



Using Stakeholder Theory to Explain the Development and Operation of Safety Culture and Systems to Improve Safety Performance in the Construction Industry in Saudi Arabia

Thesis submitted by

Torky Althaqafi

Master of Applied Project Management (Adelaide University, Australia 2010)
Advanced Diploma of Occupational Health and Safety (SAI Global, Australia 2010)
Diploma of Quality Auditing (SAI Global, Australia 2009)
Bachelor of Science, (King Abdul Aziz University, Saudi Arabia 2002)

The University of Adelaide

Faculty of the Professions
Entrepreneurship, Commercialisation and Innovation Centre (ECIC)

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

November 2015

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	ii
List of Tables	vii
Statement of Originality	xii
Acknowledgements	xiii
Abstract	xiv
<hr/> <i>Chapter 1</i>	
Introduction	2
1.1 Focus of the thesis	2
1.2 Background to the research	3
1.3 The research problem	7
1.4 Research questions and objectives	9
1.5 Rationale for the research	10
1.6 Contribution to knowledge	11
1.7 Methodology	12
1.8 Outline of the thesis	13
1.8 Key assumptions and limitations	16
1.9 Summary	16
<hr/> <i>Chapter 2</i>	
Contextual background	17
2.1 The growth of construction in Saudi Arabia	17
2.1.1 <i>The construction market in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia</i>	
2.1.2 <i>The organisation of the construction industry in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia</i>	
2.2 Existing structure of the work environment in Saudi Arabia	24
2.2.1 <i>Occupational health and safety rules in Saudi labour law</i>	
2.2.2 <i>General Organization for Social Insurance in Saudi Arabia</i>	
2.2.3 <i>Socio-cultural influences on the work environment</i>	
2.3 Saudi construction's weaknesses	31
2.3.1 <i>Strategic priorities for Saudi's building and construction industry</i>	
2.3.2 <i>Safety in Saudi's building and construction industry</i>	
2.4 Summary	34

Literature review	35
3.1 The construction industry	35
3.1.1 <i>The construction industry in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia</i>	
3.2 Safety: Definition and principles	38
3.2.1 <i>Safety in the construction industry worldwide</i>	
3.2.2 <i>Safety in Saudi's construction industry</i>	
3.3 Accident causation	39
3.3.1 <i>The domino theory</i>	
3.3.2 <i>Leather's potential accident subject model</i>	
3.3.3 <i>Project management accident model</i>	
3.3.4 <i>Distractions theory</i>	
3.3.5 <i>Rasmussen's work behaviour model</i>	
3.3.6 <i>The Swiss cheese model</i>	
3.3.7 <i>The construction accident causation (ConCA) model</i>	
3.3.8 <i>Root causes of the accidents in the Saudi construction industry</i>	
3.4 The big picture: Organisational and safety culture	46
3.4.1 <i>Organisational culture</i>	
3.4.2 <i>Concepts of a safety culture</i>	
3.4.3 <i>Safety culture models</i>	
3.4.4 <i>Reviews of the safety culture literature</i>	
3.4.4 <i>Safety culture vs safety climate</i>	
3.4.5 <i>Previous studies on safety in Saudi construction industries</i>	
3.5 Stakeholders and stakeholder theory	69
3.5.1 <i>The stakeholder</i>	
3.5.2 <i>Stakeholder theory</i>	
3.6 Application of stakeholder theory	81
3.6.1 <i>Stakeholder theory in management</i>	
3.6.2 <i>Stakeholder theory in marketing</i>	
3.6.3 <i>Stakeholder theory in finance and accounting</i>	
3.6.4 <i>Application of stakeholder theory in strategic management</i>	
3.7 Stakeholders in the construction industry	85
3.7.1 <i>A wide array of stakeholders</i>	
3.7.2 <i>Stakeholder and safety culture in the workplace</i>	
3.8 The research problem and contribution	90
3.9 Summary	93

Chapter 4

The conceptual model	94
4.1 The conceptual model	95
4.1.1 <i>Principal functions of the model</i>	
4.1.2 <i>Design of the conceptual model</i>	
4.2 Stakeholder participation	97
4.2.1 <i>Selecting the stakeholders</i>	
4.2.2 <i>Primary stakeholders</i>	
4.2.3 <i>Secondary stakeholders</i>	
4.3 Organisational safety attitudes	104
4.3.2 <i>Management safety practices</i>	
4.3.3 <i>Safety management system</i>	
4.3.4 <i>Safety performance (safety outcomes)</i>	
4.4 Interrelations between the five dimensions	115
4.4.1 <i>Stakeholder involvement and safety culture</i>	
4.4.2 <i>Interrelations between the safety culture's dimensions</i>	
4.4.3 <i>Interrelations between management safety practices and safety performance</i>	
4.5 Organisation size, stakeholder involvement and safety culture	119
4.6 Summary	120

Chapter 5

Research methods	121
5.1 Methodology and research design	121
5.2 Research methods	123
5.2.1 <i>Ethics</i>	
5.2.2 <i>Literature review</i>	
5.2.3 <i>Preparing for data collection</i>	
5.2.4 <i>Data collection</i>	
5.2.5 <i>Data analysis</i>	
5.2.6 <i>Further validation of the developed model</i>	
5.3 Summary	152

Chapter 6

Results, part 1	153
6.1 Descriptive statistics	153
6.2 Data screening	155
6.2.1 <i>Data examination techniques</i>	
6.3 Graphical displays of descriptive statistics	159
6.3.1 <i>Stakeholders' participation</i>	
6.3.2 <i>The influence of enforcement on stakeholder behaviour</i>	

6.3.3	<i>Greatest influence on stakeholders</i>	
6.3.4	<i>Management safety practices</i>	
6.3.5	<i>Organisational safety attitudes</i>	
6.3.6	<i>Safety management system</i>	
6.3.7	<i>Safety performance</i>	
6.4	Development of the first part of the model: Stakeholder involvement	164
6.4.1	<i>One factor model – Safety enforcement</i>	
6.4.2	<i>One factor model – Safety influence</i>	
6.5	Development of the second part of the model – Safety culture	172
6.5.1	<i>One factor model – Management safety practices</i>	
6.5.2	<i>One factor model – Organisation safety attitude</i>	
6.5.3	<i>One factor model – Safety management system</i>	
6.5.4	<i>One factor model – Safety performance</i>	
6.6	Cross validation model for the seven latent variables	185
6.6.1	<i>Specification of the seven-factor model</i>	
6.6.2	<i>Model output of the five-factor model</i>	
6.6.3	<i>Model re-specification</i>	
6.7	Validation measure	191
6.7.1	<i>Convergent validity</i>	
6.7.2	<i>Construct validity</i>	
6.7.3	<i>Discriminant validity</i>	
6.7.4	<i>Invariance testing</i>	
6.8	Summary	196

Chapter 7

Results, part 2		197
7.1	Full structural model	197
7.1.1	<i>Specification of the full structural model</i>	
7.1.2	<i>Model output of the full structural model</i>	
7.2	Developing composites for the full structural model	199
7.2.1	<i>Safety culture composites</i>	
7.2.2	<i>Stakeholder involvement composites</i>	
7.3	Full composite model	210
7.4	The estimated standardised total and direct effects from the overall and final structural equation model	214
7.5	Hypothesis testing	216
7.6	Multi-group analysis of the moderating variables	219
7.6.1	<i>Group analysis between small and medium size organisations</i>	
7.6.2	<i>Group analysis between small size of organisation and large size</i>	
7.6.3	<i>Group analysis between medium and large organisations</i>	

7.7	The total effect of stakeholder involvement across the three groups	224
7.8	Further model validation	227
	7.8.1 <i>Comments from the participants</i>	
	7.8.2 <i>Recommendations from the participants</i>	
7.9	Chapter summary	233
<hr/>		
Chapter 8		
<hr/>		
	Discussion and conclusion	234
8.1	Background information	235
8.2	Brief reiteration of the results	236
	8.2.1 <i>The descriptive data</i>	
	8.2.2 <i>A fragmented approach</i>	
	8.2.3 <i>The conceptual model and its components</i>	
	8.2.4 <i>Stakeholder involvement</i>	
	8.2.5 <i>Comparison of ideas of safety culture</i>	
	8.2.6 <i>Evaluation of the impact of safety culture on safety performance</i>	
	8.2.7 <i>The effect of primary stakeholders on safety culture</i>	
	8.2.8 <i>The effect of secondary stakeholders on safety culture</i>	
	8.2.9 <i>The effect of the organisation's size on safety culture</i>	
	8.2.10 <i>The relationship between the organisation's size and stakeholder involvement on improving safety culture</i>	
	8.2.11 <i>Summing up</i>	
8.3	Knowledge contribution	260
	8.3.1 <i>Theory building</i>	
	8.3.2 <i>Practical implication</i>	
8.4	Policy and practice	264
	8.4.1 <i>Enforcement factors</i>	
	8.4.2 <i>Influence and motivation factors</i>	
	8.4.3 <i>Organisation safety factors</i>	
	8.4.5 <i>Time frame for implementation</i>	
8.5	Strengths and limitations of the research	274
8.6	New research directions	275
8.7	Summary	276
<hr/>		
	References	278
<hr/>		
	Appendices	302

List of Tables

Table 1.1	Serious claims: number and percentage of total by industry, 2009-2010 (Adapted from GOSI, 2011)	4
Table 1.2	The number of workers and accidents in the construction industry in Saudi Arabia, 2006-2010 (Adapted from GOSI, 2011)	4
<hr/>		
Table 2.1	Saudi Arabia's megaprojects boom (Thompson, R 2013, p. 1)	20
Table 2.2	Serious claims: Number and percentage of total by industry, 2009-2010 (Adapted from GOSI, 2011)	33
Table 2.3	The number of workers and accidents in the construction industry in Saudi Arabia, 2006-2010 (Adapted from GOIS, 2011)	33
<hr/>		
Table 3.1	Summary of seven accident causation models	45
Table 3.2	Selected safety culture definitions	49
Table 3.3	A summary of past studies about safety culture	55
Table 3.4	Previous studies on safety in the Saudi's construction industries	66
Table 3.5	A summary of stakeholder definitions	70
Table 3.6	Stakeholder attributes and levels of influence on safety management (Adapted from Loebbaka & Lewis 2009)	74
Table 3.7	The reactive–accommodative–defensive–proactive scale (based on Clarkson 1995)	76
<hr/>		
Table 4.1	Main constructs of 'stakeholder involvement' and corresponding questions (part of the questionnaire in Appendix C)	104
Table 4.2	Main constructs of 'safety attitudes' and corresponding questions (part of the questionnaire in Appendix C)	107
Table 4.3	Main constructs of 'safety practices' and corresponding questions (part of the questionnaire in Appendix C)	111
Table 4.4	Main constructs of 'safety management system' and corresponding questions (part of the questionnaire in Appendix C)	113
Table 4.5	Main constructs of 'safety performance' and corresponding questions (part of the questionnaire in Appendix C)	115
<hr/>		
Table 5.1	Stakeholder constructs and their indicators	129
Table 5.2	All latent constructs and their indicators and codes	130
Table 5.3	The positions and years of experiences of each participant in the workshop	151
<hr/>		
Table 6.1	The sample characteristics	154
Table 6.2	Skewness, kurtosis and corresponding critical ratios of the attributes.	156
Table 6.3	Internal consistency of the latent constructs	159
Table 6.4	Safety enforcement's variables with their codes	164

Table 6.5	Regression weights	165
Table 6.6	Standardised regression weights	166
Table 6.7	The goodness-of-fit statistics of the measurement models	167
Table 6.8	Safety influence's variables with their codes	167
Table 6.9	Regression weights	168
Table 6.10	Standardised regression weights	169
Table 6.11	The goodness-of-fit statistics of the measurement models	169
Table 6.12	Primary stakeholders' variables with their codes	170
Table 6.13	Regression weights	171
Table 6.14	Standardised regression weights	171
Table 6.15	The goodness-of-fit statistics of the measurement models	172
Table 6.16	Management safety practices' variables with their codes	173
Table 6.17	Regression weights	174
Table 6.18	Standardised regression weights	174
Table 6.19	The goodness-of-fit statistics of the measurement models of OSA	175
Table 6.20	Organisational safety attitudes' variables with their codes	176
Table 6.21	Regression weights	177
Table 6.22	Standardised regression weights	178
Table 6.23	The goodness-of-fit statistics of the measurement models of OSA	179
Table 6.24	Safety management system's variables with their codes	180
Table 6.25	Regression weights	181
Table 6.26	Standardised regression weights	182
Table 6.27	The goodness-of-fit statistics of the measurement models of OSA	182
Table 6.28	Safety performance's variables with their codes	183
Table 6.29	Regression weights	184
Table 6.30	Standardised regression weights	184
Table 6.31	The goodness-of-fit statistics of the measurement models	185
Table 6.32	Regression weights	188
Table 6.33	Standardised regression weights	189
Table 6.34	Correlations	190
Table 6.35	The goodness-of-fit statistics of the measurement model	191
Table 6.36	Reliability checks for all constructs	193
Table 6.37	χ^2 Difference tests for assessing discriminant validity	194
Table 6.38	Invariance test results	196
<hr/>		
Table 7.1	The goodness-of-fit statistics of the measurement model	198
Table 7.2	Safety performance coefficient H and Cronbach's α calculations	201
Table 7.3	Rescaled factor score weightings for safety performance	201
Table 7.4	Organisation safety attitude coefficient H and Cronbach's α calculations	202

Table 7.5	Rescaled factor score weightings for organisation safety attitude	203
Table 7.6	Safety management system coefficient H and Cronbach's α calculations	204
Table 7.7	Rescaled factor score weightings for safety management system	204
Table 7.8	Management safety practice coefficient H and Cronbach's α calculations	206
Table 7.9	Rescaled factor score weightings for management safety practices	206
Table 7.10	The primary stakeholders coefficient H and Cronbach's α calculations	208
Table 7.11	Rescaled factor score weightings for primary stakeholders	208
Table 7.12	Safety enforcement coefficient H and Cronbach's α calculations	209
Table 7.13	Rescaled factor score weightings for safety enforcement	210
Table 7.14	Computing factor loadings and error variances for the composite variables	210
Table 7.15	The goodness-of-fit statistics of the measurement model	211
Table 7.16	Regression weights	212
Table 7.17	Standardised regression weights	213
Table 7.18	Squared multiple correlations	214
Table 7.19	Estimated standardised total and direct effects from the overall and final structural equation model	215
Table 7.20	Structural invariance analysis between small and medium groups	223
Table 7.21	Structural invariance analysis between small and large groups	223
Table 7.22	Structural invariance analysis between medium and large groups	224
Table 7.23	The estimated standardised total (direct and indirect) effect for stakeholder involvement	225
Table 7.24	Summary of hypothesis relationships identified	227
Table 7.25	Model validation rating by participants	232
<hr/>		
Table 8.1	Comparison of safety culture dimensions between the current study and the previous studies	247
Table 8.2	Summary of hypothesis relationships identified	258
Table 8.3	Summary of the three suggested factors	274

List of Figures

Figure 1.1	Overview of the research thesis	15
<hr/>		
Figure 2.1	Contract awards (Thompson, R 2013, p. 4)	19
Figure 2.2	Saudi Arabia's megaprojects boom (Thompson, R 2013, p. 4)	21
Figure 2.3	The existing structure of employer-employee relationships in Saudi Arabia	24
<hr/>		
Figure 3.1	An overview of the literature review process	36
Figure 3.2	Leather's (1987) potential accident subject model (Leather 1987)	41
Figure 3.3	Project management accident model (Whittington <i>et al.</i> 1992, p.97)	42
Figure 3.4	Rasmussen's work behaviour model (Rasmussen <i>et al.</i> 1994)	43
Figure 3.5	Reason Swiss cheese model of accident causation (Reason, JT 1997, p.9)	44
Figure 3.6	The ConCA model, after Reason's model (Gibb <i>et al.</i> 2006, p.47)	45
Figure 3.7	Classes of stakeholders (adapted from Mitchell <i>et al.</i> 1997, p.872)	72
Figure 3.8	The pyramid of corporate social responsibility (Visser 2006, p. 34)	75
Figure 3.9	Three approaches of stakeholder theory (Donaldson & Preston 1995, p. 74)	78
<hr/>		
Figure 4.1	The suggested conceptual model	96
Figure 4.2	Mapping of hypotheses onto illustration of construct	119
<hr/>		
Figure 5.1	Research design for the current study	123
Figure 5.2	Elements of the conceptual model (see also Chapter 4, Figure 4.1) These four dimensions are those highlighted in Edwards <i>et al.</i> 's study (2013), which augmented the model for this study.	127
Figure 5.3	Elements of the conceptual model (see also Chapter 4, Figure 4.1) These three dimensions were added for the current study.	129
Figure 5.4	The conceptual model that emerged (see also Chapter 4, Figure 4.1)	130
Figure 5.6	Reflective and formative measures (Coltman <i>et al.</i> 2008, p. 1253)	132
Figure 5.7	Stages in the methodology for scale validation (García-Valderrama & Mulero-Mendigorri 2005, p. 315)	135
<hr/>		
Figure 6.1	The mean score of stakeholder participation	160
Figure 6.2	The mean score of enforcement on stakeholders	160
Figure 6.3	The mean score of influence on stakeholders	161
Figure 6.4	The mean score of management safety practices	162
Figure 6.4	The mean score of organisational safety attitudes	162
Figure 6.5	The mean score of safety management system	163
Figure 6.6	The mean score of safety performance	163
Figure 6.7	Latent variables for safety enforcement	165
Figure 6.8	Latent variables for safety influence	168

Figure 6.9	Latent variables for safety influence	171
Figure 6.10	Latent variables for management safety practices & leadership	174
Figure 6.11	Latent variables for organisation safety attitude (Model 1)	177
Figure 6.12	Latent variables for safety management system (Model 1)	181
Figure 6.13	Latent variables for safety performance (Model 2)	184
Figure 6.14	Cross validation for the seven-factor model	187
<hr/>		
Figure 7.1	The full structural model	198
Figure 7.2	Safety performance one factor measurement model	200
Figure 7.3	Organisation safety attitude one factor measurement model	202
Figure 7.4	Safety management system one-factor measurement model	203
Figure 7.5	Management safety practice one-factor measurement model	205
Figure 7.6	Primary stakeholder one-factor measurement model	207
Figure 7.7	Safety enforcement one-factor measurement model	209
Figure 7.8	Full structural model using composites	212
Figure 7.9	Structural path diagram for multi-group analysis (Model 1)	220
Figure 7.10	Structural path diagram for multi-group analysis (Model 2)	221
Figure 7.11	Structural path diagram for multi-group analysis (Model 3)	221
Figure 7.12	The standardised total (direct and indirect) effect for stakeholder involvement	226
<hr/>		
Figure 8.1	The research model	237
Figure 8.2	The standardised total (direct and indirect) effect for stakeholder involvement	256
Figure 8.3	The proposed process to improve safety culture and performance	265

Statement of Originality

I hereby certify this thesis and the research contained within comprises no information that has been presented and accepted for any award including degree at any university or institution of higher learning. This thesis is to the best of my knowledge does not include information that has been published previously or has been written by any person, except where references indicates otherwise in the text. Furthermore, I attest that the work contained herein will not be used at any time for the submission of any additional qualification at any other institution of higher learning, without the express written consent from the University of Adelaide.

I do hereby give consent for a copy of this thesis to be deposited in the University of Adelaide library and thereby be made available to be copied or lent but only within the provisions set forth under the *Copyright Act* 1968. The thesis author accepts that the copyright of published information conveyed within this thesis is inherently the property of the copyright holder(s) of that information.

Additionally, I do hereby consent to a digital copy of this thesis being made available through the web via the University of Adelaide's digital research library catalogue. Similarly, through web based search engines, except where permission by the University of Adelaide has restricted access for a stipulated reason for any period of time.

Candidate

Date

Acknowledgements

Foremost, I give thanks to Allah, who has given me blessings, opportunities and guidance, and helped and empowered me to come to the end of this journey smoothly.

I wish to express my special appreciation to Dr Barry Elsey for his exceptional help, support and guidance since the beginning of my PhD journey and endless patience towards the end. My deep appreciation is also extended to my co-supervisor, Dr Graciela Corral, for being such a remarkable co-supervisor.

My heartfelt thanks go to my beloved, patient and encouraging father. Thanks for your love and prayers. For my wife, Safiah, and my kids, Ibrahim and Alaa, thanks for accompanying and supporting me. My gratitude also goes out to all of my brothers and sisters, as well as my friends (Majed and Mohammed), who provided strength and good cheer to me when I really needed it.

Finally, this study is dedicated to my father for his efforts and love.

Abstract

Project management in the Saudi Arabian construction industry is an activity complicated by the current widespread lack of a mature organisational safety culture, which results in a high incidence of serious and fatal accidents, making it difficult to deliver project objectives. The thesis addresses this major problem. In Saudi Arabia, the General Organization for Social Insurance (GOSI) released a report on the number of work-related fatalities, injuries, and disabilities for 2009-2010. There were 85,624 serious workers' compensation claims and 587 fatalities compensated for (GOSI 2009-2010).

The construction industry has the highest number of accidents in Saudi Arabia, with 50.2% of all compensation cases related to construction. Such a high accident rate is not acceptable. Human resources are too valuable to waste through avoidable incidents. It is imperative, therefore, to identify factors and establish policy frameworks that can reduce the number of accidents.

The main causes of these accidents have been linked directly to pressures from management. Inconsistencies in policies, standards, quality control, training and knowledge dissemination all impact workforces negatively, as do financial restrictions, lack of interaction between workers, the workplace environment, equipment and materials (Charles *et al.* 2007; Gibb *et al.* 2006). Accidents have also been indirectly linked to human behaviour, social pressure, attitudes to risk taking, trade customs, financial pressure and industry traditions (Charles *et al.* 2007).

For many years, researchers around the globe have investigated the causes of the high level of accidents in the construction industry. In Saudi Arabia, they have grappled with the problem of understanding the 'safety' or 'accident' phenomenon, and have failed to identify the causes of the high number of accidents, or to determine the barriers that prevent individual workers, companies, and the government from improving safety.

Despite the growing body of literature on safety culture in the construction industry, it is still widely recognised that the empirical validation of stakeholder involvement in safety culture at the level of senior management is limited. Senior management contribution to safety performance has rarely been studied, and the connections between top management's actions and their objectives in relation to safety performance appear to have been neglected.

This research is therefore an attempt to verify the causal relationships and interactions between stakeholder involvement, safety culture, and safety performance in the construction industry, thus providing a better understanding of their interaction which, in turn, may improve safety. To achieve this objective, a conceptual model was developed to enable empirical research via responses to a questionnaire distributed to the three different types of project – small, medium, and large – that comprise the Saudi construction industry. A total of 384 valid responses was received.

The results were analysed by means of various statistical methods, including inferential statistics. The proposed model was validated using reliability analysis, construct validity, confirmatory factor analysis, and structural equation modelling.

The qualitative findings confirmed the significance of stakeholder involvement in enforcing and influencing a positive safety culture, and revealed certain safety issues specific to Saudi Arabian

construction projects. Furthermore, the results show that in the context of the Saudi construction industry, a stakeholder's involvement is positively associated with an organisation's safety attitudes, management safety practices, the effectiveness of the safety management system, and safety performance.

The model provided in this study is a systematic approach to assess the safety culture of construction organisations and to guide them in self-assessments. The research contributes to the literature pertaining to assessments of stakeholder involvement and safety culture. Furthermore, it offers a valuable tool to government bodies and regulatory agencies for assessing their efforts in improving safety culture.