	130
4.3	The full cross-sectional unsteady inlet velocity profile at four points within the period	131
4.4	Pulsatile validation for artery U_{t1} at $Re = 100$, $U_{red} = 41$. . .	132
4.5	Helical flow variation for the U_{t1} , U_{t2} and U_{t3} arteries at systole and diastole at $Re = 100$, $U_{red} = 41$	135
4.6	Helical flow variation for the U_{t4} , U_{t5} and U_{t6} arteries at systole and diastole at $Re = 100$, $U_{red} = 41$	136
4.7	Pulsatile pressure results for the constant U_t study at $Re = 100$, $U_{red} = 41$	137
4.8	Pulsatile pressure results for each of the U_c arteries at $Re = 100$, $U_{red} = 41$	140

4.9	Variation of the peak systolic pressure ratio with various parameters at $Re = 100$, $U_{red} = 41$	143
4.10	Variation of the ratio of peak systolic pressure to the temporal average for the umbilical waveform	144
4.11	Helical flow variation with U_{red} for artery U_{t1} at systole and diastole at $Re = 100$, $U_{red} = 41$	145
4.12	Variation of the total pressure drop and helical pressure gradient with U_{red} for the U_{t1} artery	146
4.13	Pulsatile pressure results for the irregularly coiled arteries . .	147
4.14	Pulsatile pressure results for each of the loose knot arteries . .	148
4.15	Pulsatile pressure results for each of the true knot arteries . .	149
4.16	Contours and isosurfaces of axial velocity at systole for the tight true knots	150
4.17	Contours and isosurfaces of axial velocity at diastole for the tight true knots	151
4.18	Variation of the peak systolic pressure ratio with constriction severity and variation of the inlet pressure with U_{red} for the $0.55R$ constriction	153
4.19	Contours and isosurfaces of axial velocity at systole for the tight true knot with $R_{min} = 0.55R$ and $U_{red} = 30$	154
5.1	Contours of constant pressure gradient computed using the correlation of Liu and Masliyah (1993)	160
5.2	The helical pressure gradient variation with Re for the numerical and empirical calculations	161
5.3	The helical pressure gradient versus helical radius for the U_c study showing a comparison of a number of empirical pressure gradient estimates at $Re = 100$	162
5.4	The cords outside the current empirical correlation and the interpolated helical pressure gradient data	164
5.5	A comparison between the helical pressure gradient computed numerically and using the interpolated solution for irregular and loose knotted cords at $Re = 100$	167
5.6	Variation of helical vessel parameters over a range of N and \hat{L}_P at $\hat{w} = 1.6$ cm and $Re = 100$	168
5.7	Variation of the non-dimensional helical pressure gradient with clinical UCI and coil width for a standard vein	169
5.8	A schematic diagram of an umbilical cord showing the length measurements required for calculation of the pressure and flow indices	174

5.9	Characteristics of the reference cord used for the index calculation	177
5.10	Contours of constant pressure index (PX) for each vessel at three coil widths	179
5.11	Contours of constant flow index (QX) for each vessel at three coil widths	180
5.12	Contours of the venous pressure and flow sensitivity norms for cords of interest	182
5.13	Schematic diagram showing an irregularly coiled vein deconstructed into two sections for index calculation	185
5.14	Example cord I	188
5.15	Example cord II	189
5.16	Example cord III	190
5.17	Theoretical vessel models of the reference and example cords shown to scale.	191
5.18	The reference and example cord models shown to scale over one pitch-length of the reference cord (5 cm).	192
5.19	Pressure and flow ratios for the reference and example arteries and vein	193
A.1	The TubeMesh used in <code>oomph-lib</code>	206
B.1	Convergence of the temporal solvers showing the evolution of the pressure drop and the average Kinetic Energy	212
B.2	Convergence of the temporal solvers showing contours of the axial velocity at two locations	213
B.3	Contours of the axial velocity, W , with the secondary velocity field overlayed at $Re = 300$ to demonstrate the outlet effect.	214
D.1	Pressure index sensitivity to individual measurements	307
D.2	Flow index sensitivity to individual measurements	308

Abstract

This thesis considers a fluid dynamic study of blood flow within the umbilical vessels of the human maternal-fetal circulatory system. In particular it evaluates the efficacy of the umbilical coiling index (UCI) in predicting the blood vessel pressure drop, and develops clinically viable indices for the quantification of umbilical cord flow resistance. A numerical approach is developed employing the finite element method via the open-source `c++` code `oomph-lib`, available from the University of Manchester. This permits the description of an umbilical vessel geometry as a fully three-dimensional rigid tube in order to consider a wide range of cords subject to steady and unsteady boundary conditions.

The thesis is composed of three main studies. The first concerns steady incompressible Newtonian flow through model geometries representative of the umbilical vessels. It is found that the UCI is unable to distinguish between cords of significantly varying pressure and flow characteristics, which are typically determined by the vessel curvature, torsion and length. Larger scale geometric non-uniformities superposed over the inherent coiling, including cords exhibiting width and/or local UCI variations as well as loose true knots, typically produce a small effect on the total pressure drop. Crucially, this implies that a helical geometry of mean coiling may be used to determine the steady vessel pressure drop through a more complex cord. The presence of vessel constriction, however, drastically increases the steady pressure drop and alters the flow profile.

The second study provides an analysis of pulsatile incompressible Newtonian flow through arterial geometries. The steady pressure drop is found to approximate the time-averaged value with high accuracy over a wide range of arteries. Furthermore, the relative peak systolic pressure measured over the period is found to remain virtually constant and approximately 25% below the equivalent straight pipe value for a large range of non-straight vessels. Interestingly, this suggests that the coiled structure dampens extreme pressures within the arterial cycle and may provide another possible evolutionary benefit to the coiled structure of the cord.

Having shown the UCI ineffective at predicting the vessel pressure drop, the third and final study presents two alternative indices for the diagnosis of cord pathology based on steady calculations. The umbilical pressure index, PX , and flow index, QX , quantify the deviation of a cord geometry from typical conditions by considering the steady pressure and flow-rate, respectively. These indices are calculated based on a combination of empirical and interpolated numerical data and require only one additional geometric measurement to the calculation of the UCI; namely the cord width. Together the indices provide a non-invasive measure of the flow-resistance inherent to a particular cord geometry, and allow comparison with typical values in pregnancy. Further testing of the indices is required to determine their efficacy in a clinical setting, however, their simple and robust nature ensures that they are promising candidates.

Signed Statement

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in my name, in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name, for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree. I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library Search and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Signed: Date:

Acknowledgements

I wish to extend my gratitude to those that have supported me throughout my study and in particular, through the completion of this thesis. Firstly, to Dr. Trent Mattner, for unwavering technical and personal support over the years. To Prof. Jim Denier, for providing an interesting research topic as well as the freedom to explore it independently. To Prof. Yee Khong, for enthusiasm, guidance and direction in an unknown medical world. To Dr. Phil Haines, for countless meetings on `oomph-lib` without which this study may well have taken a decade. To Prof. Matthias Heil and Dr. Andrew Hazel for developing, and their personal correspondence regarding `oomph-lib`. To my fellow Ph.D students, in particular Dr. Wade and Dr. Stanford, for providing a welcoming office and some respite in difficult times. To my family; my father Ray, and my two sisters Susannah and Eve, for support throughout my study and beyond. To Mr. Fahy, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Griffith and Dr. Ugalov for providing a comfortable home. Finally, to Amelia, for your love, support and patience.

Dedication

For my mother, Rosemary.