

THE ANAEROBIC DIGESTION OF HALOPHYTIC
MICROALGAE

ANDREW WARD



THE UNIVERSITY
of ADELAIDE

**THE ANAEROBIC DIGESTION OF HALOPHYTIC
MICROALGAE**

ANDREW WARD

This thesis is submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

in

School of Chemical Engineering

at

The University of Adelaide

March 2015

Panel of Supervisors

Principal Supervisor

A/Prof. David M. Lewis

PhD. (University of Adelaide)

School of Chemical Engineering

The University of Adelaide

Email david.lewis@adelaide.edu.au

Phone +61 8 83135503

Co-supervisors

Prof Andrew Ball

PhD. (University of Liverpool)

Environmental bioremediation

Royal Melbourne Institute of Technology

Email: andy.ball@rmit.edu.au

Phone: +61 3 99256594

Prof Peter Ashman

PhD. (University of Sydney)

School of Chemical Engineering

The University of Adelaide

Email peter.ashman@adelaide.edu.au

Phone +61 8 83135072

Declaration for a thesis that contains publications

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where application, my institution responsible for the joint award of this degree.

I give consent for this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

The author acknowledges the copyright of published works contained within this thesis resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the library catalogue and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Signature:.....Date.....

Thesis by Publication

This doctoral thesis is submitted as a portfolio of peer-reviewed publications according to the “PhD Rules & Specifications for thesis” of the University of Adelaide. The journals in which these papers were published or accepted are closely related to the research field of this work. The citation index is listed and the journals ranked in order of impact factor in reference to their scientific significance.

Journal Title	Impact Factor
Bioresource Technology	5.039
Journal of Algal Research	4.095
Journal of Water Process Engineering	N/A

The thesis is composed of the following:

Book chapter

- 1- Ward, A.J. Biofuel Bio-refinery Technologies, Vol. 2, Navid R. Moheimani et al: BIOMASS AND BIOFUELS FROM MICROALGAE chapter 16 The Anaerobic Digestion of Microalgae Feedstock, “Life-Cycle Environmental Impacts of Biofuels and Co-products” DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-16640_16

Peer reviewed scientific publications

- 1- Ward, A.J. Lewis, D.M. Green, F.B., (2014). Anaerobic digestion of algal biomass: A Review. *Journal of Algal Research*. 5:204-214
- 2- Nguyen, L.T.A. Ward, A.J. Lewis D., (2014). Utilisation of turbidity as an indicator for biochemical and chemical oxygen demand. *Journal of Water Process Engineering*. 4:137-142
- 3- Ward, A.J. Lewis, D. Ball, A., (2014). Halophytic microalgae as a feedstock for anaerobic digestion. *Journal of Algal Research*. 7:16-23
- 4- Ward, A.J. Lewis, D.M., (2015). Pre-treatment of halophytic microalgae and associated methane production. *Bioresource Technology*. 177:410-413
- 5- Erkelens, M. Ward, A.J. Lewis, D.M., (2014). Microalgae digestate effluent as a growth media for *Tetraselmis sp.* in the production of biofuels. *Bioresource Technology*. 167:81-86

Conference presentations that resulted from my PhD research

- 1- Ward, A.J. Lewis, D., (2014). The anaerobic digestion of microalgae biomass. (Presented at the Bioenergy Australia Conference, Sydney, Australia, 2014)
- 2- Ward, A.J. Lewis, D. Ball A., (2014). The anaerobic digestion of halophytic microalgae. (Presented at the International Society for Applied Phycology Conference, Sydney, Australia, 2014)
- 3- Ward, A.J. Lewis, D. Ball, A. (2013). The anaerobic digestion of saline biomass under high salinity conditions. (Presented at the Bioenergy Australia Conference, Sydney, Australia, 2012)

- 4- Ward, A.J. Lewis D.M., (2013). Anaerobic Digestion of marine microalgae biomass. (Presented at the International Marine Biotechnology Conference, Brisbane, Australia, 2013) (Received runner up best student presentation)
- 5- Ward, A.J. Ball, A. Harris P. Lewis, D., (2012). Methane production from saline microalgae utilising high salinity tolerant methanogen *Archaeal* microbes. (Presented at the Bioenergy Australia Conference, Melbourne, Australia, 2012)
- 6- Ward, A.J., Lewis, D.M. Ball A.S., (2012). Molecular comparison of Methanogenic *Archaeal* bacteria using nested and non-nested (PCR) amplicons. (Presented at the Asia Pacific Conference on Algae Biotechnology, Adelaide, Australia, 2012)
- 7- Ward, A.J. Lewis, D. Barker, G., (2011). The Influence of nutrient loads on the production rate of *Botryococcus braunii* in digested piggery effluent medium. (Presented at the International Society for Applied Phycology Conference, Halifax, Canada, 2011)
- 8- Ward, A.J. Lewis, D.M., (2010). Nutrient recovery and methane production from saline micro-algal biomass, (Presented at the Bioenergy Australia Conference, Sydney, Australia, 2010)

Poster Presentations

- 1- Ward, A.J. (2013). Molecular comparison of nested and non-nested PCR 16S rDNA polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplicons. (Presented at the Bioenergy Australia conference, Sydney, Australia, 2013).

Acknowledgement

I would like to acknowledge all the people who had a significant role in my achievement as without their help, I would not have been able to get to this stage.

Firstly I would like to thank my principle supervisor David Lewis for his outstanding effort and support throughout my PhD. David was always happy to help and was willing to give additional advice when challenges were presented throughout my PhD. My co-supervisor Professor Andrew Ball for his expert advice and patience in helping with the microbiology associated with my project. Paul Harris for his help in modelling and calculating the theoretical methane potentials and initial help in reactor setup and inoculum development. This help was invaluable at the start of my PhD.

The Dean of Engineering, Prof Peter Dowd and the head of Chemical Engineering Professor Mark Biggs and Professor Peter Ashman for their willingness to provide me with any opportunity within their means. Mary Barrow, Dianne Parish, Sue Earle, Monica Dinan, Jo England for their unreserved help in all administrative matters. Geoff Hiorns, Jason Peak and Mike Jung in the Chemical Engineering Workshop for their technical and scientific support provided.

The financial support provided by the Australian Research Council's Linkage Projects funding scheme (ARC LP 100200616) with industry partner SQC Pty Ltd and the Australian Renewable Energy Agency (ARENA) advanced biofuels investment readiness program funding number Q00150. As well as the support given by Muradel Pty Ltd for supplying microalgae biomass for my project and also for funding an additional 12-month scholarship to complete my studies and write-up. In addition I would like to thank the financial support of Adelaide Brighton Cement and the William Schroder Scholarship that allowed me to undertake this study.

Steven Amos, Andrew Lee, Mason Erkelens, Qaung Dong and Wynand Van der Berg from the School of Chemical Engineering and the Microalgae Engineering Research Group for all their help and assistance during my PhD. I sincerely thank everyone mentioned above for providing a helpful, supportive and academically strong community in the school of Chemical Engineering.

Dr Sayali Patill and Dr Akin Adetutu from Royal Melbourne Institute of Technology for their help with the microbiology aspects associated with my project. Without their support I would not have achieved the outcomes that I have.

Dr Franklin Bailey Green for his support and mentoring throughout my PhD and for the opportunity he provided to work with him at the Delhi Advanced Integrated Waste Water Pond System municipal wastewater treatment facility (AIWPS@WWTF) during my visit to the United States of America. I also wish to acknowledge Delhi County Water District AIWPS@WWTF for the opportunity to work at the treatment plant.

I would also like to thank my good friend Steven Stone for his encouragement and my family for their support through my PhD. I would like to especially acknowledge my wife for her patience and commitment to my undertaking and completion of my PhD, without her support I would not have achieved the desired outcome of my research. I would also like to thank my son Caleb Ward for his patience and understanding of the Daddy time lost due to my studies.

Andrew Ward

March 2015.

ABSTRACT

The anaerobic digestion of microalgae is a potential environmentally feasible option for creating a renewable source of energy for industrial and domestic needs. Microalgae anaerobic digestion is a key unit process that integrates efficiency and beneficially into the production of microalgae derived biofuels. Anaerobic digestion culminating in methane fermentation improves the economic viability of microalgae liquid biofuel production and presents an opportunity for power generation from wastewater derived microalgae. However the anaerobic digestion of halophytic microalgae biomass is not straight forward due to several technical restraints including low concentration of digestible biodegradable substrate, recalcitrant substrate constituents, cell wall degradability and effects from salinity and associated metal ions.

To address the quantification of low biodegradable substrate associated with microalgae cultures, development of a high throughput methodology to determine the quantification of suspended microalgae biomass content and other water quality parameters via turbidity measurements was determined. The development of the new management tool allows faster operational control from a simple turbidity analysis, reducing time delays to fewer than 5 minutes and avoids expensive laboratory testing. Further development of this management tool will support the operational control for biofuel pond management and wastewater treatment plants. This management tool provides a rapid quantification of biomass and allows harvesting volumes to be calculated to allow consistent volatile solid and chemical oxygen demand loading to anaerobic digesters.

The anaerobic digestion of halophytic microalgae biomass however, has a significant challenge to be mitigated before this technology can be beneficial for the burgeoning microalgae industry. The halophytic microalgae biomass as a potential substrate feedstock for anaerobic digestion will have salinities > 35 ppt. To address this issue the first section of my PhD research focussed on the changes undertaken in the bacterial community associated with the anaerobic digestion of piggery effluent under increasing saline conditions with the aim of establishing a saline tolerant anaerobic digestion inoculum capable of digesting feedstock's under high salinity conditions.

Favourable results from this inoculum development study allowed the second part of the PhD research to be investigated where the anaerobic digestion of halophytic biomass was investigated utilising the inoculum established from the initial component of the reported study. Results of the later study demonstrated that a hyper saline inoculum was achieved and subsequent DGGE fingerprinting of the bacterial community detected several high salinity methanogens at a salinity of 7% and validated the establishment of a halo-tolerant anaerobic digestion community. Establishment of a halo tolerant anaerobic digestion community was further validated by significant methane production at the high 7% salinities. This inoculum was then used for all other reported studies.

Another major difficulty associated with the anaerobic digestion of microalgae is the need to disrupt the cell wall allowing the cell contents to be processed by the bacterial community. In this study I compared the methane production from lipid extracted, pre-treated disrupted and non-pretreated *Tetraselmis* sp. microalgae respectively. Results demonstrate that a methane production of 122 mL per g VS for the lipid extracted *Tetraselmis* sp. biomass. This result demonstrates that after the

extraction of lipid for use in biofuel production residual lipid extracted microalgae biomass is a viable feedstock for methane production. A methane production of 252 mL per g VS and 248 mL per g VS was reported for the non-disrupted algae and pre-treated disrupted *Tetraselmis* sp. respectively. This study also identified the ability of the anaerobic digestion microbial community to undertake cell lyses via microbial degradation of the *Tetraselmis* sp. microalgae. Cell lyses by the anaerobic digestion microbial community can offer a direct conversion pathway for energy production were whole biomass can be harvested and concentrated and directly fed to the anaerobic digester without energy intensive pre-treatment or processing being required.

Investigation was also undertaken to quantify the suitability of anaerobically digested halophytic *Tetraselmis* sp. microalgae digestate as a nutrient feed stock to form a closed loop nutrient system. To determine microalgae digestate suitability I established that the following factors needed to be observed: growth, lipid content, and the bacterial community diversity. Microalgae digestate was diluted according to the concentration of NH_4^+ content (20, 40, 60, 80 mg/L) and compared against a standard medium for *Tetraselmis* sp.. The growth rate on the microalgae digestate media was not as rapid as the F/2 standard medium and the high microalgae digestate media concentrations correlated with lower total lipid contents, additionally acyl carrier proteins (ACP) gene expression rates displayed lower lipid gene expression within high microalgae digestate treatments. Lastly, higher concentrations of microalgae digestate were correlated with a higher bacterial diversity in the bacterial community throughout the investigation. No significant difference in lipid production and satisfactory growth was recorded for the lower microalgae digestate treatments. These results confirmed the suitability of

microalgae digestate as a suitable nutrient source for use in the production of *Tetraselmis* sp. biomass for lipid and biofuel production.

Contents

1. Introduction.....	1
1.1 Overview.....	1
1.2 Background.....	3
1.3 History of anaerobic digestion.....	4
1.4 Development of anaerobic digestion.....	7
1.5 Biofuel and the anaerobic digestion perspective.....	9
1.6 Ionic conductivity.....	11
1.7 PhD objectives.....	12
1.8 References.....	14
2. Literature Review.....	19
2.1 Abstract.....	19
2.2 Introduction.....	20
2.2.1 Algae base biofuels.....	20
2.2.2 Historical perspective of anaerobic digestion.....	20
2.3 Macroalgae and anaerobic digestion.....	20
2.4 Microalgae and anaerobic digestion.....	21
2.4.1 Historical and current perspective.....	21
2.5 Problems with anaerobic digestion of microalgae.....	22
2.5.1 Low concentration of digestible substrate.....	22
2.5.2 Cell wall degradability and pre-treatment of microalgae biomass.....	23
2.5.3 The Carbon / Nitrogen ratio associated with microalgae biomass.....	24

2.5.4	Lipids and microalgae.....	24
2.6	Theoretical methane production.....	25
2.7	Inhibition of anaerobic digestion.....	25
2.7.1	Ammonia – nitrogen toxicity.....	25
2.7.2	Saline microalgae and the effect of salinity.....	26
2.7.3	Sulphur and its role in anaerobic digestion.....	26
2.8	Bacterial consortium and its role in anaerobic digestion.....	27
2.9	Anaerobic digestion and nutrient recycling.....	27
2.10	Conclusions.....	27
2.11	References.....	28
2.12	Supplement literature review.....	30
3.	Utilisation of turbidity as an indicator for biochemical and chemical oxygen demand.....	41
3.1	Abstract.....	41
3.2	Introduction.....	41
3.2.1	AIWPS® system.....	41
3.2.2	Microalgae involvement in AIWPS® system.....	42
3.2.3	Limitation of AIWPS® system.....	42
3.2.4	Effectiveness of turbidity as an indicator.....	42
3.3	Materials and methods.....	42
3.3.1	Test samples.....	42
3.3.2	Cell counts.....	42

3.3.3	Total suspended solids and volatile solids.....	43
3.3.4	Five day biochemical oxygen demand.....	43
3.3.5	Chemical oxygen demand.....	43
3.4	Results.....	43
3.4.1	Cell count, total suspended solids and volatile solids.....	43
3.4.2	BOD ₅ experiment.....	44
3.4.3	COD experiment.....	44
3.5	Conclusions.....	45
3.6	References.....	45
4.0	Halophytic microalgae as a feedstock for anaerobic digestion.....	49
4.1	Abstract.....	49
4.2	Introduction.....	49
4.3	Methods.....	50
4.3.1	Saline inoculum development.....	50
4.3.1.1	Piggery effluent feedstock source.....	50
4.3.1.2	Salinity increase of inoculum digester and sampling.....	50
4.3.1.3	DNA extraction.....	50
4.3.1.4	PCR – DGGE of the bacterial community.....	51
4.3.1.5	Statistical analysis of DGGE gels.....	51
4.3.2	Feedstock change to microalgae.....	51
4.3.2.1	Source of biomass.....	51

4.3.2.2	Experimental saline microalgae digester setup.....	51
4.3.2.3	PCR identification of Methanogenic <i>Archaea</i>	51
4.4	Results.....	51
4.4.1	Inoculum development (stage 1).....	51
4.4.2	Anaerobic digestion of saline microalgae.....	52
4.4.2.1	Gas production.....	52
4.4.2.2	DGGE analysis and PCR amplification sequencing.....	52
4.5	Discussion.....	53
4.6	Conclusion.....	55
4.7	References.....	55
5.0	Pre-treatment options for halophytic microalgae and associated methane production.....	59
5.1	Abstract.....	59
5.2	Introduction.....	59
5.3	Methods.....	60
5.3.1	Source of microalgae.....	60
5.3.2	Digester setup.....	60
5.4	Results and discussion.....	60
5.5	Conclusion.....	62

5.6	References.....	63
6.0	Microalgae digestate effluent as a growth medium for <i>Tetraselmis</i> sp. in the production of biofuels.....	65
6.1	Abstract.....	65
6.2	Introduction.....	65
6.3	Methods.....	66
6.3.1	Set up and experimental design.....	66
6.3.2	Anaerobic digestion plug flow reactor conditions.....	66
6.3.3	DNA and RNA extraction.....	66
6.3.4	Real–Time PCR for the quantification of specific genes of interest...67	
6.3.5	PCR identification of <i>Tetraselmis</i> sp. inoculums.....	67
6.3.6	Microscopy of <i>Tetraselmis</i> sp.....	67
6.3.7	Growth of bacteria via real – time PCR.....	67
6.3.8	Total lipid content of <i>Tetraselmis</i> sp.....	67
6.3.9	Lipid gene expression rate via real time PCR.....	67
6.3.10	PCR – DGGE of the bacterial community.....	67
6.3.11	Statistical analysis.....	67
6.4	Results and discussion.....	67
6.4.1	Genetic identification of <i>Tetraselmis</i> MUR233.....	67

6.4.2	Effect of microalgae digestate effluent on growth of <i>Tetraselmis</i> sp.....	67
6.4.3	Total lipid and ACP gene regulation.....	68
6.4.4	Bacterial community dynamics.....	69
6.5	Conclusion.....	69
6.6	References.....	69
7.0	Summary and conclusions	72
7.1	Introduction.....	72
7.2	Biomass quantification and feedstock management.....	72
7.3	Inoculum development.....	72
7.4	Pre-treatment.....	74
7.5	Digestate as a nutrient source.....	74
7.6	Future work.....	75
8.0	Appendix	
8.1	Bioenergy Australia poster: Molecular comparison of nested and non-nested Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplicons.....	78
8.2	Book chapter: Chapter 16 the anaerobic digestion of microalgae “Life cycle	

environmental impacts of biofuels and bio-products” in the book Biomass

and biofuels.....80