



THE EFFECTS OF FARM MANAGEMENT PRACTICES
ON
CADMIUM CONCENTRATION IN WHEAT GRAIN

Danielle P. Oliver

Thesis submitted for the degree of

Master of Agricultural Science

in

The University of Adelaide
(Department of Soil Science)

March 1994

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	page
Title	i
Table of Contents	ii
List of Tables	vii
List of Figures	x
List of Appendices	xiv
Summary	xvi
Declaration	xx
Acknowledgments	xxi
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
Chapter 2 Literature Review	6
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 Factors Controlling Cd Concentration in Soil Solution	8
2.2.1 Effect of pH on Cd Concentration in Soil Solution	
2.2.2 Adsorption Models	
2.2.3 Metal Adsorption by Organic Matter	
2.2.4 Metal Occlusion into Minerals	
2.3 Effect of soil pH on Cd Concentration in Plants	17
2.4 Effect of Zn on Cd Concentration in Plants	21
2.5 Effect of Other Ions on Cd Concentration in Plants	24
2.6 The Effect of Crop Rotations, Tillage Practices and Stubble Handling Methods on Cd Concentration in Wheat Grain.	24
2.6.1 Crop Rotations	
2.6.2 Tillage Practices	
2.6.3 Stubble Handling Practices	

	page
2.7	Determination of Plant-Available Cd 33
2.8	Conclusions 38
Chapter 3	The Effects of Zn Fertilization on Cd Concentration in Wheat Grain. 39
3.1	Introduction 40
3.2	Materials and Methods 41
3.2.1	Field Experiments
3.2.2	Chemical Analyses of Grain
3.2.3	Soil Sampling and Analyses
3.2.4	Statistical Analyses
3.3	Results 51
3.3.1	Effect of Zn Fertilization on Cd Concentration in Wheat Grain
3.3.2	Effect of "Residual" Zn on Cd Concentrations in Wheat Grain
3.3.3	Effect of Zn Fertilization on the Concentration of Elements Other than Cd in Grain
3.3.4	Interaction Between Soil Characteristics and Cd Concentrations in Grain
3.4	Discussion 59
3.4.1	Effect of Zn Applications on Cd Accumulation in Wheat Grain
3.4.2	Effect of "Residual" versus "Fresh" Zn on Cd Accumulations in Wheat Grain
3.4.3	Soil Factors
3.4.4	Site Differences in the South Australian Experiments
3.5	Conclusion 66
Chapter 4	The Effects of Crop Rotation, Stubble Management and Tillage Practices on Cd Concentration in Grain. 67
4.1	Introduction 68
4.2	Materials and Methods 68
4.2.1	Experimental Details

	page
4.2.2 Soil Measurements	
4.3 Results	72
4.3.1 Cd Concentration in Grain	
4.3.2 Soil Factors	
4.4 Discussion	80
4.4.1 Soil pH and Cd concentration in Grain	
4.4.2 EDTA-extractable Cd and Cd Concentration in Grain	
4.4.3 Ca(NO ₃) ₂ - extractable Cd and Cd Concentration in Grain	
4.4.4 Other Effects of Crop Rotation on Cd Uptake	
4.4.5 Effects of Tillage	
4.5 Summary and Conclusions	88
Chapter 5 Effects of soil pH on Cd Concentration in Wheat Grain Grown in a Glasshouse	89
5.1 Introduction	90
5.2 Materials and Methods	90
5.2.1 Experimental Conditions	
5.2.2 Harvest	
5.3 Results	94
5.3.1 Plant Growth and Yield	
5.3.2 Cd concentration in Wheat Grain	
5.3.3 Cd content in Grain	
5.3.4 Cd concentration in Shoots (excluding grain)	
5.3.5 Soil Factors	
5.4 Discussion	110
5.4.1 Relationship Between soil pH and Cd Concentration in Grain	
5.4.2 Relationship Between EDTA-extractable Soil Cd and Grain Cd Concentration	
5.4.3 Assessing the Impact of Growth Dilution Effects	
5.5 Conclusions	129

	page
Chapter 6 Effectiveness of Liming to Minimise Cd Concentration in Wheat Grain Grown in the Field.	132
6.1 Introduction	133
6.2 Materials and Methods	133
6.2.1 Experimental Outline	
6.2.2 Soil Measurements	
6.3 Results	136
6.3.1 Cd Concentration in Grain	
6.3.2 Soil Factors	
6.4 Discussion	143
6.4.1 Cd Concentration in Grain	
6.4.2 Plant Uptake	
6.5 Conclusions	148
Chapter 7 Comparison of Three Soil Extraction Methods to Predict Cd Concentration in Wheat Grain	150
7.1 Introduction	151
7.2 Materials and Methods	151
7.3 Results	153
7.4 Discussion	171
7.5 Summary and Conclusions	174
Chapter 8 Summary and Conclusions	176
8.1 Zinc	177
8.2 Crop Rotations, Stubble Handling Practices and Tillage Methods	179
8.3 Soil pH	180
8.4 Soil Tests	181

8.5	Conclusions	page 182
	Appendices	184
	References	202

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		page
1.1	Cd concentration in some phosphate rocks (mg kg ⁻¹).	3
3.1	Details of South Australian experiments.	44
3.2 a	Classification and texture of soils from the South Australian experiments	45
3.2 b	Selected chemical characteristics of soils from the South Australian experiments.	46
3.3	Selected soil characteristics of the experiments in southern Queensland.	47
3.4	Exponential equations for the relationship between grain Cd concentration (mg kg ⁻¹) and Zn rate (kg ha ⁻¹) and adjusted R ² values for each experiment. The equation is of the form: grain Cd concentration = a + b * exp ^(-k) Zn rate	57
4.1	Selected soil characteristics of the experimental sites.	69
4.2	Mean soil pH (1:5, 0.01M CaCl ₂) and EDTA-extractable Cd (mg kg ⁻¹) for crop rotations and nitrogen treatments (N0 and N80) of Experiment 4.1 in 1986 and 1987.	81
4.3 a	Mean soil pH (1:5, 0.01 M CaCl ₂) ± s.d. for soil collected from Experiment 4.2 at Kapunda in 1988.	82
4.3 b	Mean EDTA-extractable Cd concentrations (mg kg ⁻¹) ± s.d. for soils collected in 1991 from Experiment 4.2 at Kapunda.	82
5.1	Selected chemical and physical properties of the soils used in the glasshouse experiment.	91
5.2	Mean grain Cd concentration (mg kg ⁻¹) for each Cd and CaCO ₃ or S treatment for plants grown in (a) the Freeling soil and (b) the Bordertown soil.	100
5.3	Mean grain Cd concentration (mg kg ⁻¹) for each Cd and CaCO ₃ or S treatment for plants grown in (a) the Inman Valley soil and (b) the Kapinnie soil.	101
5.4	Mean Cd uptake (µg pot ⁻¹) for each Cd and CaCO ₃ or S treatment for plants grown in (a) the Freeling soil and (b) the Bordertown soil.	103

TABLE	page
5.5 Mean Cd uptake ($\mu\text{g pot}^{-1}$) for each Cd and CaCO_3 or S treatment for plants grown in (a) the Inman Valley soil and (b) the Kapinnie soil.	104
5.6 Log_{10} mean Cd concentration (mg kg^{-1}) in shoot material for each Cd and CaCO_3 or S treatment for plants grown in the (a) Freeling and (b) Bordertown soils.	106
5.7 Log_{10} mean Cd concentration (mg kg^{-1}) in shoot material for each Cd and CaCO_3 or S treatment for plants grown in the (a) Inman Valley and (b) Kapinnie soils.	107
5.8 Mean $\text{Ca}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ -extractable Cd (mg kg^{-1}) for each Cd and CaCO_3 or S treatment for (a) the Freeling soil and (b) the Bordertown soil.	112
5.9 Mean $\text{Ca}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ -extractable Cd (mg kg^{-1}) for each Cd and CaCO_3 or S treatment for (a) the Inman Valley soil and (b) the Kapinnie soil.	113
5.10 Change in Cd concentration (mg kg^{-1} per pH unit) in grain with increasing pH.	120
5.11 Change in Cd concentration in grain (mg kg^{-1}) with increasing EDTA-extractable soil Cd (mg kg^{-1}).	123
5.12 Mean ratio Cd concentration in shoot material (excluding grain) : Cd concentration in grain ($\text{Cd shoot} \div \text{Cd grain}$) for plants grown on the (a) Inman Valley, (b) Freeling and (c) Bordertown soils.	131
6.1 Selected chemical and physical characteristics and classification of soil (0-10 cm) from Experiments 6.1-6.4 (Rutherglen, Vic.) and Experiments 6.5-6.8 (Wagga Wagga, NSW)	135
6.2 Soil pH values (1:5, 0.01M CaCl_2) for the lime and sulphur treatments in Experiments 6.1 to 6.4 in the Rutherglen district.	138
6.3 Soil pH values (1:5, 0.01M CaCl_2) for the lime and sulphur treatments in Experiments 6.5 to 6.8 in the Wagga Wagga district.	139
6.4 Exponential equations for the relationship between grain Cd concentration (mg kg^{-1}) and pH for each experiment. The equation is of the form: grain Cd concentration = $b * \exp(-k)\text{pH}$	140

TABLE	page
6.5 Mean Cd concentrations in grain (mg kg^{-1}) for the lime and sulphur treatments in Experiments 6.1 to 6.4 in the Rutherglen district.	141
6.6 Mean Cd concentrations in grain (mg kg^{-1}) for the lime and sulphur treatments in Experiments 6.5 to 6.8 in the Wagga Wagga district.	142
7.1 Details of selected physical and chemical characteristics of soils used to assess the three soil extraction procedures.	152
7.2 Outline of the extraction procedures used in this study.	154
7.3 Regression equations, coefficients and significance for the relationship between grain Cd concentration and EDTA-extractable Cd for soils from the glasshouse experiment which had received all Cd treatments (Cd 0 - Cd 2.7) and soils to which no Cd had been added (Cd 0 only).	156
7.4 Regression equations, coefficients and significance for the relationship between grain Cd concentration and $\text{Ca}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ - and CaCl_2 -extractable Cd for soils from the glasshouse experiment which had received all Cd treatments (Cd 0 - Cd 2.7) and soils to which no Cd had been added (Cd 0).	159
7.5 Regression equations, coefficients and significance for the relationship between Cd concentration in grain and EDTA-extractable Cd for all the data and data separated into the individual crop rotations for the 1986 and 1987 harvests of Experiment 4.1 (Tarlee) and the 1990 harvest of Experiment 4.2 (Kapunda).	164
7.6 Regression equations, coefficients and significance for the relationship between grain Cd concentration and $\text{Ca}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ -extractable Cd for all the data and data separated into the individual crop rotations for the 1986 and 1987 harvests of Experiment 4.1 (Tarlee) and the 1990 harvest of Experiment 4.2 (Kapunda).	167

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	page
3.1 a Location of Zn fertilization experiments in South Australia.	43
3.1 b Location of Zn fertilization experiments in southern Queensland.	43
3.2 a Variation in grain Cd concentration (mg kg^{-1}) with Zn treatment (kg ha^{-1}) for Experiments 3.1, 3.3, 3.5 and 3.6.	52
3.2 b Variation in grain Cd concentration (mg kg^{-1}) with Zn treatment (kg ha^{-1}) for Experiments 3.9, 3.10, 3.12 and 3.13.	52
3.2 c Variation in grain Cd concentration (mg kg^{-1}) with Zn treatment (kg ha^{-1}) for Experiments 3.4 and 3.7.	53
3.2 d Variation in grain Cd concentration (mg kg^{-1}) with Zn treatment (kg ha^{-1}) for Experiments 3.8 and 3.11.	53
3.3 Variation in grain Cd concentration (mg kg^{-1}) (\pm sd) with Zn treatment (kg ha^{-1}) for Experiment 3.17 (Kommamurra, Qld.)	54
3.4 Variation in mean grain Zn concentration (mg kg^{-1}) (\pm sd) with Zn treatment (kg ha^{-1}) for Experiments 3.1, 3.3 and 3.4.	54
3.5 Variation in mean Cu concentration (mg kg^{-1}) with Zn treatment (kg ha^{-1}) for Experiments 3.11, 3.12 and 3.13.	58
3.6 Variation in grain Cd concentration (mg kg^{-1}) with EDTA - extractable soil Zn (mg kg^{-1}) for Experiments 3.12 and 3.13.	58
4.1 Variation in grain Cd concentration in Experiment 4.1 at Tarlee (1986) for each rotation and two nitrogen rates (N0 and N80).	74
4.2 Variation in grain Cd concentration in Experiment 4.1 at Tarlee (1987) for each rotation and three nitrogen rates (N0, N40 and N80).	75
4.3 Variation in Cd concentration in wheat grain grown in rotation with wheat for three tillage systems in Experiment 4.2 at Kapunda (1989).	76
4.4 Variation in grain Cd concentration in Experiment 4.2 at Kapunda (1990) in wheat grain grown in rotation with wheat (wh), pasture (pa) or lupins (lu) under three tillage systems.	77

FIGURE	page
5.1 Mean number of heads per pot produced by plants grown in each sulphur (S) or calcium carbonate (CaCO ₃) treatment (g kg ⁻¹).	97
5.2 Mean dry weight (DW) of shoots (less grain) (g) per pot for each sulphur (S) or calcium carbonate (CaCO ₃) treatment (g kg ⁻¹).	98
5.3 Mean grain weight (g) per pot for each sulphur (S) or calcium carbonate (CaCO ₃) treatment (g kg ⁻¹).	99
5.4 Mean pH values (1:5, 0.01M CaCl ₂) obtained for each sulphur (S) or calcium carbonate (CaCO ₃) treatment (g kg ⁻¹).	108
5.5 Mean EDTA-extractable Cd (mg kg ⁻¹) for all four soils for each sulphur (S) or calcium carbonate (CaCO ₃) treatment (g kg ⁻¹).	109
5.6 Mean CaCl ₂ -extractable Cd (mg kg ⁻¹) for each sulphur (S) or calcium carbonate (CaCO ₃) treatment (g kg ⁻¹).	114
5.7 Relationship between Cd concentration in grain (mg kg ⁻¹) and soil pH for each Cd treatment applied to the Kapinnie soil.	115
5.8 Relationship between Cd concentration in grain (mg kg ⁻¹) and soil pH for each Cd treatment applied to the Freeling soil.	116
5.9 Relationship between Cd concentration in grain (mg kg ⁻¹) and soil pH for each Cd treatment applied to the Bordertown soil.	117
5.10 Relationship between Cd concentration in grain (mg kg ⁻¹) and soil pH for each Cd treatment applied to the Inman Valley soil.	118
5.11 Relationship between Cd uptake by grain (mg pot ⁻¹) and soil pH for each Cd treatment applied to the Kapinnie soil.	124
5.12 Relationship between Cd uptake by grain (mg pot ⁻¹) and soil pH for each Cd treatment applied to the Freeling soil.	125
5.13 Relationship between Cd uptake by grain (mg pot ⁻¹) and soil pH for each Cd treatment applied to the Bordertown soil.	126
5.14 Relationship between Cd uptake by grain (mg pot ⁻¹) and soil pH for each Cd treatment applied to the Inman Valley soil.	127
6.1 Variation in grain Cd concentration (mg kg ⁻¹) with soil pH for Experiment 6.2.	145
6.2 Variation in grain Cd concentration (mg kg ⁻¹) with soil pH for Experiment 6.5.	145

FIGURE	page
6.3 Variation in grain Cd concentration (mg kg^{-1}) with soil pH for Experiment 6.6.	146
6.4 Variation in grain Cd concentration (mg kg^{-1}) with soil pH for Experiment 6.7.	146
6.5 Variation in Cd uptake (mg ha^{-1}) with pH for the 1987 and 1988 harvest of Experiment 6.2 at Rutherglen.	149
6.6 Variation in Cd uptake (mg ha^{-1}) with pH for the 1988 harvest of Experiment 6.5 at Wagga Wagga.	149
7.1 Relationship between Cd concentration in grain and EDTA-extractable Cd for the four soils used in the glasshouse experiment (Chapter 5) across all Cd treatments applied to the soil. The four soils are (a) Inman Valley, (b) Kapinnie, (c) Freeling and (d) Bordertown.	157
7.2 Relationship between Cd concentration in grain and EDTA-extractable Cd for the four soils used in the glasshouse experiment (Chapter 5) to which no Cd had been added (Cd 0).	158
7.3 Relationship between Cd concentration in grain and $\text{Ca}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ -extractable Cd for the four soils used in the glasshouse experiment (Chapter 5) across all Cd treatments applied to the soil. The four soils are (a) Inman Valley, (b) Kapinnie, (c) Freeling and (d) Bordertown.	160
7.4 Relationship between Cd concentration in grain and $\text{Ca}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ -extractable Cd for the four soils used in the glasshouse experiment (Chapter 5) to which no Cd was added (Cd 0).	161
7.5 Relationship between Cd concentration in grain and CaCl_2 -extractable Cd for all soils used in the glasshouse experiment (Chapter 5) to which no Cd was added (Cd 0).	162
7.6 Relationship between Cd concentration in grain and EDTA-extractable Cd from soil from Experiment 4.1 (1986). Only soil from selected rotations which had received the extreme nitrogen treatments (N 0 and N 80) was used in this assessment for Experiments 4.1 and 4.2	165
7.7 Relationship between Cd concentration in grain and EDTA-extractable Cd for soil from Experiment 4.1 (1987).	166

FIGURE		page
7.8	Relationship between Cd concentration in grain and $\text{Ca}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ -extractable Cd for soil from Experiment 4.1 (1986).	168
7.9	Relationship between Cd concentration in grain and $\text{Ca}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ -extractable Cd for soil from Experiment 4.1 (1987).	169
7.10	Relationship between Cd concentration in grain and $\text{Ca}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ -extractable for soils from three rotations from Experiment 4.2 (1990) at Kapunda.	170

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	page
3.1 Mean EDTA - extractable Cd ($n=2$) concentrations (mg kg^{-1}) for all Zn treatments of Experiments 3.1 and 3.3 - 3.13 in South Australia.	185
4.1 Relationship between Cd concentration in grain and soil pH for (a) the 1986 and (b) 1987 harvests of Experiment 4.1 and (c) the 1990 harvest of Experiment 4.2 for each rotation.	186
5.1 Determination of the quantities of sulphur and calcium carbonate required to adjust soil pH by a designated amount for each soil used in the glasshouse experiment.	187
5.2 Preparation of the Cd treatments in the glasshouse experiment.	191
5.3 Quantities of sand (mg pot^{-1}) to which a stock quantity of $\text{CdCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ had been added and that were mixed through the soil in each pot to give the designated Cd treatment (mg kg^{-1}).	193
5.4 Mean number of tillers produced per pot by plants grown in each sulphur (S) or lime (CaCO_3) treatment.	194
5.5 Mean grain number produced for each sulphur (S) or calcium carbonate (CaCO_3) treatment for each soil (g kg^{-1} soil).	194
5.6 Exponential equations for the relationship between Cd concentration in grain and soil pH for plants grown in the Inman Valley and Kapinnie soil.	194
6.1 Variation in grain Cd concentration (mg kg^{-1}) with pH for Experiment 6.1 (Devenish, Vic.).	195
6.2 Variation in grain Cd concentration (mg kg^{-1}) with pH for Experiment 6.3 (Burramine, Vic.).	196
6.3 Variation in grain Cd concentration (mg kg^{-1}) with pH for Experiment 6.8 (Book Book, NSW).	197
6.4 Monthly average rainfall for (a) 1987 and (b) 1988 and long-term average rainfall for Rutherglen.	198
6.5 Monthly average rainfall for (a) 1987, (b) 1988 and (c) 1989 and long-term monthly average for Wagga Wagga.	199

APPENDIX		page
6.6	Variation in Cd uptake (mg ha^{-1}) with pH for the 1989 harvest of Experiment 6.7.	200
7.1	Published manuscripts and conference proceedings from this study.	201

SUMMARY

Cadmium is a heavy metal that is not known to have any essential biological function in plants or animals. There has recently been concern that Cd concentrations in some Australian food products may exceed the maximum permissible concentration (MPC) set by the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) for the general category of 'unspecified foods', namely 0.05 mg kg^{-1} fresh weight. Thus, in Australia the concern about Cd in wheat grain has arisen from a marketing and trade viewpoint.

In Australia, the main source of Cd in agricultural soils is phosphate fertilizers. Although the use of phosphatic fertilizers containing low Cd concentrations will minimise the input of Cd into the agricultural system it will not solve the problem of Cd uptake by grain because of the accumulation of residual Cd in the plough layer (0-10 cm). Many factors control the availability of Cd in soil for plant uptake. Among the most widely documented are soil pH, clay content and form, organic matter content and Zn concentrations in the soil. This study has focussed on the effect of the following factors on Cd concentrations in wheat grain: Zn nutrition, crop rotations, tillage practices, and soil pH in field and glasshouse experiments. The effectiveness of soil extraction procedures to correlate Cd concentration in grain and extractable soil Cd was also investigated.

Grain and soil samples were collected from thirteen field experiments located across South Australia and four field experiments located in southern Queensland. The Zn concentration in the soils at all sites was determined to be inadequate for crop growth prior to the establishment of the experiments. Zinc was applied as zinc sulphate at rates ranging from $0\text{-}20 \text{ kg Zn ha}^{-1}$. The grain was analysed for Cd concentration and the soil samples (0-10 cm) were analysed for pH and EDTA-extractable Cd and Zn concentrations. Grain from the South Australian experiments was also analysed for

other metals using inductively-coupled plasma (ICP) analysis. This study showed that applications of Zn (approximately 2.5 - 5.0 kg ha⁻¹) to soils that had inadequate Zn concentrations for crop growth decreased the Cd concentrations in grain by up to half at some sites. The Cd concentrations in grain from the Queensland experiments were near the detection limit which made it difficult to assess any trends in Cd concentrations with increasing rates of Zn application.

Grain and soil samples were obtained for 2 years (1986 and 1987) from a rotation x stubble x nitrogen experiment at Tarlee, S.A. and for 2 years (1989 and 1990) from a rotation x tillage x fertilizer experiment at Kapunda, S.A. In the Tarlee experiment, wheat is grown every year in two phases in each of eight rotations with cereals, legumes or pasture. The three stubble treatments are stubble burning, incorporation and surface retention. Nitrogen is applied as ammonium nitrate at 0 and 40 kg ha⁻¹. In the Kapunda experiment, wheat is grown annually in a continuous wheat rotation and every second year a wheat/volunteer pasture and a wheat/lupins rotation. The three tillage systems are direct drill, reduced till and conventional cultivation. The two fertilizer treatments are low (15 kg P and 40 kg N ha⁻¹) and high (30 kg P and 80 kg N ha⁻¹).

Grain from both experiments was analysed for Cd concentrations and soil was analysed for pH and EDTA-extractable Cd. Soil from the Tarlee experiment was also analysed for Ca(NO₃)₂ - extractable Cd. In both years of the Tarlee experiment and the 1990 harvest of the Kapunda experiment the highest Cd concentrations in grain were found in wheat grown after lupins and generally the lowest were in wheat grown after cereal. No explanation can be offered for the observation of higher Cd concentrations in wheat grain grown after lupins.

The Cd concentrations in grain increased significantly with increasing rates of nitrogen in both the 1986 and 1987 harvests of the Tarlee experiment. However, stubble

treatment had no significant effect on the Cd concentration in grain in either year. Fertilizer treatments had no significant effects on Cd concentrations in grain from the Kapunda experiment.

Raising soil pH is the most commonly recommended practice to minimize Cd uptake by plants. In this study, the interaction between soil type, applied Cd and soil pH was investigated in a glasshouse experiment. The pH of four soils, which varied in physical and chemical characteristics, was adjusted with sulphur or lime to give a range of 4 - 6 (1:5, 0.01M CaCl₂). Cadmium was applied in a sand matrix to give a range from 0 - 2.7 mg Cd kg⁻¹ soil. Cd concentrations in grain and plant material were determined on all replicates and soil pH, EDTA-, CaCl₂- and Ca(NO₃)₂-extractable Cd concentrations were measured on one-half of the replicates.

Raising soil pH decreased Cd concentrations in grain grown on three of the four soils used in the glasshouse experiment (a Typic Rhodoxeralf, a Natric Palexeralf and a Mollic Palexeralf). However on a Sodic Haploxerert, the Cd concentration in grain did not change significantly with increasing soil pH. The Cd concentrations in grain were found to decrease following the addition of S at the highest Cd treatment (2.7 mg Cd kg⁻¹) on the Sodic Haploxerert.

The effects of soil pH on Cd concentration in grain were also assessed in the field. Grain and soil samples were obtained from eight experiments in the Wagga Wagga, NSW and Rutherglen, Vic. regions. Sulphur and lime had been added to adjust soil pH to give a range from 4 - 6. Cadmium concentrations in grain, soil pH and EDTA-extractable Cd were measured on one-half of the replicates.

The responses of Cd concentrations in grain to raising soil pH in field experiments was extremely variable between sites and between seasons at individual sites. Consequently, the common recommendation of raising soil pH to decrease Cd

concentrations in grain is not always valid and further studies are required to determine the factors that influence whether a decrease in Cd concentration of grain will occur following the application of lime under field conditions.

Three extraction procedures were studied to assess the correlation between Cd concentration in grain and extractable soil Cd with the view of using an extraction procedure for predicting Cd concentrations in wheat grain. The extractants were EDTA, $\text{Ca}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ and CaCl_2 . These three extractants were chosen because they have been used by many other researchers but seldom compared, and they extract different fractions of soil Cd. Generally there was a poor relationship between EDTA-extractable Cd and Cd concentrations in grain. The only exception to this was the regression between Cd concentration in grain and EDTA-extractable Cd for each individual soil used in the glasshouse experiment when all Cd treatments were considered. The positive correlation was most likely due to the wide range of soil Cd concentrations covered.

There was a good relationship between CaCl_2 - and $\text{Ca}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ -extractable Cd and Cd concentrations in grain grown on two soils in the glasshouse experiment (Mollic Palexeralf and Natric Palexeralf). The correlation for both extractants was poor for plants grown on the Sodic Haploxerert, which was most likely due to the absence of any change in Cd concentration in grain with increasing pH of this soil. Of the three extractants studied, CaCl_2 and $\text{Ca}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ showed the best correlations with Cd concentrations in grain. However, more work is required with a wider range of soil types to ascertain the effectiveness of the extractants to predict Cd concentrations in grain.

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being available for loan and photocopying.

SIGNED:..

DATE:.....13/12/94.....

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Sincere appreciation is expressed to my supervisors, Drs. A.M. Alston and K.G. Tiller, for their guidance and support during this study. Many thanks also to Ms. G.D. Cozens for her highly competent technical assistance and support.

The financial assistance of the Grains Research and Development Corporation (GRDC) (Australia) is also gratefully acknowledged. This study was made possible by the support and assistance of the following collaborators who provided grain and soil samples: Dr. N. S. Wilhelm and Mr. J. E. Schultz, South Australian Department of Primary Industries, South Australia; Dr. R. Hannam, Co-operative Research Centre, Soil and Land Management, South Australia; Mr. W.J. Slattery, Rutherglen Research Institute, Victoria; and Drs. K. Helyar and M.K. Conyers, Wagga Wagga Agricultural Research Institute, New South Wales.

The encouragement and stimulative discussions of fellow colleagues and students at both CSIRO Division of Soils and the Waite Agricultural Research Institute, University of Adelaide, have been greatly appreciated. In particular I wish to thank Dr. R. Correll for his assistance with the statistical analysis, and Dr. R. Naidu and Mr. R. Merry for their useful comments about the experimental work.

I also wish to thank Mr. G. Rinder in the drawing office at CSIRO Division of Soils for drawing the figures in this thesis and Mrs. Betty Barovs and Mrs. Chris Harford for typing the tables.

Finally I wish to thank my husband, Mr. Michael Burch, for his support, understanding and patience during this study.