Measuring workplace safety climate in terms of its key components

Cassandra Heffernan

This thesis is submitted in partial fulfillment of the Honours Degree of Bachelor of Psychological Science

School of Psychology

The University of Adelaide

October 2016

Word Count: 11, 969

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES	
LIST OF FIGURE	5
DECLARATION	6
ABSTRACT	7
CHAPTER 1 - INTRODUCTION	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.1 Work Safety in Australia	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.2 Safety Climate	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.3 Different Types of Organistional Climate	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.4 Climate versus Culture	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.5 Safety Climate and Worker Wellbeing	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.6 Issues with Safety Related Research	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.7 Measuring Safety Climate	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.8 Comparison of Two Approaches to Measuring Safety Clim	ate Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.9 Current Study	Error! Bookmark not defined.
CHAPTER 2 – METHOD	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.1. Participants	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.2 Measures	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.3 Procedures	Error! Bookmark not defined.
CHAPTER 3 – RESULTS	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.1 Comparisons between the Current Sample and Norm Group	psError! Bookmark not defined.
3.2 Safety Climate, Psychosocial Safety Climate and Worker H	Health and WellbeingError!
Bookmark not defined.	
3.3 Safety Climate Measurement	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.4 NOSACQ-50 and PCS-12 item analysis	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.5 Qualitative Comments	Error! Bookmark not defined.
CHAPTER 4 – DISCUSSION	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.1 Comparisons for Burnout between the Current Sample and	Normative Groups Error!
Bookmark not defined.	
4.2 Comparisons for Perceived Safety Climate between the Cu	rrent Sample and Normative
Groups	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.3 Safety Climate, Psychosocial Safety Climate and Worker H	Health and WellbeingError!
Bookmark not defined.	

4.4 The Importance of Co-Workers in Safety Climate Measurement Error! Bookmark not defined.

4.5 NOSACQ-50 versus PSC-12	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.6 Qualitative Comments	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.7 Theoretical Implications	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.8 Practical Implications	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.9 Limitations	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.10 Future Research	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.11 Conclusions	Error! Bookmark not defined.
References	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Appendices	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Appendix A – Questionnaire from Survey Monkey	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Appendix B – Recruitment Email	Error! Bookmark not defined.

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 Descriptive statistics and reliability statistics for the NOSACQ-50, the PSC-12 and the		
Copenhagen Burnout Inventory for the employees of the large entertainment and hospitality		
organisation (N=111) and comparisons to the normative samplesError! Bookmark not defined.		
Table 2 Correlation matrix for the Copenhagen Burnout Inventory, PSC-12, and NOSACQ-50		
scoresError! Bookmark not defined.		
Table 3 Correlation matrix the NOSACQ-50 dimension scoresError! Bookmark not defined.		
Table 4 Results of Multiple Regression Analysis (unstandardised coefficients) to test the extent that		
NOSACQ-50 Management and Worker dimension scores predicted worker wellbeing (using the		
CBI)Error! Bookmark not defined.		
Table 5 Results of Multiple Regression Analysis (unstandardised coefficients) to test the extent that		
NOSACQ-50 Management and Worker dimension scores predicted burnout (using the CBI)Error!		
Bookmark not defined.		
Table 6 Results of item and dimension correlations of the NOSCAQ-50 Error! Bookmark not		
defined.		
Table 7 Worker ratings of management safety climate dimensions of the NOSACQ-50. Error!		
Bookmark not defined.		
Table 8 Worker ratings of co-worker safety climate dimensions of the NOSACQ-50. Error!		
Bookmark not defined.		
Table 9 Worker ratings of the PSC-12 Error! Bookmark not defined.		
Table 9 Worker ratings of the PSC-12Error! Bookmark not defined.Table 10 Summary of Qualitative Comments on Management Dimensions of the NOSACQ-50 Error!		
Table 10 Summary of Qualitative Comments on Management Dimensions of the NOSACQ-50 Error!		
Table 10 Summary of Qualitative Comments on Management Dimensions of the NOSACQ-50 Error!Bookmark not defined.		
Table 10 Summary of Qualitative Comments on Management Dimensions of the NOSACQ-50 Error!Bookmark not defined.Table 11 Summary of Qualitative Comments on the Worker Sections of the NOSACQ-50Error!		
Table 10 Summary of Qualitative Comments on Management Dimensions of the NOSACQ-50 Error! Bookmark not defined. Table 11 Summary of Qualitative Comments on the Worker Sections of the NOSACQ-50Error! Bookmark not defined.		
Table 10 Summary of Qualitative Comments on Management Dimensions of the NOSACQ-50 Error! Bookmark not defined. Table 11 Summary of Qualitative Comments on the Worker Sections of the NOSACQ-50Error! Bookmark not defined. Table 12 Summary of Qualitative Comments on the NOSACQ-50Error! Bookmark not defined.		

LIST OF FIGURE

Figure 1. Safety climate scores in comparison to the norm categories **_Error! Bookmark not defined.**

DECLARATION

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any University, and, to the best of my knowledge, this thesis contains no materials previously published except where due reference is made. I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being available for loan and photocopying.

Cassandra Heffernan

October 2016

ABSTRACT

This study investigated the concept of Workplace Safety Climate in terms of its key components affecting aspects of work related safety in two human service organisations. In particular, the project investigated whether employee assessments of worker attitudes and behaviours contributed additional explanatory variance to the association with key work-related stress indicators or whether their assessments of management attitudes and behaviours either wholly or primarily determine this association. A sample of 111 employees working in a large entertainment and hospitality organisation completed normed measures of safety climate, psychosocial safety climate (PSC) and burnout. Results were compared to the same measures completed by 228 Disability Support Workers (DSWs) who provide residential care for people with disabilities in houses in the community. Results showed that for both groups safety climate and PSC were significantly correlated to worker burnout. However, it was found through multiple regressions that worker safety attitudes did not add predictive capacity for burnout above that of management in the large entertainment organisation but did so for DSWs. Findings suggest that the relative influence of safety related attitudes and behaviours of managers and workers may vary depending on the structure of the organisation.